CHD1L promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression and metastasis in mice and is associated with these processes in human patients
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Chromodomain helicase/ATPase DNA binding protein 1–like gene (CHD1L) is a recently identified oncogene localized at 1q21, a frequently amplified region in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). To explore its oncogenic mechanisms, we set out to identify CHD1L-regulated genes using a chromatin immunoprecipitation–based (ChIP-based) cloning strategy in a human HCC cell line. We then further characterized 1 identified gene, ARHGEF9, which encodes a specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) for the Rho small GTPase Cdc42. Overexpression of ARHGEF9 was detected in approximately half the human HCC samples analyzed and positively correlated with CHD1L overexpression. In vitro and in vivo functional studies in mice showed that CHD1L contributed to tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis by increasing cell motility and inducing filopodia formation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) via ARHGEF9-mediated Cdc42 activation. Silencing ARHGEF9 expression by RNAi effectively abolished the invasive and metastatic abilities of CHD1L in mice. Furthermore, investigation of clinical HCC specimens showed that CHD1L and ARHGEF9 were markedly overexpressed in metastatic HCC tissue compared with healthy tissue. Increased expression of CHD1L was often observed at the invasive front of HCC tumors and correlated with venous infiltration, microsatellite tumor nodule formation, and poor disease-free survival. These findings suggest that CHD1L-ARHGEF9-Cdc42-EMT might be a novel pathway involved in HCC progression and metastasis.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is ranked as the fifth most frequent cancer in the world and affects 1 milllion people annually (1). The prognosis of HCC is very poor, and the 5-year survival rate worldwide is less than 5%, mainly because of a high potential for vascular invasion, metastasis, and recurrence even after surgical resection (2). Like other cancers, HCC metastasis is a multistep process that includes tumor cells' invasion of tumor cells into the surrounding tissues, entry of tumor cells into the systemic circulation (intravasation), their survival in circulation, extravasation of the cells to distant organs, and finally the formation of secondary tumors (3). Genetically, the loss of alleles on 16q and 8p has been associated with HCC metastasis (4, 5). Recently, several genes associated with HCC metastasis have been identified, including cortactin (6), CLU (7), HTPAP (8), Twist (9), and Pyk2 (10).

Amplification of 1q21 is one of the most frequent genetic alterations in HCC (11–13) and has been associated with HCC metastasis (14). Recently, 1 candidate oncogene, chromodomain helicase/ATPase DNA binding protein 1–like gene (CHD1L; also known as ALC1), has been isolated from the 1q21 amplicon (15). Our previous studies have demonstrated that CHD1L is frequently amplified and overexpressed in HCCs and plays an important role in the development of HCC (15, 16). CHD1L belongs to SNF2-like subfamily of the sucrose nonfermenting 2 (Snf2) family. Each SNF2-like protein contains a domain (approximately 400 amino acids) with highly conserved helicase motifs (17). Most SNF2-like proteins participate in various nuclear activities, including transcriptional activation or repression, DNA repair, and recombination (18, 19). These findings prompted us to investigate whether CHD1L protein functions as a transcriptional regulator and to identify genes potentially regulated by CHD1L.

To identify genes potentially regulated by CHD1L, CHD1L DNA-binding sequences were isolated using a chromatin immunoprecipitation–based (ChIP-based) cloning strategy (20). Using this strategy, 35 CHD1L-binding loci were isolated, and genes near these loci were identified by BLAST searches. Of the CHD1L-regulated genes, ARHGEF9 was particularly interesting, as it is able to activate the Rho small GTPase Cdc42. One of the important molecular mechanisms in cancer metastasis is the activation of the Rho family of small GTPases, which in turn leads to the rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton and modulates cadherin-dependent cell-cell contacts (21–23). In the present study, overexpression of ARHGEF9 was observed in 51.4% of primary HCC cases and was positively correlated with CHD1L expression, which suggests that expression of ARHGEF9 is regulated by CHD1L. Furthermore, as detected by functional studies, upregulation of ARHGEF9 by CHD1L increased the Cdc42-GTP level in HCC cells, induced HCC invasion and metastasis through the rec localization of actin to filopodia-like
structures, and promoted the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). The clinical significance of CHD1L overexpression was also addressed in this study.

Results
Identification of CHD1L target genes. Like other SNF2-like family members, CHD1L may also be able to regulate gene expression at the transcriptional level. To identify genes potentially regulated by CHD1L, CHD1L target genes were isolated using a modified ChIP-based cloning strategy (Figure 1A). Briefly, the CHD1L gene was cloned into expressing vector tagged with GFP and stably transfected into the HCC cell line QGY-7703, in which endogenous expression of CHD1L is extremely low (15, 16). We selected 2 GFP/CHD1L transfectants (GFP/CHD1L-7703-C3 and -C6) with high expression of the GFP/CHD1L fusion protein and 2 GFP empty vector transfectants (GFP-7703-C3 and -C4) for further study (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; doi:10.1172/JCI40665DS1). Using the strategy outlined in Figure 1A, 35 clones were isolated and sequenced. To exclude the contamination of GFP-bound DNA fragments, a parallel experiment was performed using GFP transfectants (GFP-7703-C3 and -C4), from which no clones were obtained.

A BLAST search was used to identify genes near the potential CHD1L-binding sites. Among the 35 CHD1L-binding loci, 25 of 35 (71.4%) CHD1L binding sites were mapped less than 70 kb away from known genes; we classified these as 5′ (9 loci), introns (12 loci), or 3′ (4 loci; Figure 1B and Supplemental Table 1). All 35 DNA sequences (mean length, 325 bp) were analyzed by MatInspector software (Genomatix) to search for potential CHD1L-binding motifs (24). An 11-bp SWI/SNF-related DNA-binding motif was detected in 17 of 35 (48.6%) CHD1L-binding sequences (Supplemental Table 2). The motif contained a hexameric core sequence of C/A-C-A/T-T-T-T (Figure 1C) and was similar to a known SWI/SNF-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, member 3 (SMARCA3; also named SNF2-like 3) motif (25). To confirm the CHD1L-binding sites, we used PCR to detect 10 CHD1L-binding sites with the CHD1L-binding motif in the ChIP-isolated CHD1L-bound DNA fragments using 2 different GFP antibodies, FL and B-2. As expected, the amplified DNA fragments were detected in ChIP-isolated DNA, but not in controls (Figure 1D). These data indicate that CHD1L may transcriptionally regulate target genes by binding to a specific DNA-binding motif.

CHD1L upregulates ARHGEF9 expression. The CHD1L-regulated gene ARHGEF9 was further characterized because of its ability to activate the Rho small GTPase Cdc42, which may play a key role in tumor metastasis. To confirm that CHD1L protein binds to ARHGEF9, EMSA was performed using an oligonucleotide probe from the ARHGEF9 locus (CHD1L, 5′; Supplemental Figure 1B). CHD1L specifically bound to the digoxigenin-labeled (DIG-labeled) probe (Figure 2A). To determine whether the expression of ARHGEF9 was modulated in a CHD1L-dependent manner, the CHD1L gene was transiently transfected into the HCC cell line QGY-7703 and into immortalized liver cell line LO-2, and the effect of ectopic expression of CHD1L on ARHGEF9 expression was tested by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). As shown in Figure 2B, ARHGEF9 expression was upregulated by CHD1L. Furthermore, decreased expression of ARHGEF9 was detected when CHD1L expression was silenced in the HCC cell line PLC8024 by RNAi with an siRNA against CHD1L (siCHD1L), but not with an siRNA against GAPDH (siGAPDH; Figure 2C).

CHD1L activates Cdc42 through ARHGEF9 upregulation. As ARHGEF9 is a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) specific for Cdc42 (26), we investigated whether CHD1L could activate Cdc42 through the upregulation of ARHGEF9 in HCC cells. For this purpose, CHD1L was stably transfected into QGY-7703 and LO-2 cells (referred to herein as CHD1L-7703 and CHD1L-LO2, respectively), and empty vector was used as a control (referred to as Vec-7703 and Vec-LO2). Ectopic expression of CHD1L upregulated ARHGEF9 expression, which subsequently activated
Cdc42 (Figure 2D). As shown in Figure 2E, increased Cdc42-GTP, the active form of Cdc42, was detected in both CHD1L-7703 and CHD1L-LO2 cells compared with Vec-7703 and Vec-LO2 cells. These results suggested that CHD1L could activate Cdc42 by the upregulation of ARHGEF9.

**CHD1L induces filopodia formation and EMT.** We next investigated whether CHD1L overexpression affects the actin cytoskeleton in HCC cell lines, because Cdc42 can promote actin filament assembly and filopodia formation (27). First, we found that the cell morphology of CHD1L-LO2 and CHD1L-7703 cells changed from an epithelial-like to a fibroblastic-like morphology (Figure 3A), which suggests that these cells underwent a rearrangement of the cytoskeleton. F-actin staining showed that filopodia formation dramatically increased in CHD1L-7703 cells, suggestive of substantial relocalization of actin to filopodia in these cells (Figure 3B).

Since rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton is often regarded as a signature of the EMT, the effect of CHD1L on the EMT was investigated. The expression of 3 tested epithelial markers (E-cadherin, α-catenin, and β-catenin) decreased, while the expression of 4 tested mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin, vimentin, fibronectin, and α-SMA) increased, in CHD1L-expressing cells compared with empty vector–transfected cells (Figure 3C). Because the downregulation of E-cadherin has been closely associated with EMT, and loss of E-cadherin leads to the accumulation of β-catenin in the cytoplasm and/or nucleus (28), double immunofluorescence (IF) staining of E-cadherin and β-catenin was performed in Vec-7703 and CHD1L-7703 cells to further investigate the effect of CHD1L on the E-cadherin/β-catenin complex. Membranous expression of both E-cadherin and β-catenin decreased in CHD1L-7703 cells compared with Vec-7703 cells; however, no obvious accumulation of β-catenin in the nucleus was observed (Figure 3D). IF staining also confirmed increased expression of the mesenchymal markers fibronectin and vimentin in CHD1L-7703 cells compared with Vec-7703 cells (Figure 3E). All these results demonstrated that CHD1L-7703 cells underwent EMT.

**CHD1L increases cell motility and invasion.** The EMT promotes tumor progression by increasing the invasiveness and migratory capacity of the tumor cell. To test whether CHD1L-7703 and CHD1L-LO2 cells acquired greater migratory and invasive capabilities, an in vitro scratch wound healing assay and a Matrigel invasion assay were performed. The wound healing assay demonstrated that the ectopic expression of CHD1L increased cell motility in both CHD1L-7703 and CHD1L-LO2 cells compared with Vec-7703 and Vec-LO2 cells (Figure 3F). Similarly, the Matrigel invasion assay showed that the invasiveness of the CHD1L-expressing cells was significantly higher than empty vector–transfected cells (P < 0.001, independent Student’s t test; Supplemental Figure 2 and Figure 3G).

**ARHGEF9 is responsible for CHD1L-induced Cdc42 activation.** To investigate whether activation of Cdc42 by ARHGEF9 is responsible for CHD1L-induced filopodia formation, EMT, and tumor invasion, RNAi was used to silence ARHGEF9 expression. CHD1L-7703 cells were treated with siRNA against ARHGEF9 (siARHGEF9-1 or siARHGEF9-2) or siGAPDH as a negative control. After siRNA treatment, the expression of ARHGEF9 was dramatically decreased (Supplemental Figure 3A), which resulted

---

**Figure 2**

ARHGEF9 is a validated target gene of CHD1L and induces Cdc42 activation. (A) EMSA was used to detect the interaction between CHD1L and ARHGEF9 double-stranded DNA probes. Lane 1, without NE; lane 2, with NE from GFP-7703-C4 cells and DIG-labeled probe; lane 3, with NE from GFP/CHD1L-7703-C3 cells and labeled probe; lane 4, same as lane 3 plus a 50-fold molar excess of unlabeled probe; lane 5, same as lane 3 plus excess unlabeled nonspecific probe. (B) ARHGEF9 expression was modulated by CHD1L. After transient transfection, relative expression of CHD1L and ARHGEF9 was detected in Vec-7703, CHD1L-7703, Vec-LO2, and CHD1L-LO2 cells by qPCR. 18S rRNA was used as an internal control. (C) Silencing CHD1L expression downregulated ARHGEF9 expression. PLC8024 cells were treated with siCHD1L or siGAPDH as a negative control. The fold changes in CHD1L, ARHGEF9, and GAPDH expression in siCHD1L- or siGAPDH-treated PLC8024 cells were detected by qPCR. (D) Compared with empty vector transfectants, ARHGEF9 expression was upregulated in QGY-7703 and LO-2 cells stably expressing CHD1L, as detected by RT-PCR. 18S rRNA was used as an endogenous control. (E) Expression of CHD1L, Cdc42-GTP, and total Cdc42, detected by Western blot. Cdc42-GTP increased in CHD1L-7703 and CHD1L-LO2 cells. β-Actin was used as a loading control.
in the reduced expression of Cdc42-GTP (Figure 4A). CHD1L-induced EMT was also blocked by ARHGEF9 siRNA treatment, as evidenced by the increased E-cadherin and decreased vimentin expression as well as by the decreased CHD1L-mediated filopodia formation (Figure 4, A and B). More importantly, CHD1L-7703 cells lost their invasive ability after the ARHGEF9 siRNA treatment. Matrigel invasion assay showed that cell invasion significantly decreased in siARHGEF9-1– and siARHGEF9-2–treated compared with siGAPDH-treated or untreated CHD1L-7703 cells (P < 0.001, independent Student’s t test; Figure 4C and Supple-
mental Figure 3B). All these data suggest that CHD1L-induced filopodia formation, EMT, and tumor invasion are associated with ARHGEF9-induced activation of Cdc42 in HCC cells.

CHD1L promotes tumor metastasis in SCID mice. To investigate the in vivo effects of CHD1L overexpression on metastasis, an experimental metastasis assay was used to compare the metastatic nodules formed in the lungs and livers of SCID mice after inoculation with CHD1L-expressing or empty vector–transfected cells. At 8 weeks after injection, the mice were euthanized, and the lungs and livers were harvested. The number of metastatic nodules on the surface of the liver was significantly higher in mice injected with CHD1L-7703 cells than in mice injected with Vec-7703 cells (56 ± 17 versus 6.7 ± 5.6; P < 0.001, independent Student’s t test; Figure 5A). Similarly, the number of metastatic nodules on the surface of the lungs was significantly higher in mice injected with CHD1L-7703 cells than in mice injected with Vec-7703 cells (17.8 ± 7.7 versus 3.5 ± 4.4; P < 0.05, independent Student’s t test; Figure 5B). Histological studies confirmed that the lesions were caused by extravasation and subsequent tumor growth of CHD1L-transfected HCC cells into the lungs and livers (Figure 5, C and D).

CHD1L promotes invasion and metastasis via EMT. To further elucidate the invasive function of CHD1L in vivo, we examined whether HCC cell lines with a high expression of CHD1L undergo EMT during tumor progression. For this purpose, Vec-7703 and CHD1L-7703 cells, or Vec-LO2 and CHD1L-LO2 cells, were subcutaneously injected into the dorsal flanks of nude mice (n = 5 per group). Consistent with our previous study (15), tumor formation was observed in 4 of 5 and 1 of 5 CHD1L-transfected HCC cells into the lungs and livers (Figure 5, C and D).
tumor invasion was frequently observed in tumors induced by CHD1L-7703 or CHD1L-LO2 cells (Figure 6B, top). Furthermore, tumor microsatellite formations were observed near tumors in 2 of 4 and 3 of 5 CHD1L-7703– and CHD1L-LO2–derived tumors, respectively (Figure 6C, top). Immunohistochemical staining (IHC) with an anti-CHD1L antibody confirmed the expression of CHD1L in CHD1L-LO2– and CHD1L-7703–generated tumors (Figure 6, B and C, bottom).

To determine whether the EMT plays a role in tumor metastasis induced by CHD1L transfectants in the nude mouse, IHC with antibodies against E-cadherin and vimentin was performed on serial sections of each tumor. Decreased expression of E-cadherin and increased expression of vimentin was observed in tumors induced by CHD1L-LO2 or CHD1L-7703 cells compared with tumors induced by Vec-LO2 or Vec-7703 cells (Figure 6D). This suggests that the EMT promoted by CHD1L may play a key role in HCC invasion and metastasis.

**CHD1L depletion reverses the invasive process of HCC cells and tumorigenesis in nude mice.** We then examined whether CHD1L is required for the invasive and tumorigenic phenotypes of HCC cells by expressing shRNA to knock down CHD1L expression. We stably expressed shRNA from 2 different CHD1L sequences (shRNA1 and shRNA2) in the HCC cell line PLC8024 (referred to herein as shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 cells). Compared with PLC8024 cells expressing a control shRNA whose sequence did not match any known human gene (Con-8024 cells), expression of CHD1L dramatically decreased in shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 cells, as detected by qPCR and Western blot analysis (Figure 7, D).
A and C). Moreover, CHD1L knockdown in shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 cells decreased the expression of ARHGEF9 and Cdc42-GTP, which in turn led to decreased formation of filopodia, upregulation of E-cadherin, and downregulation of vimentin, finally reducing the cells’ invasive ability (Figure 7, A–E). We next subcutaneously injected pooled shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 (referred to as shCHD1L-8024) and Con-8024 cells into nude mice. In contrast to Con-8024 cells (all 6 of which formed large tumors within 6 weeks), the mice injected with shCHD1L-8024 cells displayed either no tumor (4 of 6) or greatly reduced tumor growth (2 of 6; Figure 7F). The mean volume of tumors induced by Con-8024 cells was 6.5-fold larger than that induced by shCHD1L-8024 cells ($P < 0.05$, paired Student’s $t$ test; Figure 7G). Increased expression of E-cadherin at cell borders and decreased expression of vimentin was observed in tumors induced by shCHD1L-8024 cells compared with those induced by Con-8024 cells (Figure 7H).
Figure 7
Silencing CHD1L expression inhibits its invasive and tumorigenic ability. (A) Compared with Con-8024 cells, CHD1L and ARHGEF9 expression decreased in shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 cells, as detected by qPCR. (B) Expression of Cdc42-GTP and total Cdc42 was detected by Western blot analysis. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (C) Expression of CHD1L, E-cadherin, β-catenin, N-cadherin, and vimentin was compared among 3 cell lines by Western blotting and quantified by densitometry (normalized to β-actin). (D) Representative images showing decreased formation of filopodia (arrows), increased expression of E-cadherin, and decreased expression of vimentin in shCHD1L-1–8024 and shCHD1L-2–8024 cells compared with Con-8024 cells. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. (E) Number of cells that invaded through the Matrigel was counted in 10 fields under the ×20 objective lens. (F) Reduced tumor volume or absent tumor formation was observed in mice injected with shCHD1L-8024 cells. (G) The mean volume of tumors induced by Con-8024 cells was significantly larger than that induced by shCHD1L-8024 cells on the sixth week after injection (n = 6). (H) IHC staining for E-cadherin and vimentin on serial sections of tumors induced by Con-8024 and shCHD1L-8024. Original magnification, ×400 (D and H).
All these data indicated that knockdown of CHD1L expression may abolish its invasive and tumorigenic abilities through the ARHGEF9-Cdc42-EMT pathway.

**CHD1L upregulates ARHGEF9 expression in clinical HCC specimens.** To further investigate whether the CHD1L-ARHGEF9 pathway is involved in HCC progression, the relationship of CHD1L overexpression with ARHGEF9 expression was tested by qPCR in 35 pairs of HCC cases. Compared with the paired nontumor tissues, overexpression (defined as greater than a 2-fold increase) of CHD1L and ARHGEF9 was detected in 20 of 35 (57.1%) and 18 of 35 (51.4%) HCCs, respectively. The average fold change of CHD1L expression in tumor tissues was significantly higher than that in paired nontumor tissues (3.85 versus 1.23; \( P < 0.001 \), paired Student’s \( t \) test; Figure 8A). Similarly, the average fold change of ARHGEF9 expression in tumor tissues was significantly higher than that in their paired nontumor tissues (2.02 versus 0.91; \( P < 0.05 \), paired Student’s \( t \) test; Figure 8A). Furthermore, an association study showed that ARHGEF9 expression positively correlated with CHD1L expression in these 35 pairs of HCC specimens (\( r = 0.722; P < 0.001 \), Pearson \( \chi^2 \) test; Figure 8B).

**The CHD1L-ARHGEF9-Cdc42 pathway promotes EMT in HCC.** To further confirm the effect of the CHD1L-ARHGEF9-Cdc42 pathway on HCC progression, the correlation among CHD1L, ARHGEF9, and Cdc42 was investigated in primary HCC specimens. Only 16 of 35 of HCCs used in the above experiment were included, selected because they had both RNA and protein samples available. To confirm the association between CHD1L amplification and CHD1L overexpression, FISH was used to detect DNA copy number of CHD1L in 9 HCC cases using a BAC probe containing CHD1L gene and chromosome 1 centromere probe as control. The results showed that gain of CHD1L copy number was detected in 5 of 9 HCC cases (HCC1–HCC5), perfectly consistent with the CHD1L overexpression in HCC1–HCC5 detected by Western blot analysis (Figure 8D). Consistent with the correlation study described above, the expression of ARHGEF9 detected by RT-PCR also correlated closely with CHD1L expression (Figure 8E).

Next, we investigated the association among CHD1L-induced ARHGEF9 upregulation, Cdc42 activation, and the EMT phenotype in HCC cases. As shown in Figure 8F, increased expression of Cdc42-GTP, accompanied by decreased expression of E-cadherin...
and increased expression of vimentin, was detected in 8 of 9 (88.9%) HCC specimens with CHD1L overexpression. Conversely, increased expression of Cdc42-GTP was detected in 1 of 7 (14.3%) HCCs without CHD1L overexpression (Figure 8F). These results strongly support that the molecular pathway of CHD1L-ARHGEF9-Cdc42-EMT may play a critical role in HCC progression.

Overexpression of CHD1L is associated with HCC metastasis and poor prognosis. To investigate the correlation between CHD1L overexpression and HCC metastasis, the expression level of CHD1L was compared between primary HCCs and their paired metastatic tumors by IHC, using a tissue microarray (TMA) containing 50 pairs of primary and metastatic HCCs. Compared with their primary HCC tumors, increased expression of CHD1L was detected in 34 of 50 (68%) metastatic HCC tumors ($P < 0.001$, Wilcoxon signed rank test; Figure 9A and Supplemental Table 4). These data further support the hypothesis that CHD1L plays an important role in HCC metastasis.

To further confirm that CHD1L overexpression correlates with HCC prognosis, expression of CHD1L was examined by IHC in sections from 53 paraffin-blocked HCC specimens, with clinicopathological features including (but not limited to) tumor stage, venous metastasis, formation of microsatellite tumors, and disease-free survival (DFS) time. The results indicated that the overexpression of CHD1L was significantly associated with the presence of venous metastasis and the formation of microsatellite tumors ($P = 0.005$, Pearson $\chi^2$ test), which is considered evidence of intrahepatic tumor metastasis (ref. 29 and Table 1). Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that overexpression of CHD1L was significantly associated with shorter DFS rate (log-rank, 4.776; $P = 0.029$; Figure 9B). The median DFS times in the CHD1L-negative HCC patient subgroup was 11.8 months (95% confidence interval, 4.4–19.2 months; $n = 24$), and the median time in the CHD1L-positive subgroup was 6.0 months (95% confidence interval, 3.1–8.9 months; $n = 29$). Interestingly, increased expression of CHD1L was often observed in tumors cells at the edge of the tumor and in cells invading to surrounding tissue and blood vessels (Figure 9, C–E). IHC staining of CD31, a well-known vascular endothelial marker, was used to confirm the invasion of tumor cells into the blood vessel (Figure 9E, right).

Discussion

The diverse nature of the targets identified in the present study reinforces the hypothesis that CHD1L binds to and regulates diverse classes of genes that affect a wide variety of cellular processes. Genes associated with apoptosis, cell cycle, and signal transduction were relatively enriched in the CHD1L-bound loci, which is consistent with the known function of CHD1L (15, 16).

In this study, we were particularly interested in the role of CHD1L in tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis through the upregulation of ARHGEF9 and the subsequent activation of Rho small GTPases. Rho GTPases function as molecular switches, cycling between inactive and active GDP-bound states. Like all members of the Ras superfamily, the activity of the Rho GTPases is determined by the ratio of their GTP/GDP-bound forms in the cell (30). The ratio of the 2 forms is regulated by the opposing effects of GEFs and GTPase-activating proteins. To date, accumulating evidence has demonstrated that the majority of mammalian GEFs specific for the Rho GTPases can promote cancer cell invasion by enhancing the loading of GTP onto Rho proteins (31–34).

In the present study, we found that CHD1L upregulated the expression of ARHGEF9, a GEF for Cdc42, which in turn activated Cdc42 in HCC cells. Although overexpression of Cdc42 has

Figure 9

Overexpression of CHD1L is associated with HCC metastases and decreased DFS time. (A) Example of CHD1L expression detected in a primary HCC tumor and its metastatic tumor, with markedly stronger CHD1L staining in the latter. (B) Kaplan-Meier DFS survival curve of HCC patients in correlation with CHD1L expression. The DFS rate significantly decreased in the subgroup of HCC patients with CHD1L overexpression (red line; $n = 29$) compared with the CHD1L-negative subgroup (blue line; $n = 24$). (C–E) IHC staining with anti-CHD1L antibody showed that higher CHD1L expression was often observed in tumor cells at the tumor edge (C), invading (arrows) to surrounding tissue (D, left and right) and blood vessels (E, left). The endothelial cells of blood vessels were stained by IHC with an anti-CD31 antibody on the serial section of a clinical sample (E, right). Original magnification, ×200 (A and C–E).
been detected in breast cancer (35) and in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (36), the role of Cdc42 in human carcinogenesis remains unclear. Increased expression of Cdc42 has been also associated with HCC. One study found that the expression of Cdc42 was higher in HBV-associated HCC tumor tissue than in adjacent nontumor liver tissues (37). Another study found that Cdc42 was overexpressed in HCV-associated HCC in comparison to normal liver (38). In this study, we revealed that the upregulation of ARHGEF9 was significantly correlated with CHD1L overexpression in clinical HCC specimens and therefore able to increase the activation of Cdc42. All these findings suggest that ARHGEF9 is a CHD1L target gene and that the molecular circuitry established by CHD1L and ARHGEF9 promotes Cdc42 activation during HCC progression.

During tumor progression, invasion, and metastasis, changes in the activity of Rho GTPase and the concomitant reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton lead to loss of the interaction of adherens junctions and the cytoskeleton (21, 23, 27, 39). Among the 20 genes encoding different members of the Rho family, Rho (RhoA, RhoB, and RhoC), Rac (Rac1, Rac2, and Rac3), and Cdc42 are 3 representative members that have been well defined for their role in modulating of the actin cytoskeleton (40). In fibroblasts and other cell types, RhoA activation induces formation of stress fibers, Rac1 stimulates lamellipodium formation, and Cdc42 induces filopodia (41). Rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton promotes cancer progression by promoting the acquisition of migratory and invasive properties by dissociated cells, thereby allowing them to actively pass through the basement membrane and traverse to distant organs. Therefore, we hypothesized that overexpression of CHD1L may play an important role in HCC invasion and metastasis. To confirm our hypothesis, both in vitro and in vivo assays — including wound healing assays, Matrigel invasion assays, and experimental metastasis assays — were used to study whether CHD1L overexpression promotes cell motility, tumor invasion, and metastasis. Our results demonstrated that CHD1L played a key role in HCC metastasis, and further studies indicated that the overexpression of CHD1L led to increased filopodia formation and cell motility as well as EMT. Moreover, these invasion- and metastasis-associate
d phenotypes could be effectively abolished by silencing ARHGEF9 expression in HCC cells that overexpressed CHD1L. These findings strongly suggest that the metastatic function of CHD1L occurs through the ARHGEF9-mediated activation of Cdc42. It has been reported that Cdc42 contributes to cancer cell invasion via the EMT (42). The EMT is a key event in tumor invasion and metastasis in which epithelial cells lose epithelial adherens and tight junction proteins, lose polarity and cell-cell contacts, and undergo remarkable remodeling of the cytoskeleton to facilitate cell motility and invasion (43, 44). The EMT includes loss of cell-cell adhesion and activation of mesenchymal markers as well as increased motility of tumor cells, which suggests that EMT is a major mechanism of tumor invasion and metastasis. To better elucidate the invasive and metastatic mechanisms of CHD1L, the effect of CHD1L overexpression or deletion on the EMT was investigated. As expected, the epithelial markers E-cadherin, α-catenin, and β-catenin were downregulated, whereas the mesenchymal markers N-cadherin, vimentin, and α-SMA were increased in CHD1L-transfected cells. Similar results were also observed in tumors formed in nude mice induced by CHD1L-expressing cells. Further, silencing CHD1L expression in HCC cells inhibited EMT phenotype, invasive ability, and tumorigenesis in nude mice. Importantly, the EMT phenotype was also associated with overexpression of CHD1L in clinical HCC specimens. In addition, tumor invasion and tumor microsatellite formation were frequently detected in tumors induced by CHD1L-expressing cells. All of these results clearly demonstrated that the EMT induced by CHD1L is an important mechanism underlying HCC development and metastasis. Although curative surgery offers an opportunity for a cure in HCC patients, the postoperative tumor recurrence rate is high because of a high potential for vascular invasion and metastasis, with a cumulative 5-year recurrence rate ranging from 40% to 80% (2, 45). In the present study, the invasive and metastatic effect of CHD1L in clinical specimens was also addressed by IHC in 53 HCC specimens and an HCC TMA containing 50 pairs of primary and metastatic tumors. We found that the overexpression of CHD1L was significantly correlated with the presence of tumor microsatel-

Table 1
Clinicopathological correlation of CHD1L expression in HCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>CHD1L protein expression</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Hepatitis B surface Ag</th>
<th>Tumor size</th>
<th>Cirrhosis</th>
<th>Tumor encapsulation</th>
<th>Microsatellite formation</th>
<th>Venous invasion</th>
<th>Tumor stage</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>≤60 years</td>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values denote n except for serum AFP (mean ± SD). Significant differences are shown in bold. *Partial data not available; statistic based on available data. † Measured by the length of the largest tumor nodule. ‡ Defined by findings on final pathological analysis (microscopic and major). § American Joint Committee on Cancer classification.
lite formation and venous metastasis in HCCs. More interestingly, the higher expression level of CHD1L was often detected in tumor cells invading into the surrounding tissue and blood vessel, which suggests that CHD1L plays an important role in tumor invasion. In addition, increased expression of CHD1L was detected in 66% of the metastatic HCC tumors compared with their paired primary HCCs. Furthermore, we also found that detection of CHD1L expression in tumor tissues could successfully distinguish a set of patients with increased risk of tumor recurrence or poor DFS rate. These findings directly demonstrate the clinical significance of CHD1L overexpression in HCC invasion and metastasis. We describe, for the first time to our knowledge, the invasive and metastatic mechanism involved in HCC progression: the transcriptional regulator CHD1L upregulates ARHGEF9 transcription, which subsequently increases Cdc42 activity, causing filopodia formation, EMT, and finally HCC invasion and metastasis.

Methods

Patients and clinical specimens. Patients who underwent hepatectomy for HCC at the Cancer Center of Sun Yat-sen University were included in the ARHGEF9 and CHD1L correlation analysis (n = 35) and the clinicopathological correlation analysis (n = 53). None of these patients received preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy. All tumor samples were derived from dissected tumor tissues and were composed of more than 90% of tumor cells without necrosis. The surgical specimens (both tumor and adjacent nontumor tissue) were processed immediately after the operation and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen (for protein, DNA, and RNA extraction) and/or embedded in paraffin block (for pathological study). All HCC patients gave written informed consent on the use of clinical specimens for medical research. Studies using human tissue were reviewed and approved by the Committees for Ethical Review of Research involving Human Subjects of Zhongshan University (Guangzhou, China) and University of Hong Kong.

Cell lines and culture conditions. The HCC cell lines QGY-7703 and PLC8024 and an immortalized normal human liver cell line, LO-2, were obtained from the Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing). The cells were maintained in high-glucose DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen). The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified chamber containing 5% CO2.

Plasmid constructs and transfection. The full-length CHD1L cDNA was amplified and cloned into the pCDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Establishment of CHD1L knockdown cells. Based on the CHD1L sequence (NM_004284), 2 shRNAs were designed using siRNA Target Finder (Ambion): shCHD1L-1, 5′-GCAAAAGAAGAGAAG-3′; shCHD1L-2, 5′-CGATTTGGGACATGCCACGAAA-3′. The oligoduplexes were cloned into the pRetroSuper (Origene) or control pRS vector and transected into PLC8024 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). At 24 hours after transfection, transfected cells were selected for 2 weeks with 1 μg/ml puromycin (Origene). Pooled populations of knockdown cells, obtained 2 weeks after drug selection without subcloning, were subjected in both in vivo and in vitro experiments. Negative control cell lines were generated by infecting cells with pRS-shGFP construct targetting GFP cDNA (Origene), a purified and sequence-verified plasmid containing a nonfective 29-mer shGFP cassette.

Antibodies. Mouse anti-CHD1L antibody was purchased from Abcam. Rabbit anti-N-cadherin, β-catenin, and α-catenin antibodies were purchased as part of the Cadherin-Catenin Antibody Sampler Kit (Cell Signalling Technology). Mouse anti-veimentin, -E-cadherin, -α-SMA, -β-actin, and -GAPDH antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Mouse anti-E-cadherin antibody (ready to use for IHC) was purchased from Genway Biotech Inc. Rabbit anti-CD31 antibody was purchased from Boster.

ChIP cloning. ChIP experiments were performed using an EZ-Magna ChIP G kit (Upstate Biotechnology) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. To facilitate cloning of the immunoprecipitated DNA fragments, the purified DNA fragments were linked with an adaptor using primer A [GGTTTCCAGTTGACGGTC(N)] and random priming. The immunoprecipitated DNA fragments with adaptors were then amplified using the adaptor primer B [GGTTTCCAGTTGACGGTC] and PCR. The enriched DNA fragments (about 200–400 bp) were purified with a PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega), and sequenced.

EMSA. Nuclear extracts (NEs) were prepared using the NucBuster Protein Extraction kit (Novagen). The probes were end-labeled with DIG by PCR using DIG-labeled dUTP (Roche) in addition to dNTPs. The probes were then purified by a PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). EMSAs were performed with 10 μg NEs and 50 ng DIG-labeled or unlabeled probes in 1x binding buffer provided with the Bandshift Kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). This binding reaction was separated on a nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nylon membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The resolved complexes were crosslinked using an UV crosslinker oven for 3 minutes. The shifted bands corresponding to the protein-DNA complexes were detected using a DIG Luminescent Detection Kit (Roche) and visualized using BioMax Light film (Kodak).

ChIP-PCR. The identified CHD1L binding sites were confirmed using independent ChIP-PCR assays with immunoprecipitated DNA fragments pulled down by anti-GFP antibodies FL and B-2, or pooled IgG from mouse and rabbit (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as a negative control. The primers used for the amplification of the precipitated DNA fragments are listed in Supplemental Table 5.

qPCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), and reverse transcription was performed using an Advantage RT-for-PCR Kit (Clontech Laboratories) according the manufacturer’s instructions. For qPCR analysis, aliquots of double-stranded cDNA were amplified using a SYBR Green PCR Kit (Applied Biosystems) and an ABI PRISM 7900 Sequence Detector. The cycling parameters were 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 2 minutes for 45 cycles, followed by a melting curve analysis. Ct was measured during the exponential amplification phase, and the amplification plots were analyzed using SDS 1.9.1 software (Applied Biosystems). For the cell lines, the relative expression level (defined as fold change) of target gene is given by 2ΔΔCt (ΔΔCt = ΔCttarget – ΔCtnorm., ΔΔCt = ΔCt CHD1L-expressing or siCHD1L-8024 – ΔCt CHD1L-transfected or Con-8024) and normalized to the fold change detected in the corresponding control cells, which was defined as 1.0. For clinical HCCs and their matched nontumor specimens, the fold change of target gene is given by 2ΔΔCt (ΔΔCt = ΔCtumor – ΔCtnontumor) and normalized to the average fold change in 35 nontumor tissues, which was defined as 1.0. All reactions were performed in duplicate. Primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 6.

RNAi. An siRNA (20 nM) against CHD1L, ARHGEF9, or GAPDH (Ambion) was transfected into cells in 6-well plates using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gene silencing was measured by qPCR and/or Western blot analysis 48 hours after transfection.

FISH. A BAC clone at 1q21 containing the CHD1L gene (RP11-337C18) was selected for interphase FISH study. BAC DNA and probe for chromosome 1 centromere were labeled with Spectrum-green (green signal) and Spectrum-red (red signal), respectively (Vyysis). FISH reaction was performed according to the method described previously (46).
Western blot. Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (15). Signals were quantified by ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij) and defined as the ratio of target protein to β-actin. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

Rho GTPase activation assay. This assay was performed using a Rac1/Cdc42 Activation Kit (Upstate Biotechnology). The PAK1-P21-binding domain agarose beads provided in this kit were used to pull down Cdc42-GTP from whole cell lysates according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cdc42-GTP was then detected by Western blot using the Cdc42 monoclonal antibody provided in the kit.

F-actin and IF staining. After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes, the cells were washed twice with PBS. For F-actin staining, cells were stained with Rhodamine phalloidin (Invitrogen). For IF staining, cells were incubated with the primary antibody (mouse anti–E-cadherin, -vimentin, or -N-cadherin or rabbit anti–β-catenin; 1:100 dilution) overnight at 4°C. After thorough washing, cells were then incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:100 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or Texas Red–conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:100 dilution, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Finally, cells were washed and mounted with Mounting Medium containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Images were captured using a Leica DMRA fluorescence microscope (Rueil-Malmaison).

Wound healing and invasion assays. Cell migration was assessed by measuring the movement of cells into a scraped, acellular area created by a 200-μl pipette tube, and the spread of wound closure was observed after 24 and 36 hours and photographed under a microscope. Invasion assays were performed with 24-well BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chambers (BD) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The number of cells that invaded through the Matrigel was counted in 10 fields under a ×20 objective lens.

Tumor xenograft mouse model. Female athymic nude mice (4–5 weeks old) were housed under standard conditions and cared for according to the institutional guidelines for animal care. All animal experiments were approved by the Committee on the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research (CULATR), University of Hong Kong. For the xenograft tumor growth assay, control cells (Vec-LO2, Vec-7703, and Con-8024) were injected subcutaneously into the left dorsal flank of mice, and CHD1L-expressing or knockdown cells (CHD1L-LO2, CHD1L-7703, and shCHD1L-8024) were injected into the right dorsal flank of the same animal in a laminar flow cabinet. Tumor formation in nude mice was monitored over a 4-week period, and the tumor volume was measured weekly and calculated as 0.5 × l × w² (47). The mice were euthanized on the fifth or sixth week, and the tumors were excised and embedded in paraffin. Sections (5 μm) of tumors were stained with H&E to visualize the tumor structure.

Experimental metastasis assay. Male SCID-Beige mice (5 weeks old) were used, and each experimental group (Vec-7703 and CHD1L-7703) consisted of 6 mice. Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ cells were injected intravenously through the tail vein into each SCID mouse. All mice were euthanized 8 weeks after injection. The presence of tumor nodules was macroscopically determined, and the number of tumor nodules formed on the lung and liver surfaces was counted. The liver and lungs were excised and embedded in paraffin. All animal procedures were performed in full accordance with a CULATR-approved protocol.

TMA construction. A TMA block containing primary and matched metastatic HCCs was constructed as described previously (48). TMA contained 50 pairs of primary HCCs and their matched metastatic tumors from the same patients. These tumor samples were collected from archives of paraffin-embedded tissues obtained between 1997 and 2003 at the Department of Pathology, Sun Yat-sen University. Metastatic tumors included 28 intrahepatic metastases (23 portal vein, 5 cholangiocyte) and 22 extraparenchymal metastases (16 peritoneum, 5 lymph nodes, 1 kidney). Multiple sections (5 μm thick) were cut from the TMA block and mounted on microscope slides.

IHC. The paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were sectioned for IHC. In brief, sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated. The endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% H₂O₂ for 10 minutes. For the antigen retrieval, slides were immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) and boiled for 15 minutes in a microwave oven. Nonspecific binding was blocked by 5% normal goat serum for 10 minutes. The slides were incubated with a 1:50 dilution of monoclonal antibody against CHD1L (Abcam) at 4°C overnight in a moist chamber. The slides were sequentially incubated with biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and then streptavidin-peroxidase conjugate, each for 30 minutes at room temperature. Isotype-matched human IgG was used in each case as a negative control. Finally, the 3, 5-diaminobenzidine (DAB) Substrate Kit (Dako) was used for color development followed by Mayer hematoxylin counterstaining.

Statistics. Unless otherwise indicated, data are presented as mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. The SPSS statistical package for Windows (version 16; SPSS) was used for data analysis. Based on staining intensities, the CHD1L immunoreactivity was scored as negative (0 to 1) and positive (2 to 3) according to a previously reported semiquantitative scoring method (49). The clinicopathological features in CHD1L-positive and -negative patients were compared using Pearson χ² test for categorical variables and independent Student’s t test for continuous data. Kaplan-Meier plots and log-rank tests were used for survival analysis. DFS times were calculated from data of curative surgery to HCC recurrence, death, or the last follow-up data. For TMA analysis, based on IHC scores, CHD1L protein levels in primary HCC tissues and their matched metastatic tissues were compared using Wilcoxon signed rank test. The mRNA level of CHD1L and ARHGEF9 in the HCCs and the matched nontumor tissue was compared using paired Student’s t test. The correlation between mRNA levels of CHD1L and ARHGEF9 was analyzed using Pearson χ² test. The independent Student’s t test was used to compare the invasive ability between any 2 preselected groups. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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