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Abstract

Modified radical mastectomy has been the traditional surgical treatment of early breast cancer but in recent years breast conserving surgery has been used with no significant difference in local recurrence and survival rates. This article describes the principle of breast conservation therapy, reviews the outcome of trials of breast conservation therapy and discusses the criteria for selecting patients with early breast cancer for breast conservation therapy. The results of 64 breast conserving surgery performed in Queen Mary Hospital from 1986-1994 are presented. Ten patients (16%) developed local recurrence and seven of them had salvage mastectomy. The five year survival rate was 85%, comparable to Western series.
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Introduction

The incidence of breast cancer in Hong Kong has increased by 30% since 1981.1 It is the second leading cause of death among women in Hong Kong. Surgery remains the only hope of cure for early operable breast cancer. Operable breast cancer can be defined as a mobile tumour in the breast with or without mobile axillary lymph nodes in the absence of obvious distant metastasis. The main objective of surgery is to eradicate local disease and prevent loco-regional recurrence. Up to early 1980s, surgical treatment of breast cancer almost always involved total removal of the breast, in the form of either radical mastectomy or more popularly modified radical mastectomy. However, mastectomy results in loss of body image and psychological trauma in many patients. Studies have documented high levels of anxiety, depression and sexual dysfunction among those who have had mastectomy, sometimes severe enough to require psychiatric intervention.2-3 This has led to the increasing use of breast conserving surgery in the last decade.

Principle of Conservation Therapy for Breast Cancer

Different techniques and terms have been used for conservative breast surgery. The terms 'lumpectomy', 'tumourectomy', and 'tylectomy' imply removal of the tumour mass with a minimal margin of normal breast tissue around it. Wide local excision or segmental mastectomy implies excision of the tumour with at least 1 cm tumour free margin. Because of the propensity for ductal carcinoma to spread towards the nipple along the ducts, Veronesi et al used a more aggressive approach of excision of a breast quadrant (quadrantectomy) which included excision of the overlying skin and underlying pectoralis major fascia.4 This more extensive resection theoretically reduces local recurrence but at the expense of more cosmetic deformity.
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After local excision of the tumour, radiotherapy should be given to the remaining breast tissue, first to eradicate any residual microscopic disease at the site of primary tumour, and second, to treat other possible foci of invasive or in-situ cancer elsewhere in the remaining breast tissue. This has been shown to reduce the risk of local recurrence after conservative surgery.\(^5\) Two prospective studies with short follow-up suggest that breast irradiation can be safely omitted in patients with small (<2 cm) completely excised unifocal tumours found on mammogram.\(^6,7\) However, until further studies have clarified the need of irradiation in small completely excised tumours, the current recommendation is that all patients should have post-operative radiotherapy after conservative surgery for breast cancer.

About 50% of patients with symptomatic operable breast cancer have axillary lymph node metastasis at the time of presentation but clinical assessment of axillary node involvement is notoriously unreliable with an overall error rate of approximately 30%.\(^8\) The management of the axilla in early breast cancer is controversial.\(^8\) Axillary dissection gives excellent local tumour control but axillary irradiation has been shown to be as effective, with long term recurrence rate of less than 2%.\(^9\) However, axillary irradiation can result in an uncommon but serious complication of radiation-induced brachial plexus neuropathy. Another drawback of axillary irradiation is that it does not give information on prognosis nor identify the group of patients with positive lymph nodes who will benefit most from systemic adjuvant therapy. Axillary lymph node sampling instead of dissection reduces complication rate but is often inaccurate.\(^9,10\) We consider wide local excision, plus axillary dissection and post-operative breast irradiation as the best form of breast conservation therapy.

### Outcome of Breast Conservation Surgery vs Mastectomy

Hayward and his colleagues reported the first randomized trial to compare radical mastectomy and wide local excision plus both breast and nodal irradiation in 1977.\(^12\) In their trial conservative surgery resulted in increased local recurrence and decreased survival compared to radical treatment, but the dose and technique of radiotherapy used to treat the breast and axilla after local excision are now known to be inadequate. Five more recent large randomized prospective trials have shown no significant difference in local recurrence and survival in comparison between breast conservation surgery with mastectomy.\(^13,14,15\) Table 1 shows the results of these randomized trials.

### Table 1: Randomized Trials of Conservative Breast Therapy for Breast Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Treatment arms</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Follow up (yr)</th>
<th>Local relapse (%)</th>
<th>Survival (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hayward et al</td>
<td>RM + RT</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>LE + RT</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veronesi et al</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>QUART</td>
<td>352</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher et al</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>LE + AD</td>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LE + AD + RT</td>
<td>629</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarrazin et al</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>LE + AD + RT</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litcher et al</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>LE + AD + RT</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleichert et al</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>LE + AD + RT</td>
<td>321</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RM = radical mastectomy, MRM = modified radical mastectomy, RT = radiotherapy, LE = local excision, AD = axillary dissection, QUART = quadrantectomy, axillary dissection, and breast irradiation.

(Continued on page 71)
Local Recurrence after Breast Conservation Surgery

The overall recurrence rate after breast conservation surgery is 3-6% although some series reported higher figures (Table 1). Local recurrence may be treated with salvage mastectomy and further conservative surgery is sometimes possible in small localized recurrence. However, ipsilateral breast tumour recurrence is a marker of risk of developing distant metastasis though it is not the cause of the metastasis.16

The identification of factors associated with breast recurrence after conservation surgery is important to help select appropriate patients for conservative surgery. The most important factors that predict increased risk of breast recurrence are:7

- Positive surgical margin
- Extensive DCIS (ductal carcinoma-in-situ)
- Multiple tumours
- High nuclear grade of tumour

The size of tumour, tumour histological type and nodal status are not consistently associated with increased risk of local recurrence.18

Cosmetic Result after Breast Conservation Surgery

An important goal of conservative breast surgery is a good cosmetic outcome after surgery and radiotherapy. The cosmetic result is affected by the extent and technique of local surgery and radiotherapy. Factors related to the operation that adversely affect cosmesis include large resection (especially in a small breast), large radial incision, same incision for breast resection and axillary dissection and re-excision of tumour bed, while factors related to radiotherapy include number of radiation fields and radiation boost dose.19 The best cosmetic result is obtained with a circumferential incision for the lumpectomy and a separate axillary incision for the axillary lymph node dissection, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Cosmetic Result after Wide Local Excision and Axillary Dissection](image)

Patient Selection for Breast Conservation Surgery

The important criteria for patient selection for breast conservation surgery are the feasibility of removing the primary tumour without causing serious cosmetic deformity or unacceptable risk of tumour recurrence. The size of the primary tumour is an important factor and tumours larger than 4cm are considered not suitable for breast conservation but the size of the tumour must be related to the size of the breast in the judgment. Central tumours are relative contraindication as such tumours are often diffuse and difficult to resect without major cosmetic deformity and many women will prefer mastectomy if the nipple has to be excised. Extensive DCIS and multifocal disease on mammogram are highly predictive of local recurrence and conservative surgery is contraindicated. Hence it is important to obtain mammography before consideration of surgical options. Breast conservation surgery is also inappropriate in male patients and pregnant patients. Patient's preference is important as some patients may prefer mastectomy to avoid the need of post-operative breast irradiation while some may feel more secure after mastectomy. Figure 2 summaries the surgical management protocol for patients presenting with operable breast cancer in our centre.
Conservative Surgery for Breast Cancer

Figure 2: Management of Early Breast Cancer
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DCIS = Ductal Carcinoma In-Situ

Local Experience

From 1986-1994 64 female patients underwent wide local excision and axillary dissection followed by breast irradiation in Queen Mary Hospital. The median age at diagnosis was 51 (range 24-81) years and 50% of patients were post-menopausal. The median tumour size was 2.5cm and 20% had axillary lymph node involvement. Ten (16%) patients developed recurrence in the ipsilateral breast and seven underwent salvage mastectomy. Five (8%) eventually died. The 5-year survival was 85%. The result was comparable to Western series.

Conclusion

Breast conservation therapy is an established treatment option for early breast cancer. It produces similar survival rate when compared with radical or modified radical mastectomy, but it has the advantage of improved cosmesis and hopefully a reduction in psychological trauma. The majority of early operable breast cancer patients are suitable candidates and with the earlier detection of breast cancer by screening, more patients may benefit from conservative surgery. There is a group of patients who should have mastectomy instead of conservative surgery, and reconstruction may be offered as an alternative to improve cosmesis.
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