

The Application of Artificial Intelligence in Financial Prediction

Rongxiu NIE ^a, Ziyi LIU ^{a,1}, Wenyue YU ^a, Runqi ZHAN^a and Adela S.M. LAU ^{b, c}

^a *Guangzhou College of Commerce, China*

^b *Data Science Lab, Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, School of Computing and Data Science, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong*

^c *Dr Adela Education Limited*

ORCiD ID: Rongxiu Nie <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5533-3047>

Ziyi Liu <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3655-3116>

Wenyue Yu <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5188-5132>

Runqi Zhan <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9627-1033>

Adela S.M. Lau <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5918-8309>

Abstract. This paper explores the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in financial forecasting and its associated challenges. AI leverages technologies such as natural language processing (NLP) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, and probabilistic models to process complex data, overcoming the limitations of traditional models and improving prediction accuracy (15%-20%). However, AI in financial forecasting still faces issues such as data noise (e.g., 35% signal distortion in social media), cross-market adaptability (e.g., differences between A-shares and U.S. stocks), real-time delays (e.g., 2-second lags), and model transparency. The study also examines AI's specific applications in technical indicators, sentiment analysis, and policy assessment, proposing solutions such as knowledge graph verification, dynamic parameter adjustment, and edge computing. The results showed that hybrid AI models (e.g., Transformer+LSTM-GARCH) achieve up to 91.2% accuracy in policy classification and reduce latency to 500 milliseconds, while sentiment analysis combined with technical indicators enhances high-frequency trading returns by 38.7% annually. However, challenges such as social media noise (35% distortion) and cross-market adaptation gaps persist. To address these, we recommend integrating knowledge graphs for data validation (reducing noise by 20%), adopting dynamic parameter tuning for market-specific adjustments, and employing edge computing to minimize latency. In conclusion, AI demonstrates significant advantages in financial forecasting, with accuracy improvements of 12%-20% over traditional methods. Its successful implementation requires balancing technological innovation (e.g., field-programmable gate array [FPGA] acceleration), cost efficiency (e.g., open-source tools for retail investors), and regulatory compliance (e.g., SHAP/LIME for transparency). Future work should focus on adaptive frameworks for black swan events and cost-effective solutions for broader market adoption.

Keywords. Artificial intelligence; financial forecasting; sentiment analysis; technical indicators

¹ Corresponding Author: Ziyi LIU, Guangzhou College of Commerce, No. 300, Knowledge Avenue, Huangpu District, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China; Email: 2902734260@qq.com
 All authors contributed equally to the research. Dr Adela Education Limited is a HKU startup and spinoff.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming financial forecasting by processing complex data through natural language processing (NLP), long short-term memory (LSTM), and probabilistic models, overcoming the limitations of traditional models. However, challenges persist, including data noise (35% signal distortion in social media), cross-market adaptability (e.g., retail investor dynamics of A-shares), real-time delays (2-second lags), model opacity, and global market differences (e.g., U.S. stocks vs. A-shares) demand adaptive AI frameworks. To better understanding the effectiveness of AI applications in market analysis, this paper examines AI applications in technical indicators, sentiment analysis, and policy assessment, addressing key challenges to refine forecasting models. It can help market analysts to select the appropriate AI methods for market analysis.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the applications of AI in market forecasting. Sections 3 and 4 discuss the research methods and results, respectively. Section 5 discusses the results, and Section 6 concludes this study.

2. Literature Review

2.1. AI Methods in Financial Forecasting

2.1.1 Technical Indicators and AI Enhancement

Traditional technical indicators (e.g., the relative strength index [RSI] and the commodity channel index [CCI]) have been augmented by AI, improving forecasting accuracy. Habbab et al. [1] combined LSTM with XGBoost to enhance real estate investment trust (REIT) predictions, while Hu et al. [2] linked CCI/RSI to returns, albeit with small-sample limitations. Khattak et al. [3] applied convolutional neural network (CNN)-LSTM to cryptocurrency trends, achieving notable improvements. However, reliance on historical data alone introduces volatility gaps, particularly when market sentiment or policy shifts disrupt trends.

2.1.2 Sentiment Analysis and Market Dynamics

NLP has emerged as a critical tool for sentiment analysis, with studies showing that social media sentiment can predict stock fluctuations [4], especially in high-frequency trading [5]. Neural networks integrating sentiment, technical, and fundamental data improve accuracy by 15%-20% [6], with LSTM excelling in capturing nonlinear patterns. However, challenges persist, including lexicon timeliness, data noise (e.g., 35% distortion from bot activity), and real-time processing delays. Of note, negative sentiment impacts cryptocurrency yields 2.3-times more than positive sentiment, exacerbating during market crashes [7].

2.1.3 Policy Impact Assessment and Hybrid Models

AI-driven policy analysis has advanced with transformer-based semantic analyzers (91.2% accuracy) and LSTM-generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity

(GARCH) hybrids, which capture cross-market spillovers (e.g., S&P 500 and CSI 300) [8] and enable high-speed trading (500-millisecond latency, 38.7% annualized returns). Despite these advancements, concerns remain about AI's generalizability across markets. For example, Sood and Kumar [9] highlighted differences between institution-driven (United States) and policy-sensitive (A-shares) markets, while the Quant 4.0 model, effective in Hong Kong's liquid market, struggled with adaptability to A-shares [10].

2.1.4 Cross-Market Challenges and Adaptive Solutions

Financial AI must overcome key challenges including market heterogeneity, data noise (e.g., 35% social media distortion), and real-time processing demands. Effective solutions integrate knowledge graphs for data validation, dynamic parameter tuning (e.g., turnover rate adjustments) for cross-market adaptation, and edge computing with field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) to reduce the latency from 2 seconds to 500 milliseconds. Additionally, explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) tools such as SHAP and LIME enhance model transparency to meet regulatory requirements such as the European Union (EU) AI Act. These approaches collectively improve forecasting accuracy while addressing critical limitations in adaptability, data quality, and compliance, enabling more robust AI-driven financial predictions across diverse market conditions.

2.2. Methodologies in Financial Forecasting

2.2.1 NLP for Sentiment and News Analysis

NLP extracts sentiment from news and social media, boosting prediction accuracy by 15%-20%. However, it faces challenges such as data noise (e.g., 35% bot-generated distortion) [11] and lexicon update lags. High-frequency trading (HFT) systems benefit from real-time NLP but require FPGA acceleration to achieve sub-second latency.

2.2.2 Time Series Models for Trend Forecasting

LSTM networks outperform traditional autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models by 12.6% in emerging markets, capturing cyclical trends effectively. Yet, they struggle with black swan events, where sudden market shocks cause prediction breakdowns.

2.2.3 Probabilistic Models for Risk Assessment

Bayesian networks and other probabilistic models reduce cryptocurrency pricing errors to 12.7%, offering robust risk quantification. However, they rely heavily on historical assumptions, failing in extreme market conditions.

2.2.4 Hybrid Frameworks and Implementation Challenges

Hybrid AI models (e.g., Transformer+LSTM-GARCH) achieve 91.2% policy accuracy but face computational cost disparities. Institutional investors (e.g., hedge funds)

optimize speed-accuracy via GPU clusters, yielding 38.7% annual returns. Retail investors prioritize interpretability (e.g., LIME tools) using cost-effective open-source solutions (<\$1k/month), accepting marginal accuracy trade-offs. This highlights the divide between capital-intensive high-performance systems and accessible transparent alternatives.

2.2.5 Synthesis and Strategic Recommendations

AI financial forecasting employs specialized techniques: NLP (enhanced by noise reduction, e.g., BERT) excels in high-frequency trading, while time series models predict mid/long-term trends but require crisis adaptation. Probabilistic models enable risk assessment yet depend on real-time data. Hybrid frameworks integrating these approaches offer robust solutions by simultaneously addressing short-term movements, long-term trends, and risk exposure. Successful implementation requires balancing accuracy, computational costs, and compliance, particularly for resource-rich institutions.

3. Research Method

We used a comparative analysis approach to compare different AI methods for market forecasting. We considered four types of comparison, including AI method effectiveness, data validity, type of investors, and type of challenge.

Comparative Analysis 1: In the first comparative analysis, we considered the mostly commonly used AI tools for market forecasting: NLP text analysis [12], time series (LSTM), and probabilistic models. We compared their accuracy and effectiveness, advantage and challenge, and best application scenario.

Comparative Analysis 2: Second, we compared the validity of data sources for market forecasting. We compared the marketing forecasting effectiveness of historical data, news data, social media, and financial statements [13], focusing on their predictive value mechanism, empirical case, and risk in prediction.

Comparative Analysis 3: In the third comparative analysis, we considered different types of investors, including hedge fund, wealth managers, and retail investors. We compared their technological choices, core requirements, and cost benefit balance point [14].

Comparative Analysis 4: Finally, we compared the type of challenge—including data quality, cross-market generalization, real-time bottleneck, and regulatory compliance [15]—considering the concrete issue and preamble solutions.

4. Results

The four comparative analyses are summarized in Tables 1-4.

Table 1. Comparison of Financial Forecasting Techniques and Methods

AI techniques used	Accuracy/ effectiveness	Core advantage	Challenge	Best application scenario
NLP text analysis	The decision value in the 12 hour window period after breaking news is significant	Realtime parsing of unstructured data (improved accuracy of 1520% fluctuation recognition)	Data noise, emotion dictionary update lag	High frequency trading, public opinion monitoring
Time series (LSTM)	Forecast medium and long-term trends in market stability	Capture periodic fluctuations (LSTM is better than ARIMA by 12.6%)	The response to emergencies is delayed, and the data fault causes a 30% deviation	Emerging market equity cycle forecast
Probabilistic model	Nonlinear market risk pricing for cryptocurrencies	Quantitative uncertainty (Bayesian network error is 12.7%)	Relying on historical laws, the market changes and fails	Option pricing, risk management

Table 2. Comparison of Validity of Data Sources

Data type	Predictive value mechanism	Empirical case	Risk in prediction
Historical data	RSI overbought/sold signal to guide short-term operations	LSTM+RSI improves the prediction power of emerging markets	The lag is obvious (the error rate of a single index is more than 40%)
News data	Sentiment polarity → stock price moves in 12 hours	Negative news sent shares down instantly	Media bias leads to bias in sentiment analysis
Social media	Collective emotions as the “digital agents” of the market	Positive sentiment on Reddit signals the potential for a rise in stock prices	35% of robot accounts are fake
Financial statements	P/E ratio/earnings indicator to evaluate intrinsic value	Sustainable investment credit risk assessment (renewable energy sector)	Risk of financial statement fraud (such as inflated net profit)

Table 3. User Scenario Adaptation Scheme

Type of investor	Technological choices	Core requirements	Cost-benefit balance point
Hedge fund	Hybrid model + FPGA acceleration	38.7% annualized revenue + millisecond response	\$5 million GPU investment vs. tens of millions of excess revenue
Wealth managers	LSTM + visualization tool (LIME)	Decision interpretability (heat map display)	Sacrifice 10% accuracy (75% to 65%) for customer trust
Retail investors	Technical indicators + simplified ARIMA	Zero code implementation + real-time signal prompt	Free open source tools (TensorFlow)

Table 4. Core Challenges and Innovative Solutions

Type of challenge	Concrete issue	Preamble solutions
Quality of data	Social media leads to 35% signal distortion	Knowledge graph validation layer (associate financial statements/policy data)
Cross-market generalization	The characteristics of retail investors in A shares make the strategy ineffective (the transplantation of Hong Kong stocks to A-shares fails)	Dynamic introduction of turnover rate and leverage ratio parameter optimization
Realtime bottleneck	VADER sentiment analysis is delayed by 2 seconds per message	Edge computing + FPGA chip (500 milliseconds/strip)
Regulatory compliance	The EU requires black box models to be interpretable	LSTM integrates SHAP value analysis module (decision traceability)

5. Discussion on the AI Stock Prediction: Advantages, Practices, and Challenges

5.1. Core Advantages of AI Prediction

Processing Massive Heterogeneous Data: Traditional models missed unstructured data insights. AI-powered NLP now analyzes real-time social media sentiment, detecting market anomalies within hours and improving volatility forecasts by 15%-20%. This bridges quantitative analysis with modern information-rich markets.

Capturing Complex Nonlinear Relationships: Traditional linear models cannot adequately capture complex market dynamics involving policy changes, sentiment shifts, and multifactor interactions. Modern AI solutions overcome these limitations: LSTM networks show 12.6% better accuracy than ARIMA for cyclical trends, Bayesian networks achieve an error of just 12.7% in crypto predictions, while hybrid models such as Transformer+LSTM-GARCH facilitate sophisticated cross-market arbitrage strategies.

Enhancing Speed and Automation: Manual analysis cannot meet HFT speed demands. AI solutions now achieve a 500-millisecond latency via FPGA acceleration, generating automated trading signals (like RSI+sentiment combos) while maintaining transparency through explainable AI tools (LIME/SHAP).

Dynamic Market Adaptation: Traditional models fail during market shocks. AI enhances resilience through real-time learning and adaptive parameters (turnover rate), while threshold regression identifies uneven sentimental effects.

5.2. Key Practices for Effective Predictions

AI stock prediction requires: (1) NLP+FPGA for HFT, LSTM for trends, probabilistic models for risk (GPU for institutions, open-source for retail); (2) multisource data integration (RSI/MA + sentiment + P/E) via knowledge graphs; (3) BERT filters 35% of bot noise with dynamic tuning; and (4) SHAP/LIME ensures EU compliance with ~10% accuracy trade-off.

5.3. Critical Challenges

AI stock prediction faces key challenges: 35% of social media noise causes >30% errors; institutional strategies fail in retail markets (e.g., Hong Kong vs. A-shares); millisecond

responses require costly FPGA/GPUs; black-box models conflict with the EU AI Act; historical data fails in crises; and complex models require \$100k+ budgets and rare finance and AI skills.

5.4. Summary

AI transforms stock forecasting but faces noise, adaptability, and transparency hurdles. Key solutions include: advanced NLP/knowledge graphs for noise reduction (35% social media distortion), self-tuning models, XAI for compliance (the EU AI Act), and edge computing. Success requires balancing technical innovation with cost (~\$100k budgets) and regulatory realities.

6. Conclusion

6.1. Core Advantages of AI Stock Prediction

AI revolutionizes stock prediction by (1) processing multisource data (news/social media and fundamentals) to boost accuracy by 15%-20%; (2) LSTM beats ARIMA by 12.6%, and Bayesian nets reduce crypto errors to 12.7%; (3) FPGA enables 500-millisecond analysis for real-time trading; and (4) dynamic adaptation handles market shifts via turnover ratios and online learning [16].

6.2. Key Practices for Obtaining good prediction results

Optimal results require tailored technology stacks: NLP+FPGA (\leq 500-millisecond delay) for HFT; LSTM+RSI for mid/long-term forecasts; Bayesian networks for risk quantification [17]. Institutions deploy hybrid models (Transformer+LSTM-GARCH), while retail traders use ARIMA+open-source tools. Multisource integration combines knowledge graphs (verifying financial/policy data) with BERT-optimized sentiment analysis, reducing social media noise by 35% [17]. Compliance demands SHAP/LIME explainability (e.g., LSTM heatmaps), meeting EU regulations despite a 10% accuracy trade-off (75% \rightarrow 65%) for client trust [17].

6.3. Core Challenges and Unsolved Problems

AI stock prediction faces major challenges: 35% social media noise, financial data gaps ($>30\%$ errors), and poor cross-market adaptability (e.g., Hong Kong \rightarrow A-shares failures). High costs (\$1M+ hardware, \$50k/month NLP) and regulatory issues (the EU AI Act vs. LSTM opacity) compound problems. Most critically, models fail during black swan events (Fed shocks, crises), limiting reliability [17].

6.4. Conclusion: Dynamic Balance of Technology, Cost, and Regulation

While AI surpasses traditional methods in processing complex data and automation, challenges remain. Key advancements require (1) enhanced noise reduction (knowledge graphs + BERT), (2) adaptive architectures with dynamic parameters, (3) cost-efficient

edge computing for real-time analysis, and (4) XAI balancing accuracy with compliance. Successful implementation must optimize technical capabilities, data quality, domain expertise, costs, and regulations [16,17].

References

- [1] Habbab FZ, Kampouridis M, Papastylianou T. Improving real estate investment trusts (REITs) timeseries prediction accuracy using machine learning and technical analysis indicators. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. 2025 Jan;58(3):70, doi:10.1007/s10462024110371
- [2] Hu X, Pan Y, Li X. Semi-varying coefficient panel data model with technical indicators predicts stock returns in financial market. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*. 2024 Apr;37(4):1638-52, doi:10.1007/s1142402423310
- [3] Khattak, B, Shafi, I, Rashid, CH, Safran, M, Alfarhood, S, Ashraf, I. Profitability trend prediction in crypto financial markets using Fibonacci technical indicator and hybrid CNN model. *Journal of Big Data*. 2024 Jan;11(1):58, doi:10.1186/s40537-024-00908-7
- [4] Carmona J, Delcoure N, Fernandez FH. Social media sentiment, tariffs, and international equity pricing. *International Journal of Electronic Finance*. 2020 Mar;10(1/2):93, doi:10.1504/ijef.2020.110298
- [5] Gupta T, Devji S, Tripathi AK. Investigating the impact of sentiments on stock market using digital proxies: Current trends, challenges, and future directions. *Expert Systems With Applications*. 2025 Jun;285:127864, doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2025.127864
- [6] Ballesteros MAA, Miranda EAM. Stock market forecasting using a neural network through fundamental indicators, technical indicators and market sentiment analysis. *Computational Economics*. 2024 May; 31, doi:10.1007/S10614-024-10711-4.
- [7] Gunay S, Sraieb MM, Muhammed S. Decrypting Metaverse crypto market returns: A nonlinear analysis of investor sentiment. *International Review of Financial Analysis*. 2024 Jan;e103714, doi:10.1016/j.irfa.2024.103714
- [8] Zhu M, Yang S, Gong B. NASDAQ index volatility and risk analysis: the impact of AI technological innovation and macroeconomic linkages. *Journal of Financial Theory and Policy*. 2024 Jun, doi:10.16475/j.cnki.10061029.2024.06.003
- [9] Sood A, Kumar V. Client profitability of diffusion segments across countries for multigenerational innovations: The influence of firm, market, and cross-national differences. *Journal of International Business Studies*. 2018 Dec;49(9):1237-62, doi:10.1057/s4126701801637
- [10] Guo J, Wang S, Ni LM, Shum HY. Quant 4.0: engineering quantitative investment with automated, explainable, and knowledge-driven artificial intelligence. *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*. 2024 Nov;25:1421-45, doi:10.1631/FITEE.2300720
- [11] Juneja P, Floridi L. Using Twitter to detect polling place issue reports on U.S. election days. *Social Science Computer Review*. 2024 Apr, doi:10.1177/08944393241269420
- [12] Investigating the impact of sentiments on stock market using digital proxies: Current trends, challenges, and future directions. *Expert Systems With Applications*. 2025 Jun;285:127864, doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2025.127864
- [13] Theodorou TI, Zamichos A, Skoumperdis M, Kougioumtzidou A, Tsolaki K, Papadopoulos D, Patsios T, Papanikolaou G, Konstantinidis A, Drosou A. An AI-enabled stock prediction platform combining news and social sensing with financial statements. *Future Internet*. 2021 Mar;13(6):138, doi:10.3390/fi13060138
- [14] Onyeke EJ. Automating financial decision-making in renewable energy: leveraging AI and credit risk models for sustainable investment. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*. 2025 Apr;25(4):492-500, doi:10.9734/ajeba/2025/v25i41766
- [15] Zhou B, Wan Z, Xu H. Emerging market AI financial forecasting models: the game mechanism between behavioral biases and algorithmic transparency. *Global Vision Research*. 2025 Feb; 2(1):178-181.
- [16] Liu Z. A comparative research of portfolio return prediction based on the ARIMA and LSTM models. In: Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Financial Market and Enterprises Management Engineering (FMEME 2022); 2022 Aug 13; Qingdao, China. Berlin: Springer; 2022. p. 215-28. doi:10.26914/c.cnkih.2022.077801
- [17] Su YH, Xiang YH, Lai YM, Liu R. Implementing NLP techniques and data for Europe financial market forecasting. *Applied and Computational Engineering*. 2023 Oct; 18(1):194-207, doi:10.54254/2755-2721/18/20230991.