

## A promoter polymorphism defines distinct roles in anther development for Col-0 and Ler-0 alleles of Arabidopsis ACYL-COA BINDING PROTFIN3

Ze-Hua Guo<sup>1</sup> (b), Tai-Hua Hu<sup>1</sup> (b), Mohd Fadhli Hamdan<sup>1</sup> (b), Minghui Li<sup>2</sup> (b), Ruifeng Wang<sup>2</sup> (b), Jie Xu<sup>2,3</sup> (b), Shiu-Cheung Lung<sup>1</sup> , Wanqi Liang<sup>2,4</sup> , Jianxin Shi<sup>2,4</sup> , Dabing Zhang<sup>2,4</sup> and Mee-Len Chye<sup>1</sup>

1School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China; 2Joint International Research Laboratory of Metabolic & Developmental Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China; <sup>3</sup>The Core Facility and Service Center (CFSC), School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China; <sup>4</sup>Yazhou Bay Institute of Deepsea Sci-Tech, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Sanya, 572024, China

Authors for correspondence: Ze-Hua Guo Email: gzh90thu@connect.hku.hk

Mee-Len Chye Email: mlchye@hku.hk

Received: 29 January 2024 Accepted: 28 May 2024

New Phytologist (2024) 243: 1424-1439 doi: 10.1111/nph.19924

Key words: acyl-CoA thioesters, anther locule, EMSA, ERECTA, HP-LC, luciferase assay, promoter variations, sporocyte formation.

### Summary

• Acyl-CoA-Binding Proteins (ACBPs) bind acyl-CoA esters and function in lipid metabolism. Although acbp3-1, the ACBP3 mutant in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0, displays normal floral development, the acbp3-2 mutant from ecotype Ler-0 characterized herein exhibits defective adaxial anther lobes and improper sporocyte formation.

 To understand these differences and identify the role of ERECTA in ACBP3 function, the acbp3 mutants and acbp3-erecta (er) lines were analyzed by microscopy for anther morphology and high-performance liquid chromatography for lipid composition.

 Defects in Landsberg anther development were related to the ERECTA-mediated pathway because the progenies of  $acbp3-2 \times La-0$  and  $acbp3-1 \times er-1$  in Col-0 showed normal anthers, contrasting to that of acbp3-2 in Ler-0. Polymorphism in the regulatory region of ACBP3 enabled its function in anther development in Ler-0 but not Col-0 which harbored an AT-repeat insertion. ACBP3 expression and anther development in acbp3-2 were restored using ACBP3pro (Ler)::ACBP3 not ACBP3pro (Col)::ACBP3. SPOROCYTELESS (SPL), a sporocyte formation regulator activated ACBP3 transcription in Ler-0 but not Col-0.

• For anther development, the ERECTA-related role of ACBP3 is required in Ler-0, but not Col-0. The disrupted promoter regulatory region for SPL binding in Col-0 eliminates the role of ACBP3 in anther development.

### Introduction

Acyl-CoA-binding proteins (ACBPs), present in eukaryotes and some prokaryotes (Burton et al., 2005; Xiao & Chye, 2011a; Du et al., 2016; Lung & Chye, 2016; Ye & Chye, 2016), have versatile functions in plant reproduction (Chen et al., 2010; Zheng et al., 2012; Du et al., 2013a,b; Ye et al., 2016, 2017; Guo et al., 2019a,b, 2022; Fadhli Hamdan et al., 2022) as well as in stress responses and signaling (Chen et al., 2008, 2018; Gao et al., 2009; Du et al., 2010, 2013b; Liao et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2018; Panthapulakkal Narayanan et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2021; Lung et al., 2022). They are a family of proteins conserved at the acyl-CoA-binding domain which binds acyl-CoA thioesters. ACBPs maintain intracellular acyl-CoA pools and transport acyl-CoA thioesters in lipid metabolism (Xiao & Chye, 2011a; Du et al., 2016; Lung & Chye, 2016; Ye & Chye, 2016).

In Arabidopsis thaliana, all six ACBPs are expressed in flowers (Zheng et al., 2012; Du et al., 2013a,b; Ye et al., 2016).

However, only ACBP2, ACBP4 and ACBP5 are expressed in the anthers (Du et al., 2013b; Hsiao et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2017). Although the expression of ACBP2pro (Col)::GUS was detected in pollen grains, the precise role of ACBP2 in anther development remains to be elucidated (Du et al., 2013b). ACBP4, ACBP5 and ACBP6 have been implicated in floral development (Hsiao et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2017) because the accumulation of cuticular waxes and cutin monomers in acbp4, acbp5 and acbp4 acbp5 mutant flower buds was altered in comparison to the Col-0 wild-type (WT) (Ye et al., 2017). Additionally, knockout of ACBP4, ACBP5 and ACBP6 resulted in reduced pollen grain numbers (Hsiao et al., 2015). In flower buds, stearic acid content declined in *acbp4* while linolenic acid increased in *acbp4 acbp5* in comparison to the WT (Ye et al., 2017). Furthermore, upregulation of ACBP5 was observed in acbp4 inflorescence while upregulation of ACBP4 was seen in acbp5 inflorescences (Ye et al., 2017), suggesting a collaborative role for these two kelchmotif-containing ACBPs in lipid metabolism throughout floral

© 2024 The Authors

development. While the functions of ACBP4, ACBP5 and ACBP6 in flowers have been identified, less is known on the function of ACBP3 in plant reproduction despite its reported floral expression (Zheng et al., 2012).

In Col-0 flowers, ACBP3pro (Col)::GUS expression was observed in the stigmata whereas ACBP4pro (Col)::GUS and ACBP5pro (Col)::GUS were expressed in anthers (Zheng et al., 2012). Besides detection in the flowers, ACBP3pro (Col):: GUS was found in the phloem tissues of Arabidopsis rosettes and roots (Zheng et al., 2012). Previous studies on ACBP3 have mainly been focused on the vegetative tissues rather than the reproductive tissues of Col-0 (Xiao et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2018). Subcellular localization experiments in both transient and stable transformants revealed that ACBP3 is localized to both the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi membranes as well as the extracellular matrix (Leung et al., 2006; Xiao et al., 2010). Overexpression of ACBP3 not only conferred protection against Pseudomonas syringae DC 3000 (Xiao & Chye, 2011b) but also induced early leaf senescence (Xiao et al., 2010). Depletion of ACBP3 in acbp3-1 of Col-0 resulted in higher levels of 12:0- and 14:0-fatty acid (FA) content but lower 18:2-FA, 18:3-FA and methyl jasmonate content in the phloem (Hu et al., 2018), suggesting a potential role for ACBP3 in binding fatty-acyl-CoA-thioesters in the phloem. Gaining a deeper understanding of ACBP functions in plant reproduction may provide valuable insights into the molecular processes regulating organ development that ultimately influence fruit and seed formation. Furthermore, as ACBPs are highly-conserved proteins, investigations into the role of plant ACBPs can enhance our understanding on the evolution and conservation of ACBPs across different ecotypes and species. Studies on ACBPs in various ecotypes may provide an important context for understanding genetic variation and adaptation that facilitates phenotypic variability among Arabidopsis ecotypes.

While Col-0 is the most extensively studied Arabidopsis genotype with its genome sequenced (AGI, 2000), other ecotypes have also been utilized (Kowalski et al., 1994; Kunkel, 1996; Crawford & Yanofsky, 2011; Schmalenbach et al., 2014). Among these ecotypes, Landsberg erecta-0 (Ler-0) harbors a loss-of-function mutation in the gene ERECTA, resulting in a compact and firm inflorescence appearance (Rédei, 1962; Torii et al., 1996). The gene functions of the ERECTA family members including ERECTA, ERECTA-LIKE1 (ERL1), and ERL2 have been extensively studied given the impact of the erecta (er) mutation (Pillitteri et al., 2007; Hord et al., 2008; van Zanten et al., 2009). Owing to its convenient handling facilitated by the compact inflorescence phenotype, Ler-0 has become a popular genetic background for mutant analyses and studies on natural variation (van Zanten et al., 2009).

The work presented here demonstrates that acbp3-2 (a knock-out mutant of ACBP3 in Ler-0) but not acbp3-1 (a knockout mutant of ACBP3 in Col-0) exhibits defective development of adaxial anther lobes. The new observations on the acbp3-2 mutant from Ler-0 prompted us to compare it with our past Col-0 acbp3-1 mutant (Xiao et al., 2010) to address the role of ACBP3 in reproduction. The observed phenotypic differences in anther development were not solely attributed to ERECTA, but

© 2024 The Authors

involved regulatory polymorphism in the promoter region of ACBP3 between Ler-0 and Col-0. Under the regulation of SPOR-OCYTELESS (SPL), ACBP3pro (Ler)::ACBP3::GFP, but not ACBP3pro (Col)::ACBP3::GFP, showed high expression in anthers and rescued aberrant adaxial anther lobe formation. Additionally, acyl-CoA composition in both acbp3-2 mutant and spl mutant anthers varied significantly from that of the Ler-0 control. This study identified promoter polymorphism regulating ACBP3 floral expression, the role of ACBP3 in acyl-CoA metabolism and anther development.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant materials and growth conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0, Landsberg-0 (La-0) and Ler-0 were used in this study. Besides, Kn-0, Ws-2, Van-0, Edi-0, Ull2-3, Tottarp-2, Ws-0, St-0, Ct-0, Cvi-0, Oy-0 and Ge-0 were also included for RNA analysis in flower buds. All of the 15 Arabidopsis WTs were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC). Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilized in solution containing 1.25% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100. Seeds were subsequently rinsed in sterilized double distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (ddH<sub>2</sub>O) and placed on to Murashige & Skoog medium (Murashige & Skoog, 1962). Stratification of seeds was carried out at 4°C in the dark for 3 d, followed by germination of seeds at 22°C with 16 h of light. Ten-day-old seedlings were potted in soil and grown at 23°C : 21°C, day : night cycles with 16 h of light.

The er-1 mutant refers to Arabidopsis Col-0 mutant stock CS3378 (Lease et al., 2001; Abraham et al., 2013). The acbp3-2 mutant from Ler-0 was crossed with the er-1 mutant from Col-0 to generate *acbp3-2 er-1* double mutant hybrid plants. The resulting F1 plants were subsequently self-fertilized to produce F2 plants. The T-DNA insertions on ACBP3 genomic sequence were confirmed from both chromosomes of F<sub>2</sub> plants by PCR-genotyped using ML348/A3CdsRev primers, and the verified F<sub>2</sub> plants were sampled for semithin sections under light microscopy. The *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* plants were generated by crossing acbp3-1 and er-1 mutant plants, and the F2 homozygous plants were sampled for semithin sections under light microscopy. The *acbp3-2*  $\times$  La-0 plants was made by crossing the acbp3-2 mutant and La-0 WT plants, and the F2 acbp3-2 homozygous plants with ERECTA-complementation were sampled for semithin sections under light microscopy.

### Characterization of acbp3 mutants

The acbp3-2 transposon mutant (stock no. At\_5.12107, ecotype Ler-0) and acbp3-1 T-DNA mutant (stock no. SALK\_012290, ecotype Col-0) seeds were provided by the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR). The acbp3-1 mutant in Col-0 was identified and characterized by Xiao et al. (2010) using PCR, RT-PCR and western blotting, while acbp3-2 was identified with Southern blot and western blot analyses in this study. Southern blot analysis was conducted to determine copy numbers of insertion in transgenic Arabidopsis acbp3-2 plants following Chye (1998) with

For confocal microscopy analyses, Arabidopsis anthers were separated from the flowers, and images were obtained by confocal laser scanning microscopy using a Leica SP8 system. Fluorescence was excited at 514 nm and collected with a 500-550 nm filter. The resultant images were analyzed with IMAGEJ (Schneider et al., 2012). **RNA** analysis TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was used for extraction of total RNA from 0.1 g of homogenized Arabidopsis bud samples. Subsequently, the total RNA was reverse-transcribed using the Superscript First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer. Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted on a StepOne Plus Real-time PCR system using SYBR Green Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) programmed as follows: 10 min at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 95°C (15 s) and 56°C (1 min). For each reaction, three experimental replicates were performed with gene-specific primers (Table S1), and ACTIN2 was used as a reference gene for normalization. Quantitative values were obtained using the delta delta Ct ( $\Delta\Delta$ Ct) method. Lipid profiling Acyl-CoAs were extracted from anthers following the method described by Haslam & Larson (2021). Briefly, Arabidopsis bud tissue was homogenized and mixed with 200 µl of extraction buffer containing isopropanol/50 mM  $KH_2PO_4/50$  mg ml<sup>-1</sup> (25:25:1, v/v/v) acidified with glacial acetic acid, and 17:0-CoA added as an internal standard. The samples were then derivatized to chloroacetaldehyde derivatives by adding 200 µl 0.5 M chloroacetaldehyde in 0.15 M citric acid buffer (trisodium citrate/citric acid; pH 4.0), and 0.5% (w/v) SDS, followed by heating at 80°C for 30 min. Acyl-CoAs were separated using a 25-cm × 4.6-mm phenyl-hexyl Luna column (5-µm particle size; Phenomenex,

with DAD/FLD (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Fatty acid analysis was conducted following Carvalho & Malcata (2005) with modifications as stated below. Arabidopsis flower buds were homogenized, and the powder dissolved in a transmethylation solution containing 1 ml of toluene, 2 ml of 1% (v/v) sulphuric acid in methanol together with 5 µl of an internal standard (C19:0 (1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> hexane)). The transmethylation solution of total FAs was injected into an Agilent 6890N equipped with a 5973 Mass Selective Detector (MSD) and a 30 m  $\times$  0.250 mm DB-WAX column (0.25 µm in film thickness) for data collection and analysis following Guo et al. (2019a).

Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK) and detected by fluorescence ( $\lambda_{ex}$ 

230 nm/ $\lambda_{em}$  420 nm) using an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system

### Generation of ACBP3pro (Ler)::GUS transgenic plants in Ler-0

ACBP3pro (Col)::GUS plants were first reported by Zheng et al. (2012). For the production of ACBP3pro (Ler)::GUS plants, a 1.7-kb fragment of the ACBP3 5 '-flanking sequence was first amplified from Arabidopsis Ler-0 DNA with primers

modifications as stated below. EcoRI-digested plant genomic DNA was separated and blotted to a Hybond-N membrane (Amersham, Slough, UK) according to the manufacturer. A DNA probe corresponding to the gene encoding neomycin phosphotransferase II (NPT II) in the Ds transposon insertion was labeled with DIG DNA Labeling Kit (Roche). The membrane was hybridized with DIG-labeled probes and developed using CDP-Star, ready-to-use (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Western blot analysis using anti-ACBP3 antibodies was carried out as according to the procedures used in the characterization of acbp3-1 from Col-0 as described in Xiao et al. (2010).

The CRISPR-Cas9 construct for ACBP3 gene knockout (At4g24230) was designed and the ACBP3-CRISPR line (acbp3-3) was generated in Ler-0 by Edgene Biotechnology Co. Ltd, located in Wuhan, China. Seeds obtained from hygromycin (Hyg)resistant transformants were further cultivated on half-strength MS plates supplemented with Hyg. Subsequently, T<sub>2</sub> homozygous plants were identified and verified through DNA sequencing.

For the generation of the ACBP3 complemented lines, ACBP3 genomic DNA from Ler-0 (COM-L) and Col-0 (COM-C) were used. The 3.1-kb ACBP3pro::ACBP3 fragments were PCRamplified with primers ML3546/ML3547 (Supporting Information Table S1) using genomic DNA from Ler-0 and Col-0, respectively. The amplicons were subsequently cloned into the BamHI site on a transformation vector pCAMBIA1301-GFP (CAMBIA) with a Hyg-selectable marker (Liu et al., 2020). The resultant constructs, pAT1088 harboring ACBP3pro (Ler):: ACBP3::GFP and pAT1089 harboring ACBP3pro (Col):: ACBP3::GFP, were respectively mobilized into Agrobacterium tumefaciens and introduced into the acbp3-2 mutant by floral dip (Clough & Bent, 1998). The T<sub>0</sub> generation was selected using Hyg (50 mg  $l^{-1}$ ) and the putative positive T<sub>1</sub> transformant was confirmed by PCR analysis using primers eGFP-pREUR-F and NOS-R (Table S1).

## Microscopy analyses

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed according to Hord et al. (2008) with modifications at the fixation process. Flower buds and inflorescences were fixed with Formalin-Aceto-Alcohol (FAA) solution for 18 h at room temperature, followed by graded EtOH dehydration steps (50%, 70%, 90% and 100%) and a critical-point-drying (Bray et al., 1993). Samples were then coated with a thin layer (100-200 Å) of metallic film, mounted on an adhesive stub, and observed under a scanning electron microscope LEO 1530 FEG (Zeiss).

For histochemical analyses, flower buds and inflorescences were fixed and dehydrated similarly as for SEM but were embedded in Technovit 7100 resin (Kulzer, South Bend, IN, USA) according to Yeung & Chan (2015). Three-micrometer cross-sections were generated using a Leica RM2135 microtome (Leica Biosystems, Nußloch, Germany), before staining with 0.5% (w/v) Toluidine Blue O followed by observation under fluorescence microscopy (Nikon 80i fluorescence microscope equipped with a Nikon DS-RI2 camera from Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). Floral developmental stages were based on Sanders et al. (1999).

BSA

ML809/ML810 (Table S1) and subsequently cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) to generate plasmid pAT1045. To construct the *ACBP3pro (Ler)*::*GUS* fusion, the 1.7-kb fragment of *ACBP3pro (Ler)* was then excised from plasmid pAT1045 using *Bam*HI and *Sma*I and cloned into similar sites of the *GUS*-containing vector pBI101.3 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) to generate the plant transformation vector pAT1046. The pAT1046-tranformed derivative of *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (Zheng *et al.*, 2012) was then used to transform wild-type *A. thaliana Ler*-0 by the floral dip method (Clough & Bent, 1998). T<sub>0</sub> seeds were screened on MS medium containing kanamycin (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>). Kanamycin-resistant T<sub>1</sub> transformants were subsequently verified by PCR using primer pair ML2916 and ML2917 (Table S1). PCR-verified T<sub>3</sub> transgenic plant lines were collected for GUS assays.

### GUS assays

Histochemical GUS assays were carried out according to Jefferson *et al.* (1987). The flowers from various developmental stages as defined in Sanders *et al.* (1999) were submerged in a GUS substrate solution (100 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0, 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-glucuronide, 2 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.1% (v/v) triton X-100) and vacuum-infiltrated for 1 h, followed by 3-h incubation at 37°C. Subsequently, samples were cleared with 0.1% chloral hydrate (Sigma-Aldrich Inc.) and photographed with an Olympus SZX16 stereomicroscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan). Images were then analyzed using a NIS-ELEMENTS VIEWER (Nikon) and IMAGEJ software (Schneider *et al.*, 2012).

### RNA in situ hybridization

Arabidopsis Ler-0 flower buds was fixed in FAA solution, comprised of 10% formaldehyde, 50% ethanol (EtOH) and 5% acetic acid in water, for 24 h at 4°C, followed by dehydration with graded EtOH solutions (70%, 85%, 95% and absolute EtOH) and embedment in paraffin according to Li et al. (2006). For generation of the antisense probe, the template for the antisense probe was amplified with primer pair A3ISH1T7-F/A3ISH1-R (Table S1), while A3ISH1T7-R/A3ISH1-F (Table S1) was utilized for the sense control using Arabidopsis Ler-0 flower buds cDNA as template in PCR. Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probes transcribed with the T7 promoter using the DIG RNA labelling kit (Roche), were employed in hybridization on 6-µm sections excised using a Leica microtome (RM2235) placed on microscopic slides. Dewaxing of sections, probe hybridization and immunological detection of DIG were performed as described in Kouchi & Hata (1993) with modifications as stated. Images were obtained using a Nikon microscope (Eclipse 80i).

### Phylogenic analysis

ACBP3 5 '-flanking sequences of representative Arabidopsis ecotypes including Ler-0, Kn-0, Col-0, Ws-2, Van-0, Edi-0, Ull2-3, Tottarp-2, Ws-0, St-0, Ct-0, Cvi-0, Oy-0 and Ge-0 were acquired from https://1001genomes.org/. An evolutionary study was carried out on the -1343/-1193 region at the *ACBP3* 5 '-flanking sequences, and the sequences were aligned with the MUS-CLE alignment program (Edgar, 2004a,b) at https://www.ebi.ac. uk/Tools/msa/muscle/ using the default parameter values. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using maximum likelihood methods with W-IQ-TREE 1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos *et al.*, 2016), and the model K3Pu+F+I was selected for the optimal tree by the Bayesian information criterion (Schwarz, 1978). The supporting value for each clade was estimated from 1000 bootstrap (Felsenstein, 1985) and 1000 SH-aLRT replicates (Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006). The tree was visualized using FIGTREE 1.4.4.

### Electrophoretic mobility-shift assays (EMSAs)

The full-length cDNA of AtSPL was cloned into vector pGADT7 (Clontech, TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) to generate plasmid pAT1087 for in vitro transcription/translation to produce a HA-tagged recombinant protein using the TNT T7/SP6 Coupled Wheat Germ Extract System (Promega). Fluorescein amidite (FAM)labeled probes were generated by annealing two complementary primers containing FAM at the 5'-end. The binding reaction mixture contained 25 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> BSA, 2 mM MgAc, 20 nM FAM-labeled DNA, and 3 µl of *in vitro* synthesized protein. The binding reaction was performed for 30 min at 25°C before loading on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel. Competition was tested using 10-fold excess of nonlabeled probes. FAM-labeled probes were visualized using the FAM channel of a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad). The primers are listed in Table S1; ML3540/ML3541, ML3542/ML3543 and ML3544/ML3545 were used to generate Probes 1, 2 and 3 of Ler-0, respectively and ML3548/ML3549, ML3550/ML3551 and ML3552/ML3553 for Probes 1, 2 and 3 of Col-0, respectively.

## Luciferase assays

Luciferase (LUC) *trans*-activation assays were performed in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves, following Tao *et al.* (2018). Plasmid pREUR-EF (Liu *et al.*, 2020) was used as the effector vector. Plasmid pAT1078 was generated by introducing the cDNA sequence of *SPL* into pREUR-EF. Primers ML3524 and ML3525 are listed in Table S1. In the pREUR-EF derivative, the *SPL* cDNA is driven by an enhanced *35S* promoter, while the empty vector pREUR-EF was used as a negative control. Plasmid p0801 was modified from pGreenII 0800-LUC (Hellens *et al.*, 2005) to act as the reporter vector. The reporter plasmids harboring *ACBP3pro::LUC* were constructed by introducing the 1.7-kp *ACBP3* 5 '-flanking sequence from each of Col-0 and L*er*-0 to plasmid p0801 to drive the cDNA of firefly luciferase (FLUC). Primers ML3487/ML3488 (Table S1) were used to amplify the *ACBP3* 5 '-flanking sequence from Col-0 and L*er*-0.

Effectors and reporters were introduced with various combinations into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101, and then agroinfiltrated into 28-d old *N. benthamiana* leaves following Li *et al.* (2014). After 36-h incubation in the dark, the leaves were detached from the plants and swabbed with VivoGlo<sup>TM</sup> Luciferin, *In Vivo* Grade (Promega), and pictures were captured by a cooling CCD imaging apparatus (Tanon 5200; Tianneng Life Science, Shanghai, China). Meanwhile, round-disk samples from *N. benthamiana* leaves were homogenized in liquid nitrogen. FLUC and *Renilla* luciferase (RLUC) activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase<sup>®</sup> Reporter (DLR<sup>TM</sup>) Assay System (Promega). The FLUC : RLUC ratio was measured in a GloMax 20/20 luminometer (Promega). Four biological replicates were used for each experiment.

#### Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the TAIR (Arabidopsis) databases under accession nos.: *ACBP2* (AT4G27780), *ACBP3* (At4g24230), *SPL* (At4g27330), *EMS1* (At5g07280), *TPD1* (At4g24972), *AMS* (At2g16910), *MYB33* (At5g06100).

### Results

## Knockout of ACBP3 impairs anther development in Arabidopsis Landsberg *erecta*-0

To examine the role of ACBP3 in Ler-0 anther development, Arabidopsis *acbp3* mutants were analyzed. Light microscopy analysis of semithin cross-sections from anthers of an *acbp3* Ds insertional mutant (Stock no. AT\_5.12107) in Ler-0, referred to as acbp3-2 thereafter, revealed the presence of only two abaxial lobes and the absence of two adaxial lobes in these anthers (Fig. 1). This defect was not observed in the acbp3-2 anthers until stage 4 of anther development (Fig. 1c). In comparison to the four-lobed anthers from Ler-0 (Fig. 1d), the staged-4 acbp3-2 anther possessed only two normal lobes (Fig. 1e). The development of its adaxial lobes failed at stage 3, leading to a lack of normal sporocyte formation (Fig. 1e). Additionally, the 'notch' representing the stomium region became visible only from stage 5 ('Str' in Fig. 1g). Cell differentiation in its adaxial lobes was disrupted from stage 3 as there were merely two layers of cells representing the epidermis and endothecium in the adaxial lobes of acbp3-2 at stage 4 (Fig. 1e) in comparison to multiple layers in Ler-0 (Fig. 1f).

To verify the roles of ACBP3 in anther development as observed in Ler-0, an additional acbp3-CRISPR line (referred to as acbp3-3 thereafter) was generated in Ler-0 and characterized genetically together with *acbp3-2*, followed by phenotypic analysis (Fig. 2). The insertion in the acbp3-2 mutant was mapped to the first exon of ACBP3, and DNA sequence analysis showed the occurrence of a 94-bp deletion within the first exon of ACBP3 in the *acbp3-3* (Figs 2a, S1A). Southern blot analysis using a probe for the Ds insertion confirmed the presence of a single insertion in the acbp3-2 genome (Fig. S1B). Western blot analysis on rosettes using anti-ACBP3 antibodies (Xiao et al., 2010) verified knockout of ACBP3 expression in acbp3-2 as well as acbp3-3 (Fig. S1C). Light microscopy on semithin cross-sections of acbp3-2 and acbp3-3 revealed that anthers at stages 7-9 from these two acbp3 mutant lines lack the two adaxial lobes in comparison to Ler-0 (Fig. 2c,d). In contrast, examination of the previously identified acbp3-1 mutant in Col-0 (Xiao et al., 2010)

did not reveal any defect in anther lobes (Fig. S2). To investigate whether ERECTA contributed to the distinct phenotypes observed between acbp3 mutants in Ler-0 and Col-0, crosses were performed using the acbp3-2 mutant, the er mutant in Col-0 (er-1) and Arabidopsis La-0 WT. Anthers from the acbp3-2 er-1 double mutant hybrid plants were normal in morphology for all four lobes (Fig. 2e), similar to  $acbp3-1 \times er-1$  double mutant plants (Fig. 2f) and *acbp3-2* × La-0 plants (Fig. 2g), indicating that ERECTA modulates ACBP3 function differently in Landsberg and Columbia. In contrast to the anther phenotype of acbp3-2, the inflorescence morphology and vegetative growth of acbp3-2 plants did not differ from Ler-0 (Fig. S3). Similarly, the inflorescence morphology and vegetative growth of acbp3-1 plants resembled those of Col-0 (Fig. S3). Taken together, the mutation of ACBP3 in Ler-0 (acbp3-2) but not Col-0 (acbp3-1) adversely impacts anther adaxial lobe development.

#### Null mutation in ACBP3 affects lipid metabolism in flowers

Given the observed phenotypic change in the *acbp3-2* anthers, the lipid content in flower buds of acbp3-2 and Ler-0, and the acyl-CoA thioesters in anthers of various mutants (including acbp3-1, acbp3-2, acbp3-3, acbp3-1  $\times$  er-1, acbp3-2  $\times$  La-0, er-1 and spl) and Ler-0/Col-0 were determined. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) results revealed that the flower bud FA composition of *acbp3-2* differs significantly from Ler-0 (Fig. S4). Specifically, C16:3-, C18:2- and C18:3-FAs were remarkably lower in acbp3-2 while C16:0-, C16:1-, C18:0-, and C18:1-FAs were significantly higher than Ler-0 (Fig. S4). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) data demonstrated that in comparison to the Col-0 control, the 10:0- and 18:3-CoA content in anthers of both *acbp3-1* and *er-1* mutants as well as the *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* plants declined (Fig. 3a), while 16:0-, 18:1- and 18:2-CoA composition in *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* plants rose (Fig. 3a). In contrast, HPLC results showed that in Ler-0, 10:0-, 18:2- and 18:3-CoA content in anthers of *acbp3-2* and *acbp3-3* were lower than the Ler-0 control, while levels of all acyl-CoA thioesters determined in anthers of the ACBP3-complemented line COM-L did not differ from the control (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, an spl mutant in Ler-0 exhibited defective anther lobes (Yang et al., 1999) similar to the deformed lobes of the acbp3-2 mutants (Fig. 1). Levels of 10:0- and 18:3-CoA in anthers of spl were lower than Ler-0 (Fig. 3b), and 10:0- and 18:2-CoA content in anthers of  $acbp3-2 \times$  La-0 plants also declined (Fig. 3b). Overall, the *acbp3* mutation *acbp3-1* decreased 10:0- and 18:3-CoA content in Col-0, while the acbp3 mutations, acbp3-2 and acbp3-3, reduced 10:0-, 18:2- and 18:3-CoA content in Ler-0.

## Genetic polymorphism at the ACBP3 promoter affects its expression in developing anthers of Arabidopsis Ler-0

Given that ACBP3 has not been reported to influence anther development in Col-0 (Fadhli Hamdan *et al.*, 2022), a comparison was made between the expression of *ACBP3* in floral tissue from L*er*-0 and Col-0. Histochemical  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (GUS) assays conducted on *ACBP3pro (Ler)::GUS* transgenic lines in Arabidopsis L*er*-0 showed signals in the developing anthers at stages 4–9 and in the



Fig. 1 Semithin-section analysis reveals abnormal lobe development in *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Ler-0 *acbp3-2* anthers. Developing anthers in *acbp3-2* (a, c, e, g, i, k) and Ler-0 (b, d, f, h, j, l) are presented at stages 1–2 in (a, b), stage 3 in (c, d), stage 4 in (e, f), stage 5 in (g, h), stage 6 in (i, j), and stages 7–9 in (k, l), respectively. Red arrowheads indicate the positions in the *acbp3-2* anther that lacks the bottom two lobes (c) in comparison to similar positions in Ler-0 at stage 3 (d). The abnormal adaxial lobes in (g, i, k) failed to form sporocytes. E, epidermis; En, endothecium; MC, meiotic cell; MSp, microspores; Str, stomium region; T, tapetum; V, vascular region. Bars: (a, b) 20  $\mu$ m; (other panels) 50  $\mu$ m. Representative pictures shown after three biological repeats.

stigma at stage 13 (Fig. 4). In contrast, analysis on *ACBP3pro* (*Col*)::*GUS* expression in Col-0 revealed strong GUS signals in the stigma and transmitting tract after stage 11, but not the anthers (Fig. S5), which is consistent with microarray data from TAIR and previous GUS staining results on fully-open Col-0 flowers (Zheng

*et al.*, 2012). The differential expression of *ACBP3* prompted a comparison of their 5'-flanking sequences in Col-0 and Ler-0. Alignment of the *ACBP3* 5'-flanking region sequence (data from https://1001genomes.org/) demonstrated major variations occurred at *c.* -1200 bp, 5' of the transcription start site (+1), frequently



Fig. 2 Knockout of ACBP3 in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0 impairs anther development. (a) Schematic representation of ACBP3 (AT4G24230) in ecotype Ler-0. Black boxes represent exons (numbered in Roman numerals), and lines between them indicate introns. T-DNA insertion location in acbp3-1 (SALK\_012290) acbp3-2 (At\_5\_12107) is marked by a triangle, and the acbp3-CRISPR (acbp3-3) deletion region by a crossed triangle. Semithin-sections of anthers staged 7-9 from Ler-0 (b), acbp3-2 (c), acbp3-3 (d), the acbp3- $2 \times er$ -1 (Col) hybrid plant (e), the *acbp3*- $1 \times er-1$  (f) in Col-0 plant and the acbp3- $2 \times \text{La-0 plants}$  (g). MSp, microspores; Str, stomium region; T, tapetum. Bars: (b-g) 50 μm. Pictures were taken from three biological repeats, and representative pictures are presented.

between -1343 and -1193 bp (data not shown). Further examination of *ACBP3* expression across 14 Arabidopsis ecotypes including Ler-0, Kn-0, Col-0, Ws-2, Van-0, Edi-0, Ull2-3, Tottarp-2, Ws-0, St-0, Ct-0, Cvi-0, Oy-0 and Ge-0 revealed significantly higher levels of *ACBP3* expression specifically in Ler-0 (Fig. S6).

To explore the mechanistic aspect of the observed higher expression of ACBP3 in Ler-0, an evolutionary tree on this region across those 14 Arabidopsis ecotypes was constructed to display the distances amongst various ecotypes. Ler-0 and Col-0 showed relatively distant ACBP3 5 '-flanking sequences (Fig. 5a). Further alignment of genomic DNA sequences from Col-0 and Ler-0 identified promoter polymorphism, including 14 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and one indel, located between -1343 and -1193 bp (Fig. 5b). In contrast to conservation within the coding regions, a total of 19 SNPs and three indels in the full-length 5 '-flanking region were identified (Fig. 5c). To investigate the impact of variation in the 5 '-flanking sequence on ACBP3 expression, COM-C plants harboring ACBP3pro (Col):: ACBP3::GFP and COM-L plants harboring ACBP3pro (Ler):: ACBP3::GFP were used to complement acbp3-2. Stronger GFP signals were observed in anthers from COM-L transgenic Arabidopsis than COM-C (Fig. 5d). While anthers in COM-L flowers appear normal, those from COM-C were deformed (Fig. 5e). Further statistical analysis on anther lobes from Ler-0, COM-L, COM-C and acbp3-2 indicated that COM-C anthers possess more lobes than acbp3-2 but fewer than Ler-0 (Fig. 5f), suggesting partial recovery of retarded anther development in COM-C transgenic plants.

# The 5'-flanking region of ACBP3 in Ler-0 and Col-0 react differently to SPL in EMSA and luciferase (LUC) assays

The 5'-flanking sequences of ACBP3 in Ler-0 and Col-0 were further analyzed in silico to investigate their differential effects on the ACBP3 interactome (Fig. 6a). Within the high-variant region (-1343/-1193), three putative *cis*-elements including an AT~TATA Box, a 'Nameless' element and a CAAT Box were PlantCARE predicted by (bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/ webtools/plantcare/html). Noticeably, multiple TA-repeats presented adjacent to the putative AT~TATA Box in ACBP3 5'--flanking sequences from Col-0 but not Ler-0 (Fig. 6a). To identify the transcription factors (TFs) that bind these cis-elements, a literature search was conducted. TF SPL is known to regulate gene expression in early-staged anthers (Zheng et al., 2021) and its mutation spl impair anther development (Yang et al., 1999). However, its binding to specific cis-element (s) has not been reported. Therefore, EMSA experiments using recombinant SPL protein were performed to investigate the potential interaction of SPL with the 5'-flanking regions of ACBP3 in Ler-0 and Col-0. The results showed that SPL can specifically bind to Probe 1 from Ler-0 but not Col-0 (Fig. 6b). In contrast, binding was not observed using Probes 2 and 3 from either Ler-0 or Col-0 (Fig. 6b). These observations indicate that SPL binds specifically to the AT~TATA Box on the 5 '-flanking region of ACBP3 from Ler-0. The binding of SPL to the 5'flanking region of ACBP3 from Ler-0 was further verified using LUC assays (Fig. 6c-e). It was demonstrated that SPL can



Fig. 3 Acyl-CoA profiles in anthers of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0. (a) High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis on acyl-CoA thioesters in anthers from Col-0, acbp3-1 and er-1 mutants. Quantitative analyses were conducted on acyl-CoA thioesters (C10:0, C16:0, C18:1, C18:2 and C18:3-CoAs) from Arabidopsis anthers of Col-0, *acbp3-1. er-1* mutants and *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* plants. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE of measurements made on at least three independent batches of samples. The Student's t-test was performed to compare acbp3-1, er-1 and *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* plants against the Col-0 control. Asterisks represent statistical differences in comparison to Col-0. \*, P < 0.05. (b) HPLC analysis on acyl-CoA thioesters in anthers from Ler-0, COM-L, acbp3-2, acbp3-3, spl mutants and acbp3-2  $\times$  La-0 plants. Quantitative analyses were conducted on acyl-CoA thioesters (C10:0, C16:0, C18:1, C18:2 and C18:3-CoAs) from Arabidopsis anthers of the aforementioned lines. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE of measurements made on three independent batches of samples. The Student's t-test was performed to compare the COM-L complement line, acbp3-2, acbp3-3, spl mutants and  $acbp3-2 \times La-0$  plants against the Ler-0 control. Asterisks represent statistical differences in comparison to Ler-0: \*, P < 0.05.

activate the *ACBP3* promoter of Ler-0 but not Col-0 (Fig. 6d,e). Additionally, real-time qRT-PCR analysis revealed that the expression of another four TFs involved in anther development were affected in *acbp3-2* (Fig. S7), suggesting that knockout of *ACBP3* in Ler-0 impacted the regulatory networks controlling anther development. Furthermore, downregulation of *ACBP3*  expression was observed in *spl* mutant flower buds (Fig. 6f), while overexpression of *SPL* restored normal levels of *ACBP3* expression in the SPL-overexpressing line *spl*-D in Col-0 (Li *et al.*, 2008).

#### Discussion

## Polymorphism in the promoter of *ACBP3* in *Ler-*0 genome distinguish its function from Col-0

In this study, the 5 '-flanking sequences of *ACBP3* in Ler-0 were found to differ from Col-0 by the presence of promoter polymorphism which regulated *ACBP3* expression and anther development (Figs 4, 5, S5). Higher expression of *ACBP3* in flower buds of Ler-0 than Col-0 (Figs 4, S5) and greater *ACBP3* expression in those of Ler-0 over other tested Arabidopsis ecotypes (Fig. S6) support the biological role of ACBP3 in Ler-0 floral development. While the *ACBP3* cDNA sequences remain identical in Col-0 and Ler-0, evidence of retarded sporocyte formation fully rescued in COM-L, but only partially in COM-C (Fig. 5), and of stronger GFP signals in COM-L than COM-C (Fig. 5d), suggests that proper *ACBP3* expression is crucial for anther development in Ler-0 and abnormal expression adversely affects anther development (Figs 1, 2, 5).

Differences between the Col-0 and Ler-0 genomes have been reported and include a 1.2-Mb large inversion on the short arm of chromosome 4 as well as differences between the 5S rDNA clusters (Fransz et al., 1998, 2000). In addition to the more than a hundred single-copy genes encountered only in the Ler-0 genome, hundreds of copy-number polymorphisms, novel genes and single-copy orthologs occur in either Ler-0 or Col-0 (Zapata et al., 2016). Thus, it is not surprising that gene functions in Ler-0 and Col-0 vary, because polymorphisms are known to cause phenotypic variations (Alonso-Blanco et al., 2005). Non-coding polymorphism in the FLOWERING LOCUS C promoter was reported to influence gene expression enabling adaptation to cold winter temperatures in Arabidopsis plants (Zhu et al., 2023).

In Arabidopsis Landsberg, Col-0 and Ws-0, knockout of ERECTA affected the size of aboveground organs (van Zanten et al., 2009). Similarly, ERECTA-like (ERL) proteins can act in ERECTA signaling during cell differentiation (Shpak et al., 2003). Although single mutations in the ERECTA family genes have not been reported to impact anther development (Torii et al., 1996), an er-105 erl1-2 erl2-1 triple mutant displayed retarded anthers with missing lobes (Hord et al., 2008), as well as abnormal cell differentiation in the ovule (Pillitteri et al., 2007). The involvement of ERECTA in anther development, specifically its influence on the number of anther lobes, suggests a potential relationship between ACBP3 function in Ler-0 and the ERECTA signaling pathway. This hypothesis is strongly supported by observations of the rescued anther phenotype in acbp3-2 × La-0 (Fig. 2g). However, the acbp3-2 er-1 hybrid plant  $(acbp3-2 \times er-1)$  in Fig. 2(e) and acbp3- $1 \times er-1$  (Fig. 2f) did not exhibit the same phenotype as acbp3-2 (Figs 1, 2c). This suggests that the distinct function of 1432 Research



Fig. 4 Expression analysis of ACBP3 in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0. (a) Histochemical GUS staining was carried out on ACBP3pro (Ler)::GUS flowers containing staged 4-13 anthers, showing the expression of ACBP3pro (Ler)::GUS in anthers and stigmata of transgenic Arabidopsis Ler-0 flowers. Flowers containing anthers from stage < 4, stages 5-6, stages 7-9, stages 10-11, stage 12 and stage > 13 are presented. Red arrows indicate GUS signals seen in anthers until stage 8 and in stigma at stage 12. (b, c) RNA in situ assay of ACBP3 expression in Ler-0 anthers at stages 4-5 and stages 7–9, respectively. The sense probe was used as a negative control on sections at the corresponding stage shown on the left, with antisense probe hybridization on the right. Bars: (a) 200 µm; (b, c) 20 µm. MMC, micro mother cells; MSp, microspores; T, tapetum.

**Fig. 5** Variation in the *ACBP3* 5'-flanking sequence between *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0. (a) Representative Arabidopsis ecotypes from around the world for which *ACBP3* 5'-flanking sequences (data from https://1001genomes.org/) are compared. An evolutionary study was carried out on the -1343/-1193 region at the *ACBP3* 5'-flanking sequences aligned with the MuscLe alignment program (Edgar, 2004a,b). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using maximum likelihood methods with W-IQ-TREE 1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos *et al.*, 2016). The SH-aLRT/bootstrap supporting value is displayed at each clade. The tree was visualized using FiGTREE 1.4.4. (b) Alignment of *ACBP3* 5'-flanking sequences from Ler-0 and Col-0. The numbering on the left is marked with respect to the transcription start site on *ACBP3* from each ecotype. The alignment was performed using CLUSTALW (Sievers *et al.*, 2011) and formatted in GeneDoc (Nicholas, 1997). Conserved nucleotides are shaded in black, and the region with frequent variation is indicated with a square bracket. (c) Diagram indicating presence of SNPs and indels on *ACBP3* 5'-flanking sequences. (d) *ACBP3pro* (*Ler*)::*ACBP3*::*GFP* and *ACBP3* 5'-flanking was detected in the coding sequences. (d) *ACBP3pro* (*Ler*)::*ACBP3*::*GFP* and *ACBP3Paro* (*Col*)::*ACBP3*::*GFP* were used to compliment the *acbp3*-2 mutant in Ler-0, and they were designated as COM-L and COM-C respectively. Stronger GFP signals in anthers were detected from the COM-L than the COM-C transgenic Arabidopsis plants. (e) Normal anthers occur in COM-L flowers, in contrast to the deformed in COM-C. Deformed anther lobes are denoted indicated by arrowheads. Bars, 100 µm. (f) Statistical data on the number of anther lobes in Ler-0, COM-L, COM-C and *acbp3*-2 (n = 16). Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE. The asterisk represents statistical difference in comparison to both Ler-0 and *acbp3*-2 (P < 0.01 using Student's *t*-test). The error bars for Ler-0, COM-L, and *acbp3*-2 are zero.

*New Phytologist* (2024) **243:** 1424–1439 www.newphytologist.com





ACBP3 in Ler-0 is not solely attributed to the er mutation. A more intricate mechanism of action may involve additional members of the ERECTA family, such as ERL1 and ERL2. Furthermore, the absence of native ACBP3 expression in Col-0

anthers (Fig. S5) did not cause developmental defects (Fig. S2), indicating that there are differences in the regulatory network governing anther development between L*er*-0 and Col-0.

Research 1433



SPL binds to the 5'-flanking sequence of ACBP3 in Ler-0 and regulates floral development

The role of SPL in sporocyte formation is well established; the primary sporogenous cell layer in staged-3 anthers of *spl* failed to form microsporocytes and vacuolated from stage 4 (Yang *et al.*, 1999). The observed defect for *acbp3-2* in sporocyte formation within adaxial lobes (Fig. 1) further supports the regulatory role of SPL on *ACBP3* expression in L*er*-0 anthers. In anthers, SPL is known to be phosphorylated by MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN



*New Phytologist* (2024) **243:** 1424–1439 www.newphytologist.com

Fig. 6 A regulatory role for SPL on the ACBP3 5'-flanking sequence in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0. (a) Alignment of the ACBP3 5'-flanking regions of Ler-0 and Col-0 ranging from c. -1.4k to -1.1k. Sequence variations are highlighted in three colors (blue, green and orange). The putative ciselements predicted by PLANTCARE (bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html) are marked correspondingly to the adjacent sequence variations: AT~TATA Box in blue, 'Nameless' element in green and CAAT Box in orange. Probes for electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) are denoted in the corresponding colors. (b) EMSA on recombinant proteins of SPOROCYTELESS (SPL) was carried out, as SPL was reported to regulate gene expression in early-staged anthers (Zheng et al., 2021). Double-stranded DNA probes were labeled with fluorescein amidites (FAM) at the 5'-end, while unlabeled probes were used in competing experiments. Cross-reacting bands are denoted with arrows. Symbols '-' indicate absence in the reaction, while '+' for presence in the reaction. (c) Schematic graph of constructs in LUC assays. Two constructs of reporters include the ACBP3 5'-flanking sequence from Col-0 (pAT1049) or Ler-0 (pAT1050) cloned to drive the cDNA encoding firefly luciferase (FLUC). Plasmids pAT1049 and pAT1050 also contain the cDNA encoding Renilla luciferase (RLUC) driven by the 35S promoter. Plasmid pREUR-EF acts as a vector-transformed control (VC). Plasmids pAT1078 is a pREUR-EF-derivative which harbors the SPL cDNA driven by the 35S promoter. They were co-expressed in different combinations in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. (d) The red circles of dashed lines indicate the area of injection. (e) Dual-luciferase assays showing the expression of FLUC normalized with RLUC. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE of measurements made on at least three independent biological replicates. The Student's *t*-test was performed between the effector and VC (\*, P < 0.05). (f) qRT-PCR results show the expression of ACBP3 in Ler-0, spl, Col-0 and spl-D flower buds normalized against ACTIN2. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SE of measurements made on at least three independent biological replicates. The Student's t-test was conducted to compare the readings between Ler-0 and spl, as well as between Col-0 and spl-D (\*, P < 0.05). No statistical difference of ACBP3 expression from Col-0 and spl-D was detected.

KINASEs (MPKs) MPK3/6, and mpk3/mpk6 mutants in Col-0 displayed defective adaxial but normal abaxial lobes (Zhao et al., 2017), similar to the acbp3-2 mutant in Ler-0 (Fig. 1). However, SPL expression was unaffected in mpk3/mpk6 knockouts (Zhao et al., 2017). Given that SPL did not interact similarly with the ACBP3 promoter from Col-0 or Ler-0 (Fig. 6), it can be inferred that SPL function differs in these ecotypes. This study suggests that SPL likely regulates anther development through the activation of ACBP3 expression in Ler-0 (Fig. 6). The role of SPL is further supported by the downregulation of ACBP3 expression in the spl mutant (Fig. 6f). In contrast, the overexpression of SPL did not affect ACBP3 expression in Col-0 spl-D flower buds (Fig. 6f), indicating that SPL cannot effectively interact with the ACBP3 promoter. Notably, significant differences within the -1343/-1193region of the ACBP3 promoter occurred between Col-0 and Ler-0 (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, EMSA confirmed that SPL can only bind to the AT~TATA box in Ler-0 (Fig. 6g), suggesting that the absence of multiple TA-repeats facilitated effective binding of SPL to the AT~TATA box on the ACBP3 promoter in Ler-0. Taken together, polymorphism within the ACBP3 promoter altered the regulatory role of SPL on ACBP3 expression, enabling its function in anther development.

It has been reported that knockout mutants of genes affecting SPL expression or those regulated by SPL, such as BRASSINAZOLE-RESISTANT (BZR), TRYPTOPHAN AMI-NOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS 1 (TAA1), TRYPTO-PHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE RELATED2 (TAR2) and TGA9/TGA10, all exhibit similar phenotypes as the spl mutant (Murmu et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2021), indicating their important roles in sporogenesis. In Arabidopsis, taal tar2-1 and taa2-2 mutants with markedly reduced SPL transcripts were impaired in anther locule formation (Zheng et al., 2021), while knockout mutants of six BZR genes barely express SPL and show abnormal sporocyte formation (Chen et al., 2019). Additionally, the double mutant of TGA9 and TGA10, two basic leucine-zipper transcription factors downstream of SPL, exhibit normal abaxial anther lobes but variable or disorganized adaxial lobes (Murmu et al., 2010). The differential impact of ACBP3 on abaxial and adaxial lobe development observed in *acbp3-2* anthers in this study (Fig. 1) imply that ACBP3 likely functions downstream of SPL in Ler-0. Notably, SPL expression was upregulated in the acbp3-2 mutant (Fig. S7). However, this upregulation of SPL failed to rescue the acbp3-2 phenotype, suggesting that the roles of ACBP3 and SPL in sporocyte formation do not completely overlap. The differential developmental progress observed between the abaxial and the adaxial lobes in tga9 tga10 anthers indicates that the four lobes do not develop simultaneously (Murmu et al., 2010). The defective adaxial anther lobes accompanied by normal abaxial lobes in the acbp3-2 mutant (Fig. 1) as observed in this study further support this phenomenon. Similar to the spl homozygous plants, which exhibit comparable overall morphology to Ler-0 (Yang et al., 1999), acbp3-2 did not impact vegetative growth (Fig. S3). However, unlike spl homozygous plants (Yang et al., 1999), acbp3-2 did not exhibit delayed progression of senescence (Fig. S3). Conversely, when SPL was overexpressed in the spl-D line in Col-0 a curly-leaf phenotype resulted (Li et al., 2008), indicating that the role of SPL vary between Col-0 and Ler-0.

# ACBP expression and lipid composition affect plant reproduction

The findings of this study reinforce the significance of ACBPs in plant reproduction (Fadhli Hamdan *et al.*, 2022) due to the adverse impact on anther development in Ler-0 caused by knockout of ACBP3 (Figs 1, 2). Functions of other ACBPs such as ACBP4, ACBP5 and ACBP6 in reproduction have been established in acbp4 acbp5 acbp6 since pollen grains of this triple mutant (Col-0) exhibit reduced and smaller oil bodies along with irregular exine arrangement (Hsiao *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, changes in phospholipid (PL) composition observed in acbp4, acbp5 and acbp6 mutants and changes in triacylglycerol (TAG) content in the acbp6 seeds (Guo *et al.*, 2019b) were accompanied by reduction in seed weight in the double and triple mutants (Hsiao *et al.*, 2014), linking ACBP-mediated lipid metabolism to plant reproduction. Comparative sequence alignment analysis revealed numerous variations between Col-0 and Ler-0 ecotypes in both the promoter and coding regions for each of *ACBP4*, *ACBP5* and *ACBP6* (Table S2). Therefore, their expression profiles and biological functions may potentially differ between these two ecotypes.

ACBP-mediated lipid metabolism is attributed to its ability for lipid binding (Guo et al., 2022). Recombinant rACBP3 exhibits binding specificity towards very-long-chain (VLC) acyl-CoA thioesters ( $\geq$  C22) as well as phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and plays crucial roles in VLC FA biosynthesis and PE-related autophagy (Xiao et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been reported that PLs and VLC FAs are closely associated with floral development (Potocky et al., 2003; Jung et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 2014; Zhan et al., 2018; Djanaguiraman et al., 2019; Colin & Jaillais, 2020; Hernandez et al., 2020). In Ler-0 anthers, the acbp3-2 mutant exhibits lower 10:0-, 18:2- and 18:3-CoA content while the spl mutants display reduction in 10:0- and 18:3-CoA (Fig. 3). This suggests that SPL operates upstream of ACBP3 and may potentially regulate other genes involved in lipid metabolism, including a gene encoding a Lipid Transfer Protein family member, which showed altered expression in the spl mutant (Li et al., 2008). Interestingly, similar to spl in Ler-0, the er-1 mutant in Col-0 exhibit reduction in 10:0- and 18:3-CoA content (Fig. 3). While *acbp3-1*  $\times$  *er-1* also exhibits lower 10:0- and 18:3-CoA, it was elevated in 16:0-, 18:1- and 18:2-CoAs (Fig. 3a). In contrast,  $acbp3-2 \times La-0$ 

plants demonstrated recovery in 18:3-CoA content in comparison to *acbp3-2* (Fig. 3b), supporting that ERECTA can affect acyl-CoA content in anthers. Noticeably, while the *acbp3-2* mutant did not exhibit higher levels of 16:0-, 18:1- and 18:2-CoA content compared to Ler-0, the *acbp3-1* × *er-1* plants were elevated in these CoAs compared to *Col-0* (Fig. 3), which is consistent with differential anther morphology observed between *acbp3-1* × *er-1* and *acbp3-2* plants (Fig. 2). Taken together, ERECTA likely affects acyl-CoA content differently in Col-0 and La-0. Thus in Ler-0, the role of *ACBP3* is associated with ERECTA, facilitated by genetic polymorphism in the regulatory region of *ACBP3* that enables its downstream function to be regulated by *SPL* (Fig. 5).

In summary, a new role for *ACBP3* in the development of anthers in Arabidopsis Ler-0 is reported. As depicted in the proposed working model (Fig. 7), a comparison was made between the 5'-flanking sequences of *ACBP3* in Col-0 and Ler-0. In Ler-0, polymorphism in the promoter region of *ACBP3* from -1454 to -1159 (Fig. 6a) enabled the AT~TATA box function in Ler-0 but not Col-0 (verified by EMSAs in Fig. 6). This change in the DNA sequence, in turn, altered the binding efficiency of the transcription factor SPL to the AT~TATA Box in the 5'-flanking region of *ACBP3* in Ler-0 (Fig. 6b–e), which activated transcription (Fig. 4) and translation (Fig. 5) of *ACBP3* in Ler-0 anthers. Ultimately, highly-expressed transcripts and proteins of ACBP3 in Ler-0



**Fig. 7** Proposed model on the role of Arabidopsis *ACBP3* in anther development of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0. To test the hypothesis that the ERECTA (ER)-mediated signaling pathway is associated with the role of ACBP3,  $acbp3-1 \times er-1$  and  $acbp3-2 \times La$ -0 plants were generated and analyzed together with acbp3 mutants by microscopy for anther morphology (Figs 1, 2) and high-performance liquid chromatography for lipid composition (Fig. 3). The role of ACBP3 in Ler-0 anther development is enabled by promoter polymorphism, which allows *ACBP3* to function downstream of SPL. *ACBP3* expression in the anther of Ler-0, but not in Col-0, is modulated by promoter polymorphism. Variation in the *ACBP3 5'*-flanking sequences ranging from *c*. -1.4k to -1.2k (not to scale) differs between Col-0 (top line) and Ler-0 (bottom line). In anthers, the AT~TATA box, 'Nameless' element and CAAT Box are functional in Ler-0 but not Col-0 (verified by EMSAs in Fig. 5). *ACBP3* is not expressed in Col-0 anthers (Supporting Information Fig. S5), and *ACBP3* did not function through the ER-mediated signaling pathway as supported by observations of normal anthers in  $acbp3-1 \times er-1$  (Fig. 2f). In Ler-0, SPL interacts with the AT~TATA Box and activates *ACBP3* transcription (Fig. 5), influencing anther development and maintaining acyl-CoA homeostasis. In Ler-0, mutations (a transposon mutation acbp3-2 and a CRISPR mutation acbp3-3) in *ACBP3* diversely affect anther development (Figs 1, 2). ACBP3 function is related to the ER-mediated signaling pathway, as substantiated by the recovery of normal anthers in  $acbp3-2 \times La-0$  plants (Fig. 2g). As ACBP3 has potential to maintain an acyl-CoA pool in anther development and ER could also affect anther acyl-CoA composition (Fig. 3), fatty acid composition was altered in acbp3-2 and acbp3-3, with a shift in acyl-CoA composition specifically in 10:0-, 18:2- and 18:3-CoAs (Fig. 6). SPL, SPOROCYTELESS. Red crosses indicate disruption in function.

*New Phytologist* (2024) **243:** 1424–1439 www.newphytologist.com

anthers maintain the anther acyl-CoA pool (Fig. 3) and promote anther development (Figs 1, 2) via the ERECTA-mediated signaling pathway. The impact of promoter polymorphisms on plant reproduction is illustrated through their effect on ACBP3 function in anther development. This study inspires further exploration of polymorphism functions in plants and ACBP-mediated lipid metabolism in reproduction. The impact on anther development has significant implications for food production as it regulates seed and fruit formation, thereby opening new avenues for enhancing agricultural productivity.

## Acknowledgements

The authors dedicate this paper to the memory of Dabing Zhang, who tragically passed away in June 2023. His vision, generosity and camaraderie were pivotal in the realization of this work. This work was supported by the Wilson and Amelia Wong Endowment Fund (to M-LC), CRCG Small Project Funding (109000217 to M-LC), Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (17105615 to M-LC) and a grant from the NSFC/RGC Joint Research Scheme sponsored by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (N HKU744/18 to M-LC and DZ). It was also supported by the NSFC projects of International Cooperation and Exchanges (31861163002 to DZ), the Programme of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (111 Project, B14016 to DZ) and the Sino-Germany Mobility Program (M-0141 to DZ). We thank the Core Facility and Service Center (CFSC), School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, SJTU, for support in microscopy studies. Partial support from the Research Grants Council of HKSAR, China (AoE/M-403/16 to M-LC) and the Innovation Technology Fund of Innovation Technology Commission: Funding Support to State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology (to M-LC) is gratefully acknowledged. Any opinions, findings or recommendations expressed in this study do not reflect the views of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region or the Innovation and Technology Commission.

## **Competing interests**

None declared.

## **Author contributions**

M-LC, Z-HG and DZ designed the project. Z-HG performed most of the experiments. T-HH identified the *acbp3-2* mutant and performed FA analysis and *in vivo* pollination. MFH performed GUS histological stains. ML generated plasmid constructs. RW modified vectors. JX, S-CL, WL and JS analyzed data. Z-HG and M-LC wrote the paper with contribution from all authors.

## ORCID

Mee-Len Chye D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3505-3674

Ze-Hua Guo D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7894-498X Mohd Fadhli Hamdan D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7707-5770

Tai-Hua Hu b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4051-0027 Minghui Li https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2800-4842 Wanqi Liang b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9938-5793 Shiu-Cheung Lung https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6433-4988 Jianxin Shi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7717-0863 Ruifeng Wang https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7717-0863 Ruifeng Wang https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7452-4699 Jie Xu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4574-4698 Dabing Zhang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3181-9812

## Data availability

All data and materials integral to this study are available within the article and the Supporting Information.

## References

- Abraham MC, Metheetrairut C, Irish VF. 2013. Natural variation identifies multiple loci controlling petal shape and size in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS ONE* 8: e56743.
- AGI. 2000. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis* thaliana. Nature 408: 796–815.
- Alonso-Blanco C, Mendez-Vigo B, Koornneef M. 2005. From phenotypic to molecular polymorphisms involved in naturally occurring variation of plant development. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 49: 717–732.
- Anisimova M, Gascuel O. 2006. Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Systematic Biology* **55**: 539–552.
- Bray D, Bagu J, Koegler P. 1993. Comparison of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS), Peldri II, and critical-point drying methods for scanning electron microscopy of biological specimens. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 26: 489–495.
- Burton M, Rose TM, Faergeman NJ, Knudsen J. 2005. Evolution of the acyl-CoA binding protein (ACBP). *The Biochemical Journal* 392: 299–307.
- Carvalho AP, Malcata FX. 2005. Preparation of fatty acid methyl esters for gaschromatographic analysis of marine lipids: insight studies. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53: 5049–5059.
- Chen L-G, Gao Z, Zhao Z, Liu X, Li Y, Zhang Y, Liu X, Sun Y, Tang W. 2019. BZR1 family transcription factors function redundantly and indispensably in BR signaling but exhibit BR11-independent function in regulating anther development in *Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant* 12: 1408–1415.
- Chen M-X, Hu T-H, Xue Y, Zhu F-Y, Du Z-Y, Lo C, Chye M-L. 2018. Arabidopsis acyl-coenzyme-A-binding protein ACBP1 interacts with AREB1 and mediates salt and osmotic signaling in seed germination and seedling growth. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **156**: 130–140.
- Chen Q-F, Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2008. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis 10kilodalton acyl-coenzyme A-binding protein ACBP6 enhances freezing tolerance. *Plant Physiology* 148: 304–315.
- Chen QF, Xiao S, Qi W, Mishra G, Ma J, Wang M, Chye M-L. 2010. The Arabidopsis *acbp1acbp2* double mutant lacking acyl-CoA-binding proteins ACBP1 and ACBP2 is embryo lethal. *New Phytologist* **186**: 843–855.
- Chye M-L. 1998. Arabidopsis cDNA encoding a membrane-associated protein with an acyl-CoA binding domain. *Plant Molecular Biology* 38: 827–838.
- **Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998.** Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **16**: 735–743.
- Colin LA, Jaillais Y. 2020. Phospholipids across scales: lipid patterns and plant development. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 53: 1–9.
- Crawford BC, Yanofsky MF. 2011. HALF FILLED promotes reproductive tract development and fertilization efficiency in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 138: 2999–3009.
- Djanaguiraman M, Schapaugh W, Fritschi F, Nguyen H, Prasad PVV. 2019. Reproductive success of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril) cultivars and exotic lines under high daytime temperature. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 42: 321–336.

- Du Z-Y, Arias T, Meng W, Chye M-L. 2016. Plant acyl-CoA-binding proteins: an emerging family involved in plant development and stress responses. *Progress in Lipid Research* 63: 165–181.
- Du Z-Y, Chen M-X, Chen Q-F, Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2013a. Arabidopsis acyl-CoA-binding protein ACBP1 participates in the regulation of seed germination and seedling development. *The Plant Journal* 74: 294–309.
- Du Z-Y, Chen M-X, Chen Q-F, Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2013b. Overexpression of Arabidopsis acyl-CoA-binding protein ACBP2 enhances drought tolerance. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36: 300–314.
- Du Z-Y, Xiao S, Chen Q-F, Chye M-L. 2010. Depletion of the membraneassociated acyl-coenzyme A-binding protein ACBP1 enhances the ability of cold acclimation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **152**: 1585–1597.
- Edgar RC. 2004a. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics* 5: 113.
- Edgar RC. 2004b. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**: 1792–1797.
- Fadhli Hamdan M, Lung S-C, Guo Z-H, Chye M-L. 2022. Roles of acyl-CoAbinding proteins in plant reproduction. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 73: 2918–2936.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39: 783–791.
- Fransz P, Armstrong S, Alonso-blanco C, Fischer TC, Torres-ruiz RA, Jones G. 1998. Cytogenetics for the model system Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal 13: 867–876.
- Fransz PF, Armstrong S, de Jong JH, Parnell LD, van Drunen C, Dean C, Zabel P, Bisseling T, Jones GH. 2000. Integrated cytogenetic map of chromosome arm 4S of *A. thaliana*: structural organization of heterochromatic knob and centromere region. *Cell* 100: 367–376.
- Gao W, Xiao S, Li H-Y, Tsao S-W, Chye M-L. 2009. *Arabidopsis thaliana* acyl-CoA-binding protein ACBP2 interacts with heavy-metal-binding farnesylated protein AtFP6. *New Phytologist* 181: 89–102.
- Guo Z-H, Haslam RP, Michaelson LV, Yeung EC, Lung SC, Napier JA, Chye M-L. 2019a. The overexpression of rice ACYL-COA-BINDING PROTEIN 2 increases grain size and bran oil content in transgenic rice. *The Plant Journal* 100: 1132–1147.
- Guo Z-H, Lung SC, Fadhli Hamdan M, Chye M-L. 2022. Interactions between plant lipid-binding proteins and their ligands. *Progress in Lipid Research* 86: 101156.
- Guo Z-H, Pogancev G, Meng W, Du Z-Y, Liao P, Zhang R, Chye M-L. 2021. The overexpression of rice ACYL-COA-BINDING PROTEIN4 improves salinity tolerance in transgenic rice. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 183: 104349.
- Guo Z-H, Ye Z-W, Haslam RP, Michaelson LV, Napier JA, Chye M-L. 2019b. Arabidopsis cytosolic acyl-CoA-binding proteins function in determining seed oil composition. *Plant Direct* 3: e00182.
- Haslam RP, Larson TR. 2021. Techniques for the measurement of molecular species of acyl-CoA in plants and microalgae. In: Bartels D, Dormann P, eds. *Plant lipids: methods and protocols.* New York, NY, USA: Springer, 203–218.
- Hellens RP, Allan AC, Friel EN, Bolitho K, Grafton K, Templeton MD, Karunairetnam S, Gleave AP, Laing WA. 2005. Transient expression vectors for functional genomics, quantification of promoter activity and RNA silencing in plants. *Plant Methods* 1: 13.
- Hernandez ML, Lima-Cabello E, Alche JD, Martinez-Rivas JM, Castro AJ. 2020. Lipid composition and associated gene expression patterns during pollen germination and pollen tube growth in olive (*Olea europaea* L.). *Plant & Cell Physiology* 61: 1348–1364.
- Hord CLH, Sun Y-J, Pillitteri LJ, Torii KU, Wang H, Zhang S, Ma H. 2008. Regulation of *Arabidopsis* early anther development by the mitogen-activated protein kinases, MPK3 and MPK6, and the ERECTA and related receptor-like kinases. *Molecular Plant* 1: 645–658.
- Hsiao AS, Haslam RP, Michaelson LV, Liao P, Chen QF, Sooriyaarachchi S, Mowbray SL, Napier JA, Tanner JA, Chye M-L. 2014. Arabidopsis cytosolic acyl-CoA-binding proteins ACBP4, ACBP5 and ACBP6 have overlapping but distinct roles in seed development. *Bioscience Reports* 34: e00165.
- Hsiao AS, Yeung EC, Ye Z-W, Chye M-L. 2015. The Arabidopsis cytosolic acyl-CoA-binding proteins play combinatory roles in pollen development. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 56: 322–333.

- Hu T-H, Lung S-C, Ye Z-W, Chye M-L. 2018. Depletion of Arabidopsis ACYL-COA-BINDING PROTEIN3 affects fatty acid composition in the phloem. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 2.
- Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW. 1987. GUS fusions: betaglucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *EMBO Journal* 6: 3901–3907.
- Jiang J, Zhang Z, Cao J. 2012. Pollen wall development: the associated enzymes and metabolic pathways. *Plant Biology* 15: 249–263.
- Jung KH, Han MJ, Lee DY, Lee YS, Schreiber L, Franke R, Faust A, Yephremov A, Saedler H, Kim YW et al. 2006. Wax-deficient anther1 is involved in cuticle and wax production in rice anther walls and is required for pollen development. Plant Cell 18: 3015–3032.
- Kouchi H, Hata S. 1993. Isolation and characterization of novel nodulin cDNAs representing genes expressed at early stages of soybean nodule development. *Molecular & General Genetics* 238: 106–119.
- Kowalski SP, Lan TH, Feldmann KA, Paterson AH. 1994. QTL mapping of naturally-occurring variation in flowering time of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular & General Genetics* 245: 548–555.
- Kunkel BN. 1996. A useful weed put to work: genetic analysis of disease resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Trends in Genetics* 12: 63–69.
- Lease KA, Lau NY, Schuster RA, Torii KU, Walker JC. 2001. Receptor serine/threonine protein kinases in signalling: analysis of the erecta receptor-like kinase of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytologist* 151: 133–143.
- Leung KC, Li HY, Xiao S, Tse MH, Chye M-L. 2006. *Arabidopsis* ACBP3 is an extracellularly targeted acyl-CoA-binding protein. *Planta* 223: 871–881.
- Li G, Liang W, Zhang X, Ren H, Hu J, Bennett MJ, Zhang D. 2014. Rice actin-binding protein RMD is a key link in the auxin-actin regulatory loop that controls cell growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 111: 10377–10382.
- Li LC, Qin GJ, Tsuge T, Hou XH, Ding MY, Aoyama T, Oka A, Chen Z, Gu H, Zhao Y. 2008. *SPOROCYTELESS* modulates *YUCCA* expression to regulate the development of lateral organs in Arabidopsis. *New Phytologist* 179: 751–764.
- Li N, Zhang D-S, Liu H-S, Yin C-S, Li X-X, Liang W-Q, Yuan Z, Xu B, Chu H-W, Wang J. 2006. The rice tapetum degeneration retardation gene is required for tapetum degradation and anther development. *Plant Cell* 18: 2999–3014.
- Liao P, Chen Q-F, Chye M-L. 2014. Transgenic Arabidopsis flowers overexpressing acyl-CoA-binding protein ACBP6 are freezing tolerant. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 55: 1055–1071.
- Liu W-H, Wang R-F, Liu W-L, Xu J. 2020. Effects of different regulatory elements and their combinations on transient expressions of exogenous proteins in *Nicotiana benthamiana. Biotechnology Bulletin* **36**: 62–71.
- Lung S-C, Chye M-L. 2016. Deciphering the roles of acyl-CoA-binding proteins in plant cells. *Protoplasma* 253: 1177–1195.
- Lung S-C, Lai SH, Wang H, Zhang X, Liu A, Guo Z-H, Lam H-M, Chye M-L. 2022. Oxylipin signaling in salt-stressed soybean is modulated by liganddependent interaction of Class II acyl-CoA-binding proteins with lipoxygenase. *Plant Cell* 34: 1117–1143.
- Murashige T, Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15: 473–497.
- Murmu J, Bush MJ, DeLong C, Li S, Xu M, Khan M, Malcolmson C, Fobert PR, Zachgo S, Hepworth SR. 2010. Arabidopsis basic leucine-zipper transcription factors TGA9 and TGA10 interact with floral glutaredoxins ROXY1 and ROXY2 and are redundantly required for anther development. *Plant Physiology* 154: 1492–1504.
- Nakamura Y, Andrés F, Kanehara K, Liu Y-C, Dörmann P, Coupland G. 2014. Arabidopsis florigen FT binds to diurnally oscillating phospholipids that accelerate flowering. *Nature Communications* 5: 1–7.
- Nicholas KB. 1997. GeneDoc: analysis and visualization of genetic variation. Embnew News 4: 14.
- Panthapulakkal Narayanan S, Liao P, Taylor PWJ, Lo C, Chye M-L. 2019. Overexpression of a monocot acyl-CoA-binding protein confers broadspectrum pathogen protection in a dicot. *Proteomics* 19: 1800368.
- Pillitteri LJ, Bemis SM, Shpak ED, Torii KU. 2007. Haploinsufficiency after successive loss of signaling reveals a role for ERECTA-family genes in Arabidopsis ovule development. *Development* 134: 3099–3109.

461-464.

- tube growth. Planta 217: 122-130. Rédei GP. 1962. Supervital mutants of Arabidopsis. Genetics 47: 443-460. Sanders PM, Bui AQ, Weterings K, McIntire K, Hsu Y-C, Lee PY, Truong MT, Beals T, Goldberg R. 1999. Anther developmental defects in Arabidopsis thaliana male-sterile mutants. Sexual Plant Reproduction 11: 297-322. Schmalenbach I, Zhang L, Ryngajllo M, Jiménez-Gómez JM. 2014. Functional analysis of the Landsberg erecta allele of FRIGIDA. BMC Plant Biology 14: 218. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH IMAGE to IMAGEJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods 9: 671-675. Schwarz G. 1978. Estimating the dimension of a model. The Annals of Statistics 6: Shpak ED, Lakeman MB, Torii KU. 2003. Dominant-negative receptor uncovers redundancy in the Arabidopsis ERECTA leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase signaling pathway that regulates organ shape. Plant Cell
- 15: 1095-1110. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Söding J et al. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using CLUSTAL OMEGA.

Potocky M, Elias M, Profotova B, Novotna Z, Valentova O, Zarsky V. 2003.

Phosphatidic acid produced by phospholipase D is required for tobacco pollen

- Molecular Systems Biology 7: 539. Tao J, Liang W, An G, Zhang D. 2018. OsMADS6 controls flower development by activating rice FACTOR OF DNA METHYLATION LIKE1. Plant Physiology 177: 713-727.
- Torii KU, Mitsukawa N, Oosumi T, Matsuura Y, Yokoyama R, Whittier RF, Komeda Y. 1996. The Arabidopsis ERECTA gene encodes a putative receptor protein kinase with extracellular leucine-rich repeats. Plant Cell 8: 735-746.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen L-T, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 44: W232-W235.
- Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2011a. New roles for acyl-CoA-binding proteins (ACBPs) in plant development, stress responses and lipid metabolism. Progress in Lipid Research 50: 141-151.
- Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2011b. Overexpression of Arabidopsis ACBP3 enhances NPR1-dependent plant resistance to Pseudomonas syringe pv tomato DC3000. Plant Physiology 156: 2069-2081.
- Xiao S, Gao W, Chen Q-F, Chan SW, Zheng S-X, Ma JY, Wang MF, Welti R, Chye M-L. 2010. Overexpression of Arabidopsis acyl-CoA binding protein ACBP3 promotes starvation-induced and age-dependent leaf senescence. Plant Cell 22: 1463-1482.
- Yang W-C, Ye D, Xu J, Sundaresan V. 1999. The SPOROCYTELESS gene of Arabidopsis is required for initiation of sporogenesis and encodes a novel nuclear protein. Genes & Development 13: 2108-2117.
- Ye Z-W, Chye M-L. 2016. Plant cytosolic acyl-CoA-binding proteins. Lipids 51: 1 - 13.
- Ye Z-W, Lung S-C, Hu T-H, Chen Q-F, Suen Y-L, Wang M, Hoffmann-Benning S, Yeung E, Chye M-L. 2016. Arabidopsis acyl-CoA-binding protein ACBP6 localizes in the phloem and affects jasmonate composition. Plant Molecular Biology 92: 1-14.
- Ye Z-W, Xu J, Shi J, Zhang D, Chye M-L. 2017. Kelch-motif containing acyl-CoA binding proteins AtACBP4 and AtACBP5 are differentially expressed and function in floral lipid metabolism. Plant Molecular Biology 93: 209-225.
- Yeung EC, Chan CK. 2015. The glycol methacrylate embedding resins -Technovit 7100 and 8100. In: Yeung ECT, Stasolla C, Sumner MJ, Huang BQ, eds. Plant microtechniques and protocols. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 67-82
- van Zanten M, Snoek LB, Proveniers MCG, Peeters AJM. 2009. The many functions of ERECTA. Trends in Plant Science 14: 214-218.
- Zapata L, Ding J, Willing E-M, Hartwig B, Bezdan D, Jiao W-B, Patel V, James GV, Koornneef M, Ossowski S. 2016. Chromosome-level assembly of Arabidopsis thaliana Ler reveals the extent of translocation and inversion polymorphisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 113: E4052-E4060.

- Zhan H, Xiong H, Wang S, Yang ZN. 2018. Anther endothecium-derived verylong-chain fatty acids facilitate pollen hydration in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant 11: 1101-1104.
- Zhao F, Zheng Y-F, Zeng T, Sun R, Yang J-Y, Li Y, Ren D-T, Ma H, Xu Z-H, Bai S-N. 2017. Phosphorylation of SPOROCYTELESS/NOZZLE by the MPK3/6 kinase is required for anther development. Plant Physiology 173: 2265-2277
- Zheng S-X, Xiao S, Chye M-L. 2012. The gene encoding Arabidopsis acyl-CoAbinding protein 3 is pathogen inducible and subject to circadian regulation. Journal of Experimental Botany 63: 2985–3000.
- Zheng Y, Wang D, Ye S, Chen W, Li G, Xu Z, Bai S, Zhao F. 2021. Auxin guides germ-cell specification in Arabidopsis anthers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 118: e2101492118.
- Zhu P, Schon M, Questa J, Nodine M, Dean C. 2023. Causal role of a promoter polymorphism in natural variation of the Arabidopsis floral repressor gene FLC. Current Biology 33: 4381-4391.

## Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Characterization of the acbp3 mutants in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0.

Fig. S2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of anthers from acbp3 mutants in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0.

Fig. S3 Comparison of inflorescence, rosette and stem between acbp3 and wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0.

Fig. S4 Knockout of ACBP3 alters fatty acid (FA) composition in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0 flower buds.

Fig. S5 ACBP3 is expressed in female organs of wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0.

Fig. S6 ACBP3 expression in flower buds from various Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes.

Fig. S7 qRT-PCR assay using flower bud total mRNA show changes in the expression of five transcription factors (TFs) in acbp3-2 in comparison to wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Ler-0.

Table S1 Oligomers used in this study.

Table S2 Variations in genomic DNA sequence of Arabidopsis ACBPs from Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes Col-0 and Ler-0.

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the New Phytologist Central Office.