Apatite Crystals Reveal Melt Volatile Budgets and Magma Storage Depths at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia

Weiran Li D^{1*}, Fidel Costa^{1,2} and Kazuhide Nagashima³

¹Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore; ²Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore; ³HIGP, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Hawai'i, Honolulu, USA

*Corresponding author. Present address: Earth Observatory of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore. Telephone: (+65) 65922401; Fax: (+65) 67901585; E-mail: weiran.li@ntu.edu.sg

Received 16 December 2019; Accepted 8 October 2020

ABSTRACT

Magma volatile budgets and storage depths play a key role in controlling the eruptive styles of volcanoes. Volatile concentrations in the melt can be inferred from analyses of glass inclusions, which however may not be present in the investigated rocks or may have experienced post-entrapment processes that modify their volatile records. Apatite is becoming an alternative robust tool for unraveling the information of magmatic volatiles. Here we report a comprehensive dataset for the concentrations of volatiles and major elements in apatite crystals in the rocks from two eruptions with contrasting eruptive styles: the 2006 (dome-forming) and 2010 (explosive) eruptive events at Merapi volcano (Java, Indonesia). We obtained two-dimensional compositional distributions and in situ concentrations of H₂O, CO₂, F, CI and S in 50 apatite crystals occurring at various textural positions. The CO₂ concentrations we report are probably the first ones from natural volcanic apatite. Using the volatile concentrations in apatite and existing thermodynamic models and geothermobarometers, we have calculated the volatile abundances of the pre-eruptive melts of the two eruptions.

We find that the apatite from the 2006 and 2010 deposits have a similar compositional range of volatiles, with a bimodal distribution of F-H₂O-CO₂ contents. The apatite included in amphibole has higher H₂O (0.9–1.0 wt %) and CO₂ (>2400 ppm), but lower F (0.9–1.4 wt %), compared to crystals included in plagioclase, clinopyroxene, or in the groundmass (H₂O: 0.4–0.7 wt %; CO₂: 40–900 ppm; F: 17-2.3 wt %). Using these volatile concentrations and apatite-melt exchange coefficients we obtained two distinct ranges of H₂O-CO₂-S-F-Cl concentrations in the melt. Melts in equilibrium with apatite included in amphibole had 3-8 wt % H₂O, \geq 8000 ppm CO₂, 340-2000 ppm S, whereas melts in equilibrium with apatite included in anhydrous minerals and in the groundmass had lower H₂O (1.5-4 wt %), CO₂ (60-2500 ppm), and S (10-130 ppm). We calculated the melt H₂O-CO₂ saturation pressures and found that they correspond to two main magma storage depths. The shallow reservoir with melts stored at <10 km below the crater agrees with the depths constrained by melt inclusions, as well as the geodetic, geophysical and seismic tomography studies from the literature. We have also found a significantly deeper melt storage zone at >25-30 km recorded by the Cand H₂O-rich apatite in amphibole and barometry calculations using amphibole and high-Al clinopyroxene, which matches with the depths reported in seismic tomography studies. The high $CO_2/$ H₂O and CO₂/SO₂ concentrations of the deep melt can help to explain the sharp increase in these ratios in fumarolic gas that were sampled just before the eruption in 2010. Supply of deep volatiles to the shallower magma column before the eruption in 2010 could have increased the magma buoyancy, and thus led to higher magma ascent rates and associated eruption explosivity.

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press.

Evidence for the faster pre-eruptive magma ascent in 2010 than 2006 is also found on the diffusion distance of Cl in apatite microlites.

Key words: eruptive styles; partition coefficient; plumbing system; subduction-zone volcano; volatiles

INTRODUCTION

Magmatic volatiles can play a large role in changing the eruptive styles of volcanoes by affecting the viscosity and buoyancy of magmas, which in turn control the eruption dynamics (Blake, 1984; Roggensack et al., 1997; Giordano et al., 2008; Cashman & Sparks, 2013; Cassidy et al., 2018). H₂O and CO₂ are two major magmatic volatiles, whose concentrations in the melt are controlled by multiple factors, including their concentrations in the source magmas, the extent of magma fractionation, and the solubilities of H₂O and CO₂ in the melt of a given composition at a given pressuretemperature (referred to as P-T-X condition hereafter) (e.g. Wallace *et al.*, 2015). The abundances of H_2O and CO₂ dissolved in the pre-eruptive melt cannot be directly measured, but can be inferred from petrological investigations via analyses of volatiles in melt inclusions (MI; Wallace, 2005; Kent, 2008), calculations using mineral-based geohygrometry (e.g. the plagioclasemelt hygrometer of Lange et al., 2009; the pyroxenebased hygrometer of O'Leary et al., 2010), and phase equilibrium experiments. Although MIs have been found in some studies to be able to reflect the preeruptive volatile budgets (e.g. Lowenstern, 1995; Wallace, 2005), they are not always present in the collected rocks, and do not necessarily record the original melt compositions due to re-equilibration and/or postentrapment crystallisation (Qin 1992: et al. Danyushevsky et al., 2000; Gaetani & Watson, 2000; Cottrell et al., 2002; Portnyagin et al., 2008; Lloyd et al., 2013). In addition, MIs typically show less H_2O (<6 wt %; Plank et al., 2013) than those inferred from equilibrium experiments (up to >15 wt %), implying that MIs may not be capable of recording the deepest and most hydrous melts at subduction zones (Steele-MacInnis, 2019).

In addition to the abundances of magmatic volatiles, magma ascent rate is the other key factor that controls the eruptive styles (e.g. Sparks, 2003; Cashman & Sparks, 2013; Cassidy *et al.*, 2018; Edmonds *et al.*, 2019). It is widely accepted that the more explosive eruptions are usually related to faster magma ascent, due to larger magma buoyancy and limited magmafluid separation. The correlation between magmatic volatile budgets and ascent rates shows the necessity of considering both factors simultaneously when addressing the eruptive style(s) of a given volcano. Moreover, additional complexities can be induced by the exsolved volatiles that are either already present at pre-eruptive conditions, or from magma-volatile fluxes that moved from the deeper parts of the system to the shallow reservoir(s) (Degruyter *et al.*, 2017; Moretti *et al.*, 2018).

To provide more insights into the pre-eruptive magmatic volatile budgets, here we exploit the analyses of volatile elements (i.e. C, H, F, Cl, S) in volcanic apatite, combined with calculations using an apatite-melt thermodynamic model (Li & Costa, 2020), to study the rocks emitted from an effusive (2006) and an explosive (2010) eruption at Merapi volcano, Indonesia. With these apatite-based data and models, we have determined the abundances of volatiles in the melt of the two eruptions, and the depths of the magma storage zones below Merapi. Our findings reveal the composition of the deep volatile-rich magma (near the Moho) below Merapi, which we compare with the changes in the fumarolic gas compositions prior to the two eruptions. Combination of the estimated magmatic volatile budgets and the large difference in the magma ascent rates between the two eruptions (based on the Cl zoning in apatite) allows us to better explain the cause of the difference in eruption dynamics, i.e. there was a larger magma buoyancy in 2010 due to magma-volatile fluxing from deep to shallower melts, which led to faster magma ascent and thus larger explosivity in 2010.

The Merapi 2006 and 2010 eruptions

Merapi Volcano in central Java (Indonesia) is one of the most active volcanoes in the world, and it had about 27 eruptions in the last century (e.g. Voight et al., 2000). Most eruptions at Merapi are dome-forming events with VEIs (Volcanic Explosivity Index; Newhall & Self, 1982) between 1-3, such as that occurred in 2006 (Ratdomopurbo et al., 2013). But in 2010, Merapi produced an unexpectedly explosive eruption (VEI 4), which turned out to be the largest eruption in the last hundred years and caused severe hazards to the local community (Surono et al., 2012). Although the eruptions in 2006 and 2010 have very different eruptive styles, most of the materials emitted from the two eruptions (except some from the very last eruptive stage in 2010; Komorowski et al., 2013) show similar petrological and geochemical features, i.e. similar compositions of the bulk rocks (as basaltic andesite), mineral assemblages (see below) and phenocryst compositions (Costa et al., 2013; Preece, 2014; Preece et al., 2014; Erdmann et al., 2016; Preece et al., 2016). A critical question is

what caused the larger and more hazardous eruption in 2010, compared to the 2006 eruption and earlier events, and in relation to this we provide below a brief review of the observations from the literature.

Eruptive styles of volcanoes are controlled by multiple factors, the most important two are probably the pre-eruptive melt volatile budgets and the magma ascent rate (e.g. Kent, 2008; Cashman & Sparks, 2013; Cassidy et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown that melt inclusions in 2006 and 2010 rocks contain similar abundances of H_2O (~0.2-4 wt %) and CO_2 (mostly <200 ppm), except that those in 2010 pumices show much higher CO₂ (up to \sim 3000 ppm) (Nadeau et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014), whereas the magma ascent in 2010 seems to be faster than that in 2006 (Costa et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014; Preece et al., 2016). Magma extrusion rates in 2010 are about an order of magnitude higher than those in 2006 (Pallister et al., 2013), which could be due to larger abundances of volatiles from depth, and/or a complex dynamic of volatile transfer through exsolved volatile phase within the system. Here we provide a new perspective on constraining the magmatic volatile budgets, by looking into volatile elements in the mineral apatite. Constraints on the volatile abundances in melts at different depths of the plumbing system allow us to evaluate their contributions to different eruptive styles.

Using apatite to investigate melt volatile budgets

Apatite has become a more and more popular tool for calculating melt volatile budgets over the past decade (Boyce & Hervig, 2008, 2009; Van Hoose et al, 2013; Scott et al., 2015; Stock et al., 2016, 2018). Apatite, as a common mineral in volcanic rocks (especially from andesitic-rhyolitic systems), has been recognized as a robust recorder of melt volatile information, since it can incorporate various volatile species (e.g. F, Cl, H₂O, S and CO₂) into its crystal structure (e.g. Piccoli & Candela, 2002; Webster & Piccoli, 2015). To link the volatile composition of apatite with that of the melt, it is necessary to know the equilibrium partition relation of volatiles between the two phases. This has been investigated from previous experimental studies, and incorporated into thermodynamic models as briefly described below.

The partitioning of F, CI and OH between apatitemelt are non-Nernstian (e.g. McCubbin *et al.*, 2015; Li & Hermann, 2017), and need to be expressed using the exchange coefficients (K_D) that involve two volatile components (e.g. A and B) among F, CI and OH as: $K_{DA-B}^{Ap-melt} = \frac{X_A^{Ap}X_B^{melt}}{X_A^{melt}X_B^{Ap}}$, where X represents the mole fraction of A or B in apatite, or in the melt. Given our interest in melt H₂O concentrations, here we focus on the K_Ds that involves OH, i.e. $K_D_{OH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ (x=F, CI). The values of $K_D_{OH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ determined from experiments vary with not only the temperature, but also the abundances of F- CI–OH in apatite due to their non-ideal mixing (Li & Hermann, 2017; McCubbin *et al.*, 2015; Li & Costa, 2020). The non-ideal mixing properties of ternary apatite have been constrained by thermo-dynamic calculations, and been used to establish a geo-hygrometer of silicate melts (Li & Costa, 2020), which we have used here to estimate H₂O concentrations in Merapi melts.

We have determined the concentrations of H_2O , CO_2 , F, Cl and S in 50 apatite crystals that occur at various textural positions (either included in other minerals, or in the groundmass) in the rocks emitted from the Merapi 2006 and 2010 eruptions. The abundances of CO_2 reported in this study are probably the first published data for the CO_2 in volcanic apatite. With the determined volatile concentrations in the apatite, we have calculated the concentrations of H_2O and CO_2 in the pre-eruptive melts of 2006 and 2010, using the calculation procedure in Li & Costa (2020) and the estimated temperatures and halogen contents of the melt with which the apatite equilibrated. Melt H_2O contents were also calculated using the H_2O contents determined for pyroxenes from the same rocks.

ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES AND CALCULATION METHODS

Juvenile bread-crusted bombs were collected shortly after the 2006 and 2010 eruptions, i.e. in June of 2006 and November of 2010 (see details in Costa et al., 2013). The rocks collected in 2010 were from the block-rich pyroclastic density currents (PDCs) emitted at the beginning of the most explosive phases of this eruption, i.e. Eruption stage 4 on November 5, 2010 (Komorowski et al., 2013). Three rocks from 2006 and three from 2010 were investigated in this study, showing similar bulkrock compositions (Costa et al, 2013), and here we report the representative composition of each eruption deposit in Table 3. Halogen concentrations were only determined for 2010 rocks (at Actlabs, Canada) using the Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA) for Cl, and the Ion Selective Electrode (ISE) method for F (detection limits: 0.01 wt %).

The composition of apatite crystals in three rocks emitted from each eruption were determined using a secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) for volatiles (CO₂, H₂O, F, Cl, S), and using electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) for major elements, F, Cl and S (see analytical conditions below). Major element concentrations in other minerals (amphibole, plagioclase, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene), the interstitial glass and glass inclusions in the same rocks were determined using EPMA, whereas H₂O concentrations in clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene were determined using SIMS (see analytical conditions in Supplementary Data Electronic Appendix; supplementary data are available for downloading at http://www.petrology.oxfordjournals.org).

SIMS analysis of volatiles in apatite

Volatile concentrations were determined for 50 apatite crystals using SIMS (Cameca ims-1280, at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa). The standard-size petrographic thin sections were cut into the same size $(23 \times 23 \text{ mm}^2)$ to fit that of the custom sample holder used for SIMS analysis. The samples were cleaned with ethanol and coated with gold before being loaded into the sample chamber from at least 24 hours before analysis. The vacuum of the sample chamber was kept below 1×10^{-9} torr with LN₂ cold trap. Scanning ion images were acquired from 13 crystals to investigate the compositional distribution of volatile components in the apatite. Quantitative analyses were performed during two sessions (in 2015 and 2016) using similar analytical conditions (except the smaller field aperture used for the analysis of CO₂; see below). CO₂ concentrations were determined in 2016 for 27 crystals. Below we describe the analytical conditions used for acquiring scanning ion images, followed by those used for quantitative analyses of F, Cl, H₂O, S and CO₂.

Scanning ion imaging

A focused Cs⁺ primary beam of ~500 pA was used for pre-sputtering over an area of ~35 × 35 μ m² on each sample. The beam current was then reduced to ~50 pA with scanning area reduced to 30 × 30 μ m² for data collection. Secondary ions of ¹⁶OH, ¹⁸O, ³²S, ¹⁹F¹⁶O and ³⁷Cl were collected sequentially with counting times of 4, 4, 12, 4 and 2 seconds, respectively, for 50–70 cycles, using an axial electron multiplier (EM). Mass resolving power was ~6000, that is high enough to separate the signals of interfering ions. Normal incident electron gun was used for charge compensation of sputtered area. Secondary ion intensities were normalized to ¹⁸O and processed with the L'Image software to produce images. Each image consists of 128 × 128 pixels and has high resolution estimated to be ~0.5 µm

Quantitative analyses of F, Cl, H₂O, S

The crack-free crystals with nearly homogeneous cores (shown in the scanning ion images) were selected for quantitative analyses of volatile concentrations. The core of each crystal was pre-sputtered for 4-6 minutes over an area of ${\sim}25{\times}25~\mu\text{m}^2$ using a primary Cs^+ beam of \sim 3 nA, after which the raster size was reduced to \sim 15 \times 15 μ m² for data collection (field aperture of \sim 2500 \times 2500 μ m²). An electronic gating of 50% was used to minimize signals from the edges of the pits, such that only the signals from the most central area $(\sim 7.5 \times 7.5 \ \mu m^2)$ of individual pits were used for determining volatile concentrations. Secondary ions of ¹⁶O¹H, ¹⁸O, ³⁴S, ¹⁹F¹⁶O and ³⁷CI were sequentially collected for 20 cycles using the axial EM. Normal incident electron gun was used for charge compensation of sputtered area. Secondary ion intensities were normalized to ¹⁸O to determine H₂O, F, CI and S concentrations, using calibration curves determined from natural apatite

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article/62/4/egaa100/5941471 by University of Hong Kong Libraries user on 21 February 2023

standards: three standards from McCubbin *et al.* (2012) in the 2015 session, and four standards, i.e. two from McCubbin *et al.* (2012) and two from Clark *et al.* (2016) in the 2016 session (Supplementary Data Table S1). Calibration curves were fit using the *IsoPlot* program (model-1 fit; Ludwig, 2003), showing good linear correlation between secondary ion intensities and volatile concentrations in the standards (Supplementary Data Fig. S2). The San Carlos Olivine (SC olivine) was analysed at the beginning and end of each analytical session (within the same days), reflecting low backgrounds of H₂O (~7 ppm), and S, Cl and F (all <1 ppm) during analysis. Relative errors in the determined concentrations are ~2– 5% for F and H₂O, and ~5–20% for Cl and S.

Quantitative analyses of CO₂

CO₂ concentrations in apatite were determined only in the 2016 session, together with those of H₂O, S, CI and F. These crystals are located in the two thin sections analysed in 2015 (see above), and four other thin sections that were never analysed nor coated with carbon. All the samples were carefully polished using silk cloth, cleaned using ethanol and coated with gold before analysis. To minimize air contamination, the samples were loaded in the sample chamber of SIMS at least 24 hours before analysis. Each crystal was pre-sputtered for \sim 5 minutes, after which secondary ion intensities of ¹²C, ¹⁶O¹H, ¹⁸O, ³⁴S, ¹⁹F¹⁶O and ³⁷Cl were collected using the same analytical condition as described above (e.g. voltage, current, analysed area, e-gate), except that this time we used a smaller field aperture (\sim 1200 \times 1200 μ m²) to reduce the analytical background of C. We obtained a low CO_2 concentration of ~37 ppm for the San Carlos Olivine, and ~20 ppm for the clinopyroxene and Fe-Ti oxide in the same thin sections that contain apatite, indicating background CO₂ contents of \sim 20 ppm during all the analysis. The collected signals of ¹²C⁻ were normalized to ¹⁸O⁻ to determine CO₂ concentrations, using calibration curves determined by a natural apatite standard ('HAM') from Clark et al. (2016) (Supplementary Data Table S1, Supplementary Data Fig. S1). Errors in the determined CO₂ concentrations (\pm 1 s.d.) are \sim 10–30% relative.

The locations of pits produced by SIMS analyses were investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Most SIMS pits are within the analysed crystals, and those that exceed the crystal boundary or lie above cracks were ruled out (Supplementary Data Fig. S3). We noticed that three crystals that have cracks across the pit locations (Supplementary Data Fig. S3) gave abnormally high CO₂ concentrations (\sim 4–11 wt %) that are unlikely to be real, considering that the sum of elemental concentrations in each crystal should not exceed 100% (Supplementary Data Table S2). Such high CO₂ contents likely reflect contaminant C within the cracks that could be inherited from the epoxy used for making thin sections, thus these values were excluded from consideration hereafter.

EPMA analyses of apatite

Forty two apatite crystals were analysed using a JEOL JXA 8530F field emission gun electron microprobe at the Facility for Analysis Characterization Testing and Simulation, Nanyang Technological University (Singapore). X-ray maps of Cl, F and S, and cathodoluminescence (CL) images were acquired using accelerating voltage of 15 kV, and beam current of 25 nA. Dwell times used for the X-ray mapping and CL imaging were 70 ms and 40 ms respectively. Point analyses and concentration profiles of Si, Mg, Fe, Ce, Ca, Na, P, S, F and CI were acquired using an electron beam of lower energy (accelerating voltage: 15 kV; beam current: 10 nA). Most crystals were analysed with their c-axes not parallel to the direction of the electron beam, such that there should be a minimal extent of F migration during analysis (Stormer et al., 1993; Goldoff et al., 2012; Stock et al., 2015). Concentration profiles with 1-um spacing were acquired using a focused beam, but this did not produce apparent fluctuation in the counts of F, monitored through analyses. The on-peak counting times were 80s for F, Cl, S and Mg, 60s for Ce and Fe, 40s for Si, and 20s for Na, Ca and P. Natural mineral standards from Astimex Ltd were used for calibration of different elements: apatite standard for F, P, Ca; tugtupite for Cl, Na: anhydrite for S: almandine for Si, Mg: monazite for Ce. A time-dependent intensity (TDI) correction was applied to F, Cl, S and Na to mathematically correct signal variation. Concentrations were quantified using a modified ZAF matrix correction procedure (Armstrong, 1988). Background intensity was calibrated based on mean atomic number of analytical volume and continuum absorption was corrected after Donovan and Tingle (1996). Stoichiometric oxygen was calculated by cation abundance and included in the matrix correction. An absorption correction was applied for carbon coating to both the standard and sample for electrical conductivity. Detection limits for F, Cl and S are ~300 ppm, \sim 85 ppm and \sim 30 ppm, respectively. Relative errors are <1% for major elements in apatite (Ca and P), <2-3%for F and Cl, and <10% for minor elements (S, Si, Ce, Na, Mg).

The structural formula of apatite was calculated following Ketcham (2015), i.e. on the basis of 25 oxygen for the OH-rich apatite (mole fraction of OH \geq 0.5; hosted by amphibole), and 26 oxygen for the OH-poor crystals (mole fraction of OH <0.5; at other textural positions). The mole fractions of OH calculated on the basis of 25oxygen and 26-oxygen show rather small difference of <4% (Ketcham, 2015), i.e. within errors from analyses.

Abbreviations, symbols and formulations of the exchange coefficients

Abbreviations and symbols

Abbreviations used in this paper are: Ap, Apatite; Amph, amphibole; Cpx, clinopyroxene; Opx, orthopyroxene; PI, plagioclase; OI, Olivine; Biot, Biotite; Gm, groundmass; MI, melt inclusion. Symbols are defined as: anorthite value An = $\frac{Ca}{Ca+K+Na} \times 100$; magnesium number Mg# = $\frac{Mg}{Mg+Fe^*} \times 100$, and wollastonite value Wo = $\frac{Ca}{Ca+Mg+Fe^*} \times 100$. Fe^{*} indicates total Fe as Fe²⁺.

Calculation of the exchange coefficients between apatite and the melt

The exchange coefficients $K_{DOH-F}^{Ap-melt}$ and $K_{DOH-Cl}^{Ap-melt}$ were calculated using the equations of Li & Costa (2020):

$$In\left(K_{D_{OH-F}^{Ap-melt}}\right) = -\frac{1}{R \cdot T} \times \{94600 \ (\pm 5600) - 40 \ (\pm 0.1) \times T - 1000 \\ \times \left[7 \ (\pm 4) \times \left(X_{F}^{Ap} - X_{OH}^{Ap}\right) - 11 \ (\pm 7) \times X_{CI}^{Ap}\right]\}$$
(1)

and

$$In\left(\mathcal{K}_{D}_{OH-CI}^{Ap-melt}\right) = -\frac{1}{R \cdot T}$$

 \times { 72900 (±2900) - 34 (±0.3) \times T

$$-1000 \times [5 (\pm 2) \times (X_{CI}^{Ap} - X_{OH}^{Ap}) - 10 (\pm 8) \times X_{F}^{Ap}]$$
}(2)

where T is temperature (in kelvins), and apatite composition is expressed in the mole fractions of i (=F, CI, OH),

calculated using
$$X_i^{Ap} = \frac{\frac{C_i}{M_i}}{\frac{c_i^{Ap}}{\frac{c_i^{Ap}}{19} + \frac{c_i^{Ap}}{38.45} + \frac{c_i^{Ap}}{18} \times 2)}$$
 (where M_i is the

atomic mass of *i*, and C_i^{Ap} is the weight concentration of *i* determined by SIMS; cf. Li & Costa, 2020). Calculations of $K_{D OH-F}^{Ap-melt}$, $K_{D OH-Cl}^{Ap-melt}$ and melt H₂O contents following Li & Costa (2020) can be performed using the 'ApThermo' calculation tool in excel format, which is available in Supplementary Data Electronic Appendix A1.

The exchange coefficient for H_2O-CO_2 between apatite and the melt is defined as (Riker *et al.*, 2018):

$$K_{DH_2O-CO_2}^{Ap-melt} = \frac{c_{H_2O}^{Ap} \cdot c_{CO_2}^{melt}}{c_{CO_2}^{Ap} \cdot c_{H_2O}^{melt}}$$
(3)

where *c* represents the mass concentrations of H₂O, or CO₂ (expressed in subscript) in apatite, or in the melt (expressed in superscript). For calculations in this study, we take $K_{DH_2O-CO_2}^{Ap-melt} = 0.629 \pm 0.08$, determined for basaltic-andesitic melts at 1250°C and 1 GPa (Riker *et al.*, 2018).

Calculation of the exchange coefficients $K_{DCI-OH}^{Amph-melt}$ and $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt}$ between amphibole and the melt

The exchange coefficient for CI-OH between amphibole-melt is defined (on the basis of mole

fractions) as: $K_{DCI-OH}^{Amph-melt} = \frac{X_{CI}^{Amph}X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{CI}^{melt}X_{OH}^{Amph}}$. Here we have used the equation of Humphreys *et al.* (2009):

$$K_{DCI-OH}^{Amph-melt} = \exp{(8.62 - 3.46 \times Mg - 0.0062 \times T)}$$
 (4)

where Mg# is the Mg number of amphibole, and T is temperature in kelvins.

The exchange coefficient for Al–Si is defined (on the basis of mole fractions) as: $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt} = \frac{X_{Amph}^{Amph}X_{Si}^{melt}}{X_{Amph}^{melt}X_{Si}^{melt}}$. We have performed a linear regression of experimental data from the literature, and found $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt} = 0.96 \pm 0.04$ at a range of P-T-X conditions (Supplementary Data Fig. S4). This value was then used to test the equilibrium between the amphibole and liquid before applying them to geothermobarometric calculations (see below).

Calculation of the partition coefficients of H_2O between pyroxenes and the melt

For calculation of melt H₂O concentrations using pyroxenes, we have taken the formulations for the partition coefficients of H₂O between Cpx-melt ($D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}$) and Opx-melt ($D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt}$) from O'Leary *et al.* (2010):

$$\ln \left(D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt} \right) = -4.2(\pm 0.2) + 6.5 \ (\pm 0.5) \cdot X_{IV_{Al}}^{Cpx} - 1.0(\pm 0.2) \cdot X_{Ca}^{Cpx}$$
 (5)

and

$$\ln \left(D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt} \right) = -5.66(\pm 0.11) + 8.4 \ (\pm 1.1) \cdot X_{VI_{AI}}^{Opx} + 10(\pm 2) \cdot X_{Ca}^{Opx}$$
(6)

where X_i^j is the mole fraction of cation *i* (^{IV}AI, Ca, ^{VI}AI) in phase *j* (Cpx, Opx), calculated on a 6-oxygen basis (cf. O'Leary *et al.*, 2010).

PETROGRAPHICAL OBSERVATIONS

The rocks emitted in 2006, and 2010 (eruption stage 4) investigated in this study show similar bulk-rock composition of basaltic-andesitic (Table 3; more details in Costa et al., 2013). They also have a similar mineral assemblage of plagioclase (PI) + clinopyroxene (Cpx) + orthopyroxene (Opx) + amphibole (Amph) + Fe-Ti oxide + apatite (Ap) \pm olivine (OI) \pm biotite (Biot). Phenocrysts (> 500 μ m in length) occupy ~30-40 volume percent (vol.%) of the whole rocks (vesicle-free normalization; Costa et al., 2013), consisting of plagio-(~20–30 vol.%), Cpx (~5–7 vol.%), clase Amph (\leq 0.5 vol.%), Opx (\leq 0.5 vol.%), and fewer OI and Biot (<0.1 vol.%). Fe-Ti oxide and apatite crystals exist as either microphenocrysts (\sim 100–500 μ m in length), or microlites (<100 μ m in length), whereas some apatite crystals are included in other minerals.

Plagioclase phenocrysts in 2006 and 2010 rocks show a variety of textures and zoning patterns, and a broad range of An between 43 and 90 (Supplementary Data Table S4; Costa *et al.*, 2013; Cheng & Costa, 2019). Cpx phenocrysts in 2010 rocks commonly show oscillatory/sectoral zoning of Al and Mg, and some contain higher Al_2O_3 (up to 7–9 wt %) at the rim than the core (~1–2 wt %) while Mg# varying from 66 to 77. Cpx phenocrysts of 2006 are less prominently zoned and show narrower ranges of Mg# (70–77) and Al_2O_3 (1–6 wt %) (Supplementary Data Table S5). The complex zoning observed in Pl and Cpx phenocrysts of both eruptions reflect an open-system magma evolution at Merapi, where magmas migrated from depths to the shallower reservoir(s), and interacted with the more evolved and crystal-richer magmas (Costa *et al.*, 2013; Preece *et al.*, 2014; Erdmann *et al.*, 2016).

The most significant petrological differences between the rocks emitted from the two eruptions are the textures and compositions of amphibole phenocrysts and plagioclase microlites. Most of the 2006 amphibole shows reaction rims consisting of fine-grained PI + Opx + Fe-Ti oxides that are up to tens of micrometres in width, which was rarely observed for 2010 amphibole (Fig. 1; Costa et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014) and has been interpreted as evidence of a slower magma ascent in 2006 (Costa et al., 2013). Some of the 2010 amphibole shows complex zoning patterns of Al₂O₃ and Mg#, whereas the 2006 amphibole is more homogeneous (Al₂O₃: 11-12 wt. %; Mg# = 68-69). In agreement with the texture of amphiboles, the higher number intensities of microlites (PI + Cpx + Fe-Ti oxide + apatite) in stage 4 dome samples from 2010 rocks (than 2006) reflect nucleationdominated crystallization of microlites induced by a more rapid magma ascent and degassing in 2010 than in 2006 (Costa et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2016).

TEXTURAL RELATIONS AND COMPOSITIONS OF APATITE

Apatite crystals occupy \sim 0.2–0.6 vol.% of 2006 and 2010 rocks, and they occur at various textural positions (Fig. 1): some are surrounded by the glass and appear as either microlites (30–100 µm in length) or microphenocrysts (100–180 µm in length), and the rest are included in phenocrysts of other minerals, such as Amph, Cpx, Pl and Opx. The host Amph from 2010 are oscillatory zoned of Al (Al₂O₃: \sim 11–13 wt %) without any reaction rim, whereas the host Amph from 2006 is compositionally more homogeneous (Al₂O₃: \sim 12 wt %) but has a thin reaction rim (consisting of Pl + Opx + Fe–Ti oxides). The Cpx that hosts apatite has Mg# between 74 and 76; and the host Pl has An values between 53 and 74.

Apatite inclusions can be divided into two types according to their textures and sizes: Type-1 is small ($<10\,\mu$ m in length) and anhedral or needle-shape, whereas Type-2 is larger (\sim 20–80 μ m in length) and sub-hedral–euhedral in hexagonal or tabular shapes. The two types of inclusions were likely formed under different conditions: Type-1 inclusions were likely crystallised due to local supersaturation of apatite-forming elements (e.g. phosphorus) at the boundary layer between

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/petrology/article/62/4/egaa100/5941471 by University of Hong Kong Libraries user on 21 February 2023



Fig. 1. Backscattered electron images of apatite crystals that occur at various textural positions in rocks emitted from (a–f) the 2006 and (g–l) 2010 eruptions (Ap, apatite; Amph, amphibole; Cpx, clinopyroxene; Opx, orthopyroxene; PI, plagioclase; Gm, ground-mass; Mt, Magnetite; see sample labels in Table 1). Apatite inclusions show two types of morphology: Type-1 inclusions ('Ap T') are <10 µm in length and anhedral, whereas Type-2 ('Ap') inclusions are larger (mostly 20–80 µm in length) and euhedral–subhedral, and were analysed in this study (see text). Scale bars are 10 µm for panels e, f, k, I, and 100 µm for the rest.

the silicate host mineral and the melt (Harrison & Watson, 1984; Bacon, 1989), whereas Type-2 inclusions that are larger and subhedral-euhedral more likely reflect the representative melt composition. Considering this, only the larger Type-2 inclusions and the crystals in the groundmass that are also subhedral-euhedral with similar sizes were analysed and used to estimate volatile concentrations in the melt.

 H_2O and CO_2 concentrations were measured using SIMS, whereas F, Cl and S were measured using both SIMS and EPMA (Table 1; Supplementary Data Table S3). Given the larger analysis area by SIMS ($\sim 7.5 \times 7.5$

 μm^2) than by EPMA (<1 \times 1 μm^2), the SIMS data likely represent the 'bulk' volatile concentrations at crystal cores, whereas the EPMA data represent the 'local' volatile concentrations at given locations within the crystals (at rim/mantle/core). Data obtained from the two techniques are reported below for comparison.

F, CI and H₂O

Apatite crystals in 2006 and 2010 rocks show a similar range of F–Cl–H₂O concentrations, i.e. $1\cdot1-2\cdot2$ wt % F, $0\cdot4-1\cdot2$ wt % Cl and $0\cdot5-1\cdot0$ wt % H₂O (Table 1). Apatite inclusions in amphibole show about twice as much H₂O

Table 1: Volatile compositions of the apatite (determine	l by SIMS), from the Merapi	2006 and 2010 (stage 4) eruptions
---	-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

Eruption	Textural position	No.	Label	Concentr	ations (wt	%)			Mole f	fraction	
				CO ₂	H ₂ O	F	CI	S	X _F	X _{CI}	Хон
2006	in Amphibole (~12 wt % Al ₂ O ₃)	1	061506L_1, Amph5	n.d.	0·90 (4) [*]	0.94 (3)	0.37 (2)	0.15 (1)	0.309	0.065	0.626
2006	in Clinopyroxene	2	061406, Cpx3	0.09 (2)	0.52 (5)	1.95 (5)	1.08 (4)	0.06 (2)	0.538	0.160	0.302
	(Mg#: 74–76)	3	061406, Cpx1	0.031 (6)	0.52 (5)	1.96 (5)	1.08 (4)	0.05 (2)	0.536	0.159	0.305
		4	061406, Cpx_v	0.022 (5)	0.56 (6)	1.83 (5)	1.07 (4)	0.04 (1)	0.511	0.160	0.328
		5	061506L_2, Cpx2	0.014 (4)	0.51 (2)	2.06 (5)	1.01 (4)	0.033 (3)	0.561	0·148	0.291
		6	061506L_2, Cpx6	0.005 (2)	0.52 (2)	1.83 (5)	0.97 (4)	0.032 (2)	0.532	0.151	0.317
		7	061406, Cpx6	0.004 (2)	0.55 (4)	2.00 (4)	1.0 (2)	0.031 (4)	0.541	0.145	0.314
		8	061506L_2, Cpx1	0.002 (2)	0.58 (2)	1.92 (3)	0.90 (4)	0.040 (3)	0.531	0.133	0.336
		9	061506L_1, Cpx4	n.d.	0.61 (3)	1.94 (6)	0.97 (3)	0.026 (2)	0.517	0.139	0.344
		10	061506L_1, Cpx7	n.d.	0.61 (3)	1.94 (6)	0.93 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.522	0.133	0.345
		11	061506L_1, Cpx3, Ap3	n.d.	0.59 (3)	1.85 (6)	0.79(3)	0.026 (2)	0.527	0.120	0.354
		12	061506L_1, Cpx3, Ap4	n.a.	0.55(3)	1.72 (5)	0.92(4)	0.022(2)	0.508	0.146	0.346
2006	in Crowndmass	13	061506L_1, Cpx3, Ap5	n.a.	0.50(3)	1.79 (b)	0.92(4)	0.037(4)	0.510	0.120	0.342
2006	in Groundmass	14	061406 Cm Ap8	0.08(2)	0.48 (2)	Z·13 (5)	0.94 (4)	0.13(1)	0 4 4 2	0 150	0.404
		10	061406, GmApa	0.047 (0)	0.07(3)	2 20 (4)	1.0 (2)	0.039(0)	0.443	0.100	0.275
		10	061400, GmAp4	0.035(3)	0.51(2)	2.25 (4)	1.1 (2)	0.029(4)	0.578	0.151	0.275
		18	061506L 2 GmAn3	0.014(2)	0.50(2)	2.08 (6)	0.83 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.576	0.122	0.271
		19	061506L_2, Gm v	0.004(2)	0.42(2)	2.29(4)	0.93(4)	0.057(5)	0.623	0.136	0.241
		20	061506L 1, GmAp3-2-1	n.d.	0.58(3)	1.74 (7)	0.96(4)	0.027(2)	0.500	0.148	0.352
		21	061506L 1, GmAp3-1	n.d.	0.60 (3)	1.84 (6)	1.00(4)	0.024(2)	0.505	0.147	0.348
		22	061506L 1, Ap1	n.d.	0.53 (3)	2.12 (8)	0.88 (4)	0.019(2)	0.571	0.127	0.302
		23	061506L 1, GmAp5	n.d.	0.46 (3)	2.30 (8)	0.90 (4)	0.027 (4)	0.613	0.128	0.259
2010	in Amphibole	24	1011211A, Amph1	1.6 (2)	0.90 (4)	1.37 (2)	0.55 (3)	0.31 (5)	0.384	0.082	0.533
	(11–13 wt % Al ₂ O ₃)	25	10111123, Amph4-1 #1 [†]	0.24 (5)	0.91 (4)	1.17 (2)	0.55 (2)	0.090 (1)	0.345	0.087	0.569
		26	10111123, Amph4-1 #2 [†]	n.d.	0.98 (3)	1.24 (4)	0.54 (2)	0.19 (2)	0.346	0.081	0.573
		27	10111123, Amph4-2	n.d.	0.93 (4)	1.12 (5)	0.41 (2)	0·18 (2)	0.339	0.066	0.595
2010	in Clinopyroxene	28	1011211A, Cpx5-1	0.03 (2)	0.59 (2)	1.99 (4)	1.18 (4)	0.041 (6)	0.515	0.163	0.322
	(Mg# = 74–76)	29	11051903, Cpx8, Ap1	0.017 (3)	0.55 (2)	2.06 (4)	1.05 (4)	0.11 (2)	0.546	0.149	0.305
		30	11051903, Cpx8, Ap2	0.012 (2)	0.55 (2)	2.07 (4)	1.03 (4)	0.030 (5)	0.549	0.146	0.305
		31	11051903, Cpx8, Ap3	0.017 (3)	0.55 (2)	2.10 (4)	1.04 (4)	0.037 (5)	0.551	0.146	0.303
		32	11051903, Cpx6	0.014 (2)	0.55 (3)	1.99 (3)	1.08 (4)	0.029 (4)	0.533	0.154	0.313
		33	1011211A, Cpx6	0.007 (2)	0.54 (2)	2.22 (4)	1.03 (4)	0.046(7)	0.566	0.141	0.293
		34	1011211A, Cpx4	0.006(2)	0.51(2)	2.13(2)	1.11(4)	0.058 (9)	0.560	0.156	0.284
		35	1011211A, Cpx5-2	0.005 (2)	0.59(2)	1.0/(5)	1.08 (4)	0.039(6)	0.507	0.147	0.33/
		30	10111123, Cpx5	n.a.	0.57 (2)	1·/9(0)	0.90(3)	0.023(2)	0.509	0.147	0.344
		3/ 20	10111123, Cpx6, Ap2	n.u.	0.57(2)	2.00 (3)	1.01 (3)	0.026(2)	0.535	0.144	0.217
2010	in Plagioclase	30	10111123, CDX0, AD3	n.u.	0.55 (2)	1.84 (6)	0.03 (3)	0.020(2)	0.527	0.149	0.330
2010	$(\Delta n = 53 - 74)$	10	10111123,113 10111123 Pl1 Ap1	n.u.	0.33(2)	1.24 (4)	0.35(3) 0.75(2)	0.057(4)	0.482	0.157	0.361
	$(AII = 33^{-7} +)$	41	10111123 Pl1 Ap2	n d	0.53(2)	1.80 (7)	0.97(2)	0.18(2)	0.525	0.151	0.325
2010	in Groundmass	42	1011211A. GmAp8	0.07(1)	0.55(2)	2.09(4)	1.07(4)	0.047(7)	0.548	0.150	0.302
2010		43	1011211A, GmAp7	0.039(5)	0.54(2)	2.12(4)	1.04(4)	0.07(1)	0.556	0.145	0.298
		44	11051903, GmAp6 #1	0.018 (3)	0.55 (2)	2.07 (4)	1.08 (4)	0.037 (5)	0.544	0.152	0.304
		45	11051903, GmAp6 #2	0.012 (2)	0.55 (3)	2.05 (4)	1.09 (4)	0.12 (2)	0.542	0.154	0.304
		46	10111123, GmAp3 #1	n.d.	0.57 (2)	1.97 (6)	1.03 (3)	0.022 (2)	0.528	0.148	0.324
		47	10111123, GmAp3 #2	n.d.	0.57 (2)	1.95 (6)	1.01 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.527	0.147	0.326
		48	10111123, GmAp8	n.d.	0.62 (2)	1.98 (6)	0.99 (3)	0.025 (2)	0.520	0.139	0.341
		49	10111123, GmAp9	n.d.	0.60 (2)	1.96 (5)	1.00 (3)	0.13 (1)	0.523	0.142	0.335
		50	10111123, GmAp6	n.d.	0.60 (2)	1.90 (6)	0.97 (3)	0.14 (2)	0.516	0.141	0.343

n.d., not determined.

*Values in parenthesis represent the 1 σ standard deviation of volatile measurements (of the last digit).

[†]#1 and #2 represent analyses of different positions within the same crystal.

(0.9–1.0 wt %) and only half F (1.1–1.3 wt %), compared to the apatite in Cpx, PI and groundmass (mean: ~0.5 wt % H₂O, ~2.0 wt % F). Comparison between the concentrations determined from SIMS and EPMA show similar ranges of F–CI contents, and larger differences in H₂O (up to 25%, relative). Compared to the H₂O measurements from SIMS (H₂O_M), those calculated from stoichiometry (H₂O_{Calc}) based on the EPMA data show a wider range (Fig. 6), possibly related to the

larger error in H₂O_{Calc} that were propagated from the error in major element concentrations determined by EPMA, and the uncertainty in the assumption of a stoichiometric anion site of apatite (Schettler *et al.*, 2011; Jones *et al.*, 2014; McCubbin & Ustunisk, 2018). Considering this, we only used H₂O, F and Cl concentrations determined by SIMS to calculate the mole fractions of OH, F and Cl in apatite (X_{OH}^{Ap} , X_{F}^{Ap} , X_{Cl}^{Ap}) and the melt volatile budgets hereafter.



Fig. 2. CI–OH–F ternary diagrams for apatite crystals from the 2006 (empty symbols) and 2010 (solid symbols in red) eruption deposits (mole fractions calculated using the SIMS data in Table 1). (a) shows all the data determined from this study, compared to volatile compositions of apatite in different types of rocks from the literature (adapted from Li & Costa, 2020). (b–e) show crystals at different textural positions in different symbols (see legend). The crystals from 2006 and 2010 show similar range of CI–OH–F, and similar bimodal distribution of F–OH: those included in Amph are higher in OH and lower in F, within the range of mafic plutonic apatite (grey field in a), whereas those in Cpx, PI, and groundmass are lower in OH, similar to apatite from other volcanic rocks (red line in panel a). Errors bars show ± 1 s.d. of volatile measurements by SIMS (most errors within the symbol size).

The 2006 and 2010 apatite show a similar bimodal distribution of F-CI-OH (Fig. 2; Table 1): crystals in Amph are OH-rich and F-poor ($X_{OH}^{Ap} = 55-63\%$, $X_{F}^{Ap} =$ 30–38%, $X_{Cl}^{Ap} =$ 7–9%), with similar composition to apatite from mafic plutonic rocks (e.g. gabbro; Boudreau, 1995), whereas crystals in Cpx, PI and Gm are lower in OH and higher in F ($X_{OH}^{Ap} = 26-40\%$, $X_{F}^{Ap} = 50-60\%$, $X_{Cl}^{Ap} = 12-16\%$, with similar composition to apatite from other volcanic systems (e.g. Peng et al., 1997; Webster et al., 2009; Van Hoose et al., 2013; Scott et al., 2015; Stock et al., 2016, 2018). The distinct F-Cl-OH compositions of crystals that occur at different textural positions implies that they may have crystallised or equilibrated at different P-T-X conditions. This is in agreement with the observations of multiple magma storagecrystallization zones at Merapi (e.g. Chadwick et al., 2013; Costa et al., 2013, Preece et al., 2014; Peters et al., 2017; Widiyantoro et al., 2018), where different minerals are stable at different conditions: the OH-rich apatite in Amph likely grew from a H₂O-rich melt at greater depth, compared to the OH-poor crystals in Cpx, PI and Gm (see calculations below).

The most significant compositional difference between the 2006 and 2010 apatite is the Cl-rich zones that were only observed from the rims of the microlites in 2006 rocks (Fig. 3), but not from any crystal in 2010 rocks (Fig. 4). The Cl-rich zones in 2006 apatite have length of \sim 3–7 µm, and appear only parallel to the long directions of the crystals, i.e. nearly parallel to the crystal c-axis (//c) (see interpretations in Discussion section). F zoning was found in the rim of one apatite microlite from 2006 (Fig. 3), whereas there seems to be no zoning or heterogeneity of OH. Scanning ion images acquired from some crystals show abnormally high OH/F/CI intensities at the cracks and/or analysis positions of EPMA, which more likely reflect interferential signals due to microtomography/damage on the sample surface, rather than zoning (Figs 3 and 4).

CO_2

Apatite crystals in Cpx, PI and groundmass of 2006 and 2010 show a similar range of CO_2 concentrations between 40–900 ppm (detection limits: ~20 ppm; Table 1). Two crystals in 2010 Amph show the highest CO_2 contents of ~2400 ppm and ~16000 ppm, respectively (Fig. 5a; Table 1). We were not able to determine the CO_2 for the amphibole-hosted apatite from 2006, because the only crystal at such textural position in our samples were analysed with SIMS before (for H₂O, F, CI and S), leaving insufficient space for the later analysis of CO_2 .

Sulfur

Sulfur concentrations in apatite (measured by SIMS) from the two eruptions show an overlapping range, where the apatite in Amph shows higher S (2006: ~1510 ppm; 2010: ~900–3120 ppm) than the apatite in Cpx, Pl and groundmass (2006: ~190–1300 ppm; 2010: ~170–1750 ppm) (Table 1). Most crystals show oscillatory S zoning with narrow (<1–5 μ m in width) S-rich bands (~500–1000 ppm S) at their mantles/rims (Figs 3 and 4). S in apatite can have multiple valances (S²⁻, S¹⁺, S⁴⁺, S⁶⁺) depending on the oxidization condition of the magmatic system (Konecke *et al.*, 2017, 2019), i.e. mainly S²⁻ at/below FQM buffer (fayalite–magnetite–quartz buffer), and > 95% S⁶⁺ out of the total S at \geq FMQ + 1·2.



Fig. 3. Compositional distribution of F, CI, S and OH in the groundmass apatite of 2006, shown in X-ray maps from EPMA analyses (panels a–c), and secondary ion maps from SIMS analyses (panels a and b) (see crystal labels in Table 1). Most crystals show Clrich rims (red colour, higher concentration; blue colour, lower concentration) nearly parallel to the c-axes, but not parallel to the aaxes (panels a–c). One crystal shows high F at the rim (panel c). There is no obvious zoning of OH. Some of the secondary ion maps for OH⁻/Cl⁻/F⁻ show abnormally high or low signals at the cracks or pit locations of previous EPMA analyses (panels a and b), likely reflecting artefacts from analyses (see text). Most crystals show oscillatory S zoning (panels a, c).

Given the oxidization condition of Merapi magmas at \sim FMQ+0.6 to +2.2 above 400 MPa (Gertisser, 2001) and \sim NNO+1 (NNO = nickle-nickle oxide buffer) in the shallow reservoir (Erdmann *et al.*, 2016), and a much more favorable substitution of S⁶⁺ than S²⁻ into apatite (Kim *et al.*, 2017), there should be a larger proportion of S⁶⁺ than the S of other valences in apatite from this study (see discussion). There are multiple ways to incorporate S⁶⁺ at the tetrahedral site of the apatite structure (see review by Pan & Fleet, 2002). Electron microprobe analysis of crystals from this study show

strong positive correlations between S-Na and S-Si concentrations, reflecting a dominant substitution reaction as: $S^{6+} + Na^+ + Si^{4+} + 2Ce^{3+} \iff 3P^{5+} + Ca^{2+}$ (Parat *et al.*, 2011).

Non-volatile elements

The apatite in Amph of 2006 and 2010 are much lower in Ce_2O_3 (0.01–0.03 wt %) than those included in anhydrous minerals and in the groundmass (0.1–0.3 wt %) (Fig. 6; see data in Supplementary Data Table S3).



Fig. 4. Compositional distribution of F, CI, OH, and S in the 2010 apatite: (a) included in Amph, (b) included in Cpx, (c) included in PI, and (d) in the groundmass (see crystal labels in Table 1). Most crystals show oscillatory S zoning (panels b–d), but the crystal in Amph (panel a) shows higher S at the core than the rim. There is no obvious zoning of CI/F/OH. Some maps for OH⁻ show abnormal signals at the cracks or pit locations of previous EPMA analyses, as was observed from the 2006 apatite (Fig. 3).

Considering that Ce is an incompatible element and its partitions into apatite is more favorable at lower *T*s with more silicic melts (Watson & Green, 1981), the Ce-rich apatite in Cpx/Pl/Gm should have grown from either cooler or more silicic melts (or both), compared to the Ce-poor apatite in Amph. This is also in agreement with the multiple P-T-X conditions reflected by the bimodal distribution of H₂O-CO₂-F in these crystals (see above). The Ce-poor crystals show high S contents (Fig. 6c), consistent with those observed in CL images (reflecting intensities of REEs) and x-ray maps of S where low REE intensities correspond to high S (Fig. 4). This implies that the incorporation of Ce and REEs into these crystals

were not dominated by the substitution reaction shown above for S.

COMPOSITIONS OF MELTS

With volatile compositions determined for apatite, we calculate the melt H_2O-CO_2 budgets following the method of Li & Costa (2020). To carry out these calculations we need to know halogen concentrations in the melt that equilibrated with apatite, and the temperature. In this section we report the abundances of F, Cl and also major/minor elements in the melt, determined from analysis of the bulk rocks, interstitial glass, and



Fig. 5. (a) C–OH–F and (b) S–OH–F ternary diagrams for apatite crystals of the 2006 and 2010 eruptions (see legend). C and S concentrations were multiplied by 10 for ease of comparison. Apatite crystals in 2010 amphibole (red diamonds within areas marked in red dashed curves) show higher OH, C and S than the rest of crystals (in Cpx, Pl and groundmass) from the two eruptions. CO₂ data was not obtained from any crystal in 2006 amphibole nor in the 2006 and 2010 plagioclase, due to their limited numbers in the investigated rocks.



Fig. 6. Ce_2O_3 concentrations in apatite (by EPMA) vs (a) CO_2 , (b) H_2O , (c) S, (d) F and (e) CI (by SIMS; see symbol legend at the bottom; see data in **Supplementary Data Table S3**). The CO_2 -, H_2O - and S-rich crystals in Amph (marked in diamonds) are much lower in Ce than the crystals at other textural positions. The concentrations of H_2O , F, Cl and S determined by SIMS are within the ranges of those acquired for a larger number of crystals using EPMA (crystal textural positions marked in same symbol shapes as used for crystals analysed by SIMS, but in different colors: 2010, empty with pink edges; 2006, empty with grey edges). Error bars show ± 1 s.d. of the concentrations determined by SIMS (for volatiles) and by EPMA (for Ce).

Table 2: Composition o	f the Cpx-hosted g	glass inclusions measured	using EPMA
			0

		Conce	ntration	ı (wt %),	normali	ized to 1	00% an	hydrou	IS				Concen (ppm)	tration
Eruption 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006	Label cpx7_incl1 [†] cpx4_incl3 cpx4_incl3 cpx4_incl3 cpx3-incl1 pair-clB-incl1	SiO ₂ 69 13 65 59 65 87 65 50 66 25 68 12	TiO ₂ 0·48 0·51 0·43 0·40 0·39 0·46	Al ₂ O ₃ 16·39 16·22 15·99 16·12 17·10 16·55	FeO [*] 2.13 3.03 2.99 3.10 2.85 1.97	MnO 0-13 0-16 0-13 0-15 0-16 0-08	MgO 0.61 0.32 0.29 0.32 0.34 0.81	CaO 1.60 1.09 1.04 1.13 1.13 2.28	Na ₂ O 4·93 6·94 6·98 7·11 5·38 4·69	K ₂ O 4·48 6·01 6·12 6·07 6·26 4·88	P ₂ O ₅ 0·11 0·13 0·15 0·10 0·14 0·16	Total 94-78 96-94 96-62 96-60 99-59 94-91	Cl 2536 2377 2611 2487 2059 2472	S 205 104 81 124 124 121
2006 2006 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010	pair-clB-incl1 pair-clB-incl2 cpx5_incl2 cpx6_incl2 cpx6_incl2 cpx6_incl4 cpx6_incl5 cpx6_incl5 cpx6_incl5 cpx6_incl5 cpx6_incl5	68 25 68 07 65 98 68 03 68 04 68 34 67 19 67 52 68 47 67 76 67 76	0.42 0.41 0.59 0.53 0.50 0.45 0.45 0.62 0.47 0.41	16.94 16.12 16.62 15.66 15.89 16.77 16.29 16.45 16.88 16.50 15.97	2.12 2.75 4.03 3.74 3.69 2.61 2.96 2.78 3.02 2.36 2.61	0.10 0.13 0.19 0.15 0.12 0.07 0.13 0.16 0.13 0.16 0.13	0.48 0.37 0.41 0.54 0.46 0.38 0.18 0.26 0.23 0.17 0.26	1.68 0.96 2.19 1.36 1.20 1.24 0.71 0.78 0.77 0.72	4.86 4.96 4.52 4.84 4.76 4.93 6.31 5.78 4.31 6.31 4.1	4.98 6.11 5.30 5.11 5.25 5.12 5.44 5.53 5.58 5.58 5.57 6.00	0.17 0.13 0.17 0.05 0.09 0.11 0.21 0.12 0.14 0.13 0.07	95.76 99.99 96.38 97.51 97.57 97.33 96.35 95.05 94.31 95.25 98.46	2504 2842 2649 2549 2491 2870 2697 2792 2756 2474	184 18 68 80 172 532 258 222 242 117 214
2010 2010	cpx-opx-pair1-incl1 cpx-opx-pair1-incl2	67∙29 65∙44	0∙47 0∙42	15∙97 17∙26	3∙61 3∙88	0₊16 0₊18	0·26 0·53	1.67 1.90	4∙41 4∙57	6∙09 5∙73	0·07 0·09	98∙46 98∙49	1823 2229	214 33

*Total Fe as Fe²⁺.

[†]Data marked in bold were acquired for melt inclusions hosted by Cpx crystals that also contain apatite. Mean Cl concentrations in these melt inclusions were considered as representative of Cl contents in the melt that equilibrated with Cpx-host apatite.

glass inclusions (in Cpx) in this study and from the literature, combined with a series of calculations. The temperature derived from multiple calculation methods are reported in following sections.

Major and minor elements

The SiO₂ content increases from 54-55 wt % in the bulk rocks, through 65-69 wt % in the Cpx-hosted MIs (Table 2), to 68-72 wt % in the interstitial glass (Table 3). The MIs analysed in this study and the majority of those from the literature have \geq 64 wt % SiO₂ (Fig. 7; Costa et al., 2013; Nadeau et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014). The exceptions are some MIs hosted by amphibole from unknown eruption(s) (Nadeau et al., 2013) which show 52-58 wt % SiO₂, and apparently lower Mg and higher Ca and P compared to the bulk rocks, implying the MIs may be formed by melts that are compositionally different from those emitted from 2006 and 2010 eruptions. To better evaluate the range of liquid compositions from the Merapi system, we subtracted the phenocrysts from the bulk rock of the 2010 eruption, and obtained a calculated groundmass that has \sim 58 wt % SiO₂, and its major-element composition follows the linear trend between bulk rocks and the interstitial glass in Harker diagrams (Fig. 7). The liquid compositions lying on the linear trends between the bulk rocks and interstitial glass (Supplementary Data Table S6) were used in the amphibole-liquid geothermobarometry calculations as described in the next section.

F and Cl

F concentrations in 2010 bulk rocks are below the detection limit of the applied technique (see methods), i.e. \leq 0.01 wt %, and thus they were not considered hereafter.

F concentrations in 2006 and 2010 interstitial glass are \sim 700–900 ppm, within the range of data from the literature (2010 glass: 180–2640 ppm; 2006 glass: <2350 ppm; Preece *et al.*, 2014). F concentrations in MIs from the literature also show a broad range, i.e. 140–2390 ppm for 2010, and 40–2050 ppm for 2006 (Preece *et al.*, 2014), but these values do not seem to show any obvious variation trend with melt evolution (Fig. 8b).

CI concentrations measured from 2010 bulk rocks are 500-700 ppm, overlapping with those in 2010 ash samples (i.e. 550-1120 ppm; Borisova et al., 2013). The amphibole-hosted primitive MIs from unknown eruptions (Nadeau et al., 2013) show higher CI contents than 2010 bulk rocks, likely reflecting different source magmas as were also seen from their major element compositions (see above). The interstitial glass of 2006 and 2010 contain similar Cl concentrations of 1500-1700 ppm, within the range of literature values (i.e. 900-3550 ppm for 2010, and 1000-2920 ppm for 2006; Preece et al., 2014), but lower than the CI contents in MIs that we analysed (i.e. 1820-2870 ppm), and from the literature (i.e. 2060-5130 ppm; Nadeau et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014). The lower abundances of CI in the interstitial glass than in MIs indicates CI loss from our samples, likely due to CI partitioning into vapor/fluid phase(s) at low pressures before/during eruption (e.g. Webster et al., 2009; Allard, 2010; Preece et al., 2014). Using the Cl solubility model of Webster et al. (2015), we find that the interstitial glass from the two eruptions (at 1 bar) could contain >4500 ppm Cl, meaning that the 'missing' portion of CI likely went into a hydro-saline liquid (rather than a vapor), which is supported by Li enrichment in melt inclusions that reflect possible re-equilibration with a Li-rich brine phase (Preece et al., 2014). The Cl exsolution into hydro-saline liquids may occur at ~5 km

	Data source	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	AI_2O_3	FeO*	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ 0	P_2O_5	Total (anhydrous)	
2006 Glass (N = 5) 2010 Glass (N = 3)	This study	72·48	0.53 0.57	13.96 15.97	2·47 3.10	0.10 0.13	0.19 0.37	0.60 1.70	3.62 3.77	5.94 5.43	0.11 0.23	100.00 100.00	
2010 Bulk rock	[1]	54·43	0.77	19.10	8.30	0.20	2.56	8.60	3.71	2.02	0.32	100.00	
Minerals from 2010) rocks	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	FeO*	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	P ₂ O ₅	Total	Modal abundance (in volume)
PI [†]	[2]	53.93	0.00	28.83	0.51	0.00	0.00	11.35	4.61	0.34	0.00	99·56	25%
Срх	[3]	51.90	0.36	2.09	8.83	0.64	14.73	20.83	0.35	0.01	0.00	99.74	5.9%
Mgt	[1]	0.10	9 ·28	2.93	78·01	0.90	2.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	93 .88	2.0%
Орх	[3]	53·87	0.15	0.75	18.40	1.34	24.23	1.45	0.02	0.01	0.00	100.22	0.5%
Amph	[1]	39.46	2.68	12.44	12.89	0·27	12.81	11.60	3.08	0.95	0.00	96·18	0.4%
Ар	This study	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	54·50	0.08	0.00	41.40	96.53	0.3%
01	[1]	37.81	0.00	0.00	25.97	1.16	34.06	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	99·12	≤ 0.1%
Biot	[1]	36.99	5.22	14.36	15.24	0.25	14.45	0.00	0.84	8·79	0.00	96·14	\leq 0.1%
Calc, groundmass	(2010) [‡]	58.18	0.57	18.37	6.89	0.19	2 ∙18	6·47	3.95	2.93	0·27	100.00	66%

Table 3: Representative major elements concentrations (wt %) of the bulk rocks, matrix glass and minerals, and calculated groundmass

*Total Fe as Fe²⁺.

[†]Compositions of minerals and bulk rocks were normalized to 100% (anhydrous) to calculate the groundmass composition (see text).

[†]Calculation for the groundmass composition is described in the main text. Modal abundances of minerals were reported by [1]. Data sources: [1] Costa *et al.* (2013); [2] Cheng & Costa (2019); [3] Erdmann *et al.* (2016).

depth (Nadeau *et al.*, 2013), or at the conduit level where a hydrothermal system has been detected by electrical resistivity tomography (Byrdina *et al.*, 2017).

Given the halogen loss from the interstitial glass and bulk rocks, we did not use their concentrations for any calculation. Instead, we use the MI data from our analyses and from the literature, together with a fractionation model (see below) to estimate halogen contents of the less fractionated liquids. First, we use the MIs of 2010 reported by Preece *et al.* (2014) that have similar SiO₂ concentrations to the interstitial glass (i.e. ~68 wt %) as the representative of the most differentiated melts, which have on average ~2810 (\pm 250) ppm Cl, and ~860 (\pm 200) ppm F. With these values, we calculated the halogen contents of the less differentiated melts, using a Rayleigh fractionation model (cf. Philpotts & Ague, 2009):

$$C_i^I = C_i^o \cdot \varphi^{\overline{D}_{i-1}} \tag{7}$$

where C_i^l and C_i^o are the concentrations of i (= F, CI) in the residual and the initial melts respectively, φ is the mass fraction of the residual melts, and \overline{D}_i is the bulk distribution coefficient of *i* between crystals and the melt. \overline{D}_i in Eq. 7 was calculated using

$$\overline{D}_i = \sum D_i^{c/m} \cdot w_i^c \tag{8}$$

where $D_i^{c/m}$ is distribution coefficient of *i* between mineral *c* (= Cpx, Opx, PI, Amph, OI, Ap; Table 4) and the melt, and w_i^c is the fraction of the modal abundance of mineral *c* out of that of all crystals.

Using $D_i^{c/m}$ measured from previous experiments (Table 4), and the modal abundances of minerals in Merapi 2010 rocks (Costa *et al.*, 2013; Table 4), we find $\overline{D}_F \cong 0.382$ and $\overline{D}_{Cl} \cong 0.050$. The φ value of the residual liquid was calculated using K₂O concentrations in

residual and initial liquids, where potassium is assumed to be perfectly incompatible ($\overline{D}_K \approx 0$). The calculated φ values are 0.38 (±0.02) for the interstitial glass, and 0.70 (±0.03) for the groundmass (Table 5). The difference (of ~0.32) between the two φ values should reflect the extent of microlite crystallization before the 2010 eruption, which matches with the microlite crystallinity observed in rocks that were emitted from same eruption stage (in 2010) as our samples (Preece *et al.*, 2016).

Using the parameter values above and Eq.7, we found that the 'hypothetical' crystal-free liquid (with composition equivalent to the basaltic-andesitic bulk rock) could have ~1120 ppm Cl, and ~470 ppm F, whereas the andesitic groundmass could have ~1600 ppm Cl, and ~600 ppm F (Table 5). Moreover, we found that the value of melt Cl/F increases with melt differentiation (e.g. from ~1.3 for the bulk rock, to ~1.7 for the interstitial glass), because Cl is more incompatible than F (Table 4). The Cl/F in melts calculated from the fractional model above can be compared with that yielded by apatite to evaluate whether a liquid was in equilibrium with a given apatite crystal (e.g. in Amph, Cpx, Pl and groundmass).

WATER IN PYROXENE AND PARTITION RELATION BETWEEN PYROXENES AND THE MELT

The Cpx and Opx crystals contain ~10–280 ppm, and ~30–70 ppm H₂O respectively (by SIMS; Supplementary Data Fig. S4; Supplementary Data Table S5). The Cpx of 2010 shows on average higher H₂O concentration (mean: ~87 ppm) than the 2006 Cpx crystals (mean: ~34 ppm). The highest H₂O in Cpx was ~280 ppm from a glomerocryst of 2010. Using the major-element compositions of Cpx and Opx, we calculated the partition

Table 4: Distribution coefficients $D_i^{c/m}$ for F and CI between different minerals and the melt

Mineral	w [†]	$D_F^{c/m}$	$D_{Cl}^{c/m}$	Data sources
PI	0.77	0.098	0.0014	[1]
Срх	0 18	0.114	0.011	[2]
Орх	0.015	0.056	0.02	[2]
Amph	0.012	0.635	0.378	[2]
01	0.003	0.0026	0.0003	[3]
Ap [*]	0.012	25	4	This study
Calculated 050	l bulk distr	ibution coef	fficients: \overline{D}_{F}	$=$ 0.382, $\overline{D}_{Cl} = 0$

*Distribution coefficients calculated using the mean halogens contents of the melt inclusions (Table 2) and of the apatite in Cpx/Pl/Gm.

[†]Weight fraction of each mineral out of all crystals.

Data sources: [1] Dalou *et al.* (2012); [2] Dalou *et al.* (2014); [3] Hauri *et al.* (2006).

coefficients of H₂O between Cpx-melt ($D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}$) and between Opx-melt ($D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt}$) using Eqns. 5–6 (see above). We find $D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt} \approx 0.011-0.014$ for the 2006 Cpx, and a wider range of $D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}$ of 0.011–0.024 for 2010 Cpx. The calculated $D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt}$ values are between 0.005 and 0.014. The calculated $D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}$ and $D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt}$ values and the concentrations of H₂O in pyroxenes determined by SIMS (see above) were used to estimate H₂O concentrations in the melt (see below).

CALCULATIONS ON TEMPERATURES

To calculate melt H₂O concentrations based on apatitemelt volatile partitioning, i.e. $K_{DOH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ (Eqns. 1 and 2), we need to know the temperature of apatite-melt equilibration. The equilibrium temperature can be constrained by mainly two methods: (1) calculation of apatite saturation temperature (AST) using existing empirical equation (e.g. Piccoli & Candela, 1994); and (2) calculation of the crystallization/equilibrium Ts for minerals that host apatite inclusions. In this section, we report the ASTs calculated for the groundmass apatite, and the Ts for the apatite in amphibole estimated using amphibole-liquid geothermobarometry of Putirka (2016). The Ts for the apatite in Cpx and PI were constrained by other methods, and are reported in the next section where we summarize all the parameter values used in calculations of melt H₂O contents.

Apatite saturation temperature

The empirical equation for calculating the apatite saturation temperature (AST; Piccoli & Candela, 1994) is expressed as: $AST = \frac{26400C'_{SiO_2} - 4800}{12.4C'_{SiO_2} - ln(C'_{P_2O_5}) - 3.97'}$, where C'_{SiO_2} and $C'_{P_2O_5}$ are the weight fractions of SiO₂ and P₂O₅ in the melt at the temperature at which apatite started to crystallize. We have noticed that the calculated AST is very sensitive to the melt P₂O₅ contents. As a result,

calculations using the wide range of P₂O₅ concentrations determined for the dacitic–rhyolitic MIs from Merapi rocks (0.02–0.3 wt %; Fig. 7) would yield ASTs that span a wide range that may be biased from the real temperatures of apatite-melt equilibration. Considering this, we only use the measured interstitial glass compositions (Table 3) to calculate the AST, and consider them as rough estimates of temperatures at which the groundmass apatite equilibrated with the melt. The AST calculated for the groundmass apatite in 2010 and 2006 rocks are ~980°C and ~940°C, respectively. Errors in the calculated AST are ~10°C, considering errors in the concentrations of P₂O₅ (7–8% relative) and SiO₂ (<0.4% relative) from EPMA of the glass.

Amphibole-liquid geothermobarometric calculations

Amphibole has been widely used for constraining the magmatic temperatures and pressures (Hammarstrom & Zen 1986; Hollister et al., 1987; Johnson & Rutherford 1989; Blundy & Holland 1990; Schmidt 1992; Holland & Blundy 1994; Anderson & Smith 1995; Anderson 1996; Ridolfi et al., 2010; Ridolfi & Renzulli, 2012; Putirka, 2016). Here we use the amphibole-liquid geothermobarometer of Putirka (2016) and the amphibole-only geothermobarometer of Ridolfi & Renzulli (2012) to calculate temperatures and pressures (Table 6). Calculation with the amphibole-only method is straightforward, whereas that with the amphibole-liquid geothermobarometry requires compositions of the melt that equilibrated with amphibole to be known. The amphibole-melt equilibrium can be tested using a parameter that varies little at different P-T-X conditions, however we noticed that the parameter proposed by Putirka (2016), i.e. $K_{DFe-Mg}^{Amph-melt}$, varies in a wide range from 0.16 to 0.38. Considering this, we have used another test parameter, i.e. $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt}$, which was proposed to be less varied with T (Sisson & Grove, 1993). To determine the values of $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt}$ at a wide range of P-T-X conditions, we have performed a least-squares regression on the experimental data reported in Sisson & Grove (1993) and from other studies (Scaillet & Evans, 1999; Pichavant et al., 2002; Grove et al., 2003; Rutherford & Devine, 2003; Costa et al., 2004; Sato et al., 2004). The calculated $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt}$ is equal to 0.96 (± 0.04) (Supplementary Data Fig. S5), and was used to test the amphibole-melt equilibrium as shown below.

Using $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amph-melt} = 0.96$, we find that the 2006 and 2010 amphiboles with molar Al/Si = 0.34–0.39 should be in equilibrium with melts that have molar Al/Si = 0.35–0.41 (Table 6). Based on the linear correlation between Al/Si and SiO₂ of bulk rocks, melt inclusions and glass, the melt in equilibrium with amphibole should have ~54–59 (±2) wt % SiO₂, within the compositional range between bulk rocks and the groundmass, and less silicic than MIs (Fig. 9b; Table 2). Liquid compositions were determined from the SiO₂ values above and

Tab	le 5: f	and (CI	concentrations	of	the m	nelt ca	cu	lated	l using a	a F	Ray	lei	gh	fract	ionati	on m	node	el
-----	---------	-------	----	----------------	----	-------	---------	----	-------	-----------	-----	-----	-----	----	-------	--------	------	------	----

Melt	K ₂ O (wt %)	ϕ^{*} (calc)	F (ppm)	CI (ppm)	mole Cl/F
Differentiated melt [†]	$\begin{array}{c} 5\cdot 38\pm 0\cdot 21^{\$}\\ 2\cdot 04\pm 0\cdot 02\\ 2\cdot 7-3\cdot 1\\ 4\cdot 8-5\cdot 0 ~^{\P}\end{array}$	0·36–0·40	860 (±200)	2810 (±250)	1.8 (±0.3)
Bulk rock		1	480 (±170)	1120 (±100)	1.3 (±0.3)
Groundmass (calc) [‡]		0·68–0·72	600 (±210)	1580 (±140)	1.4 (±0.3)
MIs in Cpx (mean)		0·41–0·43	810 (±280)	2570 (±230)	1.7 (±0.3)

*Fraction of differentiated liquid (φ) calculated using Eq. 7 and the K₂O concentration in the melt, assuming $\varphi = 1$ for bulk rock. [†]K₂O concentration of the interstitial glass and halogens are the median F and Cl contents in glass inclusions.

(Preece *et al.*, 2014) with equivalent SiO₂ to the interstitial glass of the 2010 rocks.

[‡]Calculated from mass balance (Table 3).

[§]Values in bold from measurements, whereas the rest calculated from the Rayleigh fractionation model.

[¶]Calculated using Eq. 7 and the mean of Cl concentration in glass inclusions (Table 2).



Fig. 7. Harker diagrams showing major element concentrations (wt %) of the interstitial glass (GL), melt inclusions (MI) and bulk rocks (WR) (see symbols in legend; see data in Tables 2 and 3). The calculated groundmass composition of 2010 (red stars) falls on the linear trends between the bulk rocks and the interstitial glass. Literature data are for the Cpx-hosted MIs of 2006^{3,4} and 2010^{1,3}, and for the bulk rocks² and MIs (hosted by Cpx and Amph)⁴ of historical eruptions. Data sources: ¹Costa et al, 2013; ²Gertisser & Keller, 2003; ³Preece *et al.*, 2014; ⁴Nadeau *et al.*, 2013.



Fig. 8. Melt SiO₂ contents vs (a) Cl, (b) F, and (c) Cl/F of the melt (symbols same as in Fig. 7). The interstitial glass of 2006 and 2010 (triangles) have lower F and Cl concentrations than most melt inclusions, indicating some extent of Cl loss from the glass. We take the mean halogen contents measured for dacitic-rhyolitic Mls (grey circles) as the felsic endmember, and use these values and a Rayleigh fractionation model (see text) to calculate halogen contents of the phenocryst-free primitive melt (grey squares) and the groundmass (grey stars). The melt Cl/F values increase with fractional crystallization (panel c), because Cl is more incompatible than F (Table 5).

mass-balance calculations (Supplementary Data Table S6). Using the most precise equations among those suggested by Putirka (2016) (Eqns. 4a and 4b for T, and Eq.7a for P), we find 950–1000°C, 580–850 MPa from 2010 amphibole, and 960–980°C, 560–590 MPa from 2006 amphibole (Table 6). These *P*–*T* estimates were calculated using 5 wt % H₂O in melt, and we notice that the value of melt H₂O has negligible effect on *T*, but larger effect on *P* (e.g. the *T* and *P* calculated using 6 wt% H₂O are higher of ~3°C, and 40–100 MPa than those reported above). Compared to *P*–*T* estimates above, calculations using the amphibole-only thermobarometry of Ridolfi & Renzulli (2012) show similar *T*s

(\approx 950–1020 °C) but lower *P*s (\approx 340–510 MPa) (Table 6). Here we have taken the mean values of *T*s yielded by the two methods in the calculation of K_Ds for the apatite in amphibole.

PARAMETER VALUES USED FOR ESTIMATION OF MELT WATER CONTENTS

Parameter values needed for calculating the exchange coefficients $K_{DOH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ (Eqs. 1 and 2) and melt water contents are: (1) apatite volatile composition (determined by SIMS), (2) melt Cl and/or F concentrations (calculated above with a Rayleigh fractionation model), and (3)

Table 6: Representative amphibole	compositions determi	ned by EPMA, and cale	culated temperatures and	pressures
--	----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	-----------

Eruption deposits	2010				2006	
Sample Label	10111	123, Amph4	10112	11A, Amph1	061506	6L 1, Amph5
Concentration (wt %)	Max [§]	Min ^s	Max	Min	Max	Min
SiO ₂	40.79	40.23	38.86	38.60	40.40	40.55
TiO ₂	2.42	2.22	2.68	2.66	2.58	2.53
Al ₂ O ₃	11.90	13.13	12.73	12.95	12·00	12.08
FeO*	12.95	13·45	12.69	12.87	10.98	10.95
MnO	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.22	0.25
MgO	12.65	12·8	12·82	12.63	13·41	13.02
CaO	11.75	11.62	11.63	11.80	11.43	11.32
Na ₂ O	2.35	2.37	3.41	3.39	2.28	2.35
K₂Ō	1.03	1.02	0.84	0.92	0.95	0.96
F	0.19	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.10
CI	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03
Calc H_2O^*	1.77	1.85	1.79	1.78	1.84	1.79
Sum (hydrous)	98·07	98·98	97.85	98·05	96·14	95.93
Mg#	64	63	64	64	69	68
Mole Al/Si	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.35	0.35
Mole OH/CI	179	562	241	233	362	272
Amphibole-liquid thermob	arometry using e	quations from Put	irka (2016) and th	e Al–Si equilibria		
Mole Al/Si	0.36	0.40	0.41	0.40	0.36	0.37
Melt SiO ₂ (wt %) [†]	59	56	55	55	59	59
K _D (Fe–Mg) [‡]	0.31	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.26	0.26
<i>T</i> (°C) (Eq. 4a)	953	963	1001	1004	962	965
T(°C) (Eq. 4b)	954	965	977	976	981	980
P (MPa) (Eq. 7a)	580	720	840	850	560	590
<i>P</i> (MPa) (Eq. 7b)	366	462	470	466	380	390
Amphibole-only thermoba	rometry using eq	uations from Rido	lfi & Renzulli (201	2)		
T(°C)(Eq.2)	945	957	1013	1012	963	961
<i>P</i> (MPa) (Eq. 1b)	340	439	418	432	391	403
<i>P</i> (MPa) (Eq. 1c)	408	484	493	505	444	449
Mean values of <i>P</i> and <i>T</i> (ca	lculated using eq	uations of Putirka,	, <mark>2016</mark>) and their v	rariations [¶]		
<i>T</i> (°C) (Eqns. 4a, 4b)	9	59 (± 6)	99	90 (± 15)	97	72 (± 10)
<i>P</i> (MPa) (Eq. 7a)	6	50 (± 70)	8	45 (± 5)	57	75 (± 15)
OH-CI partition between A	mph-melt (follow	ing Humphreys <i>et</i>	al., 2009)			
K _D (CI–OH)	0.281	0.290	0.220	0.224	0.240	0.244
	50	163	53	52	87	67

*Total Fe as Fe²⁺.

[†]Calculated melt SiO₂ concentration (relative error: \sim 4%) based on mole Al/Si in Amph, and K_D(Al–Si) =0.96 (Supplementary Data Fig. S5).

^{*}Equilibrium test parameter proposed by Putirka (2016): K_D (Fe–Mg) = 0.28 ± 0.11.

[§]Min & Max refer to the SiO₂ concentrations of the melts in equilibria with amphibole.

[¶]Errors in *T*s and *P*s calculated using the equations of Putirka (2016) are \sim 20–30 °C, and \sim 100–150 MPa, respectively.

*Calculated based on amphibole stoichiometry, following Ridolfi et al. (2010).

temperature. Given that apatite crystals appearing at different textural positions have distinct compositions of F–Cl–OH–C and Ce, they may have grown from melts that have different halogen contents and temperatures. Thus, we have used different values of parameters (2) and (3) in our calculations on apatite at different textural positions (summarized in Table 7).

Errors in parameter values were propagated to the calculated melt volatile contents (cf. Li & Costa, 2020; Li, 2019), including analytical errors in apatite volatile measurements (by SIMS), variations in melt halogen contents, and uncertainties in the formulation for $K_{D OH-CI}^{Ap-melt}$ and $K_{D OH-F}^{Ap-melt}$. The uncertainty in the two formulations for K_Ds (30–50% relative; Li & Costa, 2020) contribute the largest error to the results, much larger than those induced by variations in melt Cl contents (~9%, relative; Table 5), and by a change in *T* of <50 °C. Given that melt water contents can be calculated using either $K_D_{OH-CI}^{Ap-melt}$ (with melt CI) or $K_D_{OH-F}^{Ap-melt}$ (with melt F), and the smaller error in $K_D_{OH-CI}^{Ap-melt}$ (30–40 %, relative) than $K_D_{OH-F}^{Ap-melt}$ (40–50%, relative) (Li & Costa, 2020), we have mainly used $K_D_{OH-CI}^{Ap-melt}$ to calculate melt H₂O contents, and later to calculate melt CO₂ contents. Eventually the relative error in the calculated melt volatile contents are ~36–45% for H₂O, and ~38–45% for CO₂, considering uncertainties in $K_D_{H_2O-CO_2}^{Ap-melt}$ (~13% relative; Riker *et al.*, 2018) and analyses of CO₂ in apatite. All the errors are reported in Table 7. It should be noted here that the $K_D_{H_2O-CO_2}^{Ap-melt}$ value used in our calculations was determined from experiments at a temperature (1250 °C; Riker *et al.*, 2018) higher than those of Merapi melts, and

	lable /: E	xcnange coefficients (K _D s) an	a meit H2U-C	U2-> concer	ITTATIONS CAIC	ulated ic		חו עב טווט	(stage 4) erup) IOUS ((error: ± 1 s.d.)					
Old File File Pare Math 2008 in Amm/l 1 2 0000 0100	Eruption	Ap textural position [†]	No.*	K _{D OH-CI}	K _{D OH-F}	K _{D CI-F}	mole OH/CI	mole OH/F	mole CI/F	Melt H ₂ O (calc	+1	Melt H ₂ O (calc using	+1	Melt CO ₂	+1	Melt S [§]	H ₂ O-CO ₂ Saturation
										using CI)		E)					pressure [‡]
2000 i Armyh II 1 0000 0018 018 11 10 02 014 01										wt %		wt %		bpm		mdd	MPa
$\label{eq:relation} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2006	in Amph [1]	-	0.090	0.018	0.196	108	116	1.1	8·0	2.6	5.1	2 ^{.8}	n.d.	n.d.	665	n.d.
21 0.088 0.013 0.14 22 43 20 17 0.0 21 23 20 23 <th23< th=""> 23</th23<>		in Cpx [2]	2	0.088	0.013	0.149	21	43	2.0	1.7	0.7	2.1	0 [.] 0	1814	852	37	330
2007 0001 0166 22 47 20 7 0007 0104 0166 23 47 29 0 314 216 23 314 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 48 216 23 10 46 216 23 23 10 46 23 26 10 24 10 25 10 24 23 26 11 0.01			ო	0.088	0.013	0.149	22	43	2.0	1.7	0.7	2.1	0 [.] 0	634	297	31	120
2010 0003 0013 0141 22 41 91 7 02 03 91 9 8 7 0008 0013 0141 22 41 9 17 22 19 10 14			4	0.087	0.014	0.156	23	47	2.0	1.9	0 Ö	2.3	1. 0	468	216	22	06
2010 0013 0148 24 45 19 09 22 10 113 55 18 47 7 0.000 0.013 0.146 24 45 19 09 25 10 113 55 18 47 7 0.000 0.013 0.146 25 51 12 25 10 113 55 16 17 24 10 13 16 144 17 14 13 14 10 16 141 13 16 104 141 13 14 13 14 14 13 14 14 13 14 13 14 14 12 10 141 13 14 14 13 144 13 144 14 14 14 14 13 144 13 144 13 144 14 13 144 13 144 144 15 144 13 <td></td> <td></td> <td>ß</td> <td>0.090</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.141</td> <td>22</td> <td>41</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>2·0</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>314</td> <td>150</td> <td>19</td> <td>68</td>			ß	0.090	0.013	0.141	22	41	1.9	1.7	0.7	2·0	0 0	314	150	19	68
7 7 0000 0013 0145 24 56 18 20 09 0013 0145 24 65 16 46 17 100 014 27 100 101 11 101 11 101 23 23 25 11 104 12 101 11 101 23 23 25 11 104 25 10 104 <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>0.089</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.148</td> <td>24</td> <td>45</td> <td>1:9</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>8. 0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>113</td> <td>53</td> <td>18</td> <td>47</td>			9	0.089	0.013	0.148	24	45	1:9	1.9	8. 0	2.2	1.0	113	53	18	47
2010 0013 0145 28 48 17 24 10 24 10 23 50 10 0000 0013 0146 25 50 17 24 10 25 10 144 15 16 10			7	060.0	0.013	0.145	24	45	1 ^{.8}	2·0	8. 0	2.2	0 [.] 0	95	45	18	46
No 0013 0140 014 <td></td> <td></td> <td>00</td> <td>0.090</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.145</td> <td>28</td> <td>48</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>62</td> <td>29</td> <td>23</td> <td>50</td>			00	0.090	0.013	0.145	28	48	1.7	2.4	1.0	2.4	1.0	62	29	23	50
2010 in Gm3 0148 25 51 12 24 10 0.64 16 0.64 15 0.64 <td></td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>0.089</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.150</td> <td>28</td> <td>50</td> <td>1^{.8}</td> <td>2·3</td> <td>0[.]0</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>14</td> <td>n.d.</td>			6	0.089	0.013	0.150	28	50	1 ^{.8}	2·3	0 [.] 0	2.5	1.0	n.d.	n.d.	14	n.d.
11 0.001 0.114 22 51 15 29 12 0.01 0.114 27 40 17 0.01 0.114 27 40 17 0.01 0.114 27 40 12 0.014 0.114 27 40 12 0.014 0.114 27 40 12 0.014 0.017 0.117 21 22 10 0.01 0.017 0.017 21 22 10 0.01 0.014 21 0.01 0.017 21 22 22 22 22 20 0.01 21 10 21 10 10 16 17 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 10 11 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1			10	0.090	0.013	0.148	29	50	1.7	2.4	1.0	2.5	1.0	n.d.	n.d.	13	n.d.
In Gm [3] 12 0.008 0.014 0.14 27 50 19 25 10 nd. nd. 12 nd. 10 Gm [3] 114 0.003 0.011 0.177 24 45 19 25 10 nd. nd. 17 18 nd. 17 18 nd. 16 nd. 17 18 nd. 17 16 nd. 17 18 nd. 116 17 18 nd. 116 17 18 16			11	0.091	0.013	0.144	32	51	1·6	2·9	1.2	2·6	1.1	n.d.	n.d.	15	n.d.
Indm(1) 13 0.089 0.013 0.151 27 49 18 23 0.03 2011 0.171 24 15 0.17 24 15 17 16 0.17 24 15 24 0.2 24 167 70 22 24 13 46 17 21 1670 700 22 200 21 1670 700 27 200 21 600 71 101 1 0.033 0.011 0.177 22 44 19 24 09 26 11 33 11 33 11 50 21 100 101 101 101 11 31 11 31 11 31 11 31 11			12	0.088	0.014	0.154	27	50	1.9	2.2	0 [.] 0	2.5	1.0	n.d.	n.d.	12	n.d.
in Gm [3] 14 0033 0011 0.127 24 45 19 24 09 27 12 2420 988 70 16 0073 0011 0.127 24 45 19 24 21 1020 988 706 700 70			13	0.089	0.013	0.151	27	49	1 [.] 8	2·3	0 [.] 0	2.5	1.0	n.d.	n.d.	21	n.d.
2010 In Amph [s] 2007 0013 0167 34 71 21 38 13 49 21 1670 740 22 280 17 0081 0011 0127 24 45 20 21 018 26 12 1050 740 22 260 19 0084 0011 0127 24 45 20 32 11 38 17 nd. 14 15 nd. 21 0079 0122 0190 127 13 11 38 17 nd. 16 nd. 15 nd. 21 0079 0122 0190 30 58 20 32 11 38 17 nd. 16 nd. 15 nd. 22 0083 0011 0122 0190 30 58 20 32 11 38 17 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 22 0083 0011 0122 24 49 17 30 111 38 16 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 22 0083 0011 0122 24 21 13 28 17 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 22 0083 0011 0122 24 29 17 30 111 38 16 nd. 16 nd. 22 0083 0011 0122 25 0083 0015 0180 135 56 77 12 24 008 130 340 3190 340 739 34 58 16 Amph [s] 25 0083 0015 0180 183 56 77 18 24 008 11 20 139 34 58 16 Amph [s] 25 0083 0013 0122 24 19 19 08 21 136 17 26 2496 9500 2001 5000 2001 5000 2013 0143 15 50 18 14 19 18 02 26 17 08 14 724 17 26 2496 9500 2001 5000 2001 5000 2013 0143 23 43 19 19 08 21 00 341 724 734 56 21 0090 0013 0143 23 43 19 19 08 21 00 341 724 73 23 0090 0013 0143 23 43 19 19 08 21 00 341 734 75 33 0090 0013 0143 23 43 19 19 08 21 00 341 736 73 41 33 0090 0013 0143 23 43 19 19 08 22 00 341 736 73 41 33 0090 0013 0143 23 44 19 19 08 22 00 341 736 63 24 11 33 0090 0013 0142 26 24 00 312 0142 25 25 3 33 0090 0013 0142 25 44 19 19 08 22 00 341 736 63 24 11 30 0090 0013 0142 25 46 18 20 00 323 144 73 74 33 0090 0013 0142 25 40 22 15 00 20 73 144 74 75 65 25 3 30 0090 0013 0142 25 46 18 20 00 323 144 16 17 73 30 0090 0013 0142 25 46 18 20 00 323 144 16 17 73 30 0090 0013 0142 25 46 18 20 00 323 144 10 142 66 25 51 16 14 37 0090 0013 0142 0157 24 45 19 20 02 22 01 22 01 22 01 24 16 nd. 14 nd. 16		in Gm [3]	14	0.083	0.011	0.127	24	45	1.9	2.4	6 [.] 0	2.7	1.2	2420	998	87	406
2010 011 0127 24 45 19 24 09 26 12 1020 400 16 177 18 0.084 0.011 0.127 24 45 19 24 0.9 26 12 365 21 103 21 100 21 113 86 21 113 86 21 113 86 21 113 86 114 53 31 53 22 113 38 117 11 38 114 13 ndd 13 ndd 13 ndd 13 ndd 14 14 12 30 13 16 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 32 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 16 14 13 14 13			15	0.077	0.013	0.167	34	71	2.1	3.8	ί	4-9	2.1	1670	740	22	280
17 0.0031 0.011 0.131 22 44 20 21 10 2365 160 74 83 19 0.0034 0.011 0.112 21 31 11 38 11 33 33 13 16 nd. 16 nd. 11 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 13 nd. 13 nd. 13 nd. 13 nd. 11 nd. 17 nd. 13 nd. 11 nd. 13 nd. 13 nd. 11 nd. 11 nd. 11 nd. 11 nd. 13 nd. 27 0.003 0.11 0.122 14 21 24 13 nd. 11 11 nd. 11 11<			16	0.083	0.011	0.127	24	45	1.9	2.4	6 [.] 0	2.6	1.2	1020	400	16	177
2010 10084 0011 0.17 31 112 30 13 115 50 21 110 2010 10084 0011 0.119 21 30 11 32 111 33 11 33 11 33 11 33 11 33 11 33 11 33 11 33 11 11 33 11 11 33 11 11 33 11 13 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 33 11 11 11 11 11 33 11 1			17	0.081	0.011	0.131	22	44	2.0	2·1	0 Ö	2.6	1.2	365	160	74	83
2010 in Amph [4] 21 0034 0010 0119 21 39 18 20 08 22 10 114 53 34 53 21 22 0079 0012 0130 21 23 03 21 11 38 116 n.d. n.d. 15 n.d. 22 0083 0011 0128 29 49 17 340 11 38 116 n.d. n.d. 15 n.d. 11 Amph [5] 25 0083 0011 0128 29 49 17 340 11 30 11 0.d. 15 n.d. 16 h.d. 17 12 24 0.09 11 0.100 0118 0128 26 77 12 24 0.9 47 11 0.d. 17 n.d. n.d. 15 n.d. 11 Amph [5] 25 0083 0015 018 0182 65 77 12 24 0.9 847 26 24306 9500 2001 5100 11 Amph [5] 27 0085 0015 0183 73 14 56 114 15 0.d. 124 17 26 24306 9500 2001 5100 11 0.0013 0143 23 43 19 19 0.8 23 19 19 0.8 23 109 130 33 28 000 11 0.128 23 43 19 19 0.8 23 10 9 145 17 26 24306 9500 2001 7100 130 134 24 147 26 24306 9500 2001 7100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 134 23 100 130 130 231 23 10 114 11 80 2.6 17 28 0.0 130 031 231 23 10 19 19 0.8 23 10 9 145 100 370 370 370 140 14 11 18 0 22 11 1 1 18 0 22 11 1 0 9 123 134 17 66 10 4 13 100 133 0143 23 44 119 119 008 24 119 008 23 100 130 013 0143 23 44 119 119 008 22 119 09 283 1134 17 13 23 0089 0013 0143 23 44 119 19 0.8 21 0.9 231 19 19 0.8 21 0.9 231 134 17 13 23 0089 0013 0142 23 44 118 18 0.8 20 0.9 337 134 17 13 23 0089 0013 0142 23 44 19 118 18 0.8 20 0.9 337 134 17 13 23 0089 0013 0142 215 48 20 210 90 23 114 16 17 13 23 0089 0013 0142 215 48 20 210 90 23 114 16 17 13 23 100 112 014 0154 25 48 10 008 20 013 0142 215 48 10 028 100 1013 0142 22 14 10 142 65 22 13 10 110 141 18 11 18 10 141 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			18	0.084	0.011	0·127	30	49	1.7	3·1	1.2	3 [.] 0	1	115	50	21	110
200 0079 0012 0150 30 59 20 32 11 38 17 nd. 15 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. 17 nd. nd. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>19</td> <td>0.084</td> <td>0.010</td> <td>0.119</td> <td>21</td> <td>39</td> <td>1. 8</td> <td>2·0</td> <td>0. 0</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>114</td> <td>53</td> <td>34</td> <td>53</td>			19	0.084	0.010	0.119	21	39	1. 8	2·0	0. 0	2.2	1.0	114	53	34	53
21 0079 0012 0149 30 58 20 31 11 38 16 nd. nd. 13 nd. nd. 14 nd. 15 nd. nd. 15 nd. nAmbh[4] 24 0100 0120 24 42 18 24 019 24 11 nd. 17 nd. nd. 15 nd. nd. 16 nd. nd. 15 nd. nd. 16 nd. nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. nd. 18 nd. nd. 11 nd. nd. nd. 11 nd. nd. 1			20	0.079	0.012	0.150	30	59	2.0	3·2	1-1	3.00 0.00	1.7	n.d.	n.d.	15	n.d.
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			21	0.079	0.012	0·149	30	58	2.0	3·1	1-1	3.00 0.00	1.6	n.d.	n.d.	13	n.d.
2010 in Amph [4] 23 0.084 0.010 0.120 24 42 18 24 0.11 0.14 15 n.d. 15 n.d. 15 n.d. 16 17 10d 11 n.d. 15 n.d. 16 17 26 24306 9500 2017 1000 in Amph [5] 25 0.083 0.015 0.183 85 108 13 56 15 16 4.7 26 24306 9500 241 100 in Amph [5] 25 0.085 0.015 0.181 107 11 1 80 26 14 28 103 201 74 14 74 16 74 16 74 74 16 74 74 160 74 73 76 76 74 70 84 71 66 73 74 73 73 74 73 73 74 73 74 73			22	0.083	0.011	0·128	29	49	1.7	3·0	<u>-</u>	3·0	ί ά	n.d.	n.d.	11	n.d.
2010 in Amph [4] 24 0.100 0.018 0.182 65 77 12 2.4 0.8 47 2.6 24306 9500 2001 >1000 in Amph [5] 25 0.083 0.015 0.183 85 108 13 56 17 12 2.4 0.8 47 2.6 24306 9500 2001 >1000 in Amph [5] 25 0.083 0.015 0.183 85 108 13 56 18 74 n.d. 66 n.d. 67 73 800 700 86 n.d. 66 n.d. 76 73 700 73 700 73 700 73 700 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 70			23	0.084	0.010	0.120	24	42	1 ^{.8}	2·4	0 [.] 0	2.4	<u>-</u>	n.d.	n.d.	15	n.d.
$ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	2010	in Amph [4]	24	0.100	0.018	0.182	65	77	1.2	2.4	0 0	4.7	2.6	24306	9500	2001	>1000
in Amph [5] 26 0.084 0.015 0.183 108 1.3 5.6 1.8 5.1 2.8 n.d. 724 n.d. in Amph [5] 27 0.085 0.015 0.181 107 114 1.1 80 23 1.9 1.4 5.1 2.9 n.d. 724 n.d. 29 0.090 0.013 0.145 2.3 43 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 375 24 147 31 0.090 0.013 0.143 2.3 43 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.3 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.7 66 n.d. 31 0.091 0.013 0.143 2.3 44 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 <		in Amph [5]	25	0.083	0.015	0.185	79	108	1	5.0	, i	4.7	2.0	8400	3190	343	
in Amph [5] 27 0.085 0.015 0.181 107 114 1.1 8.0 2.6 1.7 0.9 n.d. 686 n.d. in Cpx [2] 28 0.087 0.014 0.156 23 46 2.0 1.8 0.9 375 24 147 29 0.090 0.013 0.145 23 43 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 375 24 147 30 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 43 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 375 24 147 31 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 21 82 37 36 33 0.093 0.014 0.132 214 1.8 1.1 17 73 34 0.013 0.142 25 48 1.9 1.9 0.8 24 1.1 73		in Amph [5]	26	0.084	0.015	0.183	85	108	÷ v	5.6	÷ ∞	5.1	2 0	n.d.	n.d.	724	n.d.
$ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		in Amph [5]	27	0.085	0.015	0.181	107	114	- i	0.0	9 9 9 9	1.7	6. О	n.d.	n.d.	686	n.d.
29 0.090 0.013 0.145 23 43 19 1.9 0.08 2.1 0.9 415 196 70 84 30 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 43 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 233 134 17 66 31 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 334 161 17 73 32 0.091 0.013 0.143 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 334 161 17 73 32 0.091 0.013 0.142 20 40 2.2 0.9 34 161 17 73 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 53 134 16 73 74 49 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 29 25 17 66 34 41 73 74 49 </td <td></td> <td>In Cpx [2]</td> <td>28</td> <td>0.08/</td> <td>0.014</td> <td>0.156</td> <td>53</td> <td>46</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>×,</td> <td>ю Э</td> <td>2.3</td> <td></td> <td>808</td> <td>3/5</td> <td>74</td> <td>14/</td>		In Cpx [2]	28	0.08/	0.014	0.156	53	46	0.2	×,	ю Э	2.3		808	3/5	74	14/
30 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 43 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 283 134 17 78 21 80 31 0.090 0.013 0.143 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 344 161 17 73 32 0.089 0.013 0.142 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 344 161 17 73 32 0.089 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.0 1.1 73 27 49 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.4 11 73 27 49 37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 48 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.4 10 1.1 1.4 10 1.4 1.6 1.4 1.7 2.8 1.4 1.9 1.9 1.9			29	0.090	0.013	0.145	23	4 7 7	۰ ب	1.9 0	ю с Э с	2.1	ດ ດີດ	415	196	51	84 20
31 0.090 0.0113 0.143 23 43 19 1.9 0.8 2.1 0.9 39/ 188 27 82 32 0.089 0.013 0.149 23 44 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.0 0.9 344 161 17 73 33 0.091 0.013 0.142 23 41 1.8 1.8 1.8 2.0 0.9 344 161 17 73 33 0.089 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 0.8 2.4 1.0 142 65 22 53 41 17 73 23 49 13 66 34 41 73 74 49 73 74 49 73 74 49 73 74 49 73 74 49 73 74 49 73 74 49 76 74 73 74 49 73 76 49 75 75 49 76 76 76 76 76 76 <td></td> <td></td> <td>200</td> <td>0.090</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.143</td> <td>5 23</td> <td>τ4 γ</td> <td>، <u>ن</u></td> <td>بر</td> <td>ο Ο</td> <td></td> <td>י כי</td> <td>283</td> <td>134</td> <td>23</td> <td>00</td>			200	0.090	0.013	0.143	5 23	τ 4 γ	، <u>ن</u>	بر	ο Ο		י כי	283	134	23	00
32 0.089 0.013 0.149 23 44 19 1.9 0.8 2.2 0.9 344 161 17 73 33 0.091 0.013 0.138 23 41 1.8 1.9 0.8 2.0 0.9 152 73 27 49 33 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 1.5 0.9 152 73 27 49 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.4 1.0 142 65 22 53 36 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.4 1.0 1.4 13 nd. 37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.1 nd. 13 nd. 13 nd. 16 nd. 13 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 16 nd. 13 nd. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>31</td> <td>060.0</td> <td>0.013</td> <td>0.143</td> <td>23</td> <td>43</td> <td>۰. ۱</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>ö</td> <td>2·1</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>397</td> <td>188</td> <td>21</td> <td>82</td>			31	060.0	0.013	0.143	23	43	۰. ۱	1.9	ö	2·1	6.0	397	188	21	82
33 0.091 0.013 0.138 23 41 18 18 0.8 2.0 49 34 0.089 0.013 0.142 20 40 20 15 0.8 27 49 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 20 20 20 20 20 20 19 0.8 24 11 1.42 65 25 53 36 0.088 0.013 0.154 27 45 19 2.2 0.9 24 17 1.42 65 25 53 34 13 nd. 37 0.900 0.013 0.147 25 46 18 2.0 0.9 23 10.1 13 nd. 38 0.089 0.013 0.148 24 45 19 19 22 0.9 n.4 14 n.4			32	0.089	0.013	0.149	23	44	۰ ب	1.9	ю Э	2.2	с С	344	161	1	/3
34 0.089 0.013 0.142 20 40 2.0 15 0.6 1.9 0.8 138 66 34 41 35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 2.0 0.8 2.4 1.0 142 65 22 53 36 0.088 0.014 0.154 27 50 19 22 0.14 1.0 142 65 22 53 37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.5 1.1 1.0 142 65 22 53 38 0.089 0.013 0.147 22 29 55 19 1.9 2.6 1.0 2.6 1.0 2.6 1.0 2.6 1.1 2.2 1.2 1.4 n.d. 1.4 n.d. 16 17] 40 0.089 0.011 0.142 22 20 2.9 2.9 1.4 n.d. 1.4 n.d. 1.4 n.d. 1.4 n.d.			33	0.091	0.013	0.138	23	41	ó Ó	1.0	ö Ö	2.0	о О	152	73	27	49
35 0.088 0.014 0.157 25 48 2.0 2.0 0.8 2.4 1.0 142 65 22 53 36 0.088 0.014 0.154 27 50 1.9 2.2 0.9 25 1.1 n.d. 13 n.d. 37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.0 n.d. 13 n.d. 38 0.089 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.0 n.d. 16 n.d. 38 0.089 0.013 0.148 2.4 45 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.4 n.d. 14 n.d. 39 0.081 0.015 0.142 26 51 2.0 2.2 0.7 2.9 1.4 n.d. 14 n.d. inP[17] 41 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.4 1.0			34	0·089	0.013	0·142	20	40	2.0	1.5	9 O	1.9	0 Ö	138	99	34	41
36 0.088 0.014 0.154 27 50 1.9 2.2 0.9 2.5 1.1 n.d. 13 n.d. 37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.0 n.d. 16 n.d. 38 0.089 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.0 n.d. 16 n.d. 38 0.089 0.013 0.148 2.4 45 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.2 0.9 n.d. 14 n.d. 38 0.081 0.011 0.142 2.9 55 1.9 2.8 1.0 2.6 1.4 n.d. 14 n.d. 14 n.d. 110 n.d. 110 n.d. 110 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.4 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2			35	0.088	0.014	0.157	25	48	2.0	2·0	0 O	2.4	1. 0	142	65	22	53
37 0.090 0.013 0.147 25 46 1.8 2.0 0.9 2.3 1.0 n.d. 16 n.d. 38 0.089 0.013 0.148 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.2 0.9 n.d. 14 n.d. inPl[6] 39 0.081 0.011 0.142 29 55 1.9 2.8 1.0 2.6 1.4 n.d. inPl[7] 40 0.089 0.015 0.142 29 55 1.9 2.8 1.0 2.6 1.4 n.d. 14 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.089 0.015 0.165 26 51 2.0 2.2 0.7 2.2 1.4 n.d. 110 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.5 n.d. 110 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.5 n.d. 1.10 <td></td> <td></td> <td>36</td> <td>0.088</td> <td>0.014</td> <td>0.154</td> <td>27</td> <td>50</td> <td>ن ف</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>о. О</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>÷</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>n.d.</td> <td>13</td> <td>n.d.</td>			36	0.088	0.014	0.154	27	50	ن ف	2.2	о. О	2.5	÷	n.d.	n.d.	13	n.d.
38 0.089 0.013 0.148 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.8 2.2 0.9 n.d. n.d. 14 n.d. inPl[6] 39 0.081 0.011 0.142 29 55 1.9 2.8 1.0 2.6 1.4 n.d. n.d. 21 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.089 0.015 0.165 26 51 2.0 2.2 0.7 2.2 1.2 n.d. n.d. 110 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.5 n.d. n.d. 131 n.d.			37	060.0	0.013	0·147	25	46	ó	2·0	о О	2.3	; 0	n.d.	n.d.	16	n.d.
inPl[6] 39 0.081 0.011 0.142 29 55 1.9 2.8 1.0 2.6 1.4 n.d. n.d. 21 n.d. inPl[7] 40 0.089 0.015 0.165 26 51 2.0 2.2 0.7 2.2 1.2 n.d. n.d. 110 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.5 n.d. n.d. 131 n.d.			89	0·089	0.013	0·148	24	45	1 ^{.0}	1.9	ö	2.2	о. О	n.d.	n.d.	14	n.d.
inPl[7] 40 0.089 0.015 0.165 26 51 2.0 2.2 0.7 2.2 1.2 n.d. n.d. 110 n.d. inPl[7] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.7 2.7 1.5 n.d. n.d. 131 n.d.		in PI [6]	39	0.081	0.011	0.142	29	55	1;9	2.8	- 1 0	2.6	1.4	n.d.	n.d.	21	n.d.
In P[1/] 41 0.091 0.014 0.152 24 45 1.9 1.9 0.7 27 1.5 n.d. n.d. 131 n.d.		in PI [7]	40	0.089	0.015	0.165	26 2.	51	2.0 ,	2.2	- 1 0	2.2	, i ,	n.d.	n.d.	110	n.d.
		in PI [7]	41	0.091	0.014	0.152	24	45	1.9	1.9	0.7	2.7	1-5	n.d.	n.d.	131	n.d.

	-
1	1
	u
	l

Table 7: Continued

Eruption	Ap textural position [†]	No.*	K _{DOH-CI}	K DOH-F	K _D ^{Ap-melt} CI-F	mole OH/CI	mole OH/F	mole CI/F	Melt H ₂ O (calc using Cl) wt %	+1	Melt H ₂ O (calc using F) wt %	+1	Melt CO ₂ ppm	+1	Melt S ^s ppm	H₂O-CO₂ Saturation pressure [‡] MPa
	in Gm [3]	42	0.081	0.011	0.138	25	50	2·0	2.3	6·0	2.7	1. 5	2040	850	27	330
	1	43	0.081	0.011	0.135	25	49	1.9	2.4	6.0	2.7	1.5	1160	500	40	200
		44	0.080	0.011	0.140	25	50	2.0	2·3	6.0	2.7	1.5	517	226	21	110
		45	0.080	0.011	0.141	25	50	2.0	2·3	8.0	3·0	1.7	335	145	76	88
		46	0.080	0.011	0.143	27	54	2.0	2·6	1.0	3·0	1.7	n.d.	n.d.	12	n.d.
		47	0.080	0.011	0.143	28	54	2.0	2·6	1.0	Э.З	1.8	n.d.	n.d.	10	n.d.
		48	0.081	0.011	0.143	31	57	1.9	Э.О	<u>1</u>	3.2	1.8	n.d.	n.d.	14	n.d.
		49	0.080	0.012	0.143	29	56	1.9	2·8	<u>-</u>	Э.З	1.8	n.d.	n.d.	91	n.d.
		50	0.080	0.012	0·144	30	57	1·9	3·0	1.1	1.6	0 [.] 0	n.d.	n.d.	93	n.d.
*Crystal la	bels and compositio	ins are s	hown in Tabl	e 1 (referring	to No.).						:					
[†] Different	values of temperatul	res and i	mown in Tabl	e i (reierring contents (T <mark>al</mark>	ιο Ινυ.). ole 5) were υ	ised for (calculati	ons of a	ipatite at differen	ent text	ural positions:	[1] Ap	in Amph ((Al₂O₃: ⊂	12 v	が ゼ

 97° C, 1580 ppm Cl, 600 ppm F; [2] Ap in low-AI Cpx (Mg# = 74–76; Wo = 41–48) from 2006 and 2010: 970°C, 2570 ppm Cl, 810 ppm F; [3] Ap in Gm from 2006 and 2010: 940°C, 2810 ppm Cl, 860 ppm F; [4] Ap in *Amph1* (Al₂O₃: 12–13 wt %) from 2010: 959°C, 1580 ppm Cl, 600 ppm F; [6] Ap in *Amph4* (Al₂O₃: 11–13 wt %) from 2010: 959°C, 1580 ppm Cl, 600 ppm F; [6] Ap in *Pl3* (An₅₋₆₇) from 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl, 740 ppm Cl, 740 ppm Cl, 810 ppm Cl. 810 ppm Cl. 860 ppm Cl, 600 ppm F; [6] Ap in *Pl3* (An₅₋₆₇) from 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl, 71 Ap in *Pl1* (An₇₄) from 2010: 955°C, 2570 ppm Cl, 810 ppm Cl. 860 ppm Cl, 600 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl, 860 ppm Cl, 740 ppm Cl, 740 ppm Cl. 810 ppm Cl. 810 ppm Cl. 860 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 975°C, 2810 ppm Cl, 860 ppm Cl, 870 ppm Cl, 870 ppm Cl, 810 ppm Cl. 810 ppm Cl. 860 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 975°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010: 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 950°C, 2810 ppm Cl for a contact and 2010; 95



Fig. 9. (a) Molar Al/Si in amphibole (measured), plotted versus molar Al/Si calculated for the equilibrium melt using $K_{DAI-Si}^{Amp-melt} = 0.96$ (shown as the line slope); and (b) SiO₂ concentration vs molar Al/Si determined by EPMA analysis of the bulk rocks (WR), interstitial glass (GL), melt inclusions (MI), and calculated for the groundmass (GM) (see symbols and data sources in Fig. 7). The amphibole equilibrated with melts that have ~54–59 (±2) wt % SiO₂, i.e. less evolved than the Cpx-hosted MIs.

the relationship between $K_{D}^{Ap-melt}_{H_2O-CO_2}$ and *T* has yet to be determined. If there is a positive correlation between $K_{D}^{Ap-melt}_{H_2O-CO_2}$ and *T*, then the melt CO₂ contents calculated from this study would represent the highest values. Below we describe the parameter values used for calculations on the apatite in amphibole, clinopyroxene, plagioclase and groundmass (summarized in Table 7).

Apatite inclusions in amphibole

We have shown that the amphibole cores were in equilibrium with a liquid of ~59 (\pm 2) wt % SiO₂ (equivalent to that in groundmass) at ~972°C for 2006, and ~54–59 wt % SiO₂ at 959–990°C for 2010 (Table 6). Errors in these *T* estimates are ~25 °C. These liquids should contain ~1120–1580 ppm Cl and 470–590 ppm F, as calculated from the Rayleigh fractionation model above (Table 5). These values were used in calculations on the amphibole-hosted apatite (Table 7).

Apatite inclusions in clinopyroxene

For calculations on the apatite in Cpx, we use the *T* inferred from the host Cpx, and halogen concentrations measured for glass inclusions. Here we only consider the low-Al Cpx that contains apatite inclusions, whereas the high-Al Cpx that grew from the deep reservoir is discussed below. Using Cpx-liquid thermometry of Putirka (2008, Eq. 33), and the composition of Cpx (near apatite inclusions) and Cpx-hosted Mls, we find *T*s between 960–970 (\pm 50)°C assuming 2 wt % H₂O in the melt, and 970–980 (\pm 50) °C assuming 1 wt % H₂O in the melt. These values are lower than the low-Al Cpx crystallization *T* (~1000°C) inferred from MELTS modelling (Costa *et al.*, 2013), and the Cpx-bulk rock equilibration *T* (1025–1058, \pm 50 °C) calculated by Preece *et al.* (2014)



Fig. 10. Mole OH/Cl and OH/F of the melts that equilibrated with apatite (Table 7). Line slopes equal to the melt Cl/F values. Melt OH/Cl and OH/F calculated using the apatite in Amph are higher than those calculated using the apatite in Cpx, Pl and Gm. Melt OH/Cl values calculated from the Amph (marked on top; Table 6) and from their apatite inclusions show an overlapping range. Error bars show ± 1 s.d. of OH/F and OH/Cl calculated for the melts.

using the Cpx-liquid thermometry of Putirka *et al.* (2003). The low-Al Cpx that contains apatite inclusions from this study (Wo = 41–48; Supplementary Data Table S5) has compositions within the range of those formed from phase equilibria experiments at 950–1000°C, down to ~925 °C (Erdmann *et al.*, 2016). Considering the various thermometry results described above and the experimental constraints of Erdmann *et al.* (2016), we

(b)

1#2



2500

400 MD

2006

2010

Fig. 11. H_2O vs CO_2 concentrations of the melt calculated using apatite (panel b as zoomed-in view of the grey dashed area in panel a). The melt in equilibrium with apatite in Cpx, PI and Gm show similar abundances of H_2O and CO_2 with the Cpx-hosted glass inclusions from the literature (2010, red cross; 2006, blue cross; Preece *et al.*, 2014), but much less CO_2 than the melt in equilibrium with the apatite inclusions in Amph. Isobars and isopleths (showing a given mole fraction of CO_2 in fluids) were calculated using the H_2O-CO_2 solubility model of Papale *et al.* (2006). Degassing paths were modelled using initial volatile concentrations calculated from the apatite inclusions in Amph (#1, #2) for closed-system ('closed', solid lines) and open-system ('open', dashed line). Error bars show ± 1 s.d. of the melt H_2O and CO_2 estimates (Table 7).

used $T \approx 970^{\circ}$ C to calculate the $K_{DOH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ for the apatite in Cpx. Variations in *T* should be <50 °C which would only cause small changes in the calculated $K_{DOH-x}^{Ap-melt}$ and melt H₂O contents of <30–40% relative (see above). For the melt halogen contents, we use ~2570 (±210) ppm Cl (i.e. the average concentration in Cpx-hosted Mls), and ~810 (±280) ppm F (calculated from the Rayleigh fractionation model above).

Apatite inclusions in plagioclase

We have only analysed three apatite inclusions in two plagioclase phenocrysts. The crystal that hosts two apatite inclusions (i.e. 'PI1, Ap1' and 'PI1, Ap2' in Table 1) is An₇₄, whereas the other one (i.e. 'Pl3' in Table 1) is An_{53-67} . Given the An values of plagioclase formed by phase equilibria experiments (Erdmann et al, 2016), i.e. An₇₀ at 950–1000°C and An₅₀ at 925–950°C, we use the mean T of 975° C for the apatite in 'Pl1' (of An₇₀), and 950°C for the other crystal in 'PI3' (of An₅₃₋₆₇). Considering the similarity in T between the high-An 'PI1' and the low-Al Cpx shown above, it is likely that the melts from which they grew have similar abundances of halogens, thus we use ${\sim}2570\,\text{ppm}$ Cl and \sim 810 ppm F in our calculation. For the apatite in 'PI3' that grew at lower T (\approx 950°C) from possibly more evolved melts, we use higher melt halogen contents $(\sim 2810 \text{ ppm Cl}, \sim 860 \text{ ppm F})$ that are equivalent to those used in the calculation for the groundmass apatite reported below. The apatite in plagioclase were only used to calculate the melt H₂O contents but not melt CO_2 , given a lack of data for CO_2 concentrations in these crystals (Table 1).

Groundmass apatite

The core of the groundmass apatite that we analysed likely equilibrated with liquids that are compositionally equivalent to, or less evolved than the interstitial glass. Here we take the lower value of AST calculated from the 2006 glass, i.e. 940 (\pm 10) °C, and the mean concentrations determined for the dacitic-rhyolitic MIs from Merapi rocks (~2810 ppm Cl, ~860 ppm F) to calculate the melt H₂O-CO₂ contents. Considering the crystallization and halogen evolution in the melt with fractionation, the melt in equilibrium with the cores of these crystals in the groundmass could be actually hotter and contain less halogen compared to the interstitial glass. Therefore, calculations using the parameter values above likely give us the highest values of H₂O-CO₂ concentrations in the melt that equilibrated with the groundmass apatite.

CALCULATED MELT VOLATILE COMPOSITION

Calculated exchange coefficients (K_D), and melt OH/Cl and OH/F values

Using the determined apatite compositions and temperatures, we calculated the exchange coefficients (K_D) for OH–F and OH–Cl (Table 7). We found that the melt in equilibrium with apatite in Amph have mole fraction ratios of $\frac{X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{Cl}^{melt}} \approx 65$ –108, $\frac{X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{F}^{melt}} \approx 77$ –116, and $\frac{X_{Cl}^{melt}}{X_{F}^{melt}} \approx 1.1$ –

3 × 10⁴

(a)



Fig. 12. (a) H_2O concentrations of clinopyroxene (triangles) and orthopyroxene (circles) (measured by SIMS), plotted versus the partition coefficients of H_2O (calculated using Eqns. 5 and 6). Line slopes (dashed lines) represent the calculated H_2O contents of the melt. (b) Melt H_2O estimates using five Cpx crystals and their apatite inclusions (multiple data points at the same location of the *x*-axis reflect multiple analyses at different positions on the same crystals). Melt H_2O contents calculated from apatite are generally >3 x higher than those calculated from Cpx (95% confidence intervals marked in grey fields in panel b; see data in Table 7 and Supplementary Data Table S5). Error bars show ± 1 s.d. of H_2O concentrations calculated for the melt.

1.4. In contrast, the melt in equilibrium with the rest of apatite crystals (in Cpx, Pl, groundmass) have lower $\frac{X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{C}^{melt}}$ (\approx 20–36) and $\frac{X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{c}^{molt}}$ (\approx 38–76), but higher $\frac{X_{OH}^{melt}}{X_{c}^{melt}}$ (\approx 1·6– 2.1) (Table 7; Fig. 10). Comparison of melt Cl/F values calculated from apatite with those calculated from the Rayleigh fractionation model (Table 5) shows that the apatite in Amph equilibrated with basaltic-andesitic to andesitic melts, whereas apatite in Cpx/Pl/Gm equilibrated with more evolved melts varying from dacitic to rhyolitic. In addition, melt OH/CI values $\left(\frac{X_{OH}^{inter}}{X_{out}^{out}}\right)$ calculated using the exchange coefficient for OH-CI between amphibole and melts (Eq. 4; Humphreys et al., 2009) show a wide range between 50 and 163 (Table 6), which includes the values calculated from the apatite included in Amph (Fig. 10). The good match of melt Cl/F and OH/ CI values calculated using the multiple methods above indicates that the parameter values used in calculations on apatite are reasonable.

Calculated melt H₂O and CO₂ concentrations

The 2006 and 2010 melts that equilibrated with the apatite in anhydrous minerals/groundmass show a similar range of H₂O-CO₂ concentrations, i.e. 1·5 (\pm 0·5) to 4 (\pm 1) wt % H₂O, and ~60 to 2500 ppm CO₂ (Fig. 11; Table 7). These estimates are within the range of concentrations measured from MIs (0·2–4 wt % H₂O, 10–3000 ppm CO₂) by Preece *et al.* (2014).

In addition, we have estimated the melt H_2O concentrations using H_2O concentrations measured from Cpx and Opx, and the corresponding partitioning coefficients

for H₂O ($D_{H_2O}^{Cpx-melt}$ and $D_{H_2O}^{Opx-melt}$ in Eqns. 5 and 6). We find that, except for the Cpx in a glomerocryst that reflects ~ 2.5 wt % H₂O in the melt, the rest of Cpx phenocrysts reflect low H₂O concentrations of \sim 0.1–0.7 wt % in the melt, and the Opx phenocrysts reflect \sim 0.5-1.0 wt % H₂O in the melt (Fig. 12a; Supplementary Data Table S5). The much lower melt H₂O contents calculated from Cpx phenocrysts than from their apatite inclusions (1.9–2.8 wt %; Fig. 12b) can be explained by diffusive H loss from the Cpx, which could occur during magma ascent (Wade et al. 2008). H diffuses more than 3 orders of magnitude faster in Cpx (Hercule and Ingrin, 1999) than OH diffusion in apatite at the relevant T of Merapi magmas (Li et al., 2020). This highlights that apatite can better record H₂O concentrations in the melt compared to Cpx, especially when the samples have experienced slow cooling near the Earth's surface. Using melt H₂O-CO₂ concentrations calculated from apatite in Cpx and in groundmass, and the solubility model of Papale et al. (2006), we find H₂O–CO₂ saturation pressures between 20–410 MPa, mostly <100 MPa (Fig. 11b).

We found that the melt in equilibrium with apatite included in 2006 Amph had $\sim 8 \text{ wt } \% \text{ H}_2\text{O}$, whereas melt those included in 2010 Amph contains about 3–8 wt % H₂O, and about 8000 to 27 000 ppm CO₂ (Table 7). Using the solubility model of Papale *et al.* (2006), we find H₂O-CO₂ saturation pressures of >800 MPa for these high volatile melts (Fig. 11a). We have used these results and models (#1 and #2 in Fig. 11a) to compute the open- and closed- system degassing paths, but none of the paths fits perfectly with our data. Here we cannot determine CO₂ concentration in the 2006 melt with ~8 wt % H₂O,

due to a lack of data for CO₂ concentration in the apatite inclusion in the 2006 Amph. Nevertheless, if the melt in equilibrium with the 2006 Amph contains the same or higher CO₂ than the melt in equilibrium with groundmass apatite, i.e. \geq 2500 ppm, the H₂O–CO₂ saturation pressure of the deep melt of 2006 would be \geq 530 MPa. Comparison of pressures calculated from the apatite in amphibole and the amphibole barometry are described in the following section.

Calculated melt S concentrations

Sulfur (S) concentrations in the melt were calculated using the distribution coefficient for S between apatite and melt, i.e. $D_S^{Ap-melt}=rac{c_S^{Ap}}{c_S^{melt}}$, where c_S^{Ap} and c_S^{melt} represent the concentration of S in apatite and in the melt respectively. The $D_{S}^{Ap-melt}$ determined from previous experiments varies with varying apatite S concentration, melt composition, and oxygen fugacity (fO_2) (Peng et al., 1997; Parat & Holtz, 2004, 2005; Konecke et al., 2019). For magmas in the shallow reservoir or in the conduit of Merapi with $log(fO_2)$ of $\sim NNO + 1$ (Erdmann et al., 2016), the S⁶⁺/total S in apatite (in Cpx/Pl/groundmass) should be ~95% (Konecke et al., 2019). For the deeper magmas (above 400 MPa) with $\log(fO_2)$ of FMQ+2.2 to +0.6 (Gertisser, 2001), the S⁶⁺/total S in apatite (in Amph) may vary from 95% down to \sim 70% (Konecke et al., 2019). Considering this and different compositions of the melt that equilibrated with the Srich apatite in Amph and the S-poor apatite in Cpx/Pl/ Groundmass, we have used different equations to calculate $D_S^{Ap-melt}$ as shown below.

For the apatite with >1500 ppm S included in Amph, we have used the equation for ${\cal D}_{\cal S}^{{\it Ap-melt}}$ (S as S^{6+}) determined for trachyandesite (Peng et al., 1997): $InD_S^{Ap-melt} = rac{21130}{T} - 16.2$ (T in kelvins). Using T=950-1000°C calculated from amphibole-liquid thermometry (Table 6), we find $D_S^{Ap-melt} \approx$ 2-3, comparable to that determined for basalt at 1000 °C and oxidized conditions (Konecke et al., 2019). The calculated melt S contents are about 670 ppm for 2006, and 340-2000 ppm for 2010 (Table 7; relative error: 7-16%). The highest melt S estimate (~2000 ppm) was yielded by the crystal in 2010 Amph that has the highest concentrations of S and CO_2 , which reflects saturation pressure of >800 MPa. We have noticed that the melt H₂O/S concentrations calculated from the apatite in Amph show a wide range (Fig. 13). This could be related to the kinetic processes involved in the incorporation of S into these crystals, and/or the co-existence of multiple valences of S (e.g. S^{4+} , S^{2-}) in apatite below ~FMQ + 1.2 (Konecke *et al.*, 2019). More accurate estimation of S concentrations in the deep and reduced magmas requires direct measurements of the abundances of S^{6+} and the S of other valences in the natural apatite.

For the S-poor apatite in Cpx, PI, and the ground-mass that contains S as mostly S^{6+} (see above), we

used the equation for $D_S^{Ap-melt}$ (S as S⁶⁺) of Parat and Holtz (2005): $ln(D_S^{Ap-melt}) = -0.0025 \times c_S^{melt}$ (in ppm) + 2.9178. To solve this equation, we combined it with $D_S^{Ap-melt} = \frac{c_S^{Ap}}{c_S^{melt}}$, and obtained:

$$c_{S}^{Ap}(\textit{in ppm}) = \exp\left(-0.0025 \times c_{S}^{melt} + 2.9178\right) \times c_{S}^{melt}$$
(9)

To solve Eq.9 using the values of c_S^{Ap} determined from SIMS, we have inputted a series of guessed c_S^{melt} values until the left- and right-hand sides of Eq.9 become equivalent. We find that the melt of 2006 and 2010 in equilibrium with these crystals (i.e. apatite in Cpx, Pl, and Gm) have a similar concentration range of S between 10 and 130 ppm (using $D_S^{Ap-melt} \approx 12-18$; Table 7). These values partly overlap with those determined from Cpx-hosted MIs from this study (~18– 530 ppm; Table 2) and in the literature (~5–760 ppm; Costa *et al.*, 2013; Nadeau *et al.*, 2013; Preece *et al.*, 2014).

DISCUSSION

A critical appraisal of magma storage depths and the multi-reservoir plumbing system at Merapi

Constraining the architecture of volcanic plumbing systems helps to understand the eruption dynamics and interpret the monitoring data for eruption forecasting (Blundy & Cashman, 2008; Cashman & Sparks, 2013; Bachmann & Huber, 2016; Sparks & Cashman, 2017). Despite its importance, most petrological, geophysical and geodesic methods face the problem of imprecise determination of the magma storage locations. For example, seismic tomography is rarely capable of identifying small magma bodies (e.g. <5 km³; Lowenstern et al., 2017), nor distinguishing a bulk mush containing a small fraction of melt in its pore space from several isolated melt lenses in a rock matrix (Magee et al., 2018; Maclennan, 2019). A simple spherical cavity 'Mogi source' is commonly used in deformation modelling (Segall, 2010), but this is over-simplified for a plumbing system made up of multiple reservoirs at various depths (Amoruso & Crescentini, 2009; Segall, 2019).

Mineral-based geobarometers (Putirka, 2008) usually give pressure–depth estimates with large errors (e.g. \pm 5–10 km; Preece *et al.*, 2014): the mineral-only geobarometry is not very *P*-sensitive especially at pressures <5 GPa, due to the small changes in the partial molar volumes of solid solutions (Putirka, 2008). Moreover, mineral-melt geobarometry requires crystal-melt equilibrium, but this is difficult to test and is not achieved in many cases (e.g. for the Unzen 1991–1995 eruptions; Nakada & Motomura, 1999). More complexities arise when the investigated rocks consist of mixed crystal populations (such as from Merapi; e.g. Chadwick *et al.*, 2013; Costa *et al.*, 2013; Erdmann *et al.*, 2016), and/or the crystals are chemically-zoned as was commonly



Fig. 13. Melt H₂O and S concentrations calculated using apatite (Table 7), compared with measurements for glass inclusions from the literature (2010, red cross; 2006, blue cross; Preece *et al.*, 2014). The *y*-axis is shown on a log scale for better visualisation. The melt in equilibrium with apatite in Cpx/Pl/Gm show similar ranges of H₂O and S contents with most of melt inclusions, but lower S concentrations than the deeper melt in equilibrium with apatite included in Amph (diamonds). Representative error bars show ± 1 s.d. of the calculated melt H₂O and S concentrations.

observed in the rocks from the intermediate-felsic magmatic systems (Pichavant et al., 2007). Magmatic volatile-saturation pressures constrained from analyses of MIs need to be free of the re-equilibration and/or post-entrapment crystallization (Qin et al., 1992; Danyushevsky et al. 2000; Gaetani & Watson 2000; Cottrell et al. 2002; Portnyagin et al., 2008; Lloyd et al., 2013). Given the limitations of the existing techniques, our knowledge of the plumbing systems of most volcanoes focuses on the shallow parts in the mid-upper crust, leaving the deeper structure in a rather blurred image (Cashman et al., 2017; Edmonds et al., 2019). Steele-MacInnis (2019) has proposed that the generally lower H₂O contents in MIs (mostly <6 wt %) than those determined for primitive melts from phase equilibrium experiments (up to >15 wt %) indicate that MIs are incapable of preserving water contents of the relatively wet and primitive melt, due to the difficulty of quenching.

The plumbing system of Merapi volcano has been studied using a variety of methods, and was proposed to contain multiple magma reservoirs at depths between 1 km and 45 km (Beauducel & Cornet, 1999; Ratdomopurbo & Poupinet, 2000; Gertisser, 2001; Chadwick *et al.*, 2007; Chadwick *et al.*, 2013; Costa *et al.*, 2013; Preece *et al.*, 2014; Erdmann *et al.*, 2016; Widiyantoro *et al.*, 2018), with low-velocity anomaly almost through the whole crust observed at Merapi and other volcanoes in central Java (Wagner *et al.*, 2007; Koulakov *et al.*, 2009). In the following paragraphs we review the literature data and compare them with our results calculated from apatite (Fig. 14). For easy comparison of depths proposed by different studies, we have converted pressure estimates from the literature into depths (assuming 0 at the summit crater), using the average crustal densities from Widiyantoro *et al.* (2018), i.e. 2242 kg/m³ at \leq 10 km depth (Tiede *et al.*, 2005) and 2900 kg/m³ at >10 km depth (Rudnick & Fountain, 1995).

Volcano monitoring studies (e.g. seismic and deformation data) have suggested the presence of shallow magma reservoirs at 1-9km depth: (from shallow to deep) ~0.8-1.8 km from gravity anomalies (Saepuloh et al., 2010), 1.5-2.5 km from seismic and deformation studies (Ratdomopurbo & Poupinet, 2000; Budi-Santoso et al., 2013; Saepuloh et al., 2013; Aisyah et al., 2018), and \sim 8.5 km from the GPS and tiltmeter data during the guiescence (Beauducel & Cornet, 1999) (Fig. 14). These depths are covered by the range of magmatic volatile-saturation depths (1-12 km) from petrological studies on melt inclusions (Nadeau et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014), and overlap with the clinopyroxene/plagioclase crystallization depths (4.5-12 km) found from phase equilibrium experiments (Erdmann et al., 2016). The shallowest magma storage zone within the volcano



Fig. 14. Magma storage pressure-depths below Merapi volcano, calculated from apatite, amphibole and clinopyroxene from this study, and suggested by previous studies based on a variety of methods/techniques (symbols in different colors indicate different eruptions as shown in legend; symbols in different shapes indicate different methods used for deriving depths; see below). Depths calculated from apatite in Cpx and Gm are marked in solid circles/ellipses, and larger symbol sizes indicate more crystals at given depths (large ellipses: N = 7-8; small circle: N = 1). Abbreviations for different methods are: Grav, Gravity anomalies; Seism, seismicity; EDM, Electronic Distance Measurements; SAR, Synthetic Aperture Radar; Tomo, Seiscmic tomography; PhaExp, Phase equilibrium experiments; MI, melt inclusions (hosted by clinopyroxene, or amphibole megacrysts); Cpx-liq, clinopyroxene-olly barometer of Putirka (2008) calculated using Eq. 32c (see symbol used for 9#2 in figure); Cpx-only, clinopyroxene-only barometer of Nimis (1999) (see symbol used for 10 in figure), and that of Putirka (2008) using Eq. 32b where melt H₂O is considered (see symbol used for 9#1 in figure); Amph-only, amphibole-only barometer of Ridolfi *et al.* (2010) on megacrysts, and of Ridolfi & Renzulli (2012) on pleagioclase microlites. Data sources: ¹Saepuloh *et al.* (2016); ²Budi-santoso *et al.* (2013); ³Aisyah *et al.* (2018); ⁴Saepuloh *et al.* (2013); ⁵Beauducel and Cornet (1999); ⁶Widiyantoro *et al.* (2013); ¹¹Erdmann *et al.* (2014); ¹²Costa *et al.* (2013); ¹³Preece *et al.* (2016); ¹⁴Peters *et al.* (2017); ¹⁵Deegan *et al.* (2016).

edifice detected by geoelectric sounding and seismic investigations was also interpreted as layers of saline fluids, rather than melts (e.g. Wegler and Lühr, 2001; Müller & Haak, 2004; Luehr *et al.*, 2013; Widiyantoro *et al.*, 2018).

Geobarometric calculations using clinopyroxene and amphibole suggest a wider range of depths, from the upper to the lower crust, including 1–15 km (Nadeau *et al.*, 2013; Preece *et al.*, 2014), ~11–23 km (Deegan *et al.*, 2016), ~20–30 km (Costa *et al.*, 2013; Nadeau *et al.*, 2013; Peters *et al.*, 2017), and up to ~45 km (Chadwick *et al.*, 2013) (Fig. 14). The clinopyroxene-only geobarometry of Nimis (1999) applied to samples from the 1994 and 1998 eruptions gave the widest range of depths (2–45 km; Chadwick *et al.*, 2013). The clinopyroxene-only geobarometry of Putirka (2008; Eq. 32b) applied to the 2006 samples indicated depths of 11–23 km (concentrating at ~18 km; Deegan *et al.*, 2016), and also shallower depths of \sim 7–13 km (Preece et al., 2014). The clinopyroxene-liquid geobarometry of Putirka (2008; Eq. 32c) applied to samples from the 2006 and 2010 eruptions indicated depths of ${\sim}4\text{--}16\,\text{km}$ (with one value up to \sim 20 km from Cpx in 2010 white pumice; Preece et al., 2014). Calculations using the amphiboleonly barometers (Ridolfi & Renzulli, 2010; Ridolfi et al., 2012) and amphibole phenocrysts of 2006 and 2010 indicated depths of \sim 13 (±2) km and \sim 30 (±2) km (Erdmann et al., 2014; Costa et al., 2013), whereas amphibole megacrysts from historical eruptions give depths of about 20-30 km (Nadeau et al., 2013; Peters et al., 2017). The geobarometry calculations mentioned above (especially those based on mineral composition only) have large uncertainties which could translate to errors in depths of 5-10 km (cf. Preece et al., 2014).

To be able to reliably use the crystal-liquid geobarometry it is important, and yet difficult, to know the



Fig. 15. Results of simulations on the probability of recording the depths of three expected major magma reservoirs at 3 (± 2) , 15 (± 2) and 30 (± 2) km (mean values: black solid lines), by the number of apatite crystals (N = 27) used for estimating depths in this study. If the three reservoirs are approximately the same size, the probability of sampling all the three reservoirs by 27 crystals is close to 1 (inset a); if the size of the intermediate reservoir in 10 x smaller than the other two, the probability of sampling it would drop to ~0.5 (see example of missing the intermediate reservoir in inset b). Dark grey bars in insets show 'original' distributions of depths (assumed as normal distributions). Empty bars with red edges show depths recorded by sampled crystals (mean values: red dashed lines). See interpretations in the main text and methods in Supplementary Data Electronic Appendix.

composition of the melt that equilibrated with the erupted crystals. This is especially difficult when studying open-system volcanoes like Merapi, where the erupted rocks consist of multiple crystal populations originating from different magma sources (e.g. Gertisser & Keller, 2003; Chadwick et al., 2013; Costa et al., 2013; Preece et al., 2014; Erdmann et al., 2016). Thus, significant uncertainty remains on whether the geobarometric results above record the real pressuredepths for magma crystallization and storage. More specifically, it is unclear whether such wide range of depths reflect widespread distribution of melts below Merapi, or are an artefact of limited and partial meltcrystal equilibrium of the compositions used. Seismic tomography images (Widiyantoro et al., 2018) suggest two magma storage zones at \sim 10–20 km and >25 km respectively (Fig. 14). However, given the significant uncertainties in depths (±5km, according to the size of grid nodes used in the inversion model of Widiyantoro et al., 2018), it is unclear whether the magma storage depths of 10-20 km are real, and whether this magma storage zone is isolated or interconnected with other magma reservoir(s) at shallower/deeper locations.

Our calculations using apatite volatile compositions indicate depths of 2–7 (±1–2) km (with an outlier at ~14 km) where apatite in Cpx grew (N = 15), mostly 2–9 km (up to 17 km; ± 2–3 km) where apatite microphenocrysts/microlites grew (N = 10), and \geq 30 km where apatite inclusions in 2010 Amph grew (N = 2; Fig. 14). Using Cpx-liquid geobarometry of Putirka (2008; Eq. 32 c), we find depths of \leq 10 km from the low-Al Cpx (with apatite included) that cover the range of depths calculated from apatite in Cpx, and larger depths (~24–30 km, ±5 km) from the 2010 high-Al Cpx (with no apatite inclusion), overlapping with those calculated using amphibole-liquid barometry of Putirka (2016; Eq. 7a), i.e.

 \sim 22–32 km (±3–5 km). As comparison, pressure-depths calculated using the amphibole-only barometry of Ridolfi & Renzulli (2012) show generally lower values (Table 6; Fig. 14), and were not taken into consideration due to larger uncertainties. The shallow magma storage depths at 1-10 km are recorded by the majority of apatite crystals in Cpx and in groundmass, and agree with depths (<5 km) obtained by multiple geophysical, geodetic, and seismic tomography studies (Saepuloh et al., 2010; Ratdomopurbo & Poupinet, 2000; Budi-Santoso et al., 2013; Saepuloh et al., 2013; Aisyah et al., 2018), overlap with those revealed by MIs (1-12 km; Preece et al., 2014), phase equilibrium experiments (4.5-12 km; Erdmann et al., 2016), as well as GPS and tilt observations (~8.5 km; Beauducel & Cornet, 1999). The majority of depths calculated for the deep reservoir from this study, i.e. from \sim 25 (±5) to \geq 30 km, were also proposed by previous seismic tomography studies (Widivantoro et al., 2018), and overlap with geobarometric calculations on amphibole megacrysts from older eruption deposits (Nadeau et al., 2013; Peters et al., 2017).

Only three apatite crystals in the groundmass (out of 27 crystals that we analysed) indicate discrete magma depths between 13-17 km, reflecting that the reservoir in the midcrust (as suggested by some studies mentioned above) was not significantly involved in the 2006 and 2010 eruptions. A question that may arise here is whether the apatite sample size we have used (N = 27) provides a biased view of magma reservoir depths, i.e. whether the limited number of crystals may have missed the record of any major reservoir. To investigate this, we performed simple numerical simulations on the probability of sampling the various apatite populations from three potential magma storage zones at about 3 (\pm 2), 15 (\pm 2) and 30 (\pm 2) km depths (see examples in Fig. 15 and Supplementary Data Fig. S6). By randomly sampling 27 crystals out of a much larger crystal population for many times, we have obtained the probability that the sampled crystals reflect the mean depths of the three reservoirs (see Supplementary Data Fig. S7). We find that if the intermediate reservoir is of similar size to the other two reservoirs, there is a probability of \sim 0.995 that the sampled 27 crystals would show all the three major distributions at the expected depths (Fig. 15a). But if the intermediate reservoir is much smaller than the other two (e.g. \sim 10 times), the probability significantly decreases (e.g. to \sim 0.5; Fig. 15b). Results from these simulations indicate that the number of crystals that we have studied are, in principle, statistically representative of the major magma storage zones (at two distinct depths) related to the 2006 and 2010 eruptions at Merapi. Given that apatite can crystallise from silicate melts over a wide range of P-T conditions through the crust (e.g. Webster & Piccoli, 2015; Li & Costa, 2020), the fact that no intermediate reservoir was recorded by our samples is unlikely due to a lack of apatite crystallization in the mid crust. Instead, this can be explained if the intermediate reservoir is much smaller and/or it contributed much smaller volumes of magmas to the 2006 and 2010 eruptions, compared to the shallower and deeper reservoirs.



Fig. 16. Sketch for the plumbing system of Merapi, showing two major magma reservoirs at depths \leq 10 km and \geq 25–30 km respectively. Compared to the dome-forming eruption in 2006, the more explosive eruption in 2010 was likely caused by larger volumes of magma-volatile fluxes originating from depth, which increased the buoyancy of the shallower magmas, and thus led to faster magma ascent and larger explosivity in 2010.

In summary, we suggest that there are two major magma reservoirs that fed the 2006 and 2010 eruptions: one at <10 km below the summit crater where the majority of magma degassing/crystallization occurred, and the other at depth >25–30 km (Fig. 16). The majority of shallow magmas (<5 km deep) found in this study for Merapi are at similar depths to those proposed for other volcanoes in central Java (e.g. Slamet and Lawu, detected by InSAR; Chaussard & Amelung, 2012) and at the Sunda arc (e.g. Geiger et al., 2018). It is unclear whether there are significant amounts of magmas between the two major reservoirs at Merapi, given the uncertainties in calculations on apatite from this study, and on the mineral-only geobarometry from the literature (see above). Additional constraints on the depths of magma storage may be obtained based on the depth of brittle-ductile transition and the magma buoyancy in relation to the volumes of exsolved volatiles (e.g. Huber et al., 2019), but this requires a good understanding of how the high thermal flux affects the crustal densities at an active volcano such as Merapi. The dual reservoir model proposed here is different from the architecture of plumbing systems consisting of multiple continuous

magma reservoirs through the whole crust (e.g. Cashman *et al.*, 2017). The case study of Merapi volcano using apatite indicates that the architecture of volcano plumbing systems may be unravelled by chemical analyses of volatiles in a large number of apatite crystals that occur at different textural positions in the erupted products.

Links between magmatic volatiles and preeruptive gas emission

The composition of pre-eruptive volcanic gas can be combined with modeling of magmatic volatile saturation (e.g. Moretti *et al.*, 2003; Scaillet & Pichavant, 2005) and used as eruption precursor (e.g. for Etna and Stromboli volcanoes; Aiuppa *et al.*, 2007, 2009). To properly interpret the gas data using volatile saturation models, it is necessary to know the abundance and composition of volatiles in melts at different depths of the system. With the 'initial' melt volatile budgets as estimated from apatite inclusions in amphibole, it is possible to construct magma ascent and degassing models that translate to the depth (e.g. Aiuppa *et al.*, 2007). These models are quite complex and need to

28

involve the kinetics of the processes and how volatile composition may evolved during ascent (Burgisser & Scaillet, 2007), which are beyond the scope of this paper. Below we rationalize the changes in composition of the fumaroles with time with the different composition of the volatiles in the melt at different depths.

The composition of gas emitted from Merapi has been traditionally monitored by sampling hightemperature fumaroles from the summit Woro-field (Humaida et al., 2007; Surono et al., 2012). A common observation is that CO₂/SO₂ in the fumarolic gas increases towards the eruption, e.g. from $CO_2/SO_2 \approx 9$ during quiescence and passive degassing, to up to \sim 37 before the 2006 eruption, and to the maximum of \sim 118 on October 20th, 2010 (one week before the first eruption stage) (Humaida et al., 2007; Surono et al., 2012). In addition, CO₂/H₂O in the fumarolic gas also increases towards the eruption, e.g. from \sim 0.05 during guiescence and passive degassing, to \sim 0.5 about one month before the 2006 eruption (in March), and to the maximum of ~ 2.7 in October 2010. This means that from the quiescence to just before the 2010 eruption, $CO_2/$ SO₂ and CO₂/H₂O values of the fumarolic gas increase by factors of about 13 and 54, respectively. Such significant changes in gas composition can be rationalized as due to changes in the depths of magma degassing (e.g. Aiuppa et al., 2007, 2009; Scaillet & Pichavant, 2005), and gualitatively agree with the differences in C/S and CO₂/H₂O between shallow MIs and the deepest melt reflected by apatite in Amph from this study.

Another explanation for the CO₂-rich gas released just before eruption is the decarbonation of limestones, which commonly exist at the upper crust in Java (e.g. Allard, 1983; Chadwick et al., 2007; Deegan et al., 2010; Troll et al., 2012, 2013; Blythe et al., 2015; Carr et al., 2018; Whitley et al., 2019). The CO₂ released from limestones at such shallow level is hardly likely to be soluble in the melt and thus tends to exist as a vapor phase rather than dissolving in the melt (e.g. Troll et al., 2013). As a result, the CO₂ contribution from limestone assimilation is difficult to be recorded by melt inclusions or apatite, and thus not recorded in our data. Nonetheless, the depleted δ^{13} C signals of fumarolic CO₂ emitted from Merapi (Allard et al., 2011) suggest a major magmatic input from large depths, which is supported by the deep magma reservoir (>25-30 km) found in this study.

Given the similar volatile concentrations in the shallow melt inferred by the products of the two eruptions, there have to be more complex processes (e.g. related to the magma ascent and degassing) to cause the difference in the explosivities in 2006 and 2010 (e.g. Costa *et al.*, 2013; Preece *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Handley *et al.*, 2018; Carr *et al.*, 2020). The high CO₂/SO₂ and CO₂/H₂O values of the fumarolic gas emitted about one week before the first explosion in 2010 suggests larger amounts of deep volatiles, which could be in accord with larger amounts of magma replenishment from depths that released volatiles that fluxed the shallow magma column. Surono *et al.* (2012) has suggested the presence of significant amounts of exsolved volatiles before the eruption in 2010. These exsolved volatiles were able to produce larger magma buoyancy and faster magma ascent in 2010, which eventually caused the explosive eruption (Fig. 16). Evidence for the faster magma ascent in 2010 than 2006 has been found from volcano monitoring, petrography observations and diffusion modelling of apatite as summarized below.

Faster magma ascent in 2010 than 2006 revealed by CI zoning in apatite

Magma ascent rate has been recognized as a key parameter for controlling eruptive styles (e.g. Cashman & Sparks, 2013; Cassidy et al., 2018), however few magma ascent rates were directly determined (e.g. Humphreys et al., 2008; Myers et al 2016). In the case of Merapi, magma ascent in 2010 was higher than in 2006, based on the following observations: (1) magma extrusion rates of the eruption in 2010 were much larger (\approx 25- 35 m^3 /s; Pallister *et al.*, 2013) than those in 2006 (<1– 4 m³/s; Surono et al., 2012; Ratdomopurbo et al., 2013); (2) the amphibole of 2010 rarely has breakdown reaction rims, whereas many amphibole crystals of 2006 have (Costa et al., 2013); (3) the higher number density of microlites in 2010 (stage-4) rocks than that in 2006 deposits reflects nucleation-dominant crystallization of microlites in 2010 due to more rapid magma ascent and degassing (Preece et al., 2013, 2016); and (4) different distances of diffusion-induced CI zoning in the 2006 and 2010 apatites, due to different durations of magma ascent before the two eruptions (Li et al., 2020; see below).

Apatite microlites from rocks emitted in 2006 are commonly zoned, increasing CI towards their rims for distances of $3-7 \mu m$ (Fig 3), whereas the 2010 apatite shows no obvious zoning of F/Cl/OH (Fig. 4). Moreover, the Cl zoning is anisotropic, and only occurs along the c-axis, as is expected given the large anisotropy of the CI diffusion coefficient in apatite (e.g. Li et al., 2020). The observed CI zoning was unlikely related to crystal growth, as there is no zoning of trace elements (e.g. REE) according to cathodoluminescence images (Li, 2019). Cl diffusion in groundmass apatite can be driven by increasing CI/H₂O concentrations in the melt due to decompressioninduced H₂O loss, and associated microlite crystallization during magma ascent, and increasing CI melt concentration. Thus, we believe that the zoning developed during magma ascent from the last stage of pre-eruptive storage and its ascent towards the surface. Li et al. (2020) experimentally determined the diffusivities of F-CI-OH in apatite and used a three-component diffusion model to calculate timescales for the same samples from this study. They found that the 2006 and 2010 apatite reflect magma ascent times of about two weeks, and less than 3 days respectively, which are comparable to the durations between the start of volcanic unrest and the eruption proposed in previous studies (Ratdomopurbo et al., 2013; Aisyah et al., 2018). Given that the pre-eruptive magmas of the two eruptions were likely stored at similar depths, magma ascent rates of the 2010 and 2006

eruptions are on average >0.01–0.04 m/s and ~0.002– 0.005 m/s respectively, consistent with an order of magnitude greater magma extrusion rates in 2010 than 2006 (Surono *et al.*, 2012; Pallister *et al.*, 2013; Ratdomopurbo *et al.*, 2013). The minimum ascent rate of 0.01–0.04 m/s estimated for the VEI-4 explosive eruption in 2010 using apatite agrees with the ascent rates of \geq 0.01–0.1 m/s proposed for explosive eruptions at a variety of arc volcanoes (Cassidy *et al.*, 2018).

CONCLUSIONS

We have found that the apatite crystals from the Merapi 2006 and 2010 eruptions with contrasting eruptive dynamics reflect two main magma storage zones with similar melt volatile contents. The shallow magma storage zone was at ≤ 10 km below the crater, containing melts with about 1.5-4 wt % H₂O, 60-2500 ppm CO₂ and 10-130 ppm S. The deeper magma storage zone was at \geq 25–30 km depth, containing melts with \sim 3–8 wt % H_2O , \geq 8000 ppm CO_2 and \sim 340–2000 ppm S. The melt CO₂ concentrations calculated from apatite likely represent the maximum, given the extrapolation of apatitemelt CO₂ partitioning data (determined from experiments) to the melt and temperature conditions applicable to Merapi. Combination of our results with the literature data suggests that the 2006 and 2010 eruptions at Merapi were fed by magmas from two major reservoirs that are connected by magma-volatile fluxes. The deep volatile-rich melts revealed by the apatite in amphibole can explain the changes in the compositions of fumarolic gases before the two eruptions. Our results imply that the more explosive 2010 eruption was likely driven by a larger amount of deep magmas that released volatiles to the upper parts of the system and led to a higher magma buoyancy, faster magma ascent and thus larger explosivity. This is consistent with the slower magma ascent of the 2006 eruption (than 2010) recorded by the crystallization-degassing-related CI zoning in groundmass apatite. Applications of apatite volatile chemistry to constraints on volatile contents, storage depths, and ascent rates of pre-eruptive magmas may contribute to a better understanding of the effusive-explosive transition at volcanoes, and can be integrated with volatile solubility models and monitoring data to give more accurate eruption forecasts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is part of the Ph.D. thesis of W. Li, who would like to thank professor Y. Zhang for generously providing the natural apatite standards, J. Herrin for assistance with electron microanalysis, and C. Widiwijayanti and L. Cheng for discussions on the eruption dynamics at Merapi volcano. W. Li. and F. Costa thank J. Pallister for collecting and sharing the rock samples, and H. Humaida and other staff from BPPTKG (Indonesia) for discussions on the eruption history of Merapi. We are grateful to R. Gertisser, K. Preece, S. Erdmann and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments on this manuscript, and thank V. Troll for editorial support.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the 'Magma plumbing system' research project at the Earth Observatory of Singapore via its funding from the National Research Foundation Singapore Investigatorship Award (NRF-NRFI2017-06). This work is Earth Observatory of Singapore contribution number 328.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at *Journal of Petrology* online.

REFERENCES

- Aisyah, N., Iguchi, M., Subandriyo, B., A., Hotta, K. & Sumarti, S. (2018). Combination of a pressure source and block movement for ground deformation analysis at Merapi volcano prior to the eruptions in 2006 and 2010. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **357**, 239–253.
- Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Giudice, G., Giuffrida, G., Guida, R., Gurrieri, S., Liuzzo, M., Moretti, R. & Papale, P. (2009). The 2007 eruption of Stromboli volcano: Insights from real-time measurement of the volcanic gas plume CO₂/SO₂ ratio. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 182, 221–230.
- Aiuppa, A., Moretti, R., Federico, C., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Liuzzo, M., Papale, P., Shinohara, H. & Valenza, M. (2007). Forecasting Etna eruptions by real-time observation of volcanic gas composition. *Geology* **35**, 1115–1118.
- Allard, P. (1983). The origin of hydrogen, carbon, sulphur, nitrogen and rare gases in volcanic exhalations; evidence from isotope geochemistry. In: Tazieff, H. & Sabroux, J. (eds) *Forecasting Volcanic Events.* New York: Elsevier, pp. 337–386.
- Allard, P. (2010). A CO₂-rich gas trigger of explosive paroxysms at Stromboli basaltic volcano. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **189**, 363–374.
- Allard, P., Métrich, N. & Sabroux, J. (2011). Volatile and magma supply to standard eruptive activity at Merapi volcano, Indonesia. EGU General Assembly Abstracts 13, 13522.
- Amoruso, A. & Crescentini, L. (2009). Shape and volume change of pressurized ellipsoidal cavities from deformation and seismic data. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **114**, B02210.
- Anderson, J. L. & Smith, D. R. (1995). The effects of temperature and fO_2 on the Al-in-hornblende barometer. *American Mineralogist* **80**, 549–559.
- Armstrong, J. T. (1988). Quantitative analysis of silicate and oxide minerals: comparison of Monte-Carlo, ZAF, and Phi-Rho-Z procedures. In: Newbury DE (ed.) Proc. Microbeam Analysis Society. San Francisco: San Francisco Press, p. 239.
- Bachmann, O. & Huber, C. (2016). Silicic magma reservoirs in the Earth's crust. American Mineralogist 101, 2377–2404.
- Bacon, C. R. (1989). Crystallization of accessory phases in magmas by local saturation adjacent to phenocrysts. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53, 1055–1066.

- Beauducel, F. & Cornet, F. (1999). Collection and threedimensional modelling of GPS and tilt data at Merapi volcano. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 104, 725–736.
- Blake, S. (1984). Volatile oversaturation during the evolution of silicic magma chambers as an eruption trigger. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 89, 8237–8244.
- Blundy, J. & Cashman, K. (2008). Petrologic reconstruction of magmatic system variables and processes. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 69, 179–239.
- Blundy, J. & Holland, T. J. (1990). Calcic amphibole equilibria and a new amphibole-plagioclase geothermometer. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **104**, 208–224.
- Blythe, L. S., Deegan, F. M., Freda, C., Jolis, E. M., Masotta, M., Misiti, V., Taddeucci, J. & Troll, V. R. (2015). CO₂ bubble generation and migration during magma–carbonate interaction. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **169**, 42.
- Borisova, A. Y., Martel, C., Gouy, S., Pratomo, I., Sumarti, S., Toutain, J. P., Bindeman, I. N., de Parseval, P., Metaxian, J. P. & Surono (2013). Highly explosive 2010 Merapi eruption: evidence for shallow-level crustal assimilation and hybrid fluid. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 193–208.
- Boudreau, A. E. (1995). Fluid evolution in layered intrusions: evidence from the chemistry of the halogen-bearing minerals. In: *Magmas, Fluids and Ore Deposits*, pp. 25–46.
- Boyce, J. W. & Hervig, R. L. (2008). Magmatic degassing histories from apatite volatile stratigraphy. *Geology* 36, 63–66.
- Boyce, J. W. & Hervig, R. L. (2009). Apatite as a monitor of late-stage magmatic processes at Volcán Irazú, Costa Rica. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 157, 135–145.
- Budi-Santoso, A., Lesage, P., Dwiyono, S., Sumarti, S., Subandriyo, J., Surono, Jousset, P. & Metaxian, J.-P. (2013). Analysis of the seismic activity associated with the 2010 eruption of Merapi volcano, Java. *Journal of Volcanology* and Geothermal Research 261, 153–170.
- Burgisser, A. & Scaillet, B. (2007). Redox evolution of a degassing magma rising to the surface. *Nature* 445, 194–197.
- Byrdina, S., Friedel, S., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Budi-Santoso, A., Suryanto, W., Rizal, M. H. & Winata, E. (2017). Geophysical image of the hydrothermal system of Merapi volcano. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 329, 30–40.
- Carr, B. B., Clarke, A. B. & de' Michieli Vitturi, M. (2018). Earthquake induced variations in extrusion rate: a numerical modeling approach to the 2006 eruption of Merapi Volcano (Indonesia). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 482, 377–387.
- Carr, B. B., Clarke, A. B. & de' Michieli Vitturi, M. (2020). Volcanic conduit controls on effusive-explosive transitions and the 2010 eruption of Merapi Volcano (Indonesia). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **392**, 106767.
- Cashman, K. V. & Sparks, R. S. J. (2013). How volcanoes work: a 25 year perspective. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 125, 664–690.
- Cashman, K. V., Sparks, R. S. J. & Blundy, J. D. (2017). Vertically extensive and unstable magmatic systems: a unified view of igneous processes. *Science* 355, eaag3055.
- Cassidy, M., Manga, M., Cashman, K. & Bachmann, O. (2018). Controls on explosive-effusive volcanic eruption styles. *Nature Communications* 9, 2839.
- Chadwick, J. P., Troll, V. R., Ginibre, C., Morgan, D., Gertisser, R., Waight, T. E. & Davidson, J. P. (2007). Carbonate assimilation at Merapi Volcano, Java, Indonesia: insights from crystal isotope stratigraphy. *Journal of Petrology* 48, 1793–1812.

- Chadwick, J. P., Troll, V. R., Waight, T. E., van der Zwan, F. M. & Schwarzkopf, L. M. (2013). Petrology and geochemistry of igneous inclusions in recent Merapi deposits: a window into the sub-volcanic plumbing system. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **165**, 259–282.
- Chaussard, E. & Amelung, F. (2012). Precursory inflation of shallow magma reservoirs at west Sunda volcanoes detected by InSAR. *Geophysical Research Letters* **39**, n/a–n/a.
- Cheng, L. & Costa, F. (2019). Statistical analysis of crystal populations and links to volcano deformation for more robust estimates of magma replenishment volumes. *Geology* 47, 1171–1175.
- Chevychelov, V. Y., Bocharnikov, R. E. & Holtz, F. (2008). Experimental study of chlorine and fluorine partitioning between fluid and subalkaline basaltic melt. *Doklady Earth Sciences* **422**, 1089–1092.
- Clark, K., Zhang, Y. & Naab, F. U. (2016). Quantification of CO₂ concentration in apatite. *American Mineralogist* 101, 2443–2451.
- Costa, F., Andreastuti, S., Bouvet de Maisonneuve, C. & Pallister, J. S. (2013). Petrological insights into the storage conditions, and magmatic processes that yielded the centennial 2010 Merapi explosive eruption. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 209–235.
- Costa, F., Scaillet, B. & Pichavant, M. (2004). Petrological and experimental constraints on the pre-eruption compositions of Holocene dacite from Volcan San Pedro (36° S, Chilean Andes) and importance of sulphur in silicic subduction-related magmas. *Journal of Petrology* **45**, 855–881.
- Cottrell, E., Spiegelman, M. & Langmuir, C. H. (2002). Consequences of diffusive reequilibration for the interpretation of melt inclusions. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 3, 1–26.
- Dalou, C., Koga, K., Le Voyer, M. & Shimizu, N. (2014). Contrasting partition behavior of F and Cl during hydrous mantle melting: implications for Cl/F signature in arc magmas. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science* 1, 26.
- Dalou, C., Koga, K., Shimizu, N., Boulon, J. & Devidal, J. L. (2012). Experimental determination of F and Cl partitioning between Iherzolite and basaltic melt. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **163**, 591–609.
- Danyushevsky, L. V., Della-Pasqua, F. N. & Sokolov, S. (2000). Re-equilibration of melt inclusions trapped by magnesian olivine phenocrysts from subduction-related magmas: petrological implications. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **138**, 68–83.
- Deegan, F. M., Troll, V. R., Freda, C., Misiti, V., Chadwick, J. P., McLeod, C. L. & Davidson, J. P. (2010). Magma–carbonate interaction processes and associated CO₂ release at Merapi Volcano, Indonesia: insights from experimental petrology. *Journal of Petrology* 51, 1027–1051.
- Deegan, F. M., Whitehouse, M. J., Troll, V. R., Budd, D. A., Harris, C., Geiger, H. & Halenius, U. (2016). Pyroxene standards for SIMS oxygen isotope analysis and their application to Merapi volcano, Sunda arc, Indonesia. *Chemical Geology* 447, 1–10.
- Degruyter, W., Huber, C., Bachmann, O., Cooper, K. M. & Kent, A. J. R. (2017). Influence of exsolved volatiles on reheating silicic magmas by recharge and consequences for eruptive style at Volcán Quizapu (Chile). *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 18, 4123–4135.
- Donovan, J. J. & Tingle, T. N. (1996). An improved mean atomic number background correction for quantitative microanalysis. *Journal of the Microscopy Society of America* 2, 1–7.
- Edmonds, M., Cashman, K. V., Holness, M. & Jackson, M. (2019). Architecture and dynamics of magma reservoir. *Philosophical*

Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **377**, 20180298.

- Erdmann, S., Martel, C., Pichavant, M. & Kushnir, A. (2014). Amphibole as an archivist of magmatic crystallization conditions: problems, potential, and implications for inferring magma storage prior to the paroxysmal 2010 eruption of Mount Merapi. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **167**, 1016.
- Erdmann, S., MartelC., Pichavant M., Bourdier, J. L., Champallier, R., Komorowski J. C. & Cholik, N. (2016) Constraints from phase equilibrium experiments on pre-eruptive storage conditions in mixed magma systems: a case study on crystal-rich basaltic andesites from Mount Merapi, Indonesia. *Journal of Petrology* **57**, 535–560.
- Gaetani, G. A. & Watson, E. B. (2000). Open system behavior of olivine-hosted melt inclusions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **183**, 27–41.
- Geiger, H., Troll, V. R., Jolis, E. M., Deegan, F. M., Harris, C., Hilton, D. R. & Freda, C. (2018). Multi-level magma plumbing at Agung and Batur volcanoes increases risk of hazardous eruptions. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 10547.
- Gertisser, R. (2001). Gunung Merapi (Java, Indonesien): Eruptionsgeschichte und magmatische Evolution eines Hochrisiko-Vulkans. Ph.D. thesis, University of Freiburg, Germany.
- Gertisser, R. & Keller, J. (2003). Temporal variations in magma composition at Merapi Volcano (Central Java, Indonesia): magmatic cycles during the past 2000 years of explosive activity. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **123**, 1–23.
- Giordano, D., Russell, J. K. & Dingwell, D. B. (2008). Viscosity of magmatic liquids: A model. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 271, 123–134.
- Goldoff, B., Webster, J. D. & Harlov, D. E. (2012). Characterization of fluor-chlorapatites by electron probe microanalysis with a focus on time-dependent intensity variation of halogens. *American Mineralogist* **97**, 1103–1115.
- Grove, T. L., Elkins-Tanton, L. T., Parman, S. W., Chatterjee, N., Mjntener, O. & Gaetani, G. A. (2003). Fractional crystallization and mantle-melting controls on calc-alkaline differentiation trends. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **145**, 515–533.
- Hammarstrom, J. M. & Zen, E.-A. (1986). Aluminum in hornblende: an empirical igneous geobarometer. *American Mineralogist* 71, 1297–1313.
- Handley, H. K., Reagan, M., Gertisser, R., Preece, K., Berlo, K., McGee, L. E., Barclay, J. & Herd, R. (2018). Timescales of magma ascent and degassing and the role of crustal assimilation at Merapi volcano (2006–2010), Indonesia. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 222, 34–52.
- Harrison, T. M. & Watson, E. B. (1984). The behavior of apatite during crustal anatexis: Equilibrium and kinetic considerations. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 48, 1467–1477.
- Hauri, E., Gaetani, G. & Green, T. (2006). Partitioning of water during melting of the Earth's upper mantle at H₂O-undersaturated conditions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 248, 715–734.
- Hercule, S. & Ingrin, J. (1999). Hydrogen in diopside; diffusion, kinetics of extraction–incorporation, and solubility. *American Mineralogist* 84, 1577–1587.
- Holland, T. J. & Blundy, J. (1994). Non-ideal interactions in calcic amphiboles and their bearing on amphibole-plagioclase thermometry. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **116**, 433–447.
- Hollister, L. S., Grissom, G. C., Peters, E. K., Stowell, H. H. & Sisson, V. B. (1987). Confirmation of the empirical

correlation of Al in hornblende with pressure of solidification of calc-alkaline plutons. *American Mineralogist* **72**, 231–239.

- Huber, C., Townsend, M., Degruyter, W. & Bachmann, O. (2019). Optimal depth of subvolcanic magma chamber growth controlled by volatiles and crust rheology. *Nature Geoscience* 12, 762–768.
- Humaida, H., Sumarti, S., Subandriyo, N., A., Sukarnen, I. G. M., Suharno, R., K., & Badrijas, I. & S. (2007). Aktivitas Merapi 2006 dan Pemantauan Emisi SO₂ dengan COSPEC, in Erupsi Merapi 2006. Laporan dan Kajian Vulkanisme Erupsi 2006. Departement Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral, Badan Geologi, Pusat Vulkanologi dan Mitigasi Bencana Geologi.
- Humphreys, M. C. S., Edmonds, M., Christopher, T. & Hards, V. (2009). Chlorine variations in the magma of Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat: insights from Cl in hornblende and melt inclusions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 73, 5693–5708.
- Humphreys, M. C. S., Menand, T., Blundy, J. D. & Klimm, K. (2008). Magma ascent rates in explosive eruptions: constraints from H₂O diffusion in melt inclusions. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **270**, 25–40.
- Johnson, M. C. & Rutherford, M. J. (1989). Experimental calibration of the aluminum-in-hornblende geobarometer with application to Long Valley caldera (California) volcanic rocks. *Geology* 17, 837–841.
- Jones, R. H., McCubbin, F. M., Dreeland, L., Guan, Y. B., Burger, P. V. & Shearer, C. K. (2014). Phosphate minerals in LL chondrites: a record of the action of fluids during metamorphism on ordinary chondrite parent bodies. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 132, 120–140.
- Kent, A. J. R. (2008). Melt inclusions in basaltic and related volcanic rocks. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 69, 273–331.
- Ketcham, R. A. (2015). Technical Note: Calculation of stoichiometry from EMP data for apatite and other phases with mixing on monovalent anion sites. *American Mineralogist* **100**, 1620–1623.
- Kim, Y. J., Konecke, B., Fiege, A., Simon, A. & Becker, U. (2017). An ab-initio study of the energetics and geometry of sulfide, sulfite, and sulfate incorporation into apatite: The thermodynamic basis for using this system as an oxybarometer. *American Mineralogist* **102**, 1646–1656.
- Komorowski, J. C., Jenkins, S., Baxter, P. J., Picquout, A., Lavigne, F., Charbonnier, S., Gertisser, R., Preece, K., Cholik, N., Budi-Santoso, A. & Surono (2013). Paroxysmal dome explosion during the Merapi 2010 eruption: processes and facies relationships of associated high-energy pyroclastic density currents. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 260–294.
- Konecke, B. A., Fiege, A., Simon, A. C., Linsler, S. & Holtz, F. (2019). An experimental calibration of a sulfur-in-apatite oxybarometer for mafic systems. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 265, 242–258.
- Konecke, B. A., Fiege, A., Simon, A. C., Parat, F. & Stechern, A. (2017). Co-variability of S⁶⁺, S⁴⁺, and S²⁻ in apatite as a function of oxidation state: Implications for a new oxybarometer. *American Mineralogist* **102**, 548–557.
- Koulakov, I., Jakovlev, A. & Luehr, B. G. (2009). Anisotropic structure beneath central Java from local earthquake tomography. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 10, n/a–n/a.
- Lange, R. A., Frey, H. M. & Hector, J. (2009). A thermodynamic model for the plagioclase-liquid hygrometer/thermometer. *American Mineralogist* 94, 494–506.

- Li, H. & Hermann, J. (2017). Chlorine and fluorine partitioning between apatite and sediment melt at 2.5 GPa, 800°C: a new experimentally derived thermodynamic model. *American Mineralogist* **102**, 580–594.
- Li, W. (2019). Eruptive styles of subduction-zone volcanoes: investigation of magmatic volatile budgets and ascent rates using apatite. Ph.D. thesis, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.
- Li, W., Chakraborty, S., Nagashima, K. & Costa, F. (2020). Multicomponent diffusion of F, Cl and OH in apatite with application to magma ascent rates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 550, 116545.
- Li, W. & Costa, F. (2020). A thermodynamic model for F-CI-OH partitioning between silicate melts and apatite including non-ideal mixing with application to constraining melt volatile budgets. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **269**, 203–222.
- Lloyd, A. S., Plank, T., Ruprecht, P., Hauri, E. H. & Rose, W. (2013). Volatile loss from melt inclusions in pyroclasts of differing sizes. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **165**, 129–153.
- Lowenstern, J. B. (1995). Applications of silicate–melt inclusions to the study of magmatic volatiles. In: Thompson, J.F.H. (ed.) *Magmas, Fluids, and Ore Deposits.* Mineral. Assoc. Canada Short Course, vol. 23, pp. 71–99.
- Lowenstern, J. B., Sisson, T. W. & Hurwitz, S. (2017). Probing magma reservoirs to improve volcano forecasts. EOS-Earth Space Science News 98.
- Ludwig, K. R. (2003). User's Manual for Isoplot 3.00: A Geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Excel (No. 4). Berkeley Geochronology Centre, Special Publication.
- Luehr, B. G., Koulakov, I., Rabbel, W., Zschau, J., Ratdomopurbo, A., Brotopuspito, K. S., Fauzi, P. & Sahara, D. P. (2013). Fluid ascent and magma storage beneath Gunung Merapi revealed by multi-scale seismic imaging. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 7–19.
- Maclennan, J. (2019). Mafic tiers and transient mushes: evidence from Iceland. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 377, 20180021.
- McCubbin, F. M., Hauri, E. H., Elardo, S. M., Vander Kaaden, K. E., Wang, J. & Shearer, C. K. (2012). Hydrous melting of the Martian mantle produced both depleted and enriched shergottites. *Geology* 40, 683–686.
- McCubbin, F. M. & Ustunisik, G. (2018). Experimental investigation of F and CI partitioning between apatite and Fe-rich basaltic melt at 0 GPa and 950–1050°C: Evidence for steric controls on apatite-melt exchange equilibria in OH-poor apatite. American Mineralogist 103, 1455–1467.
- McCubbin, F. M., Vander Kaaden, K. E., Tartèse, R., Boyce, J. W., Mikhail, S., Whitson, E. S., Bell, A. S., Anand, M., Franchi, I. A., Wang, J. & Hauri, E. H. (2015). Experimental investigation of F, Cl, and OH partitioning between apatite and Fe-rich basaltic melt at 1.0-1.2 GPa and 950-1000°C. *American Mineralogist* **100**, 1790–1802.
- Magee, C., Stevenson, C. T. E., Ebmeier, S. K., Keir, D., Hammond, J. O. S., Gottsmann, J. H., Whaler, K., Schofield, N., Jackson, C. A.-L., Petronis, M. S., O'Driscoll, B., Morgan, J., Cruden, A., Vollgger, S. A., Dering, G., Micklethwaite, S. & Jackson, M. D. (2018). Magma plumbing systems: a geo-physical perspective. *Journal of Petrology* 59, 1217–1251.
- Moretti, R., Métrich, N., Arienzo, I., Di Renzo, V., Aiuppa, A.& Allard, P. (2018) Degassing vs. eruptive styles at Mt. Etna volcano (Sicily, Italy). Part I: volatile stocking, gas fluxing,

and the shift from low-energy to highly explosive basaltic eruptions. *Chemical Geology* **482**, 1–17.

- Moretti, R., Papale, P. & Ottonello, G. (2003). A model for the saturation of C-O-H-S fluids in silicate melts. *Geological Society, London. Special Publications* **213**, 81–101.
- Myers, M. L., Wallace, P. J., Wilson, C. J. N., Morter, B. K. & Swallow, E. J. (2016). Prolonged ascent and episodic venting of discrete magma batches at the onset of the Huckleberry Ridge supereruption. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 451, 285–297.
- Müller, A. & Haak, V. (2004). 3-D modeling of the deep electrical conductivity of Merapi volcano (Central Java): integrating magnetotellurics, induction vectors and the effects of steep topography. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **138**, 205–222.
- Nadeau, O., Williams-Jones, A. E. & Stix, J. (2013). Magmatic-hydrothermal evolution and devolatilization beneath Merapi volcano. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 50–68.
- Nakada, S. & Motomura, Y. (1999). Petrology of the 1991-1995 eruption at Unzen: Effusion pulsation and groundmass crystallization. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **89**, 173–196.
- Newhall, C. G., Bronto, S., Alloway, B., Banks, N. G., Bahar, I., del Marmol, M. A., Hadisantono, R. D., Holcomb, R. T., McGeehin, J., Miksic, J. N., Rubin, M., Sayudi, S. D., Sukhyar, R., Andreastuti, S., Tilling, R. I., Torley, R., Trimble, D. & Wirakusumah, A. D. (2000). 10,000 Years of explosive eruptions of Merapi Volcano, Central Java: archaeological and modern implications. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* **100**, 9–50.
- O'Leary, J. A., Gaetani, G. A. & Hauri, E. H. (2010). The effect of tetrahedral Al³⁺ on the partitioning of water between clinopyroxene and silicate melt. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **297**, 111–120.
- Pallister, J. S., Schneider, D. J., Griswold, J. P., Keeler, R. H., Burton, W. C., Noyles, C., Newhall, C. G. & Ratdomopurbo, A. (2013). Merapi 2010 eruption-Chronology and extrusion rates monitored with satellite radar and used in eruption forecasting. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 144–152.
- Pan, Y. & Fleet, M. E. (2002). Compositions of the apatite-group minerals: substitution mechanisms and controlling factors. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 48, 13–49.
- Papale, P., Moretti, R. & Barbato, D. (2006). The compositional dependence of the saturation surface of $H_2O + CO_2$ fluids in silicate melts. *Chemical Geology* **229**, 78–95.
- Parat, F. & Holtz, F. (2004). Sulfur partitioning between apatite and melt and effect of sulfur on apatite solubility at oxidizing conditions. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 147, 201–212.
- Parat, F. & Holtz, F. (2005). Sulphur partition coefficient between apatite and rhyolite: the role of bulk S content. *Contributions* to *Mineralogy and Petrology* **150**, 643–651.
- Parat, F., Holtz, F. & Streck, M. J. (2011). Sulfur-bearing magmatic accessory minerals. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 73, 285–314.
- Peng, G., Luhr, J. F. & McGee, J. J. (1997). Factors controlling sulfur concentrations in volcanic apatite. *American Mineralogist* 82, 1210–1224.
- Peters, S. T. M., Troll, V. R., Weis, F. A., Dallai, L., Chadwick, J. P. & Schulz, B. (2017). Amphibole megacrysts as a probe into the deep plumbing system of Merapi volcano, Central Java, Indonesia. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **172**, 1–20.

- Philpotts, A. & Ague, J. (2009). *Principles of Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology*, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Piccoli, P. M. & Candela, P. A. (1994). Apatite in felsic rocks: a model for the estimation of initial halogen concentrations in the bishop tuff (long valley) and tuolumne intrusive suite (Sierra Nevada Batholith) magmas. *American Journal of Science* 294, 92–135.
- Piccoli, P. M. & Candela, P. A. (2002). Apatite in igneous systems. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* **48**, 255–292.
- Pichavant, M., Costa, F., Burgisser, A., Scaillet, B., Martel, C. & Poussineau, S. (2007). Equilibration scales in silicic to intermediate magmas - implications for experimental studies. *Journal of Petrology* 48, 1955–1972.
- Pichavant, M., Martel, C., Bourdier, J. L. & Scaillet, B. (2002). Physical conditions, structure, and dynamics of a zoned magma chamber: Mount Peleé (Martinique, Lesser Antilles Arc). Journal of Geophysical Research 107, 2093.
- Plank, T, Kelley, K. A, Zimmer M. M., Hauri, E. H. & Wallace, P. J. (2013). Why do mafic arc magmas contain ca. 4 wt % water on average? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 364, 168–179.
- Portnyagin, M., Almeev, R., Matveev, S. & Holtz, F. (2008). Experimental evidence for rapid water exchange between melt inclusions in olivine and host magma. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 272, 541–552.
- Preece, K. J. (2014). Transitions between effusive and explosive activity at Merapi volcano, Indonesia: a volcanological and petrological study of the 2006 and 2010 eruptions. Ph.D. Thesis, University of East Anglia.
- Preece, K., Barclay, J., Gertisser, R. & Herd, R. A. (2013). Textural and micro-petrological variations in the eruptive products of the 2006 dome-forming eruption of Merapi volcano, Indonesia: implications for sub-surface processes. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 98–120.
- Preece, K., Gertisser, R., Barclay, J., Berlo, K., Herd, R. A. & Edinburgh Ion Microprobe Facility (2014). Pre- and syn-eruptive degassing and crystallisation processes of the 2010 and 2006 eruptions of Merapi volcano, Indonesia. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **168**, 1–25.
- Preece, K., Gertisser, R., Barclay, J., Charbonnier, S. J., Komorowski, J.-C. & Herd, R. A. (2016). Transitions between explosive and effusive phases during the cataclysmic 2010 eruption of Merapi volcano, Java, Indonesia. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 78, 54.
- Putirka, K. D. (2008). Thermometers and barometers for volcanic systems. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 69, 61–120.
- Putirka, K. D. (2016). Amphibole thermometers and barometers for igneous systems and some implications for eruption mechanisms of felsic magmas at arc volcanoes. *American Mineralogist* **101**, 841–858.
- Putirka, K. D., Mikaelian, H., Ryerson, F. & Shaw, H. (2003). New clinopyroxene-liquid thermobarometer for mafic, evolved, and volatile-bearing lava compositions, with applications to lavas from Tibet and the Snake River Plain, Idaho. *American Mineralogist* 88, 1542–1554.
- Qin, Z., Lu, F. & Anderson, A. T. (1992). Diffusive reequilibration of melt and fluid inclusions. *American Mineralogist* 77, 565–576.
- Ratdomopurbo, A., Beauducel, F., Subandriyo, J., Agung Nandaka, I. G. M., Newhall, C. G., Suharna, Sayudi, D. S. & Suparwaka, H. S. (2013). Overview of the 2006 eruption of Mt. *Merapi. J. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 87–97.
- Ratdomopurbo, A. & Poupinet, G. (2000). An overview of the seismicity of Merapi volcano (Java, Indonesia), 1983-1994.

Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 100, 193–214.

- Ridolfi, F. & Renzulli, A. (2012). Calcic amphiboles in calc-alkaline and alkaline magmas: Thermobarometric and chemometric empirical equations valid up to 1130 °C and 2.2 GPa. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology 163, 877–895.
- Ridolfi, F., Renzulli, A. & Puerini, M. (2010). Stability and chemical equilibrium of amphibole in calc-alkaline magmas: An overview, new thermobarometric formulations and application to subduction-related volcanoes. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **160**, 45–66.
- Riker, J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Brooker, R. A., De Hoog, J. C. M. & Eim, F (2018). First measurements of OH-C exchange and temperature-dependent partitioning of OH and halogens in the system apatite-silicate melt. *American Mineralogist* **103**, 260–270.
- Roggensack, K., Hervig, R. L., McKnight, S. B. & Williams, S. N. (1997). Explosive basaltic volcanism from Cerro Negro volcano: Influence of volatiles on eruptive style. *Science* 277, 1639–1642.
- Rudnick, R. L. & Fountain, D. M. (1995). Nature and composition of the continental crust: a lower crustal perspective. *Reviews of Geophysics* **33**, 267–309.
- Rutherford, M. J. & Devine, J. D. (2003). Magmatic conditions and magma ascent as indicated by hornblende phase equilibria and reactions in the 1995–2002 Soufriére Hills magma. *Journal of Petrology* **44**, 1433–1454.
- Saepuloh, A., Koike, K., Omura, M., Iguchi, M. & Setiawan, A. (2010). SAR- and gravity change-based characterization of the distribution pattern of pyroclastic flow deposits at Mt. Merapi during the past 10 years. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 72, 221–232.
- Saepuloh, A., Urai, M., Aisyah, N., Sunarta, Widiwijayanti, C., Subandriyo, J. & Jousset, P. (2013). Interpretation of ground surface changes prior to the 2010 large eruption of Merapi volcano using ALOS/PALSAR, ASTER TIR and gas emission data. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 261, 130–143.
- Sato, H., Holtz, F., Behrens, H., Botcharnikov, R. & Nakada, S. (2004). Experimental petrology of the 1991-1995 Unzen dacite, Japan. Part II: CI/OH partitioning between hornblende and melt and its implications for the origin of oscillatory zoning of hornblende phenocrysts. *Journal of Petrology* 46, 339–354.
- Scaillet, B. & Evans, B. W. (1999). The 15 June 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo. I. Phase equilibria and pre-eruption P-T-fO₂-fH₂O conditions of the dacite magma. *Journal of Petrology* **40**, 381–411.
- Scaillet, B. & Pichavant, M. (2005). A model of sulphur solubility for hydrous mafic melts: Application to the determination of magmatic fluid compositions of Italian volcanoes. *Annals of Geophysics* 48, 671–698.
- Schettler, G., Gottschalk, M. & Harlov, D. E. (2011). A new semi-micro wet chemical method for apatite analysis and its application to the crystal chemistry of fluorapatitechlorapatite solid solutions. *American Mineralogist* **96**, 138–152.
- Schmidt, M. W. (1992). Amphibole composition in tonalite as a function of pressure: an experimental calibration of the Al-in-hornblende barometer. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **110**, 304–310.
- Scott, J. A. J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M. & Stock, M. J. (2015). Insights into the behaviour of S, F, and CI at Santiaguito Volcano, Guatemala, from apatite and glass. *Lithos* 232, 375–394.

- Segall, P. (2010). *Earthquake and Volcano Deformation*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Segall, P. (2019). Magma chambers: what we can, and cannot, learn from volcano geodesy. *Philosophical Transactions of* the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 377, 20180158.
- Sisson, T. W. & Grove, T. L. (1993). Experimental investigations of the role of H₂O in calc-alkaline differentiation and subduction zone magmatism. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* **113**, 143–166.
- Sparks, R. S. J. (2003). Forecasting volcanic eruptions. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 210, 1–15.
- Stock, M. J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Smith, V. C., Isaia, R. & Pyle, D. M. (2016). Late-stage volatile saturation as a potential trigger for explosive volcanic eruptions. *Nature Geoscience* 9, 249–254.
- Stock, M. J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Smith, V. C., Isaia, R., Brooker, R. A. & Pyle, D. M. (2018). Tracking volatile behaviour in sub-volcanic plumbing systems using apatite and glass: insights into pre-eruptive processes at Campi Flegrei. *Journal of Petrology* 59, 2463–2492.
- Stock, M. J., Humphreys, M. C. S., Smith, V. C., Johnson, R. D. & Pyle, D. M. (2015). New constraints on electron-beam induced halogen migration in apatite. *American Mineralogist* **100**, 281–293.
- Stormer, J., Pierson, M. L. & Tacker, R. C. (1993). Variation of F and CI X-ray intensity due to anisotropic diffusion in apatite. *American Mineralogist* 78, 641–648.
- Sparks, R. S. J. & Cashman, K. V. (2017). Dynamic magma systems: implications for forecasting volcanic activity. *Elements* **13**, 35–40.
- Steele-MacInnis, M. (2019). Seeking the most hydrous, primitive arc melts: the glass is half full. *American Mineralogist* 104, 1217–1218.
- Surono, Jousset, P., Pallister, J., Boichu, M., Buongiorno, M. F., Budisantoso, A., Costa, F., Andreastuti, S., Prata, F., Schneider, D., Clarisse, L., Humaida, H., Sumarti, S., Bignami, C., Griswold, J., Carn, S., Oppenheimer, C. & Lavigne, F. (2012). The 2010 explosive eruption of Java's Merapi volcano—A '100-year' event. *Journal of Volcanology* and Geothermal Research 241–242, 121–135.
- Tiede, C., Camacho, A. G., Gerstenecker, C., Fernández, T. J. & Suyanto, I. (2005). Modeling the density at Merapi volcano area, Indonesia, via the inverse gravimetric problem. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 6, Q09011.
- Troll, V. R., Chadwick, J. P., Jolis, E. M., Deegan, F. M., Hilton, D. R., Schwarzkopf, L. M., Blythe, L. S. & Zimmer, M. (2013). Crustal volatile release at Merapi volcano; the 2006 earthquake and eruption events. *Geology Today* 29, 96–101.
- Troll, V. R., Hilton, D. R., Jolis, E. M., Chadwick, J. P., Blythe, L. S., Deegan, F. M., Schwarzkopf, L. M. & Zimmer, M. (2012). Crustal CO₂ liberation during the 2006 eruption and earthquake events at Merapi volcano. *Geophysical Research Letters* **39**, L11302.
- Van Hoose, A. E., Streck, M. J., Pallister, J. S. & Wälle, M. (2013). Sulfur evolution of the 1991 Pinatubo magmas based

- Voight, B., Young, K. D., Hidayat, D., Purbawinata, M. A., Ratdomopurbo, A., Sayudi, D. S., Lahusen, R., Marso, J., Murray, T. L., Dejean, M., Iguchi, M. & Ishihara, K. (2000). Deformation and seismic precursors to dome-collapse and fountain-collapse nuées ardentes at Merapi Volcano, Java, Indonesia, 1994–1998. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 100, 261–287.
- Wade, J. A., Plank, T., Zimmer, M. M., Hauri, E., Roggensack, K. & Kelley, K. (2008). Prediction of magmatic water contents via measurement of H₂O in clinopyroxene phenocrysts. *Geology* **36**, 799–802.
- Wagner, D., Koulakov, I., Rabbel, W., Luehr, B.-G., Wittwer, A., Kopp, H., Bohm, M., Asch, G., the MERAMEX Scientists (2007). Joint inversion of active and passive seismic data in Central Java. *Geophysical Journal International* **170**, 923–932.
- Wallace, P. J. (2005). Volatiles in subduction zone magmas: concentrations and fluxes based on melt inclusion and volcanic gas data. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 140, 217–240.
- Wallace, P. J., Plank, T., Edmonds, M. & Hauri, E. H. (2015). Volatiles in magmas. In: Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., McNutt, S., Rymer, H., Stix, J. (eds) *The Encyclopedia* of Volcanoes, 2nd edn. Academic Press, Elsevier, pp. 163–183.
- Waters, L. E. & Lange, R. A.(2015). An updated calibration of the plagioclase–liquid hygrometer–thermometer applicable to basalts through rhyolites. *American Mineralogist* **100**, 2172–2184.
- Watson, E. B. & Green, T. H. (1981). Apatite/liquid partition coefficients for the rare earth elements and strontium. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 56, 405–421.
- Webster, J. D. & Piccoli, P. M. (2015). Magmatic apatite: a powerful, yet deceptive, mineral. *Elements* **11**, 177–182.
- Webster, J. D., Sintoni, M. F. & De Vivo, B. (2009). The partitioning behavior of CI, S, and H2O in aqueous vapor ± saline-liquid saturated phonolitic and trachytic melts at 200 MPa. *Chemical Geology* **263**, 19–36.
- Wegler, U. & Lühr, B.-G. (2001). Scattering behaviour at Merapi volcano (Java) revealed from an active seismic experiment. *Geophysical Journal International* 145, 579–592.
- Webster, J. D., Vetere, F., Botcharnikov, R. E., Goldoff, B., McBirney, A., & Doherty, A. L. (2015) Experimental and modeled chlorine solubilities in aluminosilicate melts at 1 to 7000 bars and 700 to 1250°C: Applications to magmas of Augustine Volcano, Alaska. *American Mineralogist* 100, 522–535.
- Whitley, S., Gertisser, R., Halama, R., Preece, K., Troll, V. R. & Deegan, F. M. (2019). Crustal CO₂ contribution to subduction zone degassing recorded through calc-silicate xenoliths in arc lavas. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 8803.
- Widiyantoro, S., Ramdhan, M., Métaxian, J.-P., Cummins, P. R., Martel, C., Erdmann, S., Nugraha, A. D., Budi-Santoso, A., Laurin, A. & Fahmi, A. A. (2018). Seismic imaging and petrology explain highly explosive eruptions of Merapi Volcano, Indonesia. *Scientific Reports* 8, 13656.