

1 **Stand age rather than soil moisture gradient dominantly regulates the**  
2 **compromise between plant growth and water use of *Eucalyptus urophylla* in hilly**  
3 **South China**

4 **Running title: Tree water use in relation to stand age and soil moisture**

5

6 Lei Ouyang<sup>12</sup>, Jin Wu<sup>3</sup>, Ping Zhao<sup>\*124</sup>, Liwei Zhu<sup>12</sup>, Guangyan Ni<sup>1</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650,  
8 China

9 <sup>2</sup> Center for Plant Ecology, Core Botanical Gardens, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
10 Guangzhou 51060, China

11 <sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

12 <sup>4</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Applied Botany, South China Botanical  
13 Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China R

14 \*Corresponding author: Ping Zhao ([zhaoping@scib.ac.cn](mailto:zhaoping@scib.ac.cn))

15

16 **Acknowledgement**

17 This study was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of  
18 China (31700334, 41630752), National Key Research and Development Program  
19 (2016YFC0500106-02) and Provincial Nature Science Foundation of Guangdong  
20 (2019A1515011993).

21

22 **Data Availability Statement**

23 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding  
24 author upon reasonable request.

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Large-scale cultivation and short-term rotation of *Eucalyptus* trees for economic  
28 reasons have led to excessive consumption of soil water, raising broader ecological  
29 and environmental concerns. Therefore, exploring the balance between water use and  
30 plant growth of *Eucalyptus* trees has become increasingly important but remains  
31 understudied. Here we hypothesized that stand age and soil moisture gradient can  
32 both regulate such balance, and examined this hypothesis by collecting a field dataset  
33 of *Eucalyptus urophylla* plantations that respectively capture three age categories (i.e.  
34 young age of 3-4 years, mature age of 6-7 years, and old age of >25 years) and span  
35 three soil moisture gradients along a hilly slope in South China. The datasets collected  
36 in Jan/2018-Dec/2019 included 1) continuous measurements of tree sap flow and soil  
37 moisture and 2) periodic measurements of leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ), tree biometric  
38 parameters, and stand leaf area index (*LAI*). With the data, we derived the monthly  
39 tree transpiration ( $E_L$ ), annual growth rate, and tree water use efficiency (*WUE*). Our  
40 results showed that stand age importantly regulated plant growth and water use, as old  
41 trees transpired more water than young and mature trees, while the young trees have  
42 significantly higher *WUE* due to their relatively higher growth rate and lower water  
43 consumption. In contrast, we didn't observe significant differences in tree  
44 transpiration along with soil moisture gradients at each age level, suggesting stand age  
45 rather than soil moisture gradient dominantly regulates the growth vs. water-use  
46 compromise. Our results also showed that the old trees can maintain a more stable  
47 water consumption, suggesting that they are less sensitive to environmental  
48 seasonality and thus more stable. Collectively, our study provides important insights  
49 into the management and ecosystem stability of *Eucalyptus* plantation in South China.

50

51 **Keywords:** *Eucalyptus*, stand age, soil moisture gradients, tree water use, tree growth  
52 rate, water use efficiency.

53

54

## 55 **1 Introduction**

56 Attributable to high productivity and important economic values, *Eucalyptus* has been  
57 widely planted in tropical and subtropical areas (Whitehead and Beadle, 2004). By  
58 now there are more than 4 million hectares of *Eucalyptus* plantations in South China,  
59 and the planting area is still increasing (data from State Forestry Bureau of China).  
60 Given the high water consumption and the relentless utilization of soil water resulted  
61 from the consecutive short-term rotations (6-7 years in South China) of *Eucalyptus*  
62 plantations, it has become imperative to evaluate the relationship between tree growth  
63 and transpiration under current *Eucalyptus* silvicultural systems with critical insights  
64 into the optimal afforestation practice that can best balance the timber production and  
65 water demand.

66 Numerous studies have claimed that tree growth and transpiration are age- or  
67 size- dependent, namely trees grow rapidly at their early age, peak at the middle age,  
68 and decrease in their growth while maintaining relatively stable transpiration  
69 thereafter (Ryan et al., 1997; Taylor and MacLean, 2005; Xu et al., 2012). The age-  
70 and size-induced reduction in tree growth and water use in older and taller trees was  
71 traditionally attributed to a less efficient hydraulic transport, or a reduced biomass  
72 allocation to stem, or a limitation of nutrient uptake (Ryan and Yoder 1997;  
73 Mencuccini 2002). These possible mechanisms have been experimentally and  
74 separately supported, but a consensus has not yet been reached (Wu et al., 2018; Baret  
75 et al., 2018), as several studies have reported a continuously increase of tree growth  
76 and transpiration with tree age or size (Stephenson et al., 2014; Sillett et al., 2015;  
77 Tfwala et al., 2019). For instance, Stephenson et al. (2014) conducted a global  
78 analysis of 403 tropical and temperate tree species and showed that the biomass  
79 growth rate increases continuously with tree size for most species. Sillett et al. (2015)  
80 claimed little evidence for negative effects of age on tree-level productivity for  
81 studied tree species, but reported an increasing trend of annual biomass increments  
82 with stand age. These inconsistent experimental results highlight that a site-specific or  
83 multi-faceted biological processes rather than a universal mechanism can explain the  
84 changes in forest productivity and water use with stand age (Baret et al., 2018).

85 Age effect on tree growth and transpiration of *Eucalyptus* has been previously  
86 investigated with the main focus on those plantations in Australia. Almeida et al.  
87 (2007) reported a reduction in tree transpiration, leaf area index, and annual increment  
88 when the stand age exceeded 3~4 years in fast-growing *Eucalyptus* plantations.  
89 Buckley et al. (2012) and Macfarlane et al. (2010) compared the transpiration of  
90 regrowth and mature *Eucalyptus* trees in South Australia and found the transpiration  
91 rate of regrowth trees was twice more than that of the mature trees. Similarly, the  
92 results of Forrester et al. (2010) also showed that tree transpiration, stand leaf area  
93 index, and periodic annual increments of aboveground biomass peaked at about age of  
94 4-6 years, then declined when stand age reached 8 years. These previous studies  
95 highlighted the effects of structural adjustment with stand age on tree transpiration,  
96 but lacked the possible changes in tree hydraulic properties with the increasing age.  
97 Generally, tree hydraulic properties that show strong dependency on stand age  
98 critically regulate tree water transport efficiency, and thus drive the dynamic of tree  
99 individual level transpiration rate (Zhu et al., 2015; Sperry and Love, 2015).  
100 Moreover, the variability in soil moisture availability has been claimed to exert  
101 important controls on tree water use through adjusting the hydraulic properties in  
102 response to different soil moisture conditions (Renninger et al., 2014; Grossiord et al.,  
103 2018; Gao et al., 2020), but haven't yet been fully tested, especially under the  
104 real-world practice that *Eucalyptus* plantation is often mixed with the variability in  
105 both stand age and soil moisture availability.

106 Tree water use efficiency (*WUE*), defined as the amount of transpired water  
107 relative to the increment of produced biomass (or carbon), is an integrated indicator  
108 that accounts for all events occurring during biomass accumulation (Hubbard et al.,  
109 2010). This index directly relates tree water use to productivity and potentially varies  
110 with stand age and environmental factors (Forrester et al., 2010; Battie-Laclau et al.,  
111 2016). Water availability is generally regarded as an essential factor that strongly  
112 affects growth, plant transpiration, resource use, and biomass partitioning in planted  
113 forests (White et al., 2014). Under soil water deficit conditions, trees are expected to  
114 reduce their transpiration through stomatal control, leading to a decrease in

115 transpiration but an increase in *WUE*. For instance, the manipulated water inputs  
116 experiment demonstrated that tree transpiration decreased with the excluded  
117 precipitation treatment and suggested that the studied trees deeply rely on  
118 precipitation water sources during the peak of growing season (Besson et al., 2014;  
119 Grossiord et al., 2018; Ouyang et al., 2020). Kwon et al. (2018), who intended to  
120 explore the *WUE* of forests with different ages and precipitation regimes in the Pacific  
121 Northwest, showed that the summer maximum of *WUE* was 2.5 times higher in  
122 semi-arid climate than that in mesic condition. Moreover, they claimed that the effect  
123 of drought stress on *WUE* was much more pronounced in young pine than in mature  
124 pine, confirming the age effect on plants' *WUE*.

125 In South China, the rotation lengths of *Eucalyptus* plantations for timber  
126 production are usually 6-7 years with canopy closure generally occurring within 5-6  
127 years. The harvest usually comes at the peak of *Eucalyptus* growth, making it difficult  
128 to explore the age effect on tree transpiration and growth and thus causing  
129 controversies (Shi et al., 2012; Ouyang et al., 2018). In the present study, we used the  
130 sap flow technique to accurately and continuously monitor long-term water  
131 transpiration of *E. urophylla* and evaluated the combined impact of stand age and soil  
132 moisture gradients on tree growth and *WUE*. Three *E. urophylla* plantations aged at  
133 3-4, 6-7, and more than 25 years were chosen to typically reflect the current  
134 *Eucalyptus* silvicultural practice mode in hilly South China. We hypothesized that tree  
135 transpiration, growth rates, and *WUE* would decline with stand age, and compared  
136 with the sufficient soil moisture conditions, the lower soil moisture content could  
137 suppress transpiration but increase *WUE*, especially for the young trees. Our main  
138 objectives include (1) to determine the effect of stand age on transpiration of  
139 *Eucalyptus* under different soil moisture gradients, and to understand the potential  
140 mechanism for the varied tree transpiration by examining the changes of  
141 physiological and hydraulic traits, such as leaf water potential and hydraulic  
142 conductance; (2) to explicitly assess the age-dependent tree growth under different  
143 soil moisture contents; and (3) to analyze the age-dependent variation in *WUE* derived  
144 from the increment of tree biomass and the integrated measured transpiration under

145 different soil moisture gradients at the individual tree level.

146

## 147 **2 Materials and Methods**

### 148 **2.1 Study area and experimental design**

149 The experiment was carried out during the period from January 2018 to December  
150 2019 in Heshan National Field Research Station of Forest Ecosystem, located in  
151 Heshan County, Guangdong Province, China (22° 41' N, 112°54' E) (Figure S1).  
152 Basic climate condition in this area has been described in Ouyang et al. (2020). Three  
153 *E. urophylla* plantations, aging at 3-4 years (young trees), 6-7 years (mature trees),  
154 and more than 25 years (old trees) referring to sites A, B, C, respectively, were  
155 selected for this study. The average plant spacing was 3 m × 2.5 m, and no  
156 fertilization was conducted across all the growth stages. In general, the young trees  
157 (3-4 years) are in the stage of rapid growth with no heartwood formed. The mature  
158 trees have reached their growth peak, at which the rotation is performed (6-7 years).  
159 The growth of old trees is assumed to be stable. The three plantations grew on 3  
160 different hillsides with similar inclinations of ~20° and roughly faced southeast. The  
161 elevation of the chosen hillsides is approximately 80 m and the distance between  
162 every two hillsides is within 1 km. Stand density was 2400 (site A), 2267 (site B), and  
163 1867 (site C) trees ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Along the slopes from the top to the bottom, we  
164 randomly set up three plots with a size of 20 m × 15 m to represent different soil  
165 moisture gradients. For simplification, we denominated the plots from hilltop to  
166 bottom as Slope Top, Mid-Slope, and Slope Bottom with a total of 9 plots for the  
167 experiment. Along with the slope, we hypothesized that the soil water contents  
168 gradually increased from the Slope-Top to Slope Bottom along with the slope.  
169 Additionally, for each plot, we dug soil profiles to determine the soil depths. The  
170 results show that the soil depth decreases significantly with the slope (Table 1), which  
171 can represent a good soil water gradient, despite the soil water content may be similar  
172 across different slope positions. Soil texture of the chosen stands was clay loam, with  
173 the pH of the topsoil (0-30 cm) ranging from 3.98 to 4.41. Similar contents of soil  
174 organic matter, total nitrogen, and total phosphorous were observed among the three

175 stands, and the values were 22.05-37.40 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, 1.08-1.60 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.22-0.26 g kg<sup>-1</sup>,  
176 respectively (Table 2).

177

## 178 **2.2 Meteorological parameters and soil water content**

179 A 1.5 m high mast with meteorological sensors was installed within the  
180 meteorological observation field of Heshan Station. The sensors included a  
181 temperature (*T*) and relative humidity (*RH*) probe (HC2-S3, Rotronic Inc.,  
182 Switzerland), and a photosynthetically active radiation (*PAR*) sensor (SQ-110, Apogee  
183 Instruments, Inc., USA). Vapour pressure deficit (*VPD*) was calculated using the  
184 relative humidity and air temperature data proposed by Campbell and Norman (1998)  
185 as follows:

$$186 \quad VPD = a \times \exp(b \times T / (T + c)) \times (1 - RH) \quad (1)$$

187 where *T* is the air temperature (°C), *RH* is the air relative humidity of (%), and *a*, *b* and  
188 *c* are constants with values of 0.611, 17.502, and 240.97, respectively. Three SM150  
189 sensors (Delta-T Devices, Ltd., Cambridge, UK) were used to continuously monitor  
190 the soil water contents (*SWC*, at a depth of 30 - 50 cm) in each plot. All the  
191 meteorological and soil water data were recorded every 10 minutes during the  
192 experimental period using the data loggers (DL2e, Delta-T Devices, Ltd., Cambridge,  
193 UK). The precipitation was recorded by a tipping-bucket rain gauge in the same  
194 meteorological observation field.

195

## 196 **2.3 Sap flow and tree transpiration**

197 Seven *E. urophylla* trees per plot and a total of 21 trees per stand age across all the  
198 three plots were selected for sap flow monitoring, for which the lab-made Granier's  
199 thermal dissipation probes (TDB) were applied. Detailed information for the sap flow  
200 measurement was described in Ouyang et al. (2020). The sap flux density (*J<sub>s</sub>*, g H<sub>2</sub>O  
201 m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was derived from the temperature difference between the upper heated and  
202 lower reference probes according to the following equation (Granier, 1987):

$$203 \quad J_s = 119 \left( \frac{\Delta T_m - \Delta T}{\Delta T} \right)^{1.231} \quad (2)$$

204 where  $\Delta T_m$  is the maximum temperature difference under zero-flux conditions, and  $\Delta T$   
205 is the instantaneous temperature difference. Sap flow readings were collected also  
206 with 10-min resolution by the Delta-T data loggers. According to our prior analysis of  
207 wood anatomy, the *E. urophylla* is a diffuse-porous species, with no heartwood  
208 formed of young *E. urophylla*, while the sapwood widths of mature and old trees are  
209 slightly thicker than the TDP probe's length. Thus, the  $J_s$  at the outermost 20 mm can  
210 represent the average value. The actual whole tree transpiration ( $E$ ) was obtained by  
211 multiplying the sap flux density with the sapwood area ( $A_s$ ). To remove the effect of  
212 tree size on tree water consumption, we used normalized tree transpiration ( $E_L$ ,  $\text{kg m}^{-1}$ )  
213 expressed as  $E/DBH$  by following the proposal of Besson et al. (2014), in such way  
214 we could minimize the size-induced individual differences and ensure reasonable  
215 comparison of the quantity of individual tree transpiration among different stand ages.

216

#### 217 **2.4 Leaf water potential and whole-tree hydraulic conductance**

218 Measurements of leaf water potential were performed in August and December of  
219 2018 and 2019, representing the wet and dry seasons, respectively. At each site, the  
220 predawn ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) and midday water potentials ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) were measured using 3~5 twigs  
221 with intact leaves from sampled trees for two consecutive sunny days. In other words,  
222 during each season, we chose six consecutive days (two days for each site) of fine  
223 weather to complete water potential measurements, by which we aimed to minimize  
224 potential effects of environmental fluctuations (particularly from weather conditions)  
225 on the measurement results. We cut off the twigs with leaves and immediately  
226 determined the leaf water potential with PMS-1000 pressure chambers (PMS  
227 Instrument, Corvallis, OR, USA). Three to five replicates were made for each  
228 treatment (age  $\times$  soil moisture gradient). At the time shortly before dawn, a water  
229 equilibrium occurs between soil and canopy leaves when sap flux is zero, the  
230 measured  $\Psi_{pd}$  is considered to be equal to the soil water potential ( $\Psi_{soil}$ ) within the  
231 root zone (Besson et al., 2014). We followed the Darcy's law that describes the water  
232 transport from soil to canopy leaves (Cochard et al., 1996) and determined the whole  
233 tree hydraulic conductance as:



234 
$$K = \frac{E_L}{\Psi_{\text{soil}} - \Psi_{\text{md}}} \quad (3)$$

235 where  $K$  is the whole tree hydraulic conductance from the soil to the leaves ( $\text{kg day}^{-1}$   
 236  $\text{m}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}$ ),  $E_L$  is the normalized whole tree transpiration during the measurement  
 237 period.

238

### 239 **2.5 Tree growth and stand leaf area indices**

240 Tree diameter at breast height of 1.3 m above ground ( $DBH$ ) and tree height ( $H$ ) were  
 241 semiannually measured using a  $DBH$  ruler and a Tandem-360R/PC altimeter (Suunto,  
 242 Finland), respectively. The stem cross-sectional area is the sapwood area ( $A_s$ ) for  
 243 young *E. urophylla* since no heartwood formed at this age. We applied the equation to  
 244 calculate the sapwood area of the mature and old trees by following the method of  
 245 Zhu et al., (2015). For each site at the quarterly scale, we measured the stand leaf area  
 246 indices ( $LAI$ ) with a LI-2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer (Li-Cor, Inc., Lincoln, NE). The  
 247 average  $LAI$  values were obtained from the image data of randomly captured 12-15  
 248 sample points.

249

### 250 **2.6 Tree biomass and water use efficiency**

251 Water use efficiency ( $WUE$ ) was defined here as the ratio of the increment of whole  
 252 tree biomass to integrated whole tree transpiration ( $\Delta\text{Biomass}/E$ ). It was not possible  
 253 to harvest every tree, therefore, we applied the allometric equation to determine the  
 254 whole tree biomass based on the data of  $DBH$  and  $H$  (Campoe et al., 2012). Here we  
 255 used the allometric equation proposed by Xue et al. (2009). The equations describing  
 256 the relationships between tree biomass of each sub-component and the associated  
 257  $DBH$  and  $H$  were established as follows:

258 
$$\text{Biomass}_{\text{stem}} = 0.004861 \times (DBH^2 H)^{1.22} \quad (R=0.99) \quad (4)$$

259 
$$\text{Biomass}_{\text{branch}} = 0.002861 \times (DBH^2 H)^{1.04} \quad (R=0.96) \quad (5)$$

260 
$$\text{Biomass}_{\text{leaf}} = 0.406064 \times (DBH^2 H)^{0.31} \quad (R=0.94) \quad (6)$$

261 
$$\text{Biomass}_{\text{bark}} = 0.001866 \times (DBH^2 H)^{1.24} \quad (R=0.99) \quad (7)$$

262 
$$\text{Biomass}_{\text{root}} = 0.004264 \times (DBH^2 H)^{1.06} \quad (R=0.99) \quad (8)$$

263

## 264 **2.7 Statistical analysis and calculations**

265 The two-way ANOVA (Tukey's HSD) was used to test the significance level of stand  
266 age and slope position on the biometric parameters, tree transpiration, leaf water  
267 potential, *SWC* and *WUE*. The difference of *LAI* values in different sites was tested by  
268 the one-way ANOVA (Tukey's HSD) (SPSS Inc. 2016). In this study, we denoted  
269 significant differences among the treatments when  $p < 0.05$ . To establish the  
270 correlations between the transpiration and *PAR* or *VPD*, the linear regression ( $y = ax +$   
271  $b$ ) was performed in Origin 8.0, where  $a$  and  $b$  are fitting parameters.

272 Due to the power or probe failure, data missing is a common problem when  
273 conducting sap flow studies over a long period. To fill the data gaps, we firstly  
274 established linear regressions using the available data of sap flux density and *PAR* for  
275 every single tree in each month, and then calculated the missing data from the  
276 corresponding *PAR* values based on the equations. Finally, the actual whole tree  
277 transpiration ( $E$ , kg month<sup>-1</sup>) for every sample tree was obtained by multiplying the  
278 sap flux density with the sapwood area, which was used for the calculation of *WUE*.

279

## 280 **3 Results**

### 281 **3.1 Meteorological parameters**

282 Monitored meteorological parameters varied over the 2-year study period (Figure 1).  
283 The highest and lowest values of mean monthly *PAR*,  $T$ , and *VPD* occurred in May  
284 and January of the Year 2018, and in September and March of the Year 2019,  
285 respectively. The two experimental years shared similar mean annual  $T$  and  $RH$ , with  
286 values of 24.0 and 24.6 °C, and 68.0% and 66.3% in the Year 2018 and 2019,  
287 respectively. Mean monthly *PAR* and *VPD* (both were derived from daytime values)  
288 varied considerably with the values ranging from 412 to 882  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and 0.68 to  
289 2.04 kPa, respectively. Similar temporal trends were observed between the two years  
290 for mean monthly meteorological variables, namely higher values often occurring  
291 during the wet seasons (from April to September), whereas lower values mainly  
292 occurring in the later dry seasons (from November to March of next year).

293 Total annual precipitation ( $P$ ) at the research site was much higher in 2018  
294 (1787.7 mm) than in 2019 (1309.6 mm), and unevenly distributed with 87.2% and  
295 83.7% of the total falling from April to September in the two respective years (Figure  
296 2). Notably, almost no rainfall events occurred in December 2018 and November  
297 2019.

298 Corresponding with the seasonal variation in precipitation, soil water content  
299 ( $SWC$ ) also varied with time and reached the seasonal maximum of ~ 40% during the  
300 wet months, whereas the minimum  $SWC$  (20.0%, 16.8%, and 20.7% in site A, B, and  
301 C, respectively) occurred during the dry months (Figure 3). Our statistical results  
302 showed that the  $SWC$  values of Slope Bottom were significantly higher than those of  
303 Slope Top and Mid-Slope Bottom. Variations in averaged  $SWC$  during the dry or wet  
304 season among the three sites were also observed, and site C usually possessed  
305 relatively higher  $SWC$  than site A (Figure 3,  $p < 0.05$ ). As the soil depths of different  
306 slope positions were significantly different (Table 1), varied soil water storage among  
307 the different slope positions could be expected in this study, i.e. the Slope Bottom  
308 could have a larger soil water storage than the other two slope positions, and the soil  
309 water storage decreased with the elevating hill slope position, forming a gradient of  
310 water supply along the slope.

311

### 312 **3.2 Seasonal and annual transpiration**

313 Monthly changes of  $E_L$  and monthly averaged  $E_L$  for all plots were presented in  
314 Figure 4. The old trees transpired significantly more water than the young and mature  
315 trees, but the young and mature trees shared similar  $E_L$  during most of period ( $p <$   
316  $0.05$ ). Young trees growing at Slope Bottom generally possessed a significantly lower  
317 transpiration than those growing at Slope Top. Similar temporal changes in  
318 transpiration were presented for young and mature trees, with peak  $E_L$  values  
319 generally occurring in the wet and early dry seasons. Different from the young and  
320 mature trees, the old trees had relatively more stable  $E_L$  during the whole period, with  
321 values fluctuating around  $2500 \text{ kg m}^{-1}$ .

322 To examine the influence of environmental drivers and  $SWC$  on transpiration, we

323 checked the linear relationships between  $E_L$  and  $PAR$ ,  $VPD$  or  $SWC$  at a monthly scale.  
324 Except for the young trees growing at the slope bottom, significant linear  
325 relationships were established between  $E_L$  and  $PAR/VPD$  (Figure 5,  $R^2$  values ranging  
326 from 0.21 to 0.62,  $p < 0.05$ ). In addition, we separately analyzed the correlations  
327 between the transpiration and  $PAR$  or  $VPD$  in the dry and wet seasons. Fitted  
328 parameters and  $R^2$  values were presented in the Table S1. The available data showed  
329 that the slopes of fitting equations in the dry season were significantly higher than  
330 those in the wet season. However, there was no significant linear relationship between  
331 the  $E_L$  and  $SWC$  ( $p > 0.05$ ). These results might indicate less limitation of soil water  
332 on tree transpiration, even under the condition of dry season.

333

### 334 **3.3 Leaf water potential and hydraulic conductance**

335 Leaf water potential during wet and dry seasons varied among the three sites (Figure  
336 6). Predawn leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ) was relatively high ( $> -0.3$  MPa) and constant  
337 among the three different age groups, ranging from  $-0.05$  to  $-0.23$  MPa, and  $-0.12$  to  
338  $-0.20$  MPa in wet and dry seasons, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that soil  
339 moisture gradients had no significant effect on midday leaf potential ( $\Psi_{md}$ ) and  
340 leaf-soil water potential difference ( $\Delta\Psi$ ,  $\Psi_{pd}-\Psi_{md}$ ) (Figure 6,  $p < 0.05$ ). The significant  
341 age-related differences of whole tree hydraulic conductance ( $K$ ) were presented and  
342 the young trees usually possessed significantly lower  $K$  than those mature and old  
343 trees under wet season. Significant linear regressions between  $\Delta\Psi$  and corresponding  
344  $E_L$  were established, with an  $R^2$  value of 0.34 (Figure S2,  $p < 0.05$ ).

345

### 346 **3.4 Tree growth and stand LAI**

347 Values of  $DBH$  significantly increased with stand age, and tree height ( $H$ ) values of  
348 mature and old trees were significantly higher than those of young trees (Figure S3,  $p$   
349  $< 0.05$ ). The young trees had significantly higher annual  $DBH$  and  $H$  increments than  
350 mature and old trees. No significant effect of soil moisture gradient on the tree growth  
351 was observed during the whole experimental period (Figure 7c, d).

352 Significant differences in stand  $LAI$  among three sites were observed for all three

353 stands (Figure 8,  $p < 0.05$ ). The young trees site generally possessed significantly  
354 lower *LAI* values during the whole period, whereas the other two sites presented  
355 similar *LAI*, with average values being 0.65, 1.14, and 1.04 for sites A, B, and C,  
356 respectively. Higher *LAI* values usually occurred in the wet seasons. As the Super  
357 Typhoon Mangkhut hit on September 16, 2018, stand *LAI* decreased sharply and then  
358 recovered in the later period.

359

### 360 **3.5 Water use efficiency**

361 The annual *WUE* values for the different sites were listed in Table 3. Young and  
362 mature trees shared similar annual *WUE* that was significantly higher than that of old  
363 trees ( $p < 0.05$ ). The soil moisture gradient did not pose a significant influence on tree  
364 annual *WUE* for all three sites ( $p > 0.05$ ).

365

## 366 **4 Discussion**

### 367 **4.1 The effects of stand age and soil moisture on transpiration and associated** 368 **hydraulic mechanism**

369 Previous studies investigating the age effect on tree transpiration of *Eucalypts* were  
370 carried out mainly in Australia (Forrester et al., 2010; Macfarlane et al., 2010;  
371 Mendham et al, 2011; Buckley et al., 2012). For instance, Roberts et al. (2001)  
372 reported that the mean daily tree transpiration of *Eucalyptus sieberi* aged 14, 45, and  
373 160 years, were 10.6, 21.8, and 49.4 L day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Another study (Alcorn et al.,  
374 2013) showed that the mean daily transpiration of the individual *E. pilularis* and *E.*  
375 *cloeziana* trees (both tree species were 5-6 years old) ranged from 9 L day<sup>-1</sup> to 16 L  
376 day<sup>-1</sup>. In this study, the whole tree transpiration for young, mature, and old trees  
377 during the whole experimental period was in the range of 3~15, 8~20, and 12~32 L  
378 day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The relatively lower whole tree transpiration at a similar stand age  
379 in Australia could be attributed to the less precipitation compared to the South China.  
380 Our study demonstrates that, with sufficiently high precipitation and solar radiation,  
381 the adequate supply of soil water allows vigorous water consumption of old and tall  
382 trees, especially during the high rainfall wet season.

383 We attributed the temporal variation of  $E_L$  to the changing climatic conditions,  
384 which was supported by the linear relationships between  $E_L$  and  $PAR/VPD$  (Figure 5).  
385 With higher  $PAR$  and  $VPD$ , trees undoubtedly transpired more water during the wet  
386 season and the early stage of the dry season. Compared with the large fluctuation in  
387  $E_L$  of young *Eucalyptus* trees over the experimental period, the old trees maintained a  
388 relatively stable  $E_L$ . The differential response of  $E_L$  to the  $PAR/VPD$  in the dry and wet  
389 seasons further suggests the important role of  $LAI$  in affecting the relationships  
390 between transpiration and environmental factors. In the dry season, the decreased  $LAI$   
391 means reduced leaf area for transpiration. Meanwhile, the higher  $PAR$  and  $VPD$  in the  
392 early time of dry season were beneficial to transpiration, consequently leading to  
393 higher slopes of fitting equations. Moreover, differing from previous studies reporting  
394 significantly higher transpiration in younger *Eucalyptus* trees than in older trees  
395 (Macfarlane et al., 2010; Hubbard et al., 2010), the highest  $E_L$  was observed in the old  
396 trees, while the young trees growing at the bottom of the slope experienced the lowest  
397  $E_L$  in our case. Previous studies have reported that the ratio of sapwood area to leaf  
398 area declined with tree age and attributed the higher transpiration of regrowth and  
399 young eucalypt forest to the higher  $LAI$ , greater foliage cover, and smaller basal area  
400 (implying a higher ratio of sapwood area/basal area) (Buckley et al., 2012; Macfarlane  
401 et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the young trees in this study possessed relatively lower  
402 sapwood area and  $LAI$  values (namely a smaller transpiring leaf area) than those of  
403 mature and old trees during the whole period, which should potentially limit the water  
404 transport, consequently leading to the lower  $E_L$ . A previous study that analyzed tree  
405 transpiration from 94 published studies conducted across various sites and explored  
406 relationships between morphological traits and whole tree water use for 130 tree  
407 species suggested that tree water use increases with  $DBH$  (Tfwala et al., 2019), partly  
408 supporting one of our major findings that the old trees with larger  $DBH$  could  
409 transpire more water than young trees.

410 Other important factors affecting tree transpiration included soil water  
411 availability and the water transport capacity (Köhler et al., 2010; Besson et al., 2014).  
412 In this study, we found no significant correlations between  $E_L$  and  $SWC$  values for

413 every treatment ( $p > 0.05$ ), partly due to the narrow range of  $SWC$  in three sites,  
414 especially in site B and C for most periods of time. Although there are differences in  
415 soil water storage among the slope positions, the comparable  $E_L$  indicated that soil  
416 moisture was not the limiting factor. As suggested by Christina et al. (2017), roots of 2  
417 years old *Eucalyptus* could reach the water table at a depth of 12 m, which enables the  
418 access to large quantities of water stored in deep soil layers at the early growth stage.  
419 According to the observation data from Heshan Station, the water table ranged from  
420 0.47 m to 2.10 m during 2018, with the average depth of the groundwater level of  
421 1.32 m, which could explain the less impact of soil moisture gradients on tree  
422 transpiration. Additionally, the measured  $\Psi_{md}$  ranged between -0.7 and -1.5 MPa that  
423 were higher than -3.0 MPa, a threshold at which a certain degree of loss in hydraulic  
424 conductivity occurs (Klein et al., 2014), implying that fine roots in the rhizosphere  
425 layer (about 30-40 cm) were less water-stressed. As the tree height increased with tree  
426 age and greater height could lead to more negative leaf water potentials (Liu et al.,  
427 2019), the old trees in this study usually possessed a relatively lower  $\Psi_{md}$  but higher  
428  $\Delta\Psi$  values than the young trees to help maintain higher tree transpiration.

429

#### 430 **4.2 The effects of stand age and soil moisture on tree growth and water use** 431 **efficiency**

432 We observed that the young trees experienced a higher growth rate than old trees,  
433 which is consistent with some earlier studies (Taylor and MacLean, 2005; Aakala et  
434 al., 2013; Tfwala et al., 2019), while the other works showed little evidence for  
435 negative effects of stand age on tree growth and productivity (Johnson and Abrams,  
436 2009; Sillett et al., 2015; Molina et al., 2019). Numerous hypotheses have been  
437 developed to explain the age-related decline in growth, including the increased  
438 hydraulic resistance, nutrient limitation, reductions in photosynthesis, and reduced  
439 allocation to stem production (Xu et al., 2012; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2007). For  
440 example, it was reported that trees are less efficient in transporting water or nutrients  
441 to their leaves and pay a higher carbon cost with increasing tree height (Mencuccini  
442 2002), but the old trees in this study still possessed comparable  $\Delta\Psi$  and  $K$  (Figure 6),

443 and utilized relatively more water, suggesting less constrain in water transport.  
444 Therefore, we tend to think that the reduced growth rate of old trees was not  
445 associated with age-related hydraulic constraints.

446 We found the variations in annual *WUE* were tightly linked with stand age and  
447 climate factors. Compared with the old trees, the relatively lower  $E_L$  and comparable  
448 biomass increment resulted in the higher annual *WUE* for young and mature trees.  
449 Similar results were also reported in Forrester et al. (2010), in which the *WUE* of *E.*  
450 *globulus* peaked at around age 4-5 years and then declined with stand age. As  
451 proposed by Forrester et al. (2010), the decline of *WUE* with increasing age could be  
452 a result of exacerbated competition for resources as the stands develop. Consistent  
453 with the  $E_L$ , tree growth rates and *WUE* generally did not show a significant  
454 difference with the changes in soil moisture gradients. Similarly, Ngugi et al. (2003)  
455 showed that three different 7-month-old *E. clones* exhibited similar water use  
456 efficiency at high, medium, and low water availability. Also because the climate in  
457 our study area is warm and wet, and the soil water contents in the rooting zone  
458 remained sufficient along with the slopes, we further concluded that water availability  
459 is not a limiting factor for trees' growth and *WUE* in this study area. As suggested by  
460 Santini et al. (2016), trees with small conductive areas and hydraulic conductivity  
461 usually exhibited large *WUE* values. However, as stated, the *Eucalyptus* trees planted  
462 along with the hillside at the same site shared similar sapwood area. Also, the leaf  
463 water potential and the whole-tree hydraulic conductance were approximately  
464 constant regardless of the soil moisture gradients.

465

### 466 **4.3 Implications**

467 As pioneer tree species for plantation restoration in South China, the extensive and  
468 intensive planting of *Eucalyptus* and the short-term rotation have caused  
469 environmental effects and public concerns. In this study, we have provided evidence  
470 that the young *Eucalyptus* trees experienced a higher growth rate in *DBH* or *H* but  
471 relatively less water transpiration, leading to a higher water use efficiency. Differing  
472 from the young trees, the old trees maintained a relatively stable water consumption



473 and water use efficiency under the seasonally changing environmental condition. This  
474 difference to some extent indicates the optimal utilization of current resources by  
475 young *Eucalyptus* and the good adaptation of old *Eucalyptus* to the fluctuating  
476 environment. Since the changes in the length and intensity of drought events (for  
477 instance, even more severe and prolonged drought) in the future could be more  
478 frequent and intensify the adverse environmental impact of the consecutive rotation of  
479 *Eucalyptus* plantation, our finding of relatively higher *WUE* values (less tree  
480 transpiration with high growth rates) in young and mature trees further suggests that  
481 the current planting practice of *Eucalyptus* in South China can best mitigate the  
482 threats to the replenishment of soil moisture.

483

## 484 **5 Conclusion**

485 We investigated the combined effect of stand age and soil moisture gradients on tree  
486 transpiration, growth rates, and *WUE* of *Eucalyptus* in South China. Young  
487 *Eucalyptus* trees possessed relatively less water transpiration but higher growth rates,  
488 consequently leading to a higher *WUE*. Attributed to the large sapwood area, water  
489 potential differences and hydraulic conductivity, the old trees utilized more water,  
490 while displayed lower growth rates and *WUE*. Along with the slopes, the young trees  
491 growing at the bottom of the slope possessed the lowest  $E_L$ , but no consistent effect of  
492 slope position on the trees'  $E_L$  was observed. We also did not find that the soil  
493 moisture gradients posed a significant influence on tree growth and *WUE*, and  
494 suggested that the warm and wet climate in the study area, as well as the sufficient  
495 soil water contents in the rooting zone, should be the key reasons for the similar tree  
496 growth and *WUE* along with the slopes. Moreover, the temporal changes of  $E_L$  among  
497 the three age series *Eucalyptus* trees suggested the young trees were more susceptible,  
498 while the old trees exhibited good adaptability to the environmental variability. To  
499 some extent, our results supported the rationality of *Eucalyptus* planting practices  
500 under current climate conditions in South China.

501

## 502 **Conflict of interest**

503 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

504

## 505 **References**

506 Aakala, T., Fraver, S., D'Amato, A., & Palik, B. (2013). Influence of competition and age on tree  
507 growth in structurally complex old-growth forests in northern Minnesota, USA. *Forest*  
508 *Ecology and Management*, 308, 128-135. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.07.057

509 Alcorn, P.J., Forrester, D.I., Thomas, D.S., James, R., Smith, R.G.B., Nicotra, A.B., & Bauhus, J.  
510 (2013). Changes in whole-tree water use following live-crown pruning in young  
511 plantation-grown *Eucalyptus pilularis* and *Eucalyptus cloeziana*. *Forest*, 4, 106-121. doi:  
512 10.3390/f4010106

513 Almeida, A.C., Soares, J.V., Landsberg, J.J., & Rezende, G.D. (2007). Growth and water balance  
514 of *Eucalyptus grandis* hybrid plantations in Brazil during a rotation for pulp production.  
515 *Forest Ecology and Management*, 251, 10-21. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.06.009

516 Baret, M., Pepin, S., & Pothier, D. (2018). Hydraulic limitations in dominant trees as a  
517 contributing mechanism to the age-related growth decline of boreal forest stands. *Forest*  
518 *Ecology and Management*, 427, 135-142. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.05.043

519 Battie-Laclau, P., Delgado-Rojas, J.S., Christina, M., Nouvellon, Y., Bouillet, J., Piccolo, M.,  
520 Moreira, M.Z., Gonçalves, J., Roupsard, O., & Laclau, J. (2016). Potassium fertilization  
521 increases water-use efficiency for stem biomass production without affecting intrinsic  
522 water-use efficiency in *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management*,  
523 364, 77-89. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2016.01.004

524 Besson, C.A., Lobo-do-Vale, R., Rodrigues, M.L., Almeida, P., Herd, A., Grant, O.M., David, T.S.,  
525 Schmidt, M., Otieno, D., Keenan, T.F., Gouveia, C., Mériaux, C., Chaves, M.M., & Pereira,  
526 J.S. (2014). Cork oak physiological responses to manipulated water availability in a  
527 Mediterranean woodland. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 184, 230-242. doi:  
528 10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.10.004

529 Buckley, T.N., Turnbull, T.L., Pfautsch, S., Gharun, M., & Adams, M.A. (2012). Differences in  
530 water use between mature and post-fire regrowth stands of subalpine *Eucalyptus delegatensis*  
531 R. Baker. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 270, 1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.01.008

532 Campbell, G.S., & Norman, J.M. (1998). An Introduction to Environmental Biophysics.

533 Springer-Verlag: New York.

534 Cochard, H., Breda, N., & Granier, A. (1996). Whole tree hydraulic conductance and water loss  
535 regulation in *Quercus* during drought: evidence for stomatal control of embolism? *Annual*  
536 *Forest Science*, 53, 197-206. doi: 10.1051/forest:19960203

537 Christina, M., Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J.P., Stape, J.L., Bouillet, J.P., Lambais, G.R., & le Maire, G.  
538 (2017). Importance of deep water uptake in tropical eucalypt forest. *Functional Ecology*, 31,  
539 509-519. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12727

540 Forrester, D.I., Collopy, J.J., & Morris, J.D. (2010). Transpiration along an age series of  
541 *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations in southeastern Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management*,  
542 259, 1754-1760. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.04.023

543 Gao, X., Li, H., & Zhao, X., 2020. Impact of land management practices on tree water use strategy  
544 and responses to drought in a dryland plantation. *Land Degradation & Development*, doi:  
545 10.1002/ldr.3687.

546 Granier, A. (1987). Evaluation of transpiration in a Douglas fir stands by means of sapflow  
547 measurements. *Tree Physiology*, 3, 309-319. doi: 10.1093/treephys/3.4.309

548 Grossiord, C., Sevanto, S., Limousin, J., Meir, P., Mencuccini, M., Pangle, R.E., Pockman, W.T.,  
549 Salmon, Y., Zweifel, R., & McDowell, N.G. (2018). Manipulative experiments demonstrate  
550 how long-term soil moisture changes alter controls of plant water use. *Environmental and*  
551 *Experimental Botany*, 152, 19-27. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2017.12.010

552 Hubbard, R.M., Stape, J., Ryan, M.G., Almeida, A.C., & Rojas, J. (2010). Effects of irrigation on  
553 water use and water use efficiency in two fast growing *Eucalyptus* plantations, *Forest*  
554 *Ecology and Management*, 259, 1714-1721. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.10.028

555 Johnson, S.E., & Abrams, M.D. (2009). Age class, longevity and growth rate relationships:  
556 protracted growth increases in old trees in the eastern United States. *Tree Physiology*, 29,  
557 1317-1328. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpp068

558 Klein, T., Rotenberg, E., Cohen-Hilaleh, E., Raz-Yaseef, N., Tatarinov, F., Preisler, Y., Ogée, J.,  
559 Cohen, S., & Yakir, D. (2014). Quantifying transpirable soil water and its relations to tree  
560 water use dynamics in a water-limited pine forest. *Ecohydrology*, 7, 409-419. doi:  
561 10.1002/eco.1360

562 Köhler, M., Schwendenmann, L., & Hölscher, D. (2010). Throughfall reduction in a cacao

563 agroforest: tree water use and soil water budgeting. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 150,  
564 1079-1089. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.04.005

565 Kwon, H., Law, B.E., Thomas, C.K., & Johnson, B.G. (2018). The influence of hydrological  
566 variability on inherent water use efficiency in forests of contrasting composition, age, and  
567 precipitation regimes in the Pacific Northwest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 249,  
568 488-500. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.08.006

569 Liu, H., Gleason, S.M., Hao, G.Y., Hua, L., He, P.C., Goldstein, G., Ye Q. (2019). Hydraulic traits  
570 are coordinated with maximum plant height at the global scale. *Science Advances*, 5:  
571 eaav1332. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aav1332

572 Macfarlane, C., Bond, C., White, D.A., & Grigg, A.H. (2010). Transpiration and hydraulic traits of  
573 old and regrowth eucalypt forest in southwestern Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management*,  
574 260, 96-105. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.005

575 Martínez-Vilalta, J., Vanderklein, D., & Mencuccini, M., Tree height and age-related decline in  
576 growth in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). *Oecologia*, 150, 529-544.  
577 doi:10.1007/s00442-006-0552-7

578 Mencuccini, M. (2002). Hydraulic constraints in the functional scaling of trees. *Tree Physiology*,  
579 22, 553-565. doi: 10.1093/treephys/22.8.553

580 Mendham, D.S., White, D.A., Battaglia, M., McGrath, J.F., Short, T.M., Ogden, G.N., & Kinal, J.  
581 (2014). Soil water depletion and replenishment during first- and early second-rotation  
582 *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations with deep soil profiles. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*,  
583 152, 1568-1579. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.06.014

584 Molina, J.G.A., Helle, G., Hadad, M.A., & Roig, F.A. (2019). Variations in the intrinsic water-use  
585 efficiency of north Patagonian forests under a present climate change scenario: tree age, site  
586 conditions and long-term environmental effects. *Tree Physiology*, 39, 661-678. doi:  
587 10.1093/treephys/tpy144

588 Ngugi, M.R., Hunt, M.A., Doley, D., Ryan, P., & Dart, P.J. (2003). Effects of soil water  
589 availability on water use efficiency of *Eucalyptus cloeziana* and *Eucalyptus argophloia*  
590 plants. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 51, 159-166. doi: 10.1071/BT02103

591 Ouyang, L., Zhao, P., Zhou, G.S., Zhu, L.W., Huang, Y.Q., Zhao, X.H., & Ni, G.Y. (2018).  
592 Stand-scale transpiration of a *Eucalyptus urophylla* x *E. grandis* plantation and its potential

593 hydrological implication. *Ecohydrology*, 11(4), e1938. doi: 10.1002/eco.1938

594 Ouyang, L., Gao, J.G., Zhao, P., & Rao, X.Q. (2020). Species-specific transpiration and water-use  
595 patterns of two pioneer dominant tree species under manipulated rainfall in a low-subtropical  
596 secondary evergreen forest. *Ecohydrology*, doi: 10.1002/eco.2234

597 Roberts, S., Vertessy, R.A., & Grayson, R. (2001). Transpiration from *Eucalyptus sieberi* (L.  
598 Johnson) forests of different age. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 143, 153-161. doi:  
599 10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00514-4

600 Ryan, M.G., Binkley, D., & Fownes, J.H. (1997). Age-related decline in forest productivity:  
601 pattern and process. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 27, 213-262.  
602 doi:10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60009-4

603 Ryan, M.G., & Yoder, B.J. (1997). Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth. *BioScience*, 47,  
604 235-242. doi: 10.2307/1313077

605 Santini, N.S., Cleverly, J., Faux, R., Lestrangle, C., Rumman, R., & Eamus, D. (2016). Xylem  
606 traits and water-use efficiency of woody species cooccurring in the Ti Tree Basin arid zone.  
607 *Trees*, 30, 295-303. doi: 10.1007/s00468-015-1301-5

608 Sillett, S.C., Van Pelt, R., Carroll, A.L., Kramer, R.D., Ambrose, A.R., & Trask, D.A. (2015). How  
609 do tree structure and old age affect growth potential of California redwoods? *Ecological*  
610 *Monographs*, 85, 118-212. doi: 10.1890/14-1016.1

611 Shi, Z., Xu, D., Yang, X., Jia, Z., Guo, H., & Zhang, N. (2012). Ecohydrological impacts of  
612 eucalypt plantations: a review. *J. Ecol. Rural. Environ.* 10, 1419-1426. (no DOI available)

613 Sperry, J.S., & Love, D.M. (2015). What plant hydraulics can tell us about responses to  
614 climate-change droughts. *New Phytologist*, 207, 14-27. doi: 10.1111/nph.13354

615 Stephenson, N.L., Das, A.J., Condit, R., Russo, S.E., Baker, P.J., Beckman, N.G., Coomes, D.A.,  
616 Lines, E.R., Morris, W.K., & Rüger, N. (2014). Rate of tree carbon accumulation increases  
617 continuously with tree size. *Nature*, 507, 90-93. doi: 10.1038/nature12914

618 Taylor, S.L., & MacLean, D.A. (2005). Rate and causes of decline of mature and overmature  
619 balsam fir and spruce stands in New Brunswick, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forest*  
620 *Research*, 35, 2479-2490. doi: 10.1139/x05-142

621 Tfwala, C.M., Van Rensburg, L.D., Schall, R., Zietsman, P.C., & Dlamini, P. (2019). Whole tree  
622 water use: Effects of tree morphology and environmental factors. *Ecological Indicators*, 102,

623 366-373. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.02.054

624 Vickers, D., Thomas, C.K., Pettijohn, C., Martin, J.G., & Law, B.E. (2012). Five years of carbon  
625 fluxes and inherent water-use efficiency at two semi-arid pine forests with different  
626 disturbance histories. *Tellus B*, 64, 1–14. doi: 10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.17159

627 White, D.A., McGrath, J.F., Ryan, M.G., Battaglia, M., Mendham, D.S., Kinal, J., Downes, G.M.,  
628 Crombie, D.S., & Hunt, M.E. (2014). Managing for water-use efficient wood production in  
629 *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 331, 272-280.  
630 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.08.020>

631 Whitehead, D., & Beadle, C.L. (2004). Physiological regulation of productivity and water use in  
632 *Eucalyptus*: a review. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 193, 113-140. doi:  
633 10.1016/j.foreco.2004.01.026

634 Wu, G., Liu, X., Kang, S., Chen, T., Xu, G., Zeng, X., Wang, W., Wang, B., Zhang, X., & Kang, H.  
635 (2018). Age-dependent impacts of climate change and intrinsic water-use efficiency on the  
636 growth of Schrenk spruce (*Picea schrenkiana*) in the western Tianshan Mountains, China.  
637 *Forest Ecology and Management*, 414, 1-14. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2018.02.008

638 Xu, C.Y., Turnbull, M.H., Tissue, D.T., Lewis, J.D., Carson, R., Schuster, W.S.F., Whitehead, D.,  
639 Walcroft, A.S., Li, J., & Griffin, K.L. (2012). Age-related decline of stand biomass  
640 accumulation is primarily due to mortality and not to reduction in NPP associated with  
641 individual tree physiology, tree growth or stand structure in a *Quercus*-dominated forest.  
642 *Journal of Ecology*, 100, 428-440. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01933.x

643 Xue, P. (2009). Growth and Biomass of Six-year-old *Eucalyptus urophylla* Plantation in Leizhou  
644 Forestry Bureau. *Eucalypt Science and Technology*, 26, 18-21. (In Chinese Version). (no DOI  
645 available)

646 Zhu, L.W., Zhao, P., Wang, Q., Ni, G.Y., Niu, J.F., Zhao, X.H., Zhang, Z.Z., Zhao, P.Q., Gao, J.G.,  
647 Huang, Y.Q., Gu, D.X., & Zhang, Z.F. (2015). Stomatal and hydraulic conductance and water  
648 use in a eucalypt plantation in Guangxi, southern China. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*,  
649 202, 61-68. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.12.003

Table 1 Soil depths (cm, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) at different slope positions in the three experimental sites.

	Site A	Site B	Site C
Slope Top	86 $\pm$ 3.1	84 $\pm$ 3.6	119 $\pm$ 4.0
Mid-Slope	130 $\pm$ 2.5	119 $\pm$ 4.0	176 $\pm$ 3.6
Slope-Bottom	>250	>250	>250

site A: young trees; site B: mature trees; site C: old trees;

Slope Top: trees growing at the top of the sites, Mid-Slope: trees growing at the middle of the sites, and Slope Bottom: trees growing at the bottom of the sites.

Table 2 Soil physicochemical characteristics of three experimental sites.

Site	Soil texture	pH	SOM (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Total N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Total P (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
A	Clay loam	4.41 ± 0.10	22.05 ± 2.19	1.18 ± 0.13	0.22 ± 0.04
B	Clay loam	4.05 ± 0.13	37.40 ± 3.30	1.60 ± 0.07	0.26 ± 0.01
C	Clay loam	3.98 ± 0.08	31.95 ± 2.19	1.08 ± 0.18	0.26 ± 0.03

site A: young trees; site B: mature trees; site C: old trees; SOM: soil organic matter.



Table 3 Annual water use efficiency (*WUE*) of the sampled trees in different sites and slope positions.

	Site	Slope Position		
		Slope Top	Mid-Slope	Slope Bottom
Year 2018	A	10.2 ± 1.30 <sup>ab</sup>	9.37 ± 1.65b	8.63 ± 1.13a
	B	10.4 ± 0.77b	11.7 ± 1.37b	13.8 ± 3.63b
	C	6.29 ± 0.97a	5.46 ± 1.51a	7.90 ± 2.16a
Year 2019	A	10.6 ± 2.88b	10.9 ± 2.48b	12.1 ± 1.96b
	B	9.91 ± 1.81b	8.54 ± 1.07b	10.1 ± 1.79b
	C	8.13 ± 0.70a	6.84 ± 1.73a	6.71 ± 0.79a

Site A: young trees; Site B: mature trees; Site C: old trees; Slope Top: trees growing at the top of the sites, Mid-Slope: trees growing at the middle of the sites, and Slope Bottom: trees growing at the bottom of the sites;

<sup>a</sup> The values presented in the columns are mean ± standard deviation;

<sup>b</sup> Different small letters indicate differences among the three age sites ( $p < 0.05$ );

Table 4 Two-way ANOVA (Tukey's HSD) on annual water use efficiency (*WUE*) and leaf area index (*LAI*) among different sites and years.

	Site		Year		Site × Year	
	F value	Sig.	F value	Sig.	F value	Sig.
Annual <i>WUE</i>	29.989	0.000	0.000	0.999	7.051	0.002
<i>LAI</i>	13.177	0.000	13.712	0.001	0.210	0.811

Table 5 Two-way ANOVA (Tukey's HSD) on predawn leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{pd}$ ), midday leaf water potential ( $\Psi_{md}$ ), leaf to soil water potential difference ( $\Delta\Psi$ ,  $\Psi_{pd} - \Psi_{md}$ ), and whole tree hydraulic conductance ( $K$ ) among different sites and periods (including wet and dry season).

	Site		Season		Site $\times$ Season	
	F value	Sig.	F value	Sig.	F value	Sig.
$\Psi_{pd}$	2.218	0.115	38.980	0.000	15.491	0.000
$\Psi_{md}$	2.340	0.101	3.545	0.062	7.571	0.010
$\Delta\Psi$	0.040	0.961	7.457	0.007	2.917	0.047
$K$	16.042	0.000	3.342	0.071	5.105	0.008