

Educational &
Teaching Material
Letter to the Editor



Importance of comprehensive allergological workup in corticosteroid allergy

Philip Hei Li ^{1,*} and Krzysztof Rutkowski²

¹Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

²Department of Allergy, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 12, 2018

Accepted: Apr 22, 2019

*Correspondence to

Philip Li

Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.

Tel: +852-22553111

E-mail: philipli@connect.hku.hk

Copyright © 2019. Asia Pacific Association of Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Philip Hei Li

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9155-9162>

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

► See the article “Anaphylaxis following intralesional triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) injection” in volume 7 on page 115.

We read with great interest the case report of suspected triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) allergy by Laisuan et al. [1] and commend the authors' inclusion of excipient testing. However, we would like to caution against the potential overdiagnosis of corticosteroid allergy without comprehensive allergological workup.

The authors dismissed the diagnosis of carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) excipient allergy based on negative skin tests (skin prick [SPT] and intradermal tests [IDT]) and oral CMC provocation test. However, CMC is known to be poorly absorbed via the gastrointestinal tract and is tolerated by patients allergic to systemically administered CMC [2, 3]. As the predictive value of CMC skin tests remains to be elucidated, we believe that immediate hypersensitivity to CMC cannot be excluded based on a negative oral provocation test alone.

Furthermore, we would also like to highlight that the “Classification of corticosteroids” (quoted from Baeck et al.) was based on sensitization profiles derived from patch testing, i.e., delayed hypersensitivity, rather than immediate hypersensitivity [4, 5]. To avoid confusion, it is important to note that this classification is unlikely to be useful when evaluating patients presenting with immediate reactions [5]. In our experience, we have not identified any consistent patterns of cross-reactivity in immediate hypersensitivity. When searching for suitable alternatives, we would instead advocate an individualized and comprehensive approach based on our previously published algorithm [6].

In our recently published series [6], we recognised that the majority of patients with immediate reactions (after complete evaluations) were actually allergic to the excipients rather than the corticosteroid. We also identified the use of preservative free Carmellose eye drops 1% (Moorfields Pharmaceuticals, London, UK) as a readily available and reliable source of CMC for skin testing.

Therefore, based on our algorithm, we would suggest performing SPT and IDT with CMC were performed with preservative free Carmellose eye drops 1% (Moorfields Pharmaceuticals) with undiluted and 1:10 dilution, respectively. This concentration has been proven to be nonirritative in healthy controls, and we have successfully identified CMC allergy in numerous proven cases using this approach [6]. Following negative skin tests, we would strongly recommend further

testing with excipient-free triamcinolone instead to more accurately differentiate between triamcinolone and CMC allergy. Prior to the completion of comprehensive testing, the patient should continue to avoid all corticosteroid and excipient containing compounds.

Immediate hypersensitivity to corticosteroids is often overdiagnosed, leading to unnecessary avoidance or potentially dangerous exposure to other medications containing similar excipients. True allergy to corticosteroid is extremely rare and we emphasize that patients should only be labelled after excipient allergy has been confidently excluded following comprehensive testing.

REFERENCES

1. Laisuan W, Wongsu C, Dchapaphapeaktak N, Tongdee M, Chatmapanrangsee J, Rerkpattanapipat T. Anaphylaxis following intralesional triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) injection. *Asia Pac Allergy* 2017;7:115-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Barbaud A. Place of excipients in systemic drug allergy. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2014;34(3):671-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Bircher AJ, Izakovic J. Oral tolerance of carboxymethylcellulose in patients with anaphylaxis to parenteral carboxymethylcellulose. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2004;92:580-1.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Baeck M, Chemelle JA, Goossens A, Nicolas JF, Terreux R. Corticosteroid cross-reactivity: clinical and molecular modelling tools. *Allergy* 2011;66:1367-74.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Baeck M, Goossens A. Immediate and delayed allergic hypersensitivity to corticosteroids: practical guidelines. *Contact Dermatitis* 2012;66:38-45.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Li PH, Wagner A, Thomas I, Watts TJ, Rutkowski R, Rutkowski K. Steroid allergy: clinical features and the importance of excipient testing in a diagnostic algorithm. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2018;6:1655-61.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)