1

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the pattern of medical care of hepatitis B virus (HBV) carriers during pregnancy and after delivery in Hong Kong, and the factors affecting the medical care of these women.

Study design: Retrospective analysis

Methods: Pregnancy Pregnant HBV carriers _women and their infants were followed up at 9-12 months after delivery. A face-to-face interview was conducted to enquire their medical careattendance for of HBV check-up-prior to, during and after pregnancy.

Results: Data were available in 412 HBV carriers. 375 (91.0%) women were known HBV carriers prior to pregnancy. Routine screening during the antenatal period picked up the remaining 37 (9.0%) carriers and they were younger, more likely to be smokers and had a lower education level (p<0.05). 356/412 (86.4%)

1

HBV carriers infected women did not have attend the medical care for HBV during pregnancy. Known HBV carrier status, history of medical checkup and use of antiviral treatment prior to pregnancy were significant predictors for medical care during pregnancy (p<0.05). 217/412 (52.6%) HBV carriers infected women did not have receive HBV medical care after delivery. Medical carecheckup before pregnancy, use of antiviral treatment prior to pregnancy and higher education level were significant predictors for postpartum medical care (p<0.05). Multivariate analysis showed medical care prior to the pregnancy (OR 7.73, 95%Cls 3.21–18.65,p<0.001) and use of antiviral treatment (OR 5.02, 95%Cls 1.41–17.81,p=0.013) were associated with medical care during pregnancy. Medical care prior to the pregnancy was also associated with postpartum medical care (OR 5.05, 95%Cls 3.29– 7.51,p<0.001).

Conclusion: A significant proportion of HBV carriers did not have-receive medical checkup care during and after pregnancy in Hong Kong, despite the

majority of them were aware of their HBV carrier status. Medical care prior to the pregnancy predicted the antenatal and postpartum medical care.

Keywords: Hepatitis B virus; Infection; Infectious Disease Transmission,

Vertical; Pregnancy

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is the most common form of chronic hepatitis in the world. It is a World Health Organization's goal to eradicate HBV infection by 2030 by promoting adequate prevention and effective treatment. The risk of acquiring chronic infection is highest during the perinatal period² and active and passive immunization to the newborns of HBV carriers can effectively decrease the risk of vertical transmission.³ However, HBV infection is still endemic in Asia.⁴ The prevalence of HBV infection has been decreasing in Hong Kong after since the implementation of universal HBV vaccination in 1988.⁵ The persistence of HBV infection could be due to immunoprophylaxis failure,6 which occurs in 1-4% of infants of HBV carriers.⁷⁻⁹ Appropriate medical evaluation of pregnant HBV carriers is essential to decrease the risk of immunoprophylaxis failure by initiating antiviral treatment in late pregnancy, and, which also facilitates monitoring for of postpartum hepatic flare after delivery. However, little research has focused on the pattern and the predictors to medical care of HBV carriers during and after pregnancy of HBV carriers. In the United States, a retrospective

review of the data of theafrom a health care system in Massachusetts found 53% of newly diagnosed HBV carriers did had not received specialist care for HBV after delivery. ¹⁰ Another retrospective cohort study using aggregate database ofin Massachusetts also revealed half of the HBV carriers did had not received postpartum laboratory testing.¹¹ In Hong Kong, the government provides free antenatal and medical care is provided to for all pregnant women and -Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) is tested for every pregnant womascreenedn during the booking visit <u>in</u> early <u>in the</u> pregnancy. However, there is no <u>existing</u> local guidelines for on maternal HBV DNA testing, referral to hepatologist / gastroenterologist for follow up and infants' testing for HBV status. Our aim is to evaluate the medical care of HBV carriers during and after pregnancy in Hong Kong, and the factors affecting the care of these women.

Methods:

The data of medical care during and after pregnancy <u>was-were</u> collected from a <u>previously conducted</u> prospective <u>multicenter</u> observational study <u>previously</u>

conducted, 9 to which evaluated the factors leading to immunoprophylaxis failure, It studied recruited women between January 2014 to December 2016 at five public regional hospitals in Hong Kong, including Kwong Wah Hospital, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Queen Mary Hospital, Pamela Youde Nethersole Eastern Hospital and Tuen Mun Hospital. All women gave a written informed consent and were enrolled under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of each hospital. A total of 29,431 women were booked for delivery in the five study hospitals within the recruitment period and 1,592 women (5.4%) were HBsAg positive. Among the 750 subjects in the original study, 412 women provided their attendance of HBV check-up prior to, during and after pregnancy. They were recruited into this retrospective study.

Basic demographics and clinical details were recorded with their written informed consent, which included the age, race, gravida, parity, education level, smoking, drinking, and human immunodeficiency virus status. Referral to hepatologist/gastroenterologist was offered at the discretion of the attending obstetricians. At 9-12 months after delivery, HBsAg of infants was examined and

a face-to-face interview by a research assistant was conducted to collect the information on the pattern of maternal follow up for HBV during pregnancy and after delivery was enquired by a face-to-face interview by a research assistant.

Medical care was defined by any formal consultation for HBV disease with primary practitioner, hepatologist or gastroenterologist with or without laboratory testing. This study received ethical approval from Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster.

Data analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

(IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Results

were presented as mean (standard deviation (SD)) or number (n) (%). Student's

t test was used for continuous variables that were normally distributed and the

Mann-Whitney U test for skewed data. Chi-square test or Fisher Exact test was

used for dichotomous outcomes. Binary logistic multiple regression was

performed on those factors showinged statistical significance in univariate

analysis to further investigate the predictors of attendance of medical follow-ups. Results were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

Result

The basic demographics of 412 HBV carriers were shown in Table 1. A total of 375 women (91.0%) were known HBV carriers before pregnancy. Of these, 168 women (44.8%) did not have medical care prior to pregnancy. They had a higher gravida (2.4 vs. 1.8) and parity (0.7 vs. 0.4), and less fewer antiviral treatment use before pregnancy (0.6% vs. 4.8%) when compared with those who had having follow up before the pregnancy (p<0.05) (Table 2). 37 carriers (9.0%) discovered their HBV status during routine antenatal checkup. They were younger (31.1 years vs. 33.0 years), more likely to be a smoker or ex-smoker (13.5% vs. 4.0%) and had a lower education level (91.9% vs. 65.1% with secondary or lower education) when compared with the known HBV carriers (p<0.05) (Table 2).

356 (86.4%) and 217 (52.6%) HBV carriers did not have medical care for HBV during and after pregnancy respectively. The predictors were shown in Table 3.

Known HBV carrier status (98.2% vs. 89.9%), medical checkup (87.5% vs. 44.4%) and use of antiviral treatment (10.7% vs. 1.4%) prior to pregnancy were significant predictors for having medical care during pregnancy (p <0.05).

Medical checkup (71.3% vs. 31.3%), use of antiviral treatment prior to pregnancy (5.6% vs. 0%) and higher education level (tertiary or above) (38.0% vs. 27.7%) were significant predictors for postpartum medical care (p<0.05) (Table 3).

On multivariate analysis (Table 4), only higher education level (tertiary or above) was positively associated with HBV awareness before pregnancy (OR 5.31, 95% CIs 1.59 –17.88, p=0.007). A higher chance of attendance to attending medical care during pregnancy was associated with medical care prior to pregnancy (OR 7.73, 95% CIs 3.21 – 18.65, p<0.001) and use of antiviral treatment (OR 5.02, 95% CIs 1.41 – 17.81, p=0.013). The attendance of medical care after pregnancy

was positively associated with medical care prior to pregnancy (OR 5.05, 95% CIs 3.29 - 7.51, p <0.001).

In the 37 carriers who did not knowwere not aware of their HBV status prior to pregnancy, one and twelve carriers received HBV care during and after pregnancy respectively. All seven immunoprophylaxis failure cases were born of to known HBV carriers prior to pregnancy, of which only two received medical care before pregnancy and one of them received medical care before and during pregnancy respectively.

Discussion:

The results of this study demonstrated that a high proportion of HBV carriers didnot havehad not had medical care for HBV and the majority of them did had not
received extra medical attention during pregnancy and after delivery. It is worth
looking into the issue because antiviral treatment is recommended in highly
viremic carriers during pregnancy to reduce the risk of HBV vertical

transmission. TKnowing there is also possibility of hepatic flare after delivery should also prompt one to offer and subsequent long term regular postnatal monitoring of HBV status can tofor these women in order to allow timely intervention to prevent cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and mortality. 12, 13

First of all, despite being aware of the HBV carrier status, Aasymptomatic nature of HBV disease at an earlier stage could <u>lead to poorprohibit regular</u> disease surveillance, despite being aware of the HBV status. 14 Secondly, maternal, obstetrics and perinatal risks may be underestimated by the medical profession and general public. A meta-analysis did not show an increase in adverse pregnancy outcomes including preterm rupture of membranes, stillbirth, preeclampsia, gestational hypertension and antepartum haemorrhage. 15 It was suggested that HBV carriers without other risk factors could be managed as low risk pregnancy.¹⁵ However, an increased risk of miscarriage¹⁶, gestational <u>diabetes</u>¹⁷_ –and preterm delivery^{18, 19} were shown by two-cohort studies and other meta-analysis. Furthermore, maternal HBV flare up is possible during

pregnancy and maternal mortality has been reported.²⁰ Since the role of HBV DNA in predicting these complications remains unclear, the limited evidence from the literature may obviate the need of monitoring maternal HBV DNA and hence the involvement of hepatologist/ gastroenterologist during pregnancy. Finally, the knowledge of the obstetricians, hepatologists/gastroenterologists and the HBV carriers may also contribute to the poor disease surveillance rate. In Hong Kong, only 67% and 58% of local women correctly recognized pregnancy and childbirth was a mode of HBV transmission. 21, 22 Significant heterogeneity in the management of HBV carriers²³ and poor adherence to the guidelines²⁴ by medical physicians were noted. Only 69% of hepatologists and 42.2% non-hepatologist were "very comfortable" or "comfortable" in managing pregnant HBV carriers.²³ Obstetricians were also not familiar with the use of antiviral treatment during pregnancy²⁵ and more so for <u>primary care</u> physiciansprimary practitioners especially regarding fetal safety. All these may hinder the initiation to discussand discussion of potential implications of HBV disease to the pregnancy among HBV carriers, medical physicians and the

obstetricians.

Form the public health perspective, eradication of HBV disease should begin with early identification of HBV infected individuals, followed by offering evidence-based disease surveillance strategy and treatment, and preventing vertical and horizontal transmission. For early identification, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention recommends universal screening of HBsAginpregnant women for HBsAg —during pregnancy.²⁶ This can recognize identify HBV carriers who are unaware of their HBV statuses and their identify newborns who require additional hepatitis B immunoglobulin at birth. Neonatal HBV immunization program remains the most effective intervention to prevent vertical transmission³. World Health Organization recommends all infants should receive a course of HBV vaccination, with the first dose preferably within 24 hours²⁷. HBV viral load qualification during pregnancy is crucial to assess the risk of immunoprophylaxis failure⁶ and. Tthe use of antiviral treatment in highly <u>viremic HBV women in late pregnancy could reduce the risk of</u>

immunoprophylaxis failure which is recommended by: \text{\text{Various authorities}} published their recommendations on antiviral treatment to highly viremicwomen. 12, 13 Multidisciplinary care during pregnancy involving hepatologists/ gastroenterologists during pregnancy is necessary because they are more likely to provide timely and appropriate HBV disease assessment, monitoring and treatment when compared with primary care physicians.^{24, 28} They can also jointly inform the safety and efficacy of antiviral treatment during pregnancy. Since it is the obstetricians to look after pregnant HBV carriers at the initial encounter, it is important for them to they may _take up the responsibility to initiate referral of the women to appropriate subspecialists for subsequent management. Provision of medical care to HBV carriers and HBV affected infected infants should be continued after delivery by hepatologists/ gastroenterologists to look for maternal postpartum flare up²⁹ and provide long term HBV disease surveillance. Health policies, such as checking HBV viral load during pregnancy and referral to medical physicians for monitoring and treatment, are proven to be cost-effective. ³⁰⁻³² Therefore, <u>public health</u>

practitioners and policy makers should employ this holistic comprehensive approach during pregnancy, which pregnancy provides an excellent opportunity to eliminate HBV by breaking the chain at birth. Development of a national plan is often considered as the first indicator of political commitment towards HBV eradication.⁴ Financial support and resource allocation focusing on the management of HBV during pregnancy are necessary. However, practicality for practicing this multidisciplinary approach may be difficultin managing the HBV carriers during pregnancy remains an issue. It varies in different places because of different public health systems, expertise availability, financial support and resources. Local studies on financial impact of different preventive strategies should be encouraged to obtain reliable data to guide tailor-made individualized policy. 4 Unfortunately, this ideal modal was not shown in our study.

Our study is the first study to evaluate the predictors of medical care among pregnant HBV carriers. We found pre-pregnancy medical care could be the key to improve the health care of HBV carriers during and after pregnancy. As the

after pregnancy, government _ Government should promote regular

pre-pregnancy HBV disease surveillance and enhance public awareness and
knowledge by launching educational programs. These may be achieved by using
social media, short message service or 'apps' in mobile phone, which is widely
available nowadays. 33 A referral and monitoring guideline can help disease
surveillance at the primary care level can help disease surveillance and allow
triage complicated cases, for example pregnant HBV carriers, under to receive
subspecialist's care. Guidelines have been setup for easy reference to policy
markers. 12, 13

However, practicality for multidisciplinary approach in managing the HBV carriers during pregnancy remains an issue. It varies in different places because of different public health systems, expertise availability, financial support and resources. Development of a national plan is often considered as the first indicator of political commitment towards HBV eradication. Financial support

and resource allocation focusing on the management of HBV during pregnancy are necessary. There are several limitations of our study. For the HBV carriers who received HBV related care during and after pregnancy, we did not know the exact details of the HBV care, whether they were under the care of hepatologists or primary care physicians general practitioners and which laboratory investigations whether liver function test and HBV DNA quantification had been performed. Without these information, it would be difficult to guarantee a proper assessment even with multidisciplinary care. Therefore, proper medical evaluation cannot be guaranteed even with multidisciplinary care. ¹¹ Maternal assessment with liver function test and HBV DNA could identify women at risk of hepatic flare up and immunoprophylaxis failure. This information The details of medical care could reflect the current medical care management of pregnant HBV carriers and provide data to guide policy planning or recommendation on their standard medical care of pregnant HBV carriers. We also did not evaluate the obstetric and perinatal outcomes related to medical care. The risk of preterm delivery and gestational diabetes are increased in HBV carriers, particularly in

women with active HBV disease (i.e. positive HBeAg or higher HBV DNA)^{19, 34}.

Whether the provision of medical care and early intervention in the pregnancy could alter these adverse pregnancy outcomes would require further evaluation.

Further research could focus on the evaluation of details of HBV medical care during pregnancy and the effect on pregnancy outcomes.

Conclusion

Our study is important to draw the attention to the deficiency in multidisciplinary care during pregnancy and lack of continuity of care after delivery. Eradication of HBV should begin with breaking the chain at birth and subsequent long term monitoring by practicing evidenced-based medicine and input from various stakeholders. However, a high proportion of HBV carriers in Hong Kong did not have medical care during and after pregnancy, despite the majority of them were aware of their HBV carrier status. Strategies to improve medical care during pregnancy and after delivery are needed.

Acknowledgement

We thank Professor Terence Tzu Hsi Lao for critical review of the manuscript.

Statements of ethical approval

This study received ethical approval from Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster.

Funding

This work was supported by Health and Medical Research Fund, Hong Kong (Reference number 11121661). The funding source had no involvement in study design; collection, analysis and interpretation of data, and in writing of the report.

Disclosure

The authors have stated explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest in connection with this article.

Reference:

Organization. WH. Combating hepatitis B and C to reach elimination by
 2030. 2016.; Available from:

http://www.who.int/hepatitis/publications/hep-elimination-by-2030-brief/en/.

- Edmunds WJ, Medley GF, Nokes DJ, Hall AJ, Whittle HC. The influence of age on the development of the hepatitis B carrier state. Proc Biol Sci. 1993;
 253:197-201.
- 3. Lee C, Gong Y, Brok J, Boxall EH, Gluud C. Effect of hepatitis B immunisation in newborn infants of mothers positive for hepatitis B surface antigen: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2006; 332:328-36.
- 4. Wait S, Kell E, Hamid S, Muljono DH, Sollano J, Mohamed R, et al. Hepatitis B and hepatitis C in southeast and southern Asia: challenges for governments.

 Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016; 1:248-55.
- Health. CfHPDo. Surveillance of Viral Hepatitis in Hong Kong 2015 Update
 Report. . 2016. [September 1, 2018]; Available from:
 http://www.info.gov.hk/hepatitis/doc/hepsurv15.pdf.

- 6. Cheung KW, Seto MT, Wong SF. Towards complete eradication of hepatitis B infection from perinatal transmission: review of the mechanisms of in utero infection and the use of antiviral treatment during pregnancy. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2013; 169:17-23.
- 7. Zou H, Chen Y, Duan Z, Zhang H, Pan C. Virologic factors associated with failure to passive-active immunoprophylaxis in infants born to HBsAg-positive mothers. J Viral Hepat. 2012; 19:e18-25.
- 8. Wen WH, Chang MH, Zhao LL, Ni YH, Hsu HY, Wu JF, et al. Mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis B virus infection: significance of maternal viral load and strategies for intervention. J Hepatol. 2013; 59:24-30.
- 9. Cheung KW, Seto MTY, Kan ASY, Wong D, Kou KO, So PL, et al.

 Immunoprophylaxis Failure of Infants Born to Hepatitis B Carrier Mothers

 Following Routine Vaccination. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018; 16:144-5.
- 10. Chang MS, Tuomala R, Rutherford AE, Mutinga ML, Andersson KL, Burman BE, et al. Postpartum care for mothers diagnosed with hepatitis B during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 212:365 e1-7.

- 11. Chang MS, Barton K, Crockett M, Tuomala RE, Rutherford AE, Mutinga ML, et al. Postpartum Laboratory Follow-up in Women With Hepatitis B in Massachusetts From 2007 to 2012. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2016; 50:e60-4.
- 12. Terrault NA, Bzowej NH, Chang KM, Hwang JP, Jonas MM, Murad MH, et al.

 AASLD guidelines for treatment of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology. 2016;

 63:261-83.
- 13. European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address eee,
 European Association for the Study of the L. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice
 Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol. 2017;
 67:370-98.
- 14. Tan NC, Cheah SL. What barriers do primary care physicians face in the management of patients with chronic hepatitis B infection in primary care? Singapore Med J. 2005; 46:333-9.
- 15. Keramat A, Younesian M, Gholami Fesharaki M, Hasani M, Mirzaei S, Ebrahimi E, et al. Inactive Hepatitis B Carrier and Pregnancy Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Iran J Public Health. 2017; 46:468-74.

- 16. Cui AM, Cheng XY, Shao JG, Li HB, Wang XL, Shen Y, et al. Maternal hepatitis B virus carrier status and pregnancy outcomes: a prospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016; 16:87.
- 17. Tan J, Mao X, Zhang G, Wang W, Pan T, Liu X, et al. Hepatitis B surface antigen positivity during pregnancy and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Viral Hepat. 2018; 25:1372-83.
- 18. Liu J, Zhang S, Liu M, Wang Q, Shen H, Zhang Y. Maternal pre-pregnancy infection with hepatitis B virus and the risk of preterm birth: a population-based cohort study. Lancet Glob Health. 2017; 5:e624-e32.
- 19. Ma X, Sun D, Li C, Ying J, Yan Y. Chronic hepatitis B virus infection and preterm labor(birth) in pregnant women-an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2018; 90:93-100.
- 20. Wong HY, Tan JY, Lim CC. Abnormal liver function tests in the symptomatic pregnant patient: the local experience in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2004; 33:204-8.
- 21. Leung CM, Wong WH, Chan KH, Lai LS, Luk YW, Lai JY, et al. Public awareness

of hepatitis B infection: a population-based telephone survey in Hong Kong. Hong Kong Med J. 2010; 16:463-9.

- 22. Chung PW, Suen SH, Chan OK, Lao TH, Leung TY. Awareness and knowledge of hepatitis B infection and prevention and the use of hepatitis B vaccination in the Hong Kong adult Chinese population. Chin Med J (Engl). 2012; 125:422-7.
- 23. Ahn J, Salem SB, Cohen SM. Evaluation and management of hepatitis B in pregnancy: a survey of current practices. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y). 2010; 6:570-8.
- 24. Wu Y, Johnson KB, Roccaro G, Lopez J, Zheng H, Muiru A, et al. Poor adherence to AASLD guidelines for chronic hepatitis B Management and treatment in a large academic medical center. Am J Gastroenterol. 2014; 109:867-75.
- 25. Niu B, Marzio DH, Fenkel JM, Herrine SK. Obstetricians' and gynecologists' knowledge, education, and practices regarding chronic hepatitis B in pregnancy.

 Ann Gastroenterol. 2017; 30:670-4.
- 26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Viral Hepatitis. Hepatitis B

information. Perinatal Transmission. [September 1, 2018]; Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hbv/perinatalxmtn.htm.

- 27. Organization. WH. Hepatitis B vaccines. . 2009. [September 1, 2018];

 Available from: http://www.who.int/wer/2009/wer8440.pdf?ua=1.
- 28. Rajbhandari R, Barton K, Juncadella AC, Rubin AK, Ajayi T, Wu Y, et al.

 Discontinuity of care for mothers with chronic hepatitis B diagnosed during pregnancy. J Viral Hepat. 2016; 23:561-8.
- 29. Chang CY, Aziz N, Poongkunran M, Javaid A, Trinh HN, Lau D, et al. Serum Alanine Aminotransferase and Hepatitis B DNA Flares in Pregnant and Postpartum Women with Chronic Hepatitis B. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016; 111:1410-5.
- 30. Post SE, Sodhi NK, Peng CH, Wan K, Pollack HJ. A simulation shows that early treatment of chronic hepatitis B infection can cut deaths and be cost-effective. Health Aff (Millwood). 2011; 30:340-8.
- 31. Toy M, Salomon JA, Jiang H, Gui H, Wang H, Wang J, et al. Population health impact and cost-effectiveness of monitoring inactive chronic hepatitis B and

treating eligible patients in Shanghai, China. Hepatology. 2014; 60:46-55.

- 32. Fan L, Owusu-Edusei K, Jr., Schillie SF, Murphy TV. Cost-effectiveness of active-passive prophylaxis and antiviral prophylaxis during pregnancy to prevent perinatal hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatology. 2016; 63:1471-80.
- 33. Charles-Smith LE, Reynolds TL, Cameron MA, Conway M, Lau EH, Olsen JM, et al. Using Social Media for Actionable Disease Surveillance and Outbreak

 Management: A Systematic Literature Review. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0139701.
- 34. Wan Z, Zhou A, Zhu H, Lin X, Hu D, Peng S, et al. Maternal Hepatitis B Virus Infection and Pregnancy Outcomes: A Hospital-based Case-control Study in Wuhan, China. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2018; 52:73-8.

Table 1. Demographic data of subjects					
	All	<u>Positive</u>	Negative		
	<u>All</u>	<u>HbeAg</u>	<u>HbeAg</u>	P value	
	<u>(n=412)</u>	<u>(n=105)</u>	<u>(n=307)</u>		
Age (years)	32.9 (4.6)	31.5 (4.2)	33.3 (4.6)	<0.001	
Body mass index (kg/m²)	22.1 (3.0)	21.6 (3.0)	22.2 (3.1)	0.066	
<u>Gravida</u>	2.1 (1.2)	1.92 (1.0)	2.1 (1.3)	<u>0.164</u>	
Parity	0.5 (0.7)	0.4 (0.6)	0.5 (0.7)	0.250	
Known hepatitis B carrier	275 (04 00/)	07 (02 40/)	279 (00 69/)	0.570	
status before pregnancy	375 (91.0%)	97 (92.4%)	278 (90.6%)	0.572	
Medical checkup for					
hepatitis B before	207 (50.2%)	54 (51.4%)	<u>153 (49.8%)</u>	0.778	
pregnancy					
Chinese	409 (99.3%)	104 (99%)	305 (99.3%)	0.088	
Smoker or ex-smoker	20 (4.8%)	7 (6.7%)	13 (4.2%)	0.317	
<u>Drinker</u>	4 (1.0%)	0 (0%)	4 (1.3%)	0.240	
Drug abuser	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	0.558	
Education				0.311	
<u>No</u>	20 (4.9%)	8 (7.6%)	12 (3.9%)		
<u>Primary</u>	11 (2.7%)	4 (3.8%)	7 (2.3%)		
Secondary	247 (60.0%)	<u>62 (59%)</u>	185 (60.3%)		

<u>Tertiary</u>	129 (31.3%)	31 (29.5%)	98 (31.9%)	
Post tertiary	5 (1.2%)	0 (0%)	<u>5 (1.6%)</u>	
Human immunodeficiency virus infection	0 (0)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Use of antiviral treatment	11 (2.7%)	4 (3.8%)	7 (2.3%)	<u>0.401</u>
Mode of delivery				<u>0.310</u>
Normal spontaneous delivery	<u>254 (61.7%)</u>	70 (66.7%)	184 (59.9%)	
Vacuum extraction	27 (6.6%)	6 (5.7%)	21 (6.8%)	
Low forceps	9 (2.2%)	4 (3.8%)	5 (1.6%)	
Elective Caesarean section	<u>59 (14.3%)</u>	10 (9.5%)	49 (16%)	
Emergency Caesarean section	63 (15.3%)	<u>15 (14.3%)</u>	48 (15.6%)	
Immunoprophylaxis failure	7 (1.7%)	7 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	<0.001

Table 2. The demographic data and obstetric characteristics of women with different awareness of HBV status and follow up before pregnancy

	Known HBV carrier status before pregnancy			Medical checkup before pregnancy		
	No (n=37)	Yes (n=375)		No (n=168)	Yes (n=207)	Dualica
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	p value	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P value
	or n (%)	or n (%)		or n (%)	or n (%)	
Age (year)	31.1 (5.4)	33.0 (4.4)	0.015 †	32.7 (4.3)	33.3 (4.6)	0.198 [†]
BMI (kg/m²)	22.2 (3.3)	22.0 (3.0)	0.711 †	22.0 (2.9)	22.1 (3.1)	0.956 [†]
Gravida	2.1 (1.3)	2.1 (1.2)	0.935 [†]	2.4 (1.2)	1.8 (1.2)	<0.001 [†]
Parity	0.5 (0.9)	0.5 (0.7)	0.936 [†]	0.7 (0.7)	0.35 (0.6)	<0.001 [†]
Maternal hepatitis B e antigen positive	8 (21.6)	97 (25.9)	0.572‡	43 (25.6)	54 (26.1)	0.914 [‡]
Antiviral treatment before pregnancy	0 (0)	11 (2.9)	0.609 [§]	1 (0.6)	10 (4.8)	0.026 [§]
Smoker or ex-smoker	5 (13.5)	15 (4.0)	0.010	6 (3.6)	9 (4.3)	0.703 [‡]
Drinker	0 (0)	4 (1.1)	1.000 §	2 (1.2%)	2 (1.0)	1.000 §
Drug abuser	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	1.000 §	1 (0.6%)	0 (0)	0.448 §
Tertiary education or above	3 (8.12)	131 (34.9)	<0.001 §	52 (31.0)	79 (38.2)	0.145 [‡]
Immunoprophyla xis failure	0 (0)	7 (1.9)	1.000 §	5 (3.0)	2 (1.0)	0.250 §

[†] student t test

[‡] chi square test

[§] Fisher's exact test

Table 3. Predictors of medical care during and after pregnancy						
	Medical care of HBV during			Medical care of HBV after		
	pregnancy			pregnancy		
	No	V (FC)		No	Yes	p value
	(n=356)	Yes (n=56)		(n=217)	(n=195)	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	p value	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
	or n (%)	or n (%)		or n (%)	or n (%)	
Age (year)	32.8 (4.6)	33.0 (4.6)	0.755 [†]	32.5 (4.5)	33.2 (4.5)	0.106 [†]
BMI (kg/m²)	22.0 (3.0)	22.2 (3.3)	0.723 [†]	22.1 (3.0)	22.0 (3.1)	0.598 [†]
Gravida	2.1 (1.2)	1.9 (0.9)	0.165 [†]	2.1 (1.3)	2.0 (1.0)	0.198 [†]
Parity	0.5 (0.7)	0.4 (0.5)	0.191 †	0.5 (0.7)	0.5 (0.7)	0.525 [†]
Maternal hepatitis B	00 (25 0)	16 (20.6)	0.500 ‡	F2 (24.4)	F2 (2C 7)	0.002 ‡
e antigen positive	89 (25.0)	16 (28.6)	0.569 [‡]	53 (24.4)	52 (26.7)	0.602 [‡]
Known HBV status	220 (22.0)	55 (98.2)	0.043 [‡]	192 (88.5)	183 (93.9)	0.057 [‡]
before pregnancy	320 (89.9)					
Medical checkup for						
HBV before	158 (44.4)	49 (87.5)	<0.001 ‡	68 (31.3)	139 (71.3)	<0.001 ‡
pregnancy						ı
Antiviral treatment	F (4.4)	6 (10.7)	<0.001 ‡	0 (0)	11 (5.6)	<0.001 §
before pregnancy	5 (1.4)					
Smoker or	10 (5.4)	2 (3.6)	1.000 [§]	12 (5.5)	8 (4.1)	0.501 [‡]
ex-smoker	18 (5.1)					
Drinker	3 (0.8)	1 (1.8)	0.444 [§]	4 (1.8)	0 (0)	0.125 §
Drug abuser	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1.000 §	1 (0.5)	0 (0)	1.000 §
Tertiary education	111 (21 2)	23 (41.1)	0.142 ‡	60 (27.7)	74 (38.0)	0.026 [‡]
or above	111 (31.2)					
Immunoprophylaxis	6 (1.7)	1 (1.8)	1.000 §	6 (2.8)	1 (0.5)	0.125 §
failure	0 (1.7)					

[†] student t test

[‡] chi square test

[§] Fisher's exact test

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with awareness of hepatitis B						
before pregnancy and medical care attendance						
		Coefficient or				
Outcome	Factors	Odds ratio	p value	R ²		
		(95% CI)				
Known hepatitis B						
carrier status				0.105		
before pregnancy						
	A	1.08 (1.00,	0.000			
	Age	1.16)	0.068			
		0.441 (0.15,	0.440			
	Smoker or ex-smoker	1.34)	0.149			
	Tertiary education or	5.31 (1.59,	0.007			
	above	17.88)	0.007			
Medical checkup				0.096		
before pregnancy				0.096		
	Gravida	0.86 (0.68,	0.186			
	Graviua	1.08)	0.180			
	parity	0.51 (0.34,	0.001			
	parity	0.77)	0.001			
Medical care of						
hepatitis B during				0.193		
pregnancy						
	Known hepatitis B carrier	1.31 (0.15,	0.808			
	status before pregnancy	11.19)	0.808			
	Medical checkup for	7.73 (3.21,				
	hepatitis B before	18.65)	<0.001			
	pregnancy	10.03)				
	Use of antiviral treatment	5.02 (1.41,	0.013			
	before pregnancy	17.81)	0.013			
Medical care of				0.233		
hepatitis B after				0.233		

pregnancy				
	Medical checkup for hepatitis B before pregnancy	5.05 (3.29, 7.51)	<0.001	
	Use of antiviral treatment before pregnancy	NA	0.999	
	Tertiary education or above	1.24 (0.79, 1.97)	0.353	