

1 **Title:** Endoplasmic reticulum-localized ECM1b suppresses tumor growth and regulates  
2 MYC and MTORC1 through modulating MTORC2 activation in esophageal squamous  
3 cell carcinoma

4

5 **Short Title:** ECM1b as tumor suppressor in ESCC

6

7 **Corresponding author contact information:**

8 Maria Li LUNG

9 L6-43, 6/F, Laboratory Block, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

10 Tel: (852)39179783; Fax: (852)28166279

11 Email: mlilung@hku.hk

12

13 **Key words**

14 Signal transduction; Protein translation; Esophageal cancer

15

16 **Significance of the results outlined in no more than 5 sentences:**

17 We demonstrate a novel tumor-suppressive role of *ECM1* in ESCC, as opposed to the

18 previously well-established oncogenic role in other cancer types. *ECM1b*, the dominant

19 splicing variant in the esophagus, encodes an endoplasmic reticulum-localized protein.

20 *ECM1b* suppresses MTORC2 activation by inhibiting MTORC2/ribosome association.

21 By regulating MTORC2/MYC/MTORC1 signaling, *ECM1b* suppresses *in vivo* tumor

22 growth and general protein translation and enhances chemosensitivity. *ECM1b* is a

23 tumor suppressor that may be useful as a biomarker in therapeutic management of

24 ESCC.

25 **Endoplasmic reticulum-localized ECM1b suppresses tumor growth and regulates**  
26 **MYC and MTORC1 through modulating MTORC2 activation in esophageal**  
27 **squamous cell carcinoma**

28

29 Valen Zhuoyou Yu<sup>1,3</sup>, Josephine Mun Yee Ko<sup>1,3</sup>, Lvwen Ning<sup>1</sup>, Wei Dai<sup>1</sup>, Simon Law<sup>2</sup>,  
30 Maria Li Lung<sup>1,4</sup>

31 <sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Oncology, <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Hong Kong  
32 Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong;  
33 <sup>3</sup>Equal contribution; <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author.

34

35

36 **Abstract**

37 Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is a deadly disease with dismal 5-year  
38 survival. *Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1)* was identified as one of the most  
39 downregulated genes by transcriptomic analysis of normal esophageal/ESCC paired  
40 tissue samples. *ECM1* plays oncogenic roles in cancer development in various cancer  
41 types. However, little is known about its role in ESCC. *In vivo* and *in vitro* functional  
42 assays coupled with analyses on public datasets and detailed molecular and mechanistic  
43 analyses were used to study the gene. We demonstrate that as opposed to the previously  
44 identified oncogenic role of *ECM1a*, *ECM1b* is a novel tumor suppressor in ESCC.  
45 *ECM1* is significantly downregulated in ESCC and several other squamous cell  
46 carcinomas. *ECM1b* encodes a cellular protein that suppresses MYC protein expression  
47 and MTORC1 signaling activity. MTORC2 inactivation leads to suppressed MYC  
48 expression and MTORC1 signaling. ECM1b localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and  
49 suppresses MTORC2 activation by inhibiting MTORC2/ribosome association. By  
50 regulating MTORC2/MYC/MTORC1 signaling, *ECM1b* suppresses general protein  
51 translation and enhances chemosensitivity. We provide evidence establishing a novel  
52 role of *ECM1* in cancer that suggests *ECM1b* as a biomarker for ESCC disease  
53 management.

54 **(185/185 words)**

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Esophageal carcinoma ranks as the seventh most frequent and sixth most deadly cancer  
58 worldwide, with an estimated 572,000 new cases and 509,000 deaths in 2018 [1]. There  
59 are two major histologic forms including esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC)  
60 and esophageal adenocarcinoma. The latter is more prevalent in developed countries,  
61 and ESCC is the dominant histologic type in Asia [2]. The molecular events leading to  
62 initiation, development and metastasis of ESCC are still largely unknown. Currently

63 there is no available targeted therapy for ESCC management. Identifying suitable drug  
64 targets is needed. More detailed molecular and functional analyses of genes involved  
65 in ESCC tumorigenesis are urged.

66 We performed transcriptomic analysis on normal esophageal/ESCC paired tissue  
67 samples and identified *Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1)* as one of the most  
68 downregulated genes. *ECM1* encodes a secreted protein that is involved in  
69 endochondral bone formation and angiogenesis [3], lipid proteinosis [4], T-cell  
70 development [5] and tumor development in which *ECM1* plays critical oncogenic roles  
71 [6-8]. Three variants resulting from alternative splicing exist, resulting in three protein  
72 isoforms, ECM1a/b/c [3]. ECM1a is the most studied isoform, while there are very  
73 limited studies on ECM1b/c.

74 We examined the expression of *ECM1* in ESCC and several other squamous cell  
75 carcinomas (SCCs) and found that *ECM1* RNA expression is significantly  
76 downregulated in tumors, as compared to normal tissue samples. We employed various  
77 *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays to functionally characterize *ECM1*. We demonstrate that  
78 cellular *ECM1b* is a novel tumor suppressor in ESCC. It encodes an endoplasmic  
79 reticulum (ER)-localized protein and acts as a MTORC2 regulator that suppresses  
80 MTORC2 activation by modulating MTORC2/ribosome association, which leads to  
81 suppressed protein translation and enhanced chemosensitivity. The results suggest  
82 *ECM1b* as a tumor suppressor in ESCC and a biomarker for ESCC therapeutics.

83  
84

## 85 **2. Materials and methods**

### 86 *2.1. ESCC patient tissue samples*

87 ESCC tissue specimens were collected from the Queen Mary Hospital from 2001 to  
88 2006, as previously reported [9]. Approval for this study was obtained from the Hospital  
89 Institutional Review Board at the University of Hong Kong.

90

### 91 *2.2. Transcriptomic analysis*

92 We sequenced the RNA from four paired adjacent normal esophageal/ESCC tissue  
93 samples using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 (2x100bp paired reads). The raw RNA-seq data  
94 was cleaned and aligned to the hg19 reference genome using Tophat [10] (version  
95 2.0.14, bowtie version 2.2.4) with library-type fr-firststrand parameter. The gene  
96 expression profile was calculated by Cufflinks [11] (version 2.2.1) with the Ensemble  
97 gene annotation file. The differentially expressed genes were analyzed using Cuffdiff  
98 [11] between each normal and tumor paired sample and between the pooled normal and  
99 tumor samples. MISO [12] was used to identify alternative splicing events; the  
100 alternative splicing events were then visualized using Integrative Genomics Viewer

101 [13].

102

### 103 2.3. *Chemical reagents*

104 All inhibitors used in this study were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX).

105

### 106 2.4. *Cell lines*

107 Immortalized human esophageal epithelial cell line NE1 (Research resource  
108 identifier:CVCL\_E306) and ESCC cell lines including 81T (CVCL\_Y011), EC1  
109 (CVCL\_5V05), HKESC-2 (CVCL\_D571), KYSE30 (CVCL\_1351), KYSE70  
110 (CVCL\_1356), KYSE150 (CVCL\_1348), KYSE180 (CVCL\_1349), KYSE270  
111 (CVCL\_1350), KYSE450 (CVCL\_1353), KYSE510 (CVCL\_1354), KYSE520  
112 (CVCL\_1355), SLMT (CVCL\_E305), and T.Tn (CVCL\_3175) were cultured as  
113 described [14]. KYSE30TSI was established through two rounds of nude mouse  
114 subcutaneous xenograft tumor segregant establishments from KYSE30 cell line [14].  
115 KYSE180TS was established from a KYSE180 nude mouse subcutaneous xenograft  
116 tumor segregant. These two derived cell lines are used in *in vivo* tumorigenicity assay.  
117 Cell line authentication by STR DNA profiling and mycoplasma test by PCR  
118 amplification of mycoplasma DNA were performed in all cell lines used.

119

### 120 2.5. *Plasmids and lentivirus preparation and infection*

121 The protein coding sequences of *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* were amplified from NE1 and  
122 cloned into pLVX-EF1a lentiviral vector [14]. The GFP-encoding control plasmid  
123 pLVX-EF1a-GFP was used [15]. Oligonucleotides encoding MYC- (sgRNA1:  
124 CTTCGGGGAGACAACGACGG; sgRNA2: AGAGTGCATCGACCCCTCGG) and  
125 RICTOR-targeted sgRNAs (sgRNA1: GTGCCAAATAATTATCCATG) were designed  
126 using sgRNA Design Tool ([https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-](https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-tools/sgrna-design)  
127 [tools/sgrna-design](https://portals.broadinstitute.org/gpp/public/analysis-tools/sgrna-design)) and cloned into lentiCRISPRv2 vector (Addgene plasmid # 52961 ;  
128 <http://n2t.net/addgene:52961> ; RRID:Addgene\_52961). Non-targeting sgRNA  
129 (sequence: GTTCCGCGTTACATAACTTA) was used as a negative control [16]. A  
130 plasmid encoding myr-tagged AKT1 (Addgene plasmid # 46969 ;  
131 <http://n2t.net/addgene:46969> ; RRID:Addgene\_46969) was used to express  
132 constitutively active AKT1 in ECM1b over-expressing cells. The Renilla luciferase-  
133 POLIRES-Firefly luciferase cassette was amplified from pcDNA3 RLUC POLIRES  
134 FLUC (Addgene plasmid # 45642 ; <http://n2t.net/addgene:45642> ;  
135 RRID:Addgene\_45642) and cloned into pLVX-EF1a. Lentivirus preparation and  
136 infection were performed as described [14].

137

### 138 2.6. *Conditioned medium preparation*

139 Conditioned media were collected as described [17].

140

#### 141 2.7. *In vivo tumorigenicity assay*

142 Subcutaneous injection of cancer cells in nude mouse was performed as described [14].

143 For KYSE180TS,  $3 \times 10^6$  of cells were injected per site.

144

#### 145 2.8. *Cell proliferation assay*

146 The proliferation and viability of cells were determined by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-  
147 2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay as we previously described [9].

148

#### 149 2.9. *Cell size determination*

150 Cells were harvested by trypsinization and subjected to flow cytometry analysis using  
151 the BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Cell size was indicated by the  
152 readings of forward scatter area.

153

#### 154 2.10. *Western blotting analysis*

155 Western blotting analysis was performed as previously described [14]. Antibodies used  
156 are listed in Supplementary Materials and methods.

157

#### 158 2.11. *In vitro translation assay*

159 Cells labeled with pLVX-EF1a-RLuc-POLIRES-FLuc were utilized. Cells were treated  
160 with 30uM Enduren (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI) or 3mg/mL D-Luciferin  
161 potassium salt (Biovision, Inc., Milpitas, CA) for 15 minutes and subjected to  
162 bioluminescence imaging using the PE-IVIS Spectrum imaging system (PerkinElmer,  
163 Waltham, MA).

164

#### 165 2.12. *Subcellular fractionation*

166 Subcellular fractionation was performed using the Subcellular Protein Fractionation Kit  
167 for Cultured Cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) according to  
168 manufacturer's protocol.

169

#### 170 2.13. *Immunofluorescence confocal microscopic co-localization analysis*

171 Immunofluorescence staining was performed as described [9]. ECM1 antibody  
172 (#HPA027241, Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, St. Louis, MO) was used to target ECM1b,  
173 followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor™ 488 secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher  
174 Scientific). Endoplasmic reticulum was labeled using Concanavalin A, Alexa Fluor™  
175 594 Conjugate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DAPI was used to label the nucleus.  
176 Confocal imaging was performed using the Carl Zeiss LSM 800 (Carl Zeiss AG,

177 Oberkochen, Germany) with a 63x objective. Co-localization analysis was performed  
178 using the Zen blue edition (Carl Zeiss AG).

179

#### 180 2.14. Ribosome pulldown

181 Ribosome pulldown was performed as described [18].

182

#### 183 2.15. Chemosensitivity assay

184 Cells were seeded and incubated for 48 hours before cisplatin treatment (10 uM for 72  
185 hours). End-point cell survival was determined by MTT assay. Viability index was  
186 calculated as  $MTT^{cisplatin}/MTT^{PBS}$ , therefore minimizing the confounding effect of  
187 proliferation rate of the cells.

188

#### 189 2.16. Statistical analysis

190 Independent samples *t*-test was applied unless indicated otherwise. A *p*-value less than  
191 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All tests of significance were 2-sided. The  
192 error bars shown in the figures represent the 95% confidence interval. For multiple-test  
193 comparisons, the *p*-value was adjusted as described [14]. An adjusted *p*-value less than  
194 0.05 is considered significant. An adjusted *p*-value less than 0.1 is considered  
195 marginally significant.

196

197

### 198 3. Results

#### 199 3.1. RNA sequencing analysis using four sets of esophageal normal/tumor paired 200 tissue samples revealed differentially expressed genes in ESCC

201 We performed transcriptomic analysis by sequencing the RNA of four sets of normal  
202 esophageal/ESCC paired tissue samples from Hong Kong ESCC patients with  
203 advanced disease. In total, of 57815 protein-coding and non-protein-coding genes,  
204 15354 genes are expressed with Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million  
205 (FPKM) > 1 in the grouped analysis. There were 117 genes significantly differentially  
206 expressed (23 downregulated genes and 94 upregulated genes; false discovery rate <  
207 0.05; FPKM >1 in either normal or tumor group) between normal and tumor samples  
208 (Supplementary Table 1).

209

#### 210 3.2. *ECM1* is downregulated in ESCC

211 Among the significantly downregulated genes, *ECM1* is of specific interest (Figure 1A).  
212 *ECM1* has been shown to play oncogenic roles in various types of cancer. We further  
213 verified the expression of *ECM1* in ESCC. *ECM1* RNA expression is downregulated  
214 significantly in three sets of normal esophageal/tumor paired tissue samples by RNA

215 sequencing (SRP007169, SRP008496, and SRP064894) and two sets of samples by  
216 microarray analysis (GSE20347 and GSE29001; probe 209365\_s\_at) (Figure 1A).  
217 Interestingly, *ECMI* RNA expression is also downregulated in cervical cancer, head  
218 and neck squamous carcinoma (HNSCC), and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)  
219 (Figure 1B), potentially suggesting a general role of *ECMI* in SCC. *ECMI* RNA also  
220 shows significant differential expressions across different pathologic stages in ESCC  
221 patients, with stage I patient samples having the top expression level in an ESCC dataset  
222 ( $p$ -value = 0.0149,  $n$  = 98, Supplementary Figure 1) [19], further suggesting that *ECMI*  
223 plays a role in tumor progression in ESCC.

224

### 225 3.3. *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* are expressed in esophageal tissue and ESCC

226 The *ECMI* locus has three variants produced by alternative splicing, namely *ECM1a*  
227 (NCBI reference sequence: NM\_004425), *ECM1b* (NM\_022664), and *ECM1c*  
228 (NM\_001202858), each encoding for the corresponding protein isoforms [3]. Our RNA  
229 sequencing analysis revealed that only *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* are expressed in esophageal  
230 tissues and ESCC (FPMK > 1), with *ECM1b* being the dominant splicing variant in  
231 normal esophageal tissue (Figure 1C and Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, we  
232 focused on these two variants. RNA expression of the two *ECMI* variants in panels of  
233 normal esophageal/tumor paired tissue samples and ESCC cell lines was examined by  
234 quantitative real-time PCR. Consistent with the above RNA sequencing/microarray  
235 analysis results, *ECM1a* is downregulated in 75% (6/8) of ESCC tissue samples and  
236 69% (9/13) of ESCC cell lines tested, while *ECM1b* is downregulated in 100% (8/8) of  
237 ESCC tissue samples and 69% (9/13) of ESCC cell lines tested (Figure 1D).

238

### 239 3.4. *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* show different secreted/cellular protein localizations

240 *ECM1a* protein is well-recognized as a secreted protein with a signal peptide [20]  
241 (Figure 1E). Although bearing the identical N/C-terminus as *ECM1a*, no clear evidence  
242 of the cellular localization of *ECM1b* has been shown. Therefore, we examined the  
243 cellular localization of both isoforms in ESCC cell lines. We expressed exogenous  
244 *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* protein by lentiviral transduction in two ESCC cell lines. We  
245 observed *ECM1a* protein expression in conditioned medium of ESCC cell lines, but  
246 surprisingly, no *ECM1b* protein expression was observed in conditioned medium  
247 (Figure 1F), suggesting that *ECM1b* is not secreted.

248

### 249 3.5. *ECM1b* expression level affects tumorigenesis in the mouse model and in vitro 250 cell proliferation and cell growth

251 *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* protein expression was restored by overexpression in four  
252 tumorigenic cell lines with downregulated endogenous *ECMI* expression, namely

253 KYSE30TSI/150/180TS/450, and cells were injected subcutaneously into the mice and  
254 compared with cells expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) as controls. *ECM1b*  
255 overexpression suppresses *in vivo* tumor formation, while *ECM1a* overexpression does  
256 not significantly alter tumor size in all the cell lines tested, regardless of the endogenous  
257 *ECM1* expression level. (Figure 2A). These data collectively showed that *ECM1b*, but  
258 not *ECM1a*, acts as a tumor suppressor in ESCC. Two cell lines, KYSE30TSI (K30)  
259 and KYSE180TS (K180), showing greater tumor-suppressive effects by *ECM1b*  
260 overexpression, were used for the following functional and mechanistic analyses.  
261 *In vitro* cell proliferation was examined. *ECM1b* overexpression causes proliferation  
262 suppression in KYSE30TSI and KYSE180TS cell lines (Figure 2B). We further  
263 examined cell growth by determining cell size through flow cytometry. *ECM1b*  
264 overexpression evidently reduces cell size in both cell lines (Figure 2C). These data  
265 showed the inhibitory effects of *ECM1b* on cell proliferation and cell growth in ESCC  
266 cell lines.

267

### 268 3.6. *MYC* protein expression and *MTORC1* signaling are downregulated in *ECM1b*- 269 overexpressing cells

270 Major signaling pathways that regulate cell proliferation and cell growth include the  
271 *MYC* signaling and *MTORC1* signaling pathways [21, 22]. Therefore, we examined  
272 *MYC* protein expression and phosphorylation status of p70S6K, one of the major  
273 downstream effectors of *MTORC1* signaling, in *ECM1b*-overexpressing ESCC cell  
274 lines. *MYC* protein expression and phosphorylated p70S6K both showed  
275 downregulation in the two cell lines tested (Figure 3A).

276 We further examined the public datasets for *ECM1* co-expression profiles and  
277 performed enrichment analysis with the molecular signatures database hallmark gene  
278 set collection [23]. *ECM1* expression was shown to significantly inversely correlate  
279 with three hallmark gene sets related to activated *MYC* and *MTORC1* signaling in  
280 ESCC, cervical SCC, HNSCC, and lung SCC (Supplementary Table 2). These data  
281 suggest a potential role of *ECM1b* in *MYC/MTORC1* signaling regulation across  
282 different SCCs.

283

### 284 3.7. *ECM1b* suppresses *MYC* protein translation

285 We further investigated the mechanism of *MYC* protein expression regulation by  
286 *ECM1b* overexpression. Quantitative real-time PCR showed that *MYC* RNA expression  
287 is not altered by *ECM1b* overexpression (Supplementary Figure 3). Ubiquitination-  
288 mediated protein degradation is a well-known mechanism of *MYC* protein expression  
289 regulation [24]. Surprisingly, inhibition of the ubiquitin-proteasome system by MG-132  
290 does not significantly mitigate *MYC* protein expression downregulation by *ECM1b*



291 (Figure 3B). However, MYC protein expression downregulation by *ECM1b* was  
292 significantly diminished, when the protein translation machinery was suppressed by  
293 puromycin treatment (Figure 3C). These data suggested that suppressed protein  
294 translation regulation significantly contributes to the downregulated MYC protein  
295 expression.

296

### 297 3.8. *ECM1b* suppresses general protein translation

298 MYC and MTORC1 signaling pathways have been implicated in cellular protein  
299 translation regulation [25, 26]. We then examined the regulation of general protein  
300 translation regulation by *ECM1b* using a live cell bioluminescence-based protein  
301 translation reporter [27]. We observed both reduced cap-dependent and internal  
302 ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated protein translations in *ECM1b*-overexpressing  
303 cells compared to GFP-overexpressing cells (Figure 3D).

304

### 305 3.9. *MTORC2* mediates regulation of MYC protein expression and *MTORC1* 306 *signaling by ECM1b*

307 The MYC and MTORC1 signaling pathways have been shown to interact with each  
308 other [25, 28]. In order to further dissect the regulation of these two signaling pathways  
309 by *ECM1b* overexpression, we first investigated the interaction between MYC and  
310 MTORC1 signaling pathways in ESCC. We interfered with MYC and MTORC1  
311 signaling pathways by clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats  
312 (CRISPR)-mediated functional knockout (fKO) and by rapamycin treatment,  
313 respectively. Interestingly, MYC fKO did not suppress phosphorylation of p70S6K  
314 (Figure 3E), while MTORC1 inhibition by rapamycin treatment did not downregulate  
315 MYC protein expression (Figure 3F) in both ESCC cell lines.

316 We observed suppressed AKT phosphorylation on serine 473 in *ECM1b*-  
317 overexpressing cells (Figure 3A), indicating there is a hypoactivated MTORC2, the  
318 kinase complex specifically phosphorylating AKT serine 473 [29]. AKT is a well-  
319 known critical upstream regulator of MTORC1 [30]. We then examined the  
320 phosphorylation status of PRAS40, one of the main AKT downstream players  
321 mediating the AKT/MTORC1 signaling. We observed suppressed phosphorylation of  
322 PRAS40 (Figure 3A), indicating that AKT/PRAS40/MTORC1 signaling is indeed  
323 involved in the regulation of MTORC1 in *ECM1b*-expressing cells. MTORC2 has also  
324 been shown to regulate MYC protein expression through histone deacetylase (HDAC)  
325 independent of AKT/MTORC1 [31]. Therefore, we hypothesized that *ECM1b*  
326 regulated MTORC2/HDAC/MYC and MTORC2/AKT/MTORC1 signaling in parallel  
327 in ESCC. To verify our hypothesis, we firstly applied CRISPR-fKO to target RICTOR,  
328 the key component specific to MTORC2 [29]. MTORC2 inactivation by RICTOR-fKO

329 leads to suppression of both MYC protein expression and p70S6K phosphorylation in  
330 ESCC cells (Figure 4A), demonstrating that MTORC2 acts upstream of both MYC and  
331 MTORC1 signaling. We further confirmed that HDAC inhibition by vorinostat also  
332 suppressed MYC protein expression in ESCC cells (Figure 4B). These data suggest a  
333 functional MTORC2/HDAC/MYC signaling axis in ESCC, possibly contributing to  
334 *ECM1b*-induced MYC downregulation.

335 To examine the contribution of the suppressed MTORC2/AKT/MTORC1 signaling to  
336 *ECM1b*-induced tumor suppression, we expressed a constitutively active AKT mutant  
337 (caAKT) in *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells and performed the nude mouse subcutaneous  
338 tumorigenicity assay. Consistent with our hypothesis, compensation of AKT/MTORC1  
339 rescued the down-regulation of p-p70S6K only, but not the down-regulation of MYC  
340 *in vitro* (Figure 4C), and partially rescued *in vivo* tumor growth in both cell lines tested  
341 (Figure 4D), suggesting that inhibitions of other signaling pathways, likely the  
342 MTORC2/HDAC/MYC signaling also contribute to tumor suppression by *ECM1b*.

343

### 344 3.10. ER-localized *ECM1b* modulates MTORC2 activation by regulating MTORC2- 345 ribosome association

346 We analyzed the detailed molecular mechanisms of MTORC2 regulation by *ECM1b* in  
347 ESCC. Since *ECM1b* was shown to be a cellular protein (Figure 1E), we first examined  
348 the subcellular localization of *ECM1b* by subcellular fractionation. *ECM1b* was found  
349 to be mainly localized in the membranous fraction, together with markers for ER and  
350 mitochondria (Figure 5A). We then performed immunofluorescence staining followed  
351 by confocal microscopy to further localize the *ECM1b* protein. The *ECM1b* protein co-  
352 localized with fluorescent signals of an ER-interacting protein concanavalin A in fixed  
353 ESCC cells (Figure 5B and C).

354 MTORC2 activation requires association with ribosomes in ER [18]. Given the  
355 evidence that *ECM1b* localizes in the ER, we hypothesized that the *ECM1b* regulates  
356 MTORC2/ribosome association. Ribosome pull-down [18] was performed in *ECM1b*-  
357 overexpressing cells, as compared to GFP-overexpressing cells. We found that the  
358 *ECM1b* protein expression reduces ribosome-associated RICTOR expression (Figure  
359 5D). These data suggested that *ECM1b* regulates activation of MTORC2 through  
360 modulating MTORC2-ribosome association in ER.

361

### 362 3.11. *ECM1b* regulates general protein translation mediated through MTORC2

363 We showed that *ECM1b* suppressed general protein translation in ESCC cell lines  
364 (Figure 3D). We further examined whether MTORC2 mediated such suppression. We  
365 first showed that MTORC2 inactivation by RICTOR-fKO significantly suppressed both  
366 cap-dependent and IRES-mediated protein translation (Figure 5E and F). Further

367 overexpression of *ECM1b* in RICTOR-fKO cells did not enhance protein translation  
368 suppression, suggesting that *ECM1b*-induced protein translation suppression was  
369 mediated through MTORC2 regulation.

370

### 371 3.12. *ECM1b* modulates chemosensitivity

372 The mTOR signaling pathway confers chemoresistance in cancer [32]. Given the  
373 evidence that *ECM1b* regulates both MTORC2/MTORC1, we hypothesized that  
374 *ECM1b* overexpression enhances chemosensitivity in ESCC. We applied cisplatin  
375 treatment, one of the most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents in ESCC disease  
376 management, in *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells and determined cell viability. Consistent  
377 with our hypothesis, *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells showed decreased viability after  
378 cisplatin treatment (Figure 6A). We also investigated whether MTORC2 mediates  
379 regulation of chemosensitivity by *ECM1b*. We showed that MTORC2 inactivation by  
380 RICTOR-fKO enhanced chemosensitivity to cisplatin treatment, while overexpression  
381 of *ECM1b* in RICTOR-fKO cells did not further enhance chemosensitivity. These data  
382 suggested that *ECM1b* enhances chemosensitivity mediated by MTORC2.

383

384

## 385 4. Discussion

386 This study provides evidence of a tumor-suppressive role of *ECM1* in ESCC. We  
387 performed transcriptomic profiling on a small number of ESCC tumors. *ECM1* was  
388 identified as a top downregulated gene. We verified that *ECM1* RNA expression is  
389 significantly downregulated in ESCC, as well as in several other SCCs. Two *ECM1*  
390 variants are expressed in esophageal tissues and ESCC; both were significantly  
391 downregulated in ESCC tumor samples and cell lines. We showed that only the cellular  
392 *ECM1b*, but not the secreted *ECM1a*, confers tumor suppression in our cell line-based  
393 nude mouse tumorigenicity assay. Interestingly, *ECM1a* has been extensively studied  
394 in breast, liver, and thyroid cancers for its oncogenic role[6-8, 33, 34]. *ECM1b* has not  
395 been functionally characterized before. Our data now suggests a novel and highly  
396 tissue-specific tumor suppressive role of *ECM1* in ESCC.

397

398 Across a panel of normal human tissues, the esophagus shows the top *ECM1* RNA  
399 expression levels[35, 36] (Supplementary Figure 5). In skin development, *ECM1b*  
400 expression is induced by differentiation and persists in differentiated keratinocytes [37].  
401 This pattern was also observed in our analysis (Figure 1A; GSE29001), in which normal  
402 differentiated cells showed the top *ECM1* expression followed by normal basal cells,  
403 while cancer cells exhibited the lowest *ECM1* expression. Whether ESCC arises from  
404 undifferentiated basal cells or differentiated suprabasal cells of the esophagus remains

405 unresolved. Generally, suppression of *ECM1* expression may be involved in de-  
406 differentiation and offer advantages in cancer development.

407

408 We showed that MYC protein expression and MTORC1/p70S6K signaling are  
409 downregulated in *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells, which is mediated by MTORC2/AKT  
410 signaling (Figure 6B). Interestingly, we also found that *ECM1* RNA expression is  
411 significantly inversely correlated with activated MYC and MTORC1 signaling  
412 signatures in ESCC, HNSCC, lung SCC, and cervical SCC. Both MYC and  
413 AKT/MTORC1 signaling pathways are well-characterized critical oncogenic players in  
414 ESCC [38], HNSCC [39], lung SCC [40], and cervical SCC [41]. These data imply a  
415 general tumor-suppressive role of *ECM1b* in SCCs. Further studies are needed to verify  
416 and determine the role of *ECM1b* in other SCCs.

417

418 *ECM1b* regulates activation of MTORC2 by modulating MTORC2/ribosome  
419 association in ER. *ECM1b* localizes to the ER, as demonstrated by subcellular  
420 fractionation and confocal microscopic co-localization analysis. *ECM1b* protein  
421 expression cannot be detected in conditioned medium, indicating it is retained in ER.  
422 *ECM1b* protein does not possess canonical ER localization peptides [42], suggesting  
423 that protein-protein interactions may be involved in *ECM1b* retention in ER.  
424 Interestingly, *ECM1a* protein, possessing the same N- and C-termini as *ECM1b* (Figure  
425 1E), does not specifically localize to ER (Supplementary Figure 6), further supporting  
426 the key role of protein-protein interactions in ER localization. Detailed mechanism of  
427 ER-localization of *ECM1b* requires further investigation. Ribosome pulldown also  
428 showed that RICTOR/ribosome interaction is reduced in *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells.  
429 MTORC2/ribosome association is a critical step in MTORC2 activation [18]. We  
430 provide evidence that *ECM1b* plays a role in modulating such association and activation  
431 of MTORC2. Whether a cellular, ER-targeted *ECM1a* construct confers similar tumor  
432 suppressive role as *ECM1b* also requires further detailed functional and molecular  
433 analyses.

434

435 Currently chemoradiotherapy remains the only treatment scheme besides surgery for  
436 ESCC patients worldwide [43]. Therefore, prognostic biomarkers for  
437 chemoradiotherapy provide critical clinical information for disease management. We  
438 showed that *ECM1b* expression sensitizes ESCC cells to cisplatin, which is commonly  
439 used in ESCC patient management. Further studies are needed to examine the  
440 prognostic role of *ECM1b* protein expression in ESCC patient samples by  
441 immunohistochemical staining. The lack of molecular targeted therapy in ESCC  
442 treatment emphasizes the need for identification and verification of novel suitable drug

443 targets. Given the evidence that MTORC2 demonstrates critical roles in  
444 MYC/MTORC1 regulation, general protein translation regulation, and  
445 chemosensitivity, it serves as a suitable drug target in ESCC. Several dual-  
446 MTORC1/MTORC2 inhibitors have been identified and tested [44-46]. In ESCC,  
447 targeting MTORC1/MTORC2 shows promising results in preclinical studies [47-49].  
448 Consistent with a recent study focusing on the role of RICTOR in ESCC [50], the  
449 present study further provides novel data supporting anti-RICTOR/MTORC2 in ESCC  
450 treatment.

451

452 This study shows that ER-localized ECM1b is a tumor suppressor in ESCC and  
453 provides new insights into the regulation of MYC and MTORC1 signaling pathways  
454 by MTORC2, as well as showing the potential usefulness of *ECM1* in clinical  
455 management of ESCC.

456

457

#### 458 **Acknowledgements**

459 We acknowledge the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong for funding support (HKU  
460 774413M to MLL). We acknowledge DSMZ (German Collection of Microorganisms  
461 and Cell Culture) for the KYSE cell lines. We thank Prof. Gopesh Srivastava and Prof.  
462 George Tsao for cell lines. We acknowledge the University of Hong Kong Faculty of  
463 Medicine Core Facility for providing facilities for flow cytometry, confocal microscopy  
464 imaging, and live-cell bioluminescence imaging.

465 Figure 1. *ECM1* expression and clinical significance in SCCs. (A) *ECM1* RNA  
466 expression is significantly downregulated in ESCC. (B) *ECM1* RNA expression is  
467 significantly downregulated in HNSCC, cervical cancer, and OSCC. (C) RNA  
468 sequencing analysis revealed differential expression of the three *ECM1* variants in  
469 esophageal tissues and ESCC. (D) *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* RNA expression is  
470 downregulated in a panel of ESCC paired normal/tumor tissue samples, and a panel of  
471 ESCC cell lines using immortalized human esophageal epithelial cell line NE1 as  
472 reference. (E) *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* differ in a single internal domain. The shaded area  
473 indicates *ECM1a*-specific region. (F) *ECM1a* and *ECM1b* protein expression exhibit  
474 distinct secreted/cellular localization. NB: Normal basal epithelial cells; ND: normal  
475 differentiated epithelial cells; \*:  $p$ -value < 0.01; \*\*:  $p$ -value < 0.001; \*\*\*:  $p$ -value <  
476 0.0001; #: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.1; ###: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.001. Datasets SRP008496  
477 and GSE3524 were analyzed by independent samples  $t$ -test; dataset GSE9750 was  
478 analyzed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test due to the skewed distribution of the data; other  
479 datasets were analyzed by paired samples  $t$ -test. CL: cell lysate; CM: conditioned  
480 medium.  $\alpha$ : corresponding bands for *ECM1a*;  $\beta$ : corresponding bands for *ECM1b*. The  
481 difference of *ECM1a* protein migration on SDS-PAGE gel between CL and CM is likely  
482 due to N-glycosylation [20].

483

484 Figure 2. *ECM1* protein expression regulates *in vivo* tumor growth and *in vitro* cell  
485 proliferation and cell growth. (A) *ECM1b* expression suppresses subcutaneous  
486 xenograft tumor growth in four ESCC cell lines, while *ECM1a* expression does not  
487 significantly alter tumor growth. Representative xenograft tumor images are shown.  
488 Scale bar = 5mm. (B) *ECM1b* expression suppresses *in vitro* proliferation in two ESCC  
489 cell lines. (C) Representative images showing that *ECM1b* expression reduces *in vitro*  
490 cell size in two ESCC cell lines. Statistical significance was determined by comparing  
491 the data from different groups of the last time point. n.s.: not statistically significant; #:  
492 Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.1; ###: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.001; \*:  $p$ -value < 0.05; \*\*:  $p$ -value  
493 < 0.01.

494

495 Figure 3. *MYC* protein expression and *MTORC1* signaling are downregulated in  
496 *ECM1b*-overexpressing cells. (A) Western blotting shows suppressed *MYC* protein  
497 expression, phosphorylation of p70S6K threonine 389, phosphorylation of *AKT* serine  
498 473, and phosphorylation of *PRAS40* threonine 246 in *ECM1b*-expressing cells. (B)  
499 Western blotting shows that *MG-132* treatment did not diminish suppression of *MYC*  
500 protein expression by *ECM1b*. Cells were treated with 20uM *MG-132* for 3 hours  
501 before cell lysate collections. (C) Western blotting shows that puromycin treatment  
502 diminished suppression of *MYC* protein expression by *ECM1b*. Cells were treated with

503 50ug/mL puromycin for 1 hour before cell lysate collections. (D) *In vitro* live-cell  
504 bioluminescence-based protein translation assay showed reduced cap-dependent (Cap)  
505 and IRES-mediated (IRES) protein translations in ECM1b-overexpressing cells  
506 compared to control cells. (E) Western blotting reveals that MYC fKO did not suppress  
507 phosphorylation of p70S6K. (F) Western blotting reveals that MTORC1 inhibition by  
508 rapamycin did not suppress MYC protein expression. Cells were treated with 10nM  
509 rapamycin for 48 hours before cell lysate collections. G:GFP control; Eb: ECM1b; p-:  
510 phosphorylated; t-: total form; D: DMSO control; MG: MG-132; Puro: puromycin; \*\*:  
511  $p$ -value < 0.05; \*\*\*:  $p$ -value < 0.01; C: scrambled sgRNA control; sg1: MYC sgRNA1;  
512 sg2: MYC sgRNA2; RA: rapamycin. Vinculin was used as a loading control.

513

514 Figure 4. MTORC2-mediated regulations of MYC protein expression and MTORC1  
515 signaling by ECM1b in ESCC. (A) Western blotting shows suppressed MYC protein  
516 expression, phosphorylation of p70S6K, and phosphorylation of AKT serine 473 in  
517 RICTOR-fKO cells. (B) Western blotting shows suppressed MYC protein expression  
518 by HDAC inhibition. Cells were treated with 20uM vorinostat for 3 hours before cell  
519 lysate collections. (C) Western blotting shows that expression of caAKT rescued the  
520 down-regulation of p-p70S6K only, but not the down-regulation of MYC protein  
521 expression. (D) Expression of caAKT partially rescued ECM1b-induced subcutaneous  
522 tumor suppression. Representative xenograft tumor images are shown. Scale bar = 5mm.  
523 C: scrambled sgRNA control; sg1: RICTOR sgRNA1; D: DMSO control; vori:  
524 vorinostat; #: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.1; ##: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.05; n.s.: not statistically  
525 significant. Vinculin was used as a loading control.

526

527 Figure 5. ER-localized ECM1b regulates MTORC2-ribosome association. (A)  
528 Subcellular fractionation followed by Western blotting shows that ECM1b localized in  
529 the membranous fraction. Vinculin: cytosolic marker; ERp72: ER marker; COX IV:  
530 mitochondria marker; p84: nuclear marker. (B and C) Immunofluorescence confocal  
531 analysis showed ECM1b co-localized with fluorescence signals of ER-specific  
532 AlexaFluor-conjugated concanavalin A in ECM1b-overexpressing cells. Correlation  
533 coefficient (R) between ECM1b and concanavalin A signals are shown. Corresponding  
534 representative confocal fluorescence images are shown in Supplementary Figure 4. (D)  
535 Ribosome-pulldown followed by Western blotting showed reduced RICTOR protein  
536 expression in ribosome pulldown eluates in ECM1b-overexpressing cells, as compared  
537 to GFP-overexpressing cells. RPL26 was used a loading control for ribosome. (E and  
538 F) *In vitro* protein translation assay showed that RICTOR fKO significantly suppressed  
539 both cap-dependent and IRES-mediated protein translations. Overexpression of  
540 ECM1b in RICTOR-fKO cells did not further enhance protein translation suppression.

541 The protein translation index profiles of GFP and ECM1b in K180 cells were duplicated  
542 from Figure 3D, as these data were generated from the same batches of samples. G:  
543 GFP control; Eb: ECM1b; ##: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.01; ###: Adjusted  $p$ -value <  
544 0.001;####: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.0001; n.s.: not statistically significant.

545

546 Figure 6. ECM1b modulated chemosensitivity in ESCC. (A). *In vitro* cisplatin  
547 cytotoxicity assay showed that ECM1b overexpression and RICTOR-fKO both  
548 enhanced chemosensitivity in two ESCC cell lines tested. ECM1b-overexpression and  
549 RICTOR-fKO did not show synergistic effects on chemosensitivity modulation. (B)  
550 Proposed model illustrating the mechanism of tumor suppression of *ECM1b* in ESCC.  
551 #: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.1; ##: Adjusted  $p$ -value < 0.01; n.s.: not statistically significant.



552 **References**

- 553 [1] F. Bray, J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R.L. Siegel, L.A. Torre, A. Jemal, Global cancer  
554 statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36  
555 cancers in 185 countries, *CA Cancer J Clin*, 68 (2018) 394-424.
- 556 [2] H.Z. Zhang, G.F. Jin, H.B. Shen, Epidemiologic differences in esophageal cancer  
557 between Asian and Western populations, *Chin J Cancer*, 31 (2012) 281-286.
- 558 [3] M. Mongiat, J. Fu, R. Oldershaw, R. Greenhalgh, A.M. Gown, R.V. Iozzo, Perlecan  
559 protein core interacts with extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1), a glycoprotein  
560 involved in bone formation and angiogenesis, *J Biol Chem*, 278 (2003) 17491-17499.
- 561 [4] D. Gao, X. Ma, P. Lian, S. Zhou, J. Chen, Pathogenetic mechanism of lipoid  
562 proteinosis caused by mutation of the extracellular matrix protein 1 gene, *Mol Med Rep*,  
563 17 (2018) 8087-8090.
- 564 [5] L. He, W. Gu, M. Wang, X. Chang, X. Sun, Y. Zhang, X. Lin, C. Yan, W. Fan, P. Su,  
565 Y. Wang, C. Yi, G. Lin, L. Li, Y. Jiang, J. Lu, C. Dong, H. Wang, B. Sun, Extracellular  
566 matrix protein 1 promotes follicular helper T cell differentiation and antibody  
567 production, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 115 (2018) 8621-8626.
- 568 [6] H. Chen, W. Jia, J. Li, ECM1 promotes migration and invasion of hepatocellular  
569 carcinoma by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition, *World J Surg Oncol*, 14  
570 (2016) 195.
- 571 [7] K.M. Lee, K. Nam, S. Oh, J. Lim, R.K. Kim, D. Shim, J.H. Choi, S.J. Lee, J.H. Yu,  
572 J.W. Lee, S.H. Ahn, I. Shin, ECM1 regulates tumor metastasis and CSC-like property  
573 through stabilization of beta-catenin, *Oncogene*, 34 (2015) 6055-6065.
- 574 [8] Z. Han, J. Ni, P. Smits, C.B. Underhill, B. Xie, Y. Chen, N. Liu, P. Tylzanowski, D.  
575 Parmelee, P. Feng, I. Ding, F. Gao, R. Gentz, D. Huylebroeck, J. Merregaert, L. Zhang,  
576 Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) has angiogenic properties and is expressed by  
577 breast tumor cells, *FASEB J*, 15 (2001) 988-994.
- 578 [9] A.C. Leung, V.C. Wong, L.C. Yang, P.L. Chan, Y. Daigo, Y. Nakamura, R.Z. Qi, L.D.  
579 Miller, E.T. Liu, L.D. Wang, J.L. Li, S. Law, S.W. Tsao, M.L. Lung, Frequent decreased  
580 expression of candidate tumor suppressor gene, DEC1, and its anchorage-independent  
581 growth properties and impact on global gene expression in esophageal carcinoma, *Int J*  
582 *Cancer*, 122 (2008) 587-594.
- 583 [10] D. Kim, G. Pertea, C. Trapnell, H. Pimentel, R. Kelley, S.L. Salzberg, TopHat2:  
584 accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene  
585 fusions, *Genome Biol*, 14 (2013) R36.
- 586 [11] C. Trapnell, A. Roberts, L. Goff, G. Pertea, D. Kim, D.R. Kelley, H. Pimentel, S.L.  
587 Salzberg, J.L. Rinn, L. Pachter, Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of  
588 RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks, *Nat Protoc*, 7 (2012) 562-578.
- 589 [12] Y. Katz, E.T. Wang, E.M. Airoidi, C.B. Burge, Analysis and design of RNA

590 sequencing experiments for identifying isoform regulation, *Nat Methods*, 7 (2010)  
591 1009-1015.

592 [13] H. Thorvaldsdottir, J.T. Robinson, J.P. Mesirov, Integrative Genomics Viewer  
593 (IGV): high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration, *Brief Bioinform*,  
594 14 (2013) 178-192.

595 [14] V.Z. Yu, V.C. Wong, W. Dai, J.M. Ko, A.K. Lam, K.W. Chan, R.S. Samant, H.L.  
596 Lung, W.H. Shuen, S. Law, Y.P. Chan, N.P. Lee, D.K. Tong, T.T. Law, V.H. Lee, M.L.  
597 Lung, Nuclear Localization of DNAJB6 Is Associated With Survival of Patients With  
598 Esophageal Cancer and Reduces AKT Signaling and Proliferation of Cancer Cells,  
599 *Gastroenterology*, 149 (2015) 1825-1836 e1825.

600 [15] W.H. Shuen, R. Kan, Z. Yu, H.L. Lung, M.L. Lung, Novel lentiviral-inducible  
601 transgene expression systems and versatile single-plasmid reporters for in vitro and in  
602 vivo cancer biology studies, *Cancer Gene Ther*, 22 (2015) 207-214.

603 [16] N.A. Kearns, R.M. Genga, M.S. Enuameh, M. Garber, S.A. Wolfe, R. Maehr, Cas9  
604 effector-mediated regulation of transcription and differentiation in human pluripotent  
605 stem cells, *Development*, 141 (2014) 219-223.

606 [17] S.H. Chan, J.M. Yee Ko, K.W. Chan, Y.P. Chan, Q. Tao, M. Hyytiainen, J. Keski-  
607 Oja, S. Law, G. Srivastava, J. Tang, S.W. Tsao, H. Chen, E.J. Stanbridge, M.L. Lung,  
608 The ECM protein LTBP-2 is a suppressor of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma  
609 tumor formation but higher tumor expression associates with poor patient outcome, *Int*  
610 *J Cancer*, 129 (2011) 565-573.

611 [18] V. Zinzalla, D. Stracka, W. Oppliger, M.N. Hall, Activation of mTORC2 by  
612 association with the ribosome, *Cell*, 144 (2011) 757-768.

613 [19] T.C.G.A.R. Network, Integrated genomic characterization of oesophageal  
614 carcinoma, *Nature*, 541 (2017) 169-175.

615 [20] S. Uematsu, Y. Goto, T. Suzuki, Y. Sasazawa, N. Dohmae, S. Simizu, N-  
616 Glycosylation of extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) regulates its secretion, which  
617 is unrelated to lipid proteinosis, *FEBS Open Bio*, 4 (2014) 879-885.

618 [21] A.C. Lloyd, The regulation of cell size, *Cell*, 154 (2013) 1194-1205.

619 [22] A.R. Tee, The Target of Rapamycin and Mechanisms of Cell Growth, *Int J Mol*  
620 *Sci*, 19 (2018).

621 [23] A. Liberzon, C. Birger, H. Thorvaldsdottir, M. Ghandi, J.P. Mesirov, P. Tamayo,  
622 The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection, *Cell Syst*,  
623 1 (2015) 417-425.

624 [24] A.S. Farrell, R.C. Sears, MYC degradation, *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*, 4  
625 (2014).

626 [25] M. Pourdehnad, M.L. Truitt, I.N. Siddiqi, G.S. Ducker, K.M. Shokat, D. Ruggero,  
627 Myc and mTOR converge on a common node in protein synthesis control that confers

628 synthetic lethality in Myc-driven cancers, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 110 (2013) 11988-  
629 11993.

630 [26] X. Wang, C.G. Proud, The mTOR pathway in the control of protein synthesis,  
631 *Physiology (Bethesda)*, 21 (2006) 362-369.

632 [27] F. Poulin, A.C. Gingras, H. Olsen, S. Chevalier, N. Sonenberg, 4E-BP3, a new  
633 member of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein family, *J Biol Chem*, 273  
634 (1998) 14002-14007.

635 [28] M.C. Mendoza, E.E. Er, J. Blenis, The Ras-ERK and PI3K-mTOR pathways:  
636 cross-talk and compensation, *Trends Biochem Sci*, 36 (2011) 320-328.

637 [29] D.D. Sarbassov, D.A. Guertin, S.M. Ali, D.M. Sabatini, Phosphorylation and  
638 regulation of Akt/PKB by the rictor-mTOR complex, *Science*, 307 (2005) 1098-1101.

639 [30] B.D. Manning, L.C. Cantley, AKT/PKB signaling: navigating downstream, *Cell*,  
640 129 (2007) 1261-1274.

641 [31] K. Masui, W.K. Cavenee, P.S. Mischel, mTORC2 in the center of cancer metabolic  
642 reprogramming, *Trends Endocrinol Metab*, 25 (2014) 364-373.

643 [32] B.H. Jiang, L.Z. Liu, Role of mTOR in anticancer drug resistance: perspectives for  
644 improved drug treatment, *Drug Resist Updat*, 11 (2008) 63-76.

645 [33] K.M. Lee, K. Nam, S. Oh, J. Lim, Y.P. Kim, J.W. Lee, J.H. Yu, S.H. Ahn, S.B. Kim,  
646 D.Y. Noh, T. Lee, I. Shin, Extracellular matrix protein 1 regulates cell proliferation and  
647 trastuzumab resistance through activation of epidermal growth factor signaling, *Breast*  
648 *Cancer Res*, 16 (2014) 479.

649 [34] E. Kebebew, M. Peng, E. Reiff, Q.Y. Duh, O.H. Clark, A. McMillan, ECM1 and  
650 Tmprss4 are diagnostic markers of malignant thyroid neoplasms and improve the  
651 accuracy of fine needle aspiration biopsy, *Ann Surg*, 242 (2005) 353-361; discussion  
652 361-353.

653 [35] M. Uhlen, C. Zhang, S. Lee, E. Sjostedt, L. Fagerberg, G. Bidkhor, R. Benfeitas,  
654 M. Arif, Z. Liu, F. Edfors, K. Sanli, K. von Feilitzen, P. Oksvold, E. Lundberg, S. Hober,  
655 P. Nilsson, J. Mattsson, J.M. Schwenk, H. Brunnstrom, B. Glimelius, T. Sjoblom, P.H.  
656 Edqvist, D. Djureinovic, P. Micke, C. Lindskog, A. Mardinoglu, F. Ponten, A pathology  
657 atlas of the human cancer transcriptome, *Science*, 357 (2017).

658 [36] G.T. Consortium, The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project, *Nat Genet*, 45  
659 (2013) 580-585.

660 [37] P. Smits, Y. Poumay, M. Karperien, P. Tylzanowski, J. Wauters, D. Huylebroeck,  
661 M. Ponc, J. Merregaert, Differentiation-dependent alternative splicing and expression  
662 of the extracellular matrix protein 1 gene in human keratinocytes, *J Invest Dermatol*,  
663 114 (2000) 718-724.

664 [38] D.C. Lin, J.J. Hao, Y. Nagata, L. Xu, L. Shang, X. Meng, Y. Sato, Y. Okuno, A.M.  
665 Varela, L.W. Ding, M. Garg, L.Z. Liu, H. Yang, D. Yin, Z.Z. Shi, Y.Y. Jiang, W.Y. Gu,

666 T. Gong, Y. Zhang, X. Xu, O. Kalid, S. Shacham, S. Ogawa, M.R. Wang, H.P. Koeffler,  
667 Genomic and molecular characterization of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, *Nat*  
668 *Genet*, 46 (2014) 467-473.

669 [39] N. Cancer Genome Atlas, Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and  
670 neck squamous cell carcinomas, *Nature*, 517 (2015) 576-582.

671 [40] N. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, Comprehensive genomic characterization of  
672 squamous cell lung cancers, *Nature*, 489 (2012) 519-525.

673 [41] N. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, M. Albert Einstein College of, S. Analytical  
674 Biological, H. Barretos Cancer, M. Baylor College of, H. Beckman Research Institute  
675 of City of, A. Buck Institute for Research on, C. Canada's Michael Smith Genome  
676 Sciences, S. Harvard Medical, F.G.C.C. Helen, S. Research Institute at Christiana Care  
677 Health, B. HudsonAlpha Institute for, L.L.C. Ilsbio, M. Indiana University School of,  
678 V. Institute of Human, B. Institute for Systems, C. International Genomics, B. Leidos,  
679 H. Massachusetts General, U. McDonnell Genome Institute at Washington, W. Medical  
680 College of, C. Medical University of South, C. Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer, C.  
681 Montefiore Medical, NantOmics, I. National Cancer, A.N. National Hospital, I.  
682 National Human Genome Research, S. National Institute of Environmental Health, D.  
683 National Institute on, D. Other Communication, L.H.S.C. Ontario Tumour Bank,  
684 O.I.f.C.R. Ontario Tumour Bank, T.O.H. Ontario Tumour Bank, H. Oregon, U. Science,  
685 C.-S.M.C. Samuel Oschin Comprehensive Cancer Institute, S.R.A. International, S. St  
686 Joseph's Candler Health, Eli, L.B.I.o.M.I.o.T. Edythe, U. Harvard, H. Research Institute  
687 at Nationwide Children's, U. Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns  
688 Hopkins, B. University of, M.D.A.C.C. University of Texas, H. University of Abuja  
689 Teaching, B. University of Alabama at, I. University of California, C. University of  
690 California Santa, C. University of Kansas Medical, L. University of, C. University of  
691 New Mexico Health Sciences, H. University of North Carolina at Chapel, C. University  
692 of Oklahoma Health Sciences, P. University of, R.a.P.M.S. University of Sao Paulo, C.  
693 University of Southern, W. University of, M. University of Wisconsin School of, H.  
694 Public, I. Van Andel Research, L. Washington University in St, Integrated genomic and  
695 molecular characterization of cervical cancer, *Nature*, 543 (2017) 378-384.

696 [42] M. Stornaiuolo, L.V. Lotti, N. Borgese, M.R. Torrisi, G. Mottola, G. Martire, S.  
697 Bonatti, KDEL and KKXX retrieval signals appended to the same reporter protein  
698 determine different trafficking between endoplasmic reticulum, intermediate  
699 compartment, and Golgi complex, *Mol Biol Cell*, 14 (2003) 889-902.

700 [43] D.H. Ilson, R. van Hillegersberg, Management of Patients With Adenocarcinoma  
701 or Squamous Cancer of the Esophagus, *Gastroenterology*, 154 (2018) 437-451.

702 [44] J.M. Garcia-Martinez, J. Moran, R.G. Clarke, A. Gray, S.C. Cosulich, C.M.  
703 Chresta, D.R. Alessi, Ku-0063794 is a specific inhibitor of the mammalian target of

704 rapamycin (mTOR), *Biochem J*, 421 (2009) 29-42.  
705 [45] M.E. Feldman, B. Apsel, A. Uotila, R. Loewith, Z.A. Knight, D. Ruggero, K.M.  
706 Shokat, Active-site inhibitors of mTOR target rapamycin-resistant outputs of mTORC1  
707 and mTORC2, *PLoS Biol*, 7 (2009) e38.  
708 [46] C.C. Thoreen, S.A. Kang, J.W. Chang, Q. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Gao, L.J. Reichling, T.  
709 Sim, D.M. Sabatini, N.S. Gray, An ATP-competitive mammalian target of rapamycin  
710 inhibitor reveals rapamycin-resistant functions of mTORC1, *J Biol Chem*, 284 (2009)  
711 8023-8032.  
712 [47] G. Hou, S. Yang, Y. Zhou, C. Wang, W. Zhao, Z. Lu, Targeted inhibition of mTOR  
713 signaling improves sensitivity of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells to cisplatin,  
714 *J Immunol Res*, 2014 (2014) 845763.  
715 [48] T. Nishikawa, M. Takaoka, T. Ohara, Y. Tomono, H. Hao, X. Bao, T. Fukazawa, Z.  
716 Wang, K. Sakurama, Y. Fujiwara, T. Motoki, Y. Shirakawa, T. Yamatsuji, N. Tanaka, T.  
717 Fujiwara, Y. Naomoto, Antiproliferative effect of a novel mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus  
718 contributes to the prolonged survival of orthotopic esophageal cancer-bearing mice,  
719 *Cancer Biol Ther*, 14 (2013) 230-236.  
720 [49] Y. Huang, Q. Xi, Y. Chen, J. Wang, P. Peng, S. Xia, S. Yu, A dual mTORC1 and  
721 mTORC2 inhibitor shows antitumor activity in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma  
722 cells and sensitizes them to cisplatin, *Anticancer Drugs*, 24 (2013) 889-898.  
723 [50] G. Hou, Q. Zhao, M. Zhang, T. Fan, M. Liu, X. Shi, Y. Ren, Y. Wang, J. Zhou, Z.  
724 Lu, Down-regulation of Rictor enhances cell sensitivity to PI3K inhibitor LY294002  
725 by blocking mTORC2-mediated phosphorylation of Akt/PRAS40 in esophageal  
726 squamous cell carcinoma, *Biomed Pharmacother*, 106 (2018) 1348-1356.