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Riparian plant litter quality increases with latitude


Plant litter represents a major basal resource in streams, where its decomposition is partly regulated by litter traits. Litter-trait variation may determine the latitudinal gradient in decomposition in streams, which is mainly microbial in the tropics and detritivore-mediated at high latitudes. However, this hypothesis remains untested, as we lack information on large-scale trait variation for riparian litter. Variation cannot easily be inferred from existing leaf-trait databases, since nutrient resorption can cause traits of litter and green leaves to diverge. Here we present the first global-scale assessment of riparian litter quality by determining latitudinal variation (spanning 107°) in litter traits (nutrient...
About 90% of the plant material produced annually in terrestrial ecosystems escapes herbivory and enters the pool of dead organic matter. Some of this plant litter is stored in soils and sediments over long periods, but much of it is decomposed, often providing a key basal resource for food webs in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Ultimately, the fate of this organic matter influences the global carbon cycle through the release or sequestration of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and other greenhouse gases. Stream ecosystems contribute significantly to CO$_2$ release, with a substantial proportion of the emitted CO$_2$ being derived from in-stream biological processes and detritivores lead to differences in the amount of CO$_2$ produced in different regions of the planet, and understanding this spatial variation may help forecast future emissions.

Large-scale patterns of detritivore abundance and diversity are probably important determinants of the latitudinal decomposition gradient: litter-consuming detritivores are scarcer and less diverse in many tropical areas, possibly as a result of elevated temperatures that are unfavourable to detritivores (many of which are cool-adapted taxa) and the reduced dispersal abilities of tropical detritivores. However, it has also been proposed that differences in decomposition rate across latitudes are influenced by changes in the characteristics of riparian plant litter. There is much evidence that litter traits affect decomposition rates in streams: in particular, decomposition is reduced when concentrations of lignin or tannins are high or when litter is particularly tough and is often reported to be enhanced when litter nutrient concentrations are high. Similar relationships have been found for litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems.

It is unknown, however, whether riparian litter traits change systematically along latitudinal gradients, and comparative information for terrestrial plant litter is also scarce at the global scale. This contrasts with the large number of comparative studies on green leaves, which have been mostly motivated by an interest in plant-herbivore interactions, following Dobzhansky. Green leaves are typically poorer in nutrients in the tropics than at higher latitudes, and possibly better defended against herbivory (but see ref. 28). However, the very few studies that have explored litter traits globally have found that traits of litter can differ from those of green leaves, partly because of differences in nutrient resorption efficiency across latitudes. This highlights the importance of quantifying trait variation of litter, rather than assuming that patterns for green leaves also pertain to litter. The most comprehensive study of litter trait variation, which used a dataset of 638 plant species across six biomes, showed that litter from tropical forests had higher nitrogen (N) but lower phosphorus (P) than litter from other biomes. The other two existing global studies examined a wider range of traits, but included a limited number of species. This includes a study of 16 plant species from 4 biomes reporting higher lignin and hemicellulose concentrations in tropical litter, higher N concentration in temperate litter, higher concentrations of phenols and tannins in Mediterranean litter, and higher concentrations of P and micronutrients such as magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) in subarctic litter. The other study, involving a total of 20 plant species from 5 biomes, found that tropical litter was tougher, had lower specific leaf area (SLA) and lower concentrations of Mg and Ca than litter from other biomes.

Here we present the first comprehensive study assessing riparian litter quality at the global scale, encompassing 151 riparian plant species (Supplementary Table S1) from 24 sites on six continents, spanning 107° of latitude and a wide climatic gradient (Supplementary Table S2), and multiple litter traits relevant for decomposition. We explored latitudinal variation in the concentration of major nutrients (N, P and their ratio, and Mg), physical defences (SLA, used as an inverse proxy for toughness) and chemical defences (concentration of condensed tannins), and the influence of climatic factors and soil characteristics in determining patterns of variation. We also explored how traits might be linked in ‘trait syndromes’ (for example, litter with high nutrient concentrations might also be associated with low concentrations of tannins and low toughness, resulting in overall high litter quality; or vice versa), and whether such syndromes might be determined by environmental drivers or species’ phylogenetic relatedness. We predicted that (i) litter trait variation would be closely related to gradients in precipitation and temperature (and hence latitude), with litter quality decreasing towards the equator, (ii) significant variation would also occur within climatic regions due to local climatic gradients (e.g., in relation to altitude and soil characteristics), and (iii) traits would be linked in high- or low-quality syndromes mostly determined by environmental drivers, but with an influence of phylogeny also apparent.

Results
The two first axes of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) explained 47.1% of the variance in litter traits and environmental variables (Fig. 1). The first axis (31.9%) was mostly related to latitude and temperature [both mean annual temperature (MAT) and temperature seasonality (TSl)], with the tropical and non-tropical samples...
almost completely separated; the litter traits related to this axis were the N:P ratio (which increased with MAT and decreased with latitude and TS) and SLA, which showed the opposite pattern. The second axis (15.2%) was mostly related to altitude, precipitation of the driest quarter (PDQ), and two soil characteristics [pH and organic content (OC)]; the litter traits related to this axis were N and P concentrations and SLA (all inversely related to altitude and aridity). Tannins showed weak relationships with both axes, increasing towards lower latitudes and higher altitudes, and Mg showed a weak relationship with the first axis, increasing with latitude.

Linear models explained 14–37% of the global variation in litter traits, and showed strong relationships between different traits (Table 1, Fig. 2). In particular, N and P concentrations were highly related, tannin concentration was tightly related to N and Mg concentrations, and a significant fraction of SLA variation was associated with tannin and P concentration. The most important environmental predictor for N concentration was mean annual precipitation (MAP; modulated by MAT), with some influence of soil pH; P concentration was associated with MAT, soil N concentration and soil pH; N:P was mostly related to MAT, modulated by MAP; Mg was correlated with soil N, MAP and soil pH; tannins were related to MAP, MAT and soil pH; and SLA was associated with MAT and soil N.

Most traits showed significant latitudinal variation (Fig. 2): N concentration showed a significant (p = 0.002), nonlinear trend, being intermediate at low latitudes, decreasing at mid latitudes (≈20–40°) and increasing towards higher latitudes; an apparent curvilinear trend in P concentration was not significant (p = 0.064); the N:P ratio showed a significant linear trend (p = 0.006), decreasing with latitude; tannin concentration also decreased with latitude (p = 0.016); SLA strongly increased with latitude (p < 0.001); and Mg showed no trend (p = 0.21). None of the litter traits showed a phylogenetic signal, as indicated by non-significant tests for Pagel's λ (N: λ = 0.28, p = 0.21; P: λ < 0.01, p = 1.00; N:P: λ < 0.01, p = 1.00; Mg: λ = 0.09, p = 0.38; tannins: λ = 0.13, p = 0.37; SLA: λ = 0.20, p = 0.63).

Discussion
Our analyses revealed significant variation of key riparian litter traits across major climatic gradients, which were correlated with latitude and, to a lesser extent, altitude. Temperature appeared to be a major influence on the N:P ratio of litter, which showed a strong association with MAT and TS. The higher N:P ratios in warmer and seasonally less variable regions (i.e., towards the equator) agrees with findings for terrestrial plant litter in general32 and for green leaves27. These results provide support for the ‘soil substrate age’ hypothesis, which states that N and P concentrations of leaves are driven by the concentrations of these nutrients in soils, with tropical soils generally being more P-depleted than soils in temperate climates because of their often greater age (i.e. longer weathering) and higher leaching27,32, which in the case of N is compensated for by N2 fixation33,34. Consequently, tropical trees are expected to be more efficient at acquiring and resorbing P than N when compared to forest trees at higher latitudes35.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter trait</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>%Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>N ~ P + Tan + MAP + MAP + MAP + SoilpH</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.0282</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.1880</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>66.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>-0.0910</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
<td>-3.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>15.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>0.0442</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP × MAP</td>
<td>-0.1550</td>
<td>0.0416</td>
<td>-3.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>12.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilpH</td>
<td>0.1866</td>
<td>0.0340</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>P ~ N + MAP + SoilpH + SoilN</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.0463</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>84.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>-0.0043</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilpH</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>Log N:P ~ Tan + MAT + MAP + MAP + MAP + SoilpH</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.8256</td>
<td>0.0333</td>
<td>114.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.3710</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.4528</td>
<td>0.2042</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>-0.8064</td>
<td>0.2391</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>25.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>-0.8824</td>
<td>0.2555</td>
<td>-3.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>21.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilpH</td>
<td>-0.9425</td>
<td>0.1800</td>
<td>-5.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilN</td>
<td>-0.9481</td>
<td>0.1482</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>38.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>Mg = N + P + N:P + MAP + SoilpH + SoilN</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>4.3896</td>
<td>0.1723</td>
<td>25.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.3710</td>
<td>0.1357</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.4528</td>
<td>0.2042</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N:P</td>
<td>-0.8064</td>
<td>0.2391</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>25.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>-0.8824</td>
<td>0.2555</td>
<td>-3.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>21.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilpH</td>
<td>-0.9425</td>
<td>0.1800</td>
<td>-5.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilN</td>
<td>-0.9481</td>
<td>0.1482</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>38.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>Tan ~ N + Mg + MAP + MAP + MAP + MAP + SoilpH</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>8.7893</td>
<td>0.7786</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-1.958</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>48.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>-1.153</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>20.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>-1.913</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>4.420</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAP × MAP</td>
<td>-1.714</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>15.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilpH</td>
<td>-1.260</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>-2.69</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Log SLA ~ P + Tan + MAP + SoilN</td>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>5.019</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>219.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>20.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>-7.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>46.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAT</td>
<td>-0.170</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-6.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>25.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SoilN</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results of linear models examining global-scale variation of riparian litter traits (nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) concentrations, log-transformed N:P ratio, magnesium (Mg) and tannin (Tan) concentrations, and log-transformed specific leaf area (SLA)), depending on key climatic and soil predictors (mean annual temperature, MAT; mean annual precipitation, MAP; soil pH, SoilpH; and soil N concentration, SoilN) and on other litter traits. We show the proportion of variance explained by each model and the total and residual degrees of freedom (df; numerator df = 1 in all cases) and, for each factor, we show the mean estimate and standard error, t-statistic, p-value and proportion of variance explained; bold type indicates significant relationships at the p < 0.05 level.

Although the relative concentrations of N and P were modulated by temperature and varied across latitudes, their absolute concentrations were more related to environmental gradients other than latitude (e.g., altitude and aridity), and to soil characteristics. The non-linear variation of N concentration with latitude contrasts with reports of decreasing N concentrations with latitude\(^29\), but may be explained by the less humid nature of some of our study sites at \(\approx 20^\circ\) (e.g., the Brazilian 'Cerrado') and \(\approx 40^\circ\) (e.g., Spain). Other mid-latitude sites where litter had particularly low N concentration were in Argentina where litter was mostly from Nothofagus spp. that tend to have low N concentrations and high nutrient resorption during senescence\(^35\), and in Tasmania where litter was...
mostly from *Eucalyptus* spp. that, typically, are low in N. In contrast, litter from Sweden, Poland and Germany had high N concentrations, and soils at these sites had relatively high organic carbon and/or N contents.

The concentration of P did not follow any latitudinal gradient, but decreased with MAT (possibly in relation to an altitudinal gradient, as suggested by the PCA) and was affected by soil characteristics. The seemingly contradictory inverse relationship of P with altitude may be because most of our high-altitude sites were in the tropics, whereas most lowland sites where P concentration was higher were in temperate areas (e.g., Canada, Germany, Maryland, Poland and Sweden), some of which also had soils with higher N concentration. Another study also found a decrease in P concentration with MAT for terrestrial litter in general, and no clear latitudinal gradient. Although Mg concentration in litter has been shown to increase from tropical to boreal sites, our results did not confirm this trend, as the PCA only showed a weak association of Mg with latitude; Mg concentration was apparently greatest in litter at sites that were drier, and with soils that were richer in N (i.e., Argentina and Ecuador).

**Figure 2.** Variation of litter traits [nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) concentrations, log-transformed N:P ratio, magnesium (Mg) and tannin (Tan) concentrations, and log-transformed specific leaf area (SLA)] in relation to mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP) and absolute degrees of latitude. Significant and non-significant relationships are depicted by solid and dotted lines, respectively. Fits for MAT (a–e) and MAP (f–i) derive from linear models that included multiple predictors; some graphs are omitted because MAT or MAP had been excluded from the final model; estimates and p-values are shown in Table 1. Fits for latitude (j–o) derive from additive models, which allowed analyses of non-linear relationships; r² and p-values are the following: N (r² = 0.18, p = 0.0024); P (r² = 0.06, p = 0.064); N:P (r² = 0.05, p = 0.0057); Mg (r² = 0.05, p = 0.212); Tan (r² = 0.04, p = 0.0156); SLA (r² = 0.32, p < 0.0001). Open and closed circles represent species from tropical and non-tropical regions, respectively.
Traits related to plant defences against herbivory (i.e., tannin concentration and SLA) showed a latitudinal gradient, indicating the existence of tougher and less edible litter towards the equator. Tannin concentration decreased with latitude, and was affected both by MAT and MAP, but the interaction between these two factors made the interpretation difficult. Litter with the highest tannin concentration was from Ecuador, which showed moderately high values of MAP (≈2300 mm) and MAT (≈16 °C). SLA is an inverse proxy for litter toughness, so litter with higher SLA values is generally softer. Like others, we found a strong latitudinal gradient for SLA, indicating that litter is tougher towards the equator, with a major influence of MAT. Litter with the highest SLA (i.e., softer litter) was found in Poland, France and Maryland. However, this result contrasts with patterns for green leaves, where non-consistent patterns have been reported: a trend for greater leaf defences at higher latitudes or no latitudinal gradient, and a preference of herbivores for leaves from higher latitudes. Differences between green leaves and litter are nevertheless expected because considerable changes in leaf chemistry occur during and after senescence, including resorption prior to leaf shedding and leaching of soluble constituents after wetting of dead leaf tissue.

According to the 'leaf economics spectrum' hypothesis, leaf traits often co-vary, with little variation of trait relationships across climates. In our study, N and P concentrations were indeed strongly correlated, and inversely related to tannin concentration. Moreover, there were positive relationships between N and Mg concentrations, and between P concentration and SLA. These relationships support the idea that leaves with high nutrient concentrations are less well defended, and are consistent with the existence of trait syndromes described for green leaves. As we found no phylogenetic signal for the examined traits, such links among traits seem to be driven by environmental variation. However, this result should be viewed cautiously, as it contrasts with the significant phylogenetic signal in N and P concentrations and SLA reported in some regional studies using green leaves. These discrepancies are not surprising because the phylogenetic signal can depend on the index and model used and the spatial scale investigated. Thus, the amount of phylogenetic signal observed may vary under models of evolution not considered in this study. Moreover, the differences between our findings and those reported for green leaves could also be related to different nutrient resorption strategies in different species, which could obscure patterns emerging for litter as opposed to green leaves.

Our study has demonstrated that the general tenet that litter quality varies with latitude holds true for riparian plants. This latitudinal gradient in litter quality is thus a plausible explanation for the observed gradient in litter decomposition in streams, in which litter-consuming detritivores only make a minor contribution in many tropical streams but play a key role at higher latitudes. Tropical riparian litter had more condensed tannins and was tougher, and both factors reduce litter consumption by detritivores. Tropical litter was not particularly poor in nutrients, but P was limiting compared to N, which may cause greater stoichiometric imbalances in stream detritivores and, consequently, notable changes in litter decomposition rates and detritivore secondary production. Thus, litter quality might affect decomposition not only by restricting detritivore feeding, but also indirectly through negative effects on populations of litter-consuming detritivores.

Methods

Field methods. Leaf litter was collected from the riparian woody vegetation of 24 streams, located at latitudes between 64°N and 43°S (Fig. 3). All streams drained forested catchments with little human influence. The riparian vegetation was representative of the locality, with at least 70% canopy cover (Supplementary Table S2). We collected freshly fallen leaves from several species along each stream, to reflect the composition of leaves most commonly found in the streams. Leaves were collected from nets or from the forest floor just after abscission, so they had the same characteristics as leaves falling into the stream; additional selection criteria were no damage and no apparent signs of herbivory. The number of species collected varied from 3 to 7 at non-tropical sites (temperate, boreal and Mediterranean, all with species richness <20) and from 6 to 14 at tropical sites (where species richness was mostly >40); thus, the proportion of species collected was similar across sites (Supplementary Table S2). Litter was collected between 2011 and 2012 at times when most leaf fall occurs at each site (e.g., autumn at non-tropical sites and the dry season at some tropical sites); at sites where leaf fall proceeds slowly throughout the year, leaves were collected in nets that were checked periodically over longer periods. Leaves were air-dried to constant mass at room temperature and shipped to the University of Coimbra (Portugal), where all physico-chemical analyses were performed.

Litter traits. The litter traits considered were concentrations of N and P (% of dry mass) and their ratio, concentrations of Mg and condensed tannins (% of dry mass), and the SLA, which is the ratio of leaf area (cm) to dry mass (g). These traits cover three fundamental aspects of litter quality, namely nutrients and chemical and physical defences. Nutrient-rich litter is generally decomposed faster because it is preferred by microorganisms and detritivores, and the N:P ratio is an indicator of which of the two nutrients is more likely to limit decomposition rates. Mg is an important component of invertebrate diets and its concentration in litter can affect decomposition. Condensed tannins are plant secondary compounds that can restrain microbial activity and detritivore feeding. Finally, the SLA is inversely related to leaf toughness and lignin concentration, suggesting that leaves with higher SLA decompose faster.

We took ~1 g of air-dried leaves (≥4 leaves) of each species, removed their petioles, ground the leaves in a Retsch Mixer Mill MM 400 (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany), and dried the resulting powder at 45 °C for 48 h. To determine N concentration, we packed 0.5–0.7-mg portions into tin capsules and analysed them in an isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS Thermo Delta V advantage with a Flash EA, 1112 series; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Condensed tannins were measured using the acid butanol assay on 50-mg portions of leaf powder. Samples of 100 mg were combusted in a muffle furnace (550 °C, 8 h) and 5-mg aliquots of this solution was used for Mg determination by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS, SOLAAR M Series equipment from Thermo–Unicam; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).
additive mixed models (GAMM)\(^{46,70}\). We used this type of model because, even if we expected linear relationships (i.e., lower litter quality towards the equator), initial data exploration showed non-linear patterns for some litter traits across the latitudinal gradient. Models were fitted with each litter trait as a response variable against absolute latitude fitted as a smoother, using a normal distribution and an identity link function ('gam' function in the mgcv R package)\(^{30}\). Observations within each site (i.e., species data) were considered as a random component, and the variance structure was the same as described above for linear models. The need for both components was defined based on AIC.

Finally, we examined the phylogenetic signal of each litter trait – that is, the tendency for related species to share more similar trait values than species drawn at random from the phylogenetic tree\(^{31}\). For that purpose, we used a previously constructed, fossil-calibrated tree of angiosperms\(^{72}\) as a framework. We placed missing species in the tree next to nearest relatives using the tool 'leafbud.py' in Python 2.7 (Supplementary Methods), employing a method similar to Phylomatic\(^{33}\). Inserted species were assigned a branch length equal to their nearest relatives. Polytomies were randomly resolved using the tool 'ete3' in Python 2.7\(^{74}\). The new branch created by resolving the polytomy was assigned half of the length of the shortest child branch attached to the polytymous node. The child branches on the resolved nodes were adjusted to account for the added distance resulting in no change in the distance between any species and the root. We quantified the phylogenetic signal of litter traits using Pagel's \( \lambda \)\(^{75}\) in the R package phytools\(^{36}\); this index indicates stronger relationships between species traits and the phylogeny by the strength of its deviations from zero\(^{77}\). Under a Brownian motion model, where species inherit their traits from ancestors but then slowly diverge by small random steps occurring at a constant rate, Pagel's \( \lambda \) is expected to be equal to 1, whereas values of 0 imply that there is no phylogenetic dependence\(^{37}\).

Data availability. Data are available on the Open Science Framework online repository (https://osf.io/95cxb/?view_only=c1832ac50444542db4a8163d2dcaae5).
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