

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Quantifying and Visualizing Jobs-Housing Balance with Big Data:
A Case Study of Shanghai

8 **Abstract**

9 Existing jobs-housing balance studies have relied heavily if not solely on small data. Via
10 a case study of Shanghai, this study shows how cellular network data can be processed to
11 derive useful information, job and housing locations of commuters in particular, for those
12 studies. Based on cellular network data, this article quantifies and visualizes Shanghai's
13 jobs-housing balance with a much larger sample (n=6.3 million), finer spatial resolution
14 and greater geographic coverage than before. It identifies and geocodes the local
15 commuters by Base Transceiver Station (BTS), which has on average a service area of
16 0.16 square kilometers. After detecting jobs and housing by BTS, it aggregates them by
17 subareas of particular interest (e.g., traffic analysis zones, inner city, suburbs and exurbs)
18 to local planners and decision-makers. It also visualizes the traffic flows associated with
19 the actual (T_{act}), theoretical minimum (T_{min}) and maximum (T_{max}) commutes. It shows
20 that Shanghai's commuting pattern is far from the extremes (indicated by T_{max} and T_{min}
21 traffic flows) and Shanghai's relative balance of jobs with respect to housing is decent
22 (3.2 km) despite of its huge population (24 million) and land area sizes (6,800 square
23 kilometers). The distance distribution of the T_{min} and T_{act} flows in Shanghai is similar
24 when the distance is larger than 12.5 km, which means that if Shanghai hopes to optimize
25 its commuting pattern, it should focus more on commuting trips that are shorter than 12.5
26 km.

27

28 **Key Words**

29 Cellular Network Data; Jobs-Housing Balance; Excess Commuting; Visualization

30

31 **INTRODUCTION**

32 Car dependence, traffic congestion, long commute and associated air pollution and
33 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions are torturing many metropolises across the world.
34 They are thus some of the most significant challenges faced daily by millions of people
35 (Litman and Burwell 2006). To deal with these challenges, many planners, policy
36 analysts and public agencies have proposed different countermeasures. Among them, the
37 jobs-housing balance has been considered or even advocated as one of the most effective
38 (California Planning Round Table 2008; Cervero 1991; Weitz 2003). Despite that, the
39 jobs-housing balance, in academia, however, has not been understood and defined
40 unanimously (e.g., Giuliano 1991; Ma and Banister 2006; Peng 1997). There have also
41 been a variety of input data for one to characterize and quantify the “jobs-housing
42 balance” that has been defined differently. Among the existing input data, the most
43 typical and dominant include household travel surveys and interviews, which can be
44 called “small data” as compared to the emerging “big data” such as cellular network data.
45 As a whole, there have been relatively mature and systematic ways for us to process,
46 validate and calibrate small data. Based on validated and calibrated small data, most
47 authors/scholars implicitly believe that their derived information, conclusions and
48 findings would be reliable and even transferable. The presence and availability of big
49 data, in particular, cellular network data, has provided new opportunities for
50 authors/scholars to quantify the “jobs-housing balance”, regardless of its exact definition.
51 The big data, nevertheless, are often not purposefully designed for scholarly studies;
52 rather, they are designed to serve particular business function(s), e.g., validating and

53 collecting bus fares (Pelletier et al. 2011). How can one then derive useful information
54 from big data for scholarly studies of the jobs-housing balance? How can the derived
55 information complement small data for such studies? Would big data shed new/more
56 lights on pressing urban issues such as the jobs-housing imbalance and long commutes?
57 These are some interesting and important questions that scholars and decision-makers
58 need to well address in the era of big data.

59

60 This article argues that cellular network data are a kind of big data that can be used to
61 effectively facilitate the jobs-housing balance studies, making them transcend the
62 constraints such as detection of latency and limited geographic/temporal coverage posed
63 by small data (Pucci and Tagliolato 2015). Via a case study of Shanghai, it shows how
64 cellular network data can be processed to derive useful information for the
65 aforementioned studies. It characterizes, quantifies and visualizes the jobs-housing
66 balance based on existing analytical frameworks (“excess commuting” in particular) in a
67 metropolis, attempting to shed more lights on the issue than existing studies.

68

69 The article is organized as follows. The next section (Section 2) reviews relevant
70 literature, which helps place this manuscript into a bigger picture of the jobs-housing
71 balance studies, in particular, what big data are and how big data may improve and even
72 revolutionize the jobs-housing balance studies. Section 3 provides a case study of
73 Shanghai, demonstrating how cellular network data could be processed to facilitate and
74 improve the jobs-housing balance studies. Section 4 concludes and discusses how cellular

75 network data could further enhance and improve the jobs-housing balance studies in the
76 future.

77

78 **RELATED LITERATURE**

79 **Defining the Jobs-Housing Balance**

80 In academia, there have been quite a few definitions of “jobs-housing balance”. It is “the
81 spatial relation between the number of jobs and housing units within a given geographical
82 area” (Peng 1997: p.1216).It can also be as a ratio of jobs and housing units at the level of
83 spatial units such as census tract, Zipcode area or Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ)
84 (e.g.,Margolis 1973; Cervero 1989). If a spatial unit achieves certain ratio of jobs and
85 housing units, it is in the “quantitative balance” and otherwise is in the “quantitative
86 imbalance” (Ma and Banister 2006: p. 2104). The jobs-housing balance, per other authors;
87 however, cannot simply be defined as a ratio of jobs to dwelling units. Differences in the
88 household size, workforce participation rate and dwelling unit, for instance, can make the
89 ratio biased and even problematic. True jobs-housing balance thus involves “perfectly
90 complementary housing and job characteristics” (Giuliano 1991: p.305). When housing and
91 job characteristics in a spatial unit do not complement one another, the qualitative jobs-
92 housing imbalance arises (Ma and Banister 2006). More generally, the jobs-housing
93 balance is a dynamic process of adjustments of jobs and/or housing in urbanization or
94 suburbanization. In this process, commuting time can be a proxy for the jobs-housing
95 balance (e.g., Dubin 1991; Gordon et al. 1989). A free market automatically generates some
96 degree of the jobs-housing balance (i.e., co-location effects) so long as firms and resident

97 workers can choose their locations at will. Inappropriate planning and policy interventions
98 sometimes distort the market and thus contribute to the jobs-housing imbalance, lengthening
99 the average commute (Cervero 1989; Cervero and Landis 1995).

100

101 In practices, the jobs-housing balance has been treated as a planning tool or a recommended
102 policy target. Thus it has been defined with considerations such as data requirements,
103 selection of indicators, application of the indicators and how the indicators would affect the
104 attainment of goals. California Planning Roundtable (CPR) (2008), an organization of
105 experienced planning professionals who are members of the American Planning Association
106 (APA), has proposed that communities use the same input to define and measure the jobs-
107 housing balance and there are three commonly-used quantitative measures to define the
108 jobs-housing balance: jobs-households ratio, jobs-housing units ratio and jobs-employed
109 residents ratio. When applying those measures, communities should account for the
110 relationship among different types of jobs and housing characteristics, which can affect how
111 good a specific measure is in terms of defining the jobs-housing balance for a particular
112 community. What is more, communities should be aware of the fact that workers' price
113 elasticity for commuting/housing costs, mode choice, gender and family concerns all could
114 influence how they could achieve the jobs-housing balance. Similar to CPR, Weitz (2003),
115 in a document published by APA, argues that the jobs-housing balance can be expressed as
116 a ratio of jobs to housing. But when applying this ratio as a planning tool, planners should
117 ensure that job and housing characteristics match each other. For practitioners, he contends
118 that two jobs-housing ratios: jobs to housing units ratio and jobs to employed residents ratio
119 can be used to pursue the same policy targets related to the jobs-housing balance. These

120 targets are applicable when local data on the number of workers per household are well
121 considered. To a geographical location of a region, there are four types of the jobs-housing
122 imbalance, depending how well jobs complement housing units (Table 1):

123 Tab. 1: Types of Jobs-Housing Imbalance

Type #	Jobs	Housing Units
1	Too many low-wage	Too few low-end
2	Too many high-wage	Too few high-end
3	Too few low-wage	Too much low-end
4	Too few high-wage	Too much high-end

124 Source: Adapted from Weitz (2003).

125

126 Communities should formulate different plans and strategies to reduce different types of the
127 jobs-housing imbalance that they encounter.

128

129 **Characterizing and Quantifying the Jobs-housing Balance**

130 Other than the ratios mentioned above, scholars have developed more sophisticated
131 analytical frameworks to characterize and quantify the jobs-housing balance and
132 associated issues such as commuting, spatial mismatch and job/housing accessibility. At
133 some risk of oversimplifying, these analytical frameworks can be categorized into three
134 groups: excess commuting, gravity-based accessibility and commuting spectrum.

135 Excess commuting

136 This framework uses indicators such as the theoretical minimum commute (T_{\min}), theoretical
137 maximum commute (T_{\max}), random commute (T_{ran}), actual commute (T_{act}), excess
138 commuting (EC), commuting potential used (C_u), commuting economy (C_e) and normalized
139 commuting economy (NC_e) to quantify and connect the jobs-housing balance with
140 commuting efficiency, which measures how efficient a commuting pattern of a city/region is.
141 In this framework, it is assumed that:

- 142 • All workers, employment and housing opportunities are homogeneous and thus
143 they can be enticed to any employment and/or housing opportunities without
144 losing any utilities
- 145 • The travel cost or impedance between any two spatial units remains the same,
146 e.g., the cost is always the linear distance between centroids of the two spatial
147 units, regardless of how many trips there are.

148

149 T_{\min} in a region is achieved where workers travel to the closest possible workplace on
150 average in terms of some measure of zonal separation (e.g. time, distance). T_{\min} indicates the
151 relative balance of jobs with respect to housing in a region (Small and Song 1992). T_{\max}
152 occurs in a region “when workers are assigned, on average, to their most distant workplaces”
153 (Horner 2002: p.550). It reflects the worst commuting pattern for a given distribution of jobs
154 and housing of a region.

155 T_{act} can be directly calculated if the existing commuting pattern is known. For instance, most
156 household travel surveys report on average how long a commuter travels for his/her journey

157 to work. This figure based on such surveys can be regarded as T_{act} . EC is “the nonoptimal or
158 surplus work travel occurring in cities because people do not minimize their journeys to
159 work” (Horner 2002: p.543), that is,

$$160 \quad EC = (1 - T_{min}/T_{act}) * 100 \quad (1)$$

161 C_u quantifies how much of the available commuting range, which is the difference between
162 T_{max} and T_{min} , has been consumed, that is,

$$163 \quad C_u = (T_{act} - T_{min}) / (T_{max} - T_{min}) * 100 \quad (2)$$

164 A region will have T_{ran} for its commuters if all these commuters make no efforts to
165 minimize their commutes and randomly choose their respective residences and workplaces.
166 Thus, T_{ran} should on average always have a value that is greater than T_{min} . Charron (2007)
167 uses the following equation to get an approximate value of T_{ran} :

$$168 \quad T_{ran} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n O_i D_j C_{ij} \quad (3),$$

169 where

170 N is the total number of commuters in the study area;

171 O_i is the number of origins where commuters start;

172 D_j is the number of destinations where commuters end;

173 C_{ij} is the cost of travel between i and j and the cost can be time, distance or monetary value.

174 More recently, Murphy and Killen (2011) have proposed a feasible but more sophisticated
175 method than the above to calculate T_{ran} . In a nutshell, their method has three steps.

176 Step 1 is to simulate as many as possible commuting trip distributions given the
177 fixed/known numbers/distribution of jobs and housing in a city or a region, where jobs and
178 housing are aggregated by some spatial divisions such as TAZ.

179 Step 2 is to calculate the respective total commutes of the simulated distributions.

180 Step 3 is to get the average of a very large number (say $n=10,000$) of total commutes
181 resulting from Steps 1 and 2. This average is then treated as an approximate T_{ran} .

182 With T_{ran} and T_{max} , C_e can be derived and it “demonstrates the extent to which actual
183 behaviour is reacting to the cost of consuming the separation that exists between residences
184 and workplaces in the urban region” (Murphy and Killen 2011: p. 1261).

185 Specifically, C_e is calculated using the following equation:

$$186 \quad C_e = (1 - T_{act}/T_{ran}) * 100 \quad (4)$$

187 With T_{ran} and T_{min} , NC_e is a better alternative to C_e and allows one to “determine the extent
188 to which collective behaviour is tending towards commuting economy while taking account
189 of the theoretical extent to which it is possible within the constraints set by land use
190 geography (Murphy and Killen 2011, p. 1261). Specifically, NC_e can be expressed in the
191 following equation:

$$192 \quad NC_e = (T_{ran} - T_{act}) / (T_{ran} - T_{min}) * 100 \quad (5).$$

193

194 Given that a city or region have always to be divided into smaller units of analysis before
195 one can estimate the above indicators such as T_{min} , T_{max} and C_u , how would different units
196 of analysis would affect the values of these indicators and their stability? That is, would

197 those indicators be subject to the modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP)? Several authors
198 have looked into this issue (e.g., Horner and Murray 2002; Niedzielski et al. 2013). The
199 overall finding is that metrics such as T_{\min} , T_{\max} , EC and C_u tend to suffer more from the
200 issue while metrics such as C_e and NC_e are largely immune from the issue.

201 Assuming that the MAUP issue is now addressed, there still is an issue of when to use
202 which indicator(s)? Kanaroglou et al. (2015) review a large amount of literature applying or
203 quantifying the above indicators, concluding that none of these indicators can adequately
204 measure the commuting performance of a city but each indicator can still be used to address
205 a specific policy question. When they are combined, they can provide “a reasonably good
206 understanding of urban form and commuting behaviors” (p.13).

207 Gravity-based accessibility

208 Advocators of gravity-based accessibility argue that the jobs-housing balance should
209 consider jobs or employment opportunities not only within a predefined area but also
210 around it. Levinson (1998), one of such advocators, develops an accessibility measure for
211 the jobs-housing balance to account for jobs or housing units in and around a
212 subarea/zone according to some spatial distance decay functions. He contends that this
213 measure is more powerful than zone-based jobs-housing ratios in terms of explaining the
214 variations in commuting. His case studies show that the accessibility to jobs and housing
215 has a negative relationship with the commuting distance, and that transit commuters
216 appear to have higher accessibility to jobs and housing than their automobile counterparts.
217 In a similar vein, Horn and Mefford (2007) use the minimum and maximum commutes
218 and the ranges between the minimum and maximum commutes by different social groups
219 to show how the spatial mismatch and the jobs-housing balance vary across different

220 social groups. More specifically, if we assume that different social groups could only
221 swap jobs and housing within groups, they could have different degrees of accessibility to
222 jobs and housing and of the jobs-housing balance and imbalance. There could be cases
223 that there are jobs around/near some residences or residences around/near some
224 workplaces but some workers are simply excluded from those employment or housing
225 opportunities because of implicit discriminations in local job and housing markets. In
226 other words, the proximity to jobs or housing sometimes does not necessarily means
227 accessibility to, and availability of them among all workers. The jobs-housing balance
228 thus should well account for job and/or housing accessibility and availability across
229 worker groups.

230 Commuting spectrum

231 The commuting-spectrum scholars view the existing commuting pattern (which generates
232 T_{act}) in a city/region as one of many possible commuting patterns, that is, commuting trip
233 distributions given that jobs and workplaces are fixed by some spatial disaggregation
234 (e.g., TAZ), in a city/region (Yang and Ferreira 2008). If we assume now travel cost is
235 the only factor that influences commuters' job and housing decisions, then a gravity
236 model can be calibrated to derive the value of T_{min} , that is, the relative balance of jobs
237 with respect to housing in a city/region, as well. That is, the relative jobs-housing
238 balance for a given distribution of jobs and housing can be derived based on a gravity
239 model. When workers from a unit of analysis i are allocated to other units of analysis (j 's)
240 per j 's share of the entire region's workers, proportionally matched commuting (PMC) is
241 generated. PMC means a scenario where workers are insensitive to travel cost, that is,
242 "every worker in the region competes for every job in that region, regardless of the

243 commuting cost” (Yang and Ferreira 2008: p. 367). Like T_{min} , PMC represents another
 244 extreme commuting pattern. While the former is determined mainly by the local-level
 245 jobs-housing distribution the latter is more dependent on the regional-level one. Based on
 246 a case study of Boston, Yang and Ferreira (2008) find that the average of PMC at the
 247 census tract level better explains the spatial variation of commuting. In other words, the
 248 regional jobs-housing balance or the jobs-housing balance within a region’s commuting
 249 shed should carry more weight if a city or region hope to reduce the average commuting
 250 cost by adopting jobs-housing balance policies.

251

252 **Jobs-housing Balance Studies with Small Data**

253 Regardless of their respective analytical frameworks, most existing studies of the jobs-
 254 housing balance, including the above-mentioned ones, have relied heavily if not solely on
 255 small data as input. Table 2 provides a snapshot for some representatives of existing
 256 studies.

257 Tab. 2: Jobs-Housing Balance Studies with Small Data

Study	Analytical Framework(s) (Or Indicators)	Sample Size	Data Type/Source
Giuliano (1991)	Resident workers/jobs ratio	Not mentioned (NM)	Census/Employment data of the government for two years
Wachs, et al. (1993)	Mode choice and commuting distance	1,500	Ad-hoc surveys over 6 years
Peng (1997)	Jobs-housing ratio and vehicle miles traveled	NM	Travel model data
Sultana (2002)	Jobs-housing ratio and commuting time	NM	Census data (Census Transportation Planning Products [CTPP])
Morrison and Monk (2006)	Numbers of jobs and housing units	NM	Employers’ surveys; Housing surveys

Horner and Mefford (2007)	Range between the minimum and maximum commute	NM	CTPP
Yang and Ferreira (2008)	Commuting spectrum	NM	CTPP
Liu et al. (2008)	Excess commuting	1,500	Household interviews
Wang and Chai (2009)	Average commuting time, physical relation of job and housing locations	736	Household interviews
Horner (2010)	Excess commuting	NM	CTPP
Loo and Chow (2011)	Excess commuting; Commuting time	NM	Census data
Suzuki and Lee (2012)	Excess commuting; Spatial correlation of jobs and housing (Vaughan's model)	NM	Census data
Zhou, et al. (2013)	Excess commuting	59,967	Household interviews
Zhou and Long (2014)	Excess commuting	216,844	Smart-card data; travel survey data

258

259

260 Based on a careful scan of the literature listed in Table 2, one can notice that most if not
261 all the selected existing studies implicitly assume that their input data have been validated

262 and thus can be directly fed into related studies. Many of these existing studies even do

263 not mention how big their respective sample sizes are and how the samples are selected.

264 Of the existing studies reviewed, the biggest sample size is 216,844. But this may still be

265 small if one takes into account the fact that the study area is Beijing, which cover a land

266 area of over 16,410 square kilometers, contains 1,119 TAZs and has over 20 million

267 residents. It is also unclear that how random or representative the samples are as

268 compared to the whole population and how well the samples can cover all the TAZs.

269 If one assumes, of course, that the samples in existing studies are all randomly drawn and

270 well represent the population, then there is little to worry about per classic statistical and

271 sampling theories. But there remain some interesting questions in the era of big data, for

272 instance, would input data of a much bigger sample size and of even the whole
273 population, in particular, big data such as cellular network data challenge the existing
274 knowledge and findings about the jobs-housing balance, which are based primarily on
275 small data? Would big data generate new ways and visuals to study the jobs-housing
276 balance, resulting in new knowledge about it? These are what this article hopes to address
277 via a case study of Shanghai. In the context of Shanghai, household travel survey data
278 were the primary source of data for the jobs-housing and commuting studies prior to the
279 emergence of big data. On the one hand, the former (small data) can only cover 0.75% of
280 the population; on the other hand, they only record travel behaviors of the respondent on
281 a weekday (Ding et al. 2015). These characteristics mean that scholars have to find
282 reliable ways to extrapolate the samples so long as to get a fuller and longer (multi-day)
283 picture of the population. Based on survey data of selected subareas, Feng et al. (2011)
284 and Sun et al. (2013) have examined impacts of polycentrism on Shanghai's commuting
285 efficiency. They argue that multiple employment centers can improve the road traffic
286 condition and shorten the average commuting time in Shanghai. Using samples from
287 large planned communities in Shanghai, Chen et al. (2014) quantify the commuting time
288 and mode choice of resident-workers therein. They find that the resident-workers therein
289 have an earlier departure time for their daily commute and higher dependence on public
290 transit and scooters.

291

292 **Jobs-housing Balance Studies with Big Data**

293 Big data “is data that exceeds the processing capacity of conventional database systems.

294 The data is too big, moves too fast, or doesn't fit the strictures of your database

295 architectures. To gain value from this data, you must choose an alternative way to process
296 it” (Dumbill 2012: p.3). As compared to small data, big data have seven features:

- 297 • Huge in volume--big data consist of a much larger size of data than small data,
298 usually in magnitudes of terabytes or petabytes;
- 299 • High in velocity--unlike the small data, big data can be generated in or near real-
300 time;
- 301 • Diverse in variety--big data can be either structured or unstructured in nature and
302 can contain both temporal and spatial information;
- 303 • Exclusive in scope--big data can capture even the whole population or at least in a
304 sample size that is much larger than small data;
- 305 • Fine-grained in resolution--big data can hold much more details about the subjects
306 that scholars or administrators want to have than small data;
- 307 • Relational--big data can have much more common fields that a large number of
308 diverse datasets can be joined together;
- 309 • Flexible and scalable--big data can add new fields and expand in size efficiently
310 (Boyd and Crawford 2012; Dodge and Kitch 2005; Mart and Warren 2012; Kitch
311 2013; Mayer-Schonberger and Cukier 2013; McKinsey Global Institute 2015).

312 Based on two popular academic databases Web of Science and Web of Social Sciences,
313 there have been few specific jobs-housing balance studies with big data, cellular network
314 data in particular, as input. But commuting and dense locations of cell phone users (e.g.,
315 their homes and workplaces) seem to be a topic of interest to many authors if we
316 expanded the search using other tools such as Google Scholars. Ahas et al. (2007, 2010a,
317 b) were some of the pioneers in this topic. Using cellular network data from Estonia, they

318 characterize the daily rhythms of a subgroup of commuters' movement and identify
319 meaningful locations of mobile phone users. Similarly, Vieira et al. (2010) have used
320 mobile phone-call data to detect dense urban areas. Utilizing cell-phone call data across
321 four countries, Kung et al. (2014) study home-work commuting patterns' regularity in
322 terms of home-work time distribution. They find that when all modes of travel are
323 considered, people across countries on average tend to spend a similar amount of time on
324 commuting. Chen (2014), based on the US data, is able to determine 90 percent of
325 homes and workplaces within a certain area. But she still argues that there remain
326 challenges for the usage of cellular data in transport, in particular, what are the market
327 penetration rates of different mobile phone companies and what is the actual sample size
328 of the cellular network data (e.g., some users can have two SIM cards or two cell phones).
329 These challenges engender uncertainties to researchers when they try to extrapolate the
330 cellular network data to the whole population.

331 In the case of Shanghai, Ding et al. (2015) have used two-week-worth cellular network
332 data of two years to estimate the commuting shed of the inner city, which had long been
333 an undetermined issue among local planners and decision-makers. Using the same data as
334 Ding et al. (2015), Niu and Ding (2015) further examine commuting patterns of different
335 subareas in Shanghai: the subarea within the inner ring road ("inner city") and seven new
336 satellite cities outside the external ring road. They find that 97 percent of the inner-city
337 workers have their residence within the commuting shed that Ding et al. (2015) identify
338 and only 5 percent of the workers of the satellite cities have their workplace in the inner
339 city. Zhang (2016) develop methodologies to use cellular network data as input to derive
340 homes and workplaces of cell phone users in Shanghai. Based on the derived information,

341 they quantify the commuting distance of workers between TAZ and compare them with
342 those based on local household travel surveys. Their comparison indicates that cellular
343 network data can be used to derive jobs-housing locations and separations at least as
344 accurately as household travel survey data.

345 Given the above examples and features of big data, not only specific studies of the jobs-
346 housing balance but also several related academic fields such as Urban Planning,
347 Geography and Transportation would have to adapt and change (e.g., see McKinsey
348 Global Institute 2015; Schweitzer 2014; Batty 2012, 2013). This also necessitates this
349 article, which uses a case study to show how big data, cellular network data in particular,
350 can facilitate and improve the jobs-housing balance studies. Compared to small data, big
351 data can have the following advantages when they are used to study the jobs-housing
352 balance and commuting issues:

- 353 • They provide a much larger sample of the population;
- 354 • They provide continuous and timely information about commuting, jobs and
355 housing at finer spatial and temporal resolutions;
- 356 • They are automatically generated and are more cost-effective;
- 357 • They are less likely to subject to respondents' reporting errors or hoarding of
358 information as respondents (samples) do not have to answer any survey questions
359 and their information is passively collected (c.f., Pucci and Tagliolato 2015; Ding
360 et al. 2015).

361 **Gaps in Existing Studies**

362 In light of the literature reviewed above, the following gaps can be identified regarding
363 the characteristics of, and gaps in current research on the jobs-housing balance:

364 First, there have been many studies that have tried to define the “jobs-housing balance”
365 but there has not been one universally accepted definition of it;

366 Second, regardless of how the jobs-housing balance is defined, few have considered the
367 differences between related studies based on small data and big data and how the
368 introduction of the latter can affect existing studies of the jobs-housing balance: their
369 input data, methodologies, findings, conclusions and visualizations.

370 Third, little has been done on using cellular network data to characterize, quantify and
371 visualize the jobs-housing balance and related commuting pattern at the metropolis level.

372 Fourth, the excess commuting framework has been utilized to study the jobs-housing
373 balance issue but in the past the input data for related studies are mostly if not solely
374 based on small data.

375 In light of the above gaps, this manuscript will conduct a case study of Shanghai, trying
376 to show how cellular network data can be used to improve and enhance the existing
377 studies.

378

379 **A CASE STUDY**

380 **The Site**

381 Shanghai was chosen as the site for the case study. Shanghai is the most populous
382 metropolis in China. It has over 24 million registered residents and covers a land area of

383 6,800 square kilometers. As of 2015, millions of Shanghai residents have at least one
384 active mobile phone. To effectively serve, manage and charge millions of users, three
385 mobile-phone companies collect and process cellular network data constantly. The
386 cellular network data contain records such as anonymous and unique ID for each user,
387 time and duration the mobile phone was in the local service area, which Base Transceiver
388 Station (BTS) the mobile phone had been connected to, when, how long and/or whether
389 the mobile phone has sent or received information (voice, message and data). As each
390 BTS has a service area, typically a triangle, which on average is about 0.16 square
391 kilometers in size, one can usually detect the location of each mobile phone (that is, a
392 mobile-phone user) by that scope so long as the phone is not continuously shut off, does
393 not malfunction and has communicated with at least one BTS. This study utilizes these
394 detected locations to derive mobile-phone users' home and workplace by BTS, which can
395 then be aggregated by other larger spatial units such as TAZ. More technical details about
396 the processes are given below.

397

398 **The Jobs-Housing Balance Definition and Indicators**

399 In this case study, we adapted two existing jobs-housing balance definitions and use
400 corresponding indicators to quantify and visualize jobs-housing balance and commuting
401 efficiency in Shanghai. The first definition considers jobs-housing balance as a ratio of
402 “commuter residents” and “commuter workers” by subareas that have been predefined
403 and used by local planners and decision-makers. If a commuter lives in subarea A, then
404 s/he is categorized as commuter resident of A, regardless of where is her/his workplace's
405 location. Similarly, a commuter worker of A is a commuter whose workplace is in A,

406 regardless of where is the location of her/his residence. In Shanghai, the three commonly
407 used subareas are inner city, suburb and exurb and their boundaries have been clearly
408 defined by local planners and decision-makers. Thus, once we have identified “commuter
409 residents” and “commuter workers” by these three subareas, we can easily map out the
410 corresponding jobs-housing distribution and calculate different commuting distances by
411 subarea and use them to inform local planners and decision-makers.

412 The second definition was based on the excess commuting framework, which uses T_{\min}
413 and corresponding commuting pattern to represent the relative balance of jobs with
414 respect to housing at the city level. It also uses extra indicators such as T_{\max} , EC , C_e , C_u ,
415 and NC_e to show how efficient actual commuting pattern is or how imbalanced the actual
416 jobs-housing is as compared to that producing T_{\min} for the city. Based on the above, we
417 can the further visualize commuting patterns at the city level when T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max} are
418 in presence, respectively. These visuals can more or less inform local planners how actual
419 commuting flows look like and how much more efficient or inefficient they can possibly
420 be. According to our knowledge, the above indicators or visuals have not been presented
421 in any existing studies of Shanghai’s jobs-housing balance.

422

423 **Deriving and Verifying Job and Housing Locations**

424 All the local cellular network data (about 1.5 billion records every day) in Shanghai were
425 used as the initial input to derive the job and housing locations of people who

426 (a) had at least one active mobile phone;

427 (b) who stayed in Shanghai at least 60% of the time between January 2013 and June 2013,
428 that is, their mobile phone has been detected 60% or more the time during the study
429 period.

430 To ensure high accuracy of the derived job and housing locations, in addition to the above
431 filtering criteria, only the users/data that meet the following criteria were further
432 processed and used to derive job and housing locations:

- 433 • The users who had their mobile phone frequently detected in a BTS service area
434 between 8 pm of a day and 8 am of the next day (for housing locations) and
435 between 9 am and 6 pm of a day (for job locations)----at least four times per week.
- 436 • Assume a user's mobile phone had been detected n times during the above two
437 periods, a derived home or job location would have to be in the BTS service area
438 that had been detected at least $n*60\%$ times.
- 439 • For all users whose derived home and job locations from cellular network data
440 were within 400 meters, they were either treated as telecommuters, unemployed,
441 on vacation or retirees.

442

443 Implementing and/or applying the above processes/criteria generated 12.7 million
444 housing locations and 6.3 million job locations of the local workers by BTS. If one
445 recalls Table 2, the numbers of generated locations of housing and jobs are much larger
446 than any existing studies listed therein. Given that Shanghai has a population of 24
447 million, one can say that more than half of the local residents' housing locations and most
448 workers' workplaces and residences have been detected using cellular network data as
449 input. The above fact indicates that big data can capture a much larger sample than small

450 data, which usually draw five percent or even a smaller portion of the population. In the
451 case of Shanghai, only 0.75% of the residents are selected into the local household travel
452 survey (Ding et al. 2015).

453

454 Given that BTS' service area is not a typical spatial unit for local planners and decision-
455 makers, the above derived information about jobs and housing would still need to be
456 aggregated by larger spatial units such as TAZ. In the case of Shanghai, there are far more
457 BTS service areas (n=420,000) than TAZ (n=4,518) and thus aggregating BTS-level data
458 to TAZ-level data is generally straightforward. Most BTS service areas are fully inside a
459 TAZ and therefore we can conveniently relate them together. For those BTS service
460 areas intersect with two or more TAZs, we assume that the detected mobile phone users'
461 residences or workplaces are evenly distributed and therefore they can be allocated into
462 related TAZs in light of the portion of a BTS service area that falls into different TAZs:

$$463 \quad b_i = \frac{Z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i} U_j \quad (6),$$

464 where

465 b_i the estimated number of residences or workplaces that is in TAZ i ;

466 Z_i is the subarea of a BTS service area falls into TAZ i ;

467 n is the total number of TAZs that has a portion of BTS service area j ;

468 U_j is the estimated number of residences or workplaces for BTS service area j .

469 How reliable are the derived housing and workplace locations? To address this, we
 470 compared them with the local household travel survey data (n=15,000) collected in the
 471 same year (2013). The latter only cover four new towns in Shanghai: Jiading, Qingpu,
 472 Songjiang and Jinshan and so we aggregated our BTS-level data into these towns,
 473 following similar procedures and methods described above regarding how we assembly
 474 BTS-level data into TAZ-level ones. With the housing and workplace locations from the
 475 two sources for the same spatial unit, we compared them in two dimensions: workplace
 476 distribution and commuting-distance distribution. Table 3 presents the workplace
 477 distribution of the four towns by the two sources.

478 Tab. 3: Workplace Distribution Based on Two Sources

New town	Jiading			Qingpu			Songjiang			Jinshan		
Data source/Location	a*	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c
Cellular network (%)	70	6	24	78	3	19	86	4	10	69	2	29
Survey (%)	75	4	21	83	2	15	82	5	13	79	2	19

479 *a=inside the new town; b=inner city; c=other.

480 If we treat all the percentage based on cellular network data as one population and all the
 481 percentage based on the survey on the other, their correlation coefficient is 0.99. This
 482 indicates that both sources would generate very similar workplace distribution for us.

483 Figure 1 shows the commuting-distance distribution of all the four towns by the two
 484 sources.

485 Fig.1: Commuting Distance Distribution Based on Two Sources

486 Figure 1 indicates that the two sources' distributions notably diverge when the
487 commuting distance is less than one km but largely converge when the commuting
488 distance is more than one km. The divergence can be a result of the assumption we made
489 about those mobile users whose detected "workplaces" and "residences" are consistently
490 400 meters or shorter. As a whole, however, if we treat all the percentage based on
491 cellular network data as one population and all the percentage based on the survey on the
492 other, their correlation coefficient is 0.95. This indicates that both sources would generate
493 very similar commuting-distance distribution for us.

494 In light of the above comparisons and correlation coefficients, we conclude that cellular
495 network data can be used to detect housing and workplace locations of local workers
496 quite accurately as compared to the household travel survey, should we assume that the
497 latter is the most reliable and accurate way to obtain the locations.

498

499 **Distribution of Jobs and Housing**

500 With the derived and somewhat verified job and housing locations mentioned above, one
501 can map out their distribution with a much larger sample and in a finer spatial resolution
502 than ever before. In other words, at least two features of the big data mentioned above
503 have been "materialized" in this case of Shanghai. Panels (a) to (e) of Figure 2 show the
504 distribution of commuters' jobs and housing by BTS service area in Shanghai. One thing
505 should be emphasized again is that those jobs and housing are in a magnitude of million
506 and should well represent 50% of their respective population.

507 To be consistent with local planners' conventions, we divided Shanghai into three large
 508 subareas: the inner city, the suburb and the exurb. The inner city is all the area within the
 509 inner ring roads of Shanghai. The suburb is all the area outside the inner city but are
 510 within some irregular boundaries, which is a buffer area of the external ring roads of
 511 Shanghai (See "suburb boundaries" in Figure 2) that are 20 to 35 kilometers from the
 512 5the subareas defined, we further categorized commuters into six groups. Table 4
 513 highlights the characteristics of these groups.

514 Tab. 4: Commuters by Subarea

Group	Characteristics
Inner-city workers	Commuters whose workplace is in the inner city
Inner-city residents	Commuters whose residence is within the inner city
Suburb workers	Commuters whose workplace is outside the inner city but within the suburb
Suburb residents	Commuters whose residence is outside the inner city but within the suburb
Exurb workers	Commuters whose workplace is outside the suburb
Exurb residents	Commuters whose residence is outside the suburb

515
 516 Panel (a) of Figure 2 indicates that most commuters' residences and workplaces cluster in
 517 and around the inner city. Overall, the spatial correlation of workplaces and residences is
 518 strong across Shanghai. Not surprisingly, the job and residential density in the inner city
 519 is among the highest in the city. Some locales in suburbs, in particular, some spots in the
 520 east and northeast suburbs, also have some of the highest concentration of residences and
 521 workplaces in the city. Exurbs have gained some concentration of residences and
 522 workplaces but this is not evenly distributed across the space. Panels (b) to (d) of Figure

523 2 show the distribution of commuters' residences and workplaces by different groups
 524 defined in Table 3. Based on these panels, we can see that the inner-city residents tend to
 525 have the best jobs-housing balance, i.e., most of them are able to work in or around the
 526 inner city. Most suburb and exurb workers cannot afford a residence or are not willing to
 527 live in the inner city. More suburb or exurb residents have their workplace outside
 528 suburbs or exurbs. In other words, the inner city has more workplaces and many suburb
 529 and exurb residents have to commute to the inner city to be employed.

530

(a) Overall Distribution

531

532

533

(b) Inner-City Workers and Residents

534

535

536

(c) Suburb Workers and Residents

537

538

(d) Exurb Workers and Residents

539

540

541 Fig.2: Distribution of Commuters' Workplaces and Residences in Shanghai

542

543 Table 5 quantifies the distribution of commuters by the groups defined in Table 4 in a

544 commuting-flow matrix format.

545

Tab.5: Commuting Flows by Subarea in Shanghai

Resident Groups	Workplace Location			
	Inner City	Suburbs	Exurbs	Total
Inner-city	71%	22%	7%	100%
	784,573	243,107	77,352	1,105,033
Suburb	32%	57%	11%	100%

	759,679	1,353,178	261,140	2,373,997
Exurb	12%	21%	67%	100%
	154,824	270,941	864,431	1,290,196
Worker group	Residential Location			
	Inner City	Suburbs	Exurbs	Total
Inner-city	45%	44%	11%	100%
	783,568	766,155	191,539	1,741,262
Suburb	13%	70%	17%	100%
	247,491	1,332,643	323,642	1,903,775
Exurb	4%	17%	80%	100%
	42,286	179,717	845,728	1,057,160

546

547

548 Based on Figure 2 and Table 5, the inner-city residents have the best jobs-housing
549 balance. Less than 30% of these workers need to commute outside the inner city.
550 Comparatively speaking, suburb residents are most likely to commute outside their
551 communities, i.e., suburbs, to work. So if we consider the jobs-housing balance by
552 subarea, suburb residents have the worst jobs-housing imbalance. Forty-three percent of
553 them would have to work outside the suburb. But as a whole, most of residents in
554 Shanghai are able to work within their respective subareas. At least 57% of them are able
555 to find a job within their respective subareas.

556 From the perspectives of workers by subarea, most exurb workers choose a residence in
557 exurbs. Only one out of five such workers choose to live in suburbs or the inner city.

558 Most inner-city workers cannot or are unwilling to live in the inner city---55% of them

559 reside outside this subarea. The suburb workers are fortunate in this regards----70% of
 560 them are able to live in suburbs.

561 If we turn to the other two popular indicators of jobs-housing balance: commuting
 562 distance and jobs-housing ratio, the three subareas also have different patterns (See Table
 563 6). The inner-city residents enjoy the shortest commuting distance (6.77 km) and the
 564 highest jobs-housing ratio (1.58). The inner-city workers suffer from the longest
 565 commuting distance (8.63 km). For suburb and exurb workers and residents, they have
 566 similar average commuting distances and comparable jobs-housing ratios.

567 Tab.6: Commuting Distances and Jobs-housing Ratios by Subarea

Subareas	Average Commuting Distance (km)*		Jobs-housing Ratio
	Residents (Origin-based)	Workers (Destination-based)	
Inner city	6.8 (n=1,105,033)	8.6 (n=1,741,262)	1.58
Suburb	9.1 (n=2,373,997)	7.9 (n=1,903,775)	0.80
Exurb	9.0 (n=1,290,196)	7.7 (n=1,057,160)	0.82

568 *It is assumed that commuters travel along the straight line between two centroids of two BTS service areas.

569

570 **Jobs-Housing Balance in the Excess-Commuting Framework**

571 Adopting the existing excess-commuting framework mentioned above, several more
 572 indicators other than the commuting distance and jobs-housing ratio were calculated,
 573 using the derived numbers of jobs and housing by the local TAZs. More technical details
 574 about how to calculate those indicators and how to deal with changed analysis zone
 575 boundaries can be found in (Horner 2002; Murphy and Killen 2011; Zhou et al., 2014a).

576 Table 7 presents the values of those indicators in Shanghai we obtained and their
 577 counterparts in several other metropolises based on existing studies.

578 Tab.7: Excess-Commuting Indicators across Cities

Indicator	Unit	Shanghai	Beijing	Guang -zhou*	Los Angeles	Tokyo**	Dublin
Year		2013	2008/2010	2005	1991	2000	2001
Sources		This study	Zhou and Long (2014)	Liu et al.(2008)	Kim (2005)	Lee et al. (2006)	Murphy & Killen (2011)
T_{min}	km	3.2	2.5(bus) 3.5(car)	2.7	16.5	6.7	2.7
T_{max}		49.4	24.7(bus) 35.6(car)	-	-	50.5	21.7
T_{ran}		16.6	-	-	-	-	11.0
T_{act}		8.2	8.2(bus) 11.2(car)	5.0	24.6	11.0	9.9
EC	%	61.6	69.5(bus) 68.8(car)	44	33.0	39	73
C_u		11.0	25.7(bus) 24.3(car)	-	-	10	38
C_e		50.1	-	-	-	-	34.5
NC_e		61.9	-	-	-	-	43.2

579 *Unit of analysis is zip code area.

580 **Unit of analysis is shikuuchoson.

581

582 Niedzielski et al. (2013) show that T_{ran} , T_{max} , C_u and C_e are more likely to be scale
 583 independent, that is, their values are relatively stable regardless of the sizes of unit of
 584 analysis; thus, when making comparisons between Shanghai and other metropolises, this
 585 article focuses on the former rather than the indicators that are scale dependent when the

586 units of analysis are different. The comparisons between Shanghai and the other
587 metropolises indicate that:

588 First, Shanghai, Beijing and Dublin have comparable T_{\min} . This means that despite that
589 the three cities vary in their urban form, land use, population size, etc., the spatial
590 correlation and separation of jobs and housing therein are somehow similar. In the ideal
591 scenario that all jobs and housing are homogeneous and every worker can be enticed to
592 any job or housing and s/he minimizes her/his commute, that is, when the relative balance
593 of jobs with respect to housing is achieved, the three cities' commuters would have
594 comparable average commuting distance. Or in other words, if commute costs are the
595 only utility that we care about, the initial Pareto optimality in the three cities, measured
596 by T_{\min} , is comparable (Zhou and Long 2015).

597 Second, in terms of T_{\max} , which measures the worst imbalance of jobs with respect to
598 housing, Shanghai and Tokyo have almost identical values. This means that the scale and
599 degree of jobs-housing separation of the two cities, in the worst scenario, are comparable.

600 Third, for T_{ran} , Shanghai has a value that is almost 50% more than that of Dublin. This may
601 simply result from the facts that jobs and housing in Shanghai are distributed across a larger
602 piece of land and if commuters therein no longer care about the travel costs, they would on
603 average have a longer commuting distance that is larger than their counterparts in smaller
604 cities such as Dublin.

605 Fourth, Shanghai's actual jobs-housing balance, if measured by average commuting distance,
606 is decent despite it is the most populous city in China. For all the four studies/cities (Beijing,
607 Los Angeles, Shanghai and Dublin) use TAZ as the unit of analysis, Shanghai's average

608 commuting distance is the lowest. Based on Figure 2, this could be due to the fact that
609 workplaces and residences in Shanghai have a strong spatial correlation.

610 Fifth, Shanghai's jobs-housing imbalance, if measured by EC, is better than that of
611 Beijing. This means that Shanghai's commuters as a whole are able to minimize their
612 commutes to a larger degree than their counterparts in Beijing.

613 Sixth, with respect to C_u , which measures the degree to which the commuting range
614 afforded by the existing distribution of jobs and housing has been consumed, Shanghai
615 also performs better than Beijing.

616 Last but not least, based on C_e and NC_e , which measure how collective behaviors of
617 commuters depart from random behaviors constrained by the existing distribution of jobs
618 and housing, Shanghai's commuters tend to depart more from random behaviors, as
619 compared to Dublin's commuters. That is, commuting behaviors in Shanghai are
620 probably not as random as those in Dublin. This may be due to two facts. First, compared
621 to Dublin, Shanghai has a very high concentration of employment, that is, a dominant
622 employment center within the inner city. This concentration has greatly shaped or
623 constrained the local commuting behaviors. Based on our derived information,
624 Shanghai's inner city has about 1.7 million jobs, which accounts for nearly a third of all
625 jobs in Shanghai. But the inner city has only 1.1 million residences and the average price
626 of these residences is the highest in Shanghai. This forces the inner-city workers to find
627 other residences outside the inner city. Second, as shown in Figure 2, Shanghai's
628 workplaces and residences have a strong spatial correlation and this enables workers
629 therein to enjoy some co-location effects.

630

631

632 **Visualizations of Jobs-Housing Balance**

633 The above quantitative indicators have already shed some new lights on the jobs-housing
634 balance in Shanghai. Taking advantage of the very large sample size, one can further map
635 out the different commuting flows when different indicators such as T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max}
636 are achieved, assuming that all commuters take the shortest path regardless of the
637 commuting costs. Similar figures have been drawn by Zhou et al. (2014b) in the case of
638 Beijing. Thus some comparisons can be made between Shanghai and Beijing as well.

639 Different panels of Figure 3 visualize the Shanghai's commuting flows associated with
640 T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max} , respectively.

641 (a) T_{\min}

642 (b) T_{act}

643 (c) T_{\max}

644 **Fig.3: T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max} Commuting Flows in Shanghai**

645

646 When one only looks at the flows of Shanghai, one can see that T_{\max} would require most
647 workers to commute along the corridors originating from the inner city (T_{\max} -Panel of
648 Figure 3). On average, these corridors could have a volume in the magnitude of at least
649 125,000. T_{\min} , on the contrary, evenly distribute the commuters across different roads in
650 the city (T_{\min} -Panel of Figure 3). T_{act} generates a commuting pattern that is different

651 from those produced by T_{\max} and T_{\min} . Most notably, most commutes occur on roads that
652 are closer to the inner city and there are several dominant commuting corridors, for
653 instance, the ones originating from the inner city (area within the small red circle) and
654 penetrating into suburbs or exurbs southeast, northeast and east to the inner city.

655

656 By comparing the flows between Shanghai and Beijing (See Figures 3 and 4), we can
657 know better the characteristics of those in Shanghai. Based on the T_{act} panels, in Shanghai,
658 the most notable commuting flows are within the inner city (areas within the inner ring
659 roads) and in the southwest while in Beijing, the inner city (areas within the third ring
660 roads) and the north tend to have a much higher concentration of the commuting flows.
661 Based on the T_{\min} panels, there tend to more commuting flows outside the inner city of
662 Shanghai as compared to Beijing, especially in the west and in the southwest. In Beijing,
663 there are significantly more traffic flows in the north of the center (Tian'anmen). Based
664 on the T_{\max} panels, there are much more commuting flows from the south into the inner
665 city of Shanghai while there are more commuting flows from the north into the inner city
666 of Beijing. This may reflect that the two cities have significantly different jobs-housing
667 distribution/separation. But one thing should be noticed is that in the case of Beijing, only
668 commuting flows by bus are considered while all commuting flows are considered in
669 Shanghai.

670

671 Fig.4: T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max} Bus-Commuting Flows in Beijing

672

673 Except the above figures, the other way to visualize commuting flows associated with
674 T_{\min} , T_{\max} and T_{act} is to map out the percentage of commuters across different distance
675 ranges. Figure 5 presents the case of Shanghai, which represents a sample of 6.3 million
676 local commuters.

677

678 Fig.5: Commuting Distance Distribution of Different Flows: T_{\min} , T_{act} and T_{\max}

679

680 It can be seen from Figure 5 that the distributions of the T_{act} and T_{\min} flows are almost
681 identical when commuting distance is larger than 12.5 km. This can mean that for most
682 commuters in the city, when they are willing to travel a distance of more than 12.5 km,
683 there is always at least one acceptable job available to them. But if they are unwilling to
684 do so, their odds of finding an acceptable job are low. Given that the above is only about
685 Shanghai, it is unclear whether the 12.5 km or another cutting-off point exist for other
686 metropolises. But it should be interesting to expand related work in the future. If one
687 further compares Figures 3 and 5, s/he can also realize that Figure 3 could be somewhat
688 misleading as it shows vast differences between the T_{\min} and T_{act} flows. But Figure 5
689 indicates that some flows are very similar to one another, at least distance-wise.

690

691 **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

692 The contributions of this study can be seen by comparing it to similar studies done before.

693 Compared to existing studies focusing on Shanghai such as Feng et al. (2011), Sun et al.

694 (2013) and Chen et al. (2014) that are based on small data, this study has produced some
695 new findings/visuals that are not possible before such as:

- 696 ● The spatial distribution of workplaces and residences of a much higher percentage
697 (about one fourth) of all the local residents in ShanghaiJourn;
- 698 ● Commuting flows to and from different types subareas of interest to local planners
699 and decision-makers;
- 700 ● Where there could be potential for better jobs-housing balance or shorter commutes
701 based on the comparisons/visuals of the T_{\min} and T_{act} commuting flows (Figures 3
702 and 5).

703 Compared to existing studies focusing on Shanghai such as Zhang (2016), Ding et al.
704 (2015) and Niu and Ding (2015) that are also based on cellular network data, this study
705 has completed extra tasks and generated many more new findings such as:

- 706 ● It quantified several extra jobs-housing balance indicators for Shanghai and found
707 that (a) inner-city resident-workers (those workers whose residence is in the inner
708 city) in Shanghai enjoy the highest jobs/housing ratio and have the shortest average
709 commuting distance; (b) inner-city workers (those workers whose workplace is
710 within the inner city) on average have the longest commuting distance.
- 711 ● It considered commuting patterns of Shanghai workers in two extreme situations
712 according to the excess commuting framework, compared them with those for other
713 cities whenever possible and found that: (a) T_{\min} value of Shanghai is comparable to
714 those in Guangzhou, Dublin and Beijing, meaning all these cities have similar levels
715 of spatial correlation and separation of jobs and housing; (b) T_{\max} of Shanghai is

716 comparable to that of Tokyo (both are nearly 50km), meaning that in the worst
717 scenario, workers in both cities can suffer from a long commute; (c) C_e and NC_e
718 values (which measure how corresponding community patterns depart from the
719 random one) of Shanghai are much higher than those in Dublin, meaning that
720 Shanghai's commuting pattern is not as random as that in Dublin; (d) Shanghai's
721 actual commuting pattern is notably different from the two extreme ones (T_{min} and
722 T_{max}), meaning that the commuting pattern of Shanghai is probably right in the
723 middle of two extremes; (e) the distance distribution of the T_{min} and T_{act} flows in
724 Shanghai is quite similar when the distance is larger than 12.5 km, meaning that if
725 we want to optimize the commuting pattern of Shanghai, more attention should be
726 paid to commuters whose commuting distance is less than 12.5 km.

727 More generally, most existing studies of the jobs-housing balance have relied on small
728 data. The emergence of big data has provided new opportunities for, and challenges to
729 these studies. This study, via the case of Shanghai, shows that big data could at least
730 change the existing studies in two aspects. One, it can provide researchers with a much
731 larger sample than even before; Second, it can supply researchers with samples in a much
732 higher resolution than before. In the case of Shanghai, millions of commuters who use a
733 mobile phone were detected, which account for at least one fourth of the metropolis'
734 long-term residents, and their jobs and housing locations were geocoded at the BTS
735 service area level, which on average is 0.16 square kilometers.

736 With a larger sample in a higher resolution, researchers can do much more than what they
737 can do in the small-data era. This study, for instance, maps out the job and housing
738 locations of millions of commuters by subareas of interest to local planners and decision-

739 makers. One can quantify the commuting flows and average commuting distances
740 between and within those subareas as well. With a larger sample in a higher resolution for
741 a longer period of time, there is also much more what could be done about the jobs-
742 housing balance studies. In particular, the daily, weekly, monthly and yearly changes in
743 the local jobs-housing balance and associated commuting patterns. This is simply
744 difficult and costly if we only rely on small data. But understandings of those changes
745 and their underlying dynamics could help us better manage our land use-transportation
746 systems and increase the overall social welfare of commuters and/or travelers. For
747 instance, systematic and comprehensive land-use adjustments in light of the T_{\min} and T_{act}
748 flows (e.g., Figures 3 and 5) could help us reduce the average commuting distance among
749 workers. What's more, with some add-on surveys of local mobile-phone users, one could
750 also segment local workers/mobile-phone users into more meaningful subgroups, for
751 example, the low-income and the migrants, and better study and serve them. Related
752 insights that are routinely updated would also help us make informed housing and
753 employment policies and better keep track of the social welfare of various subgroups that
754 are of policy relevance.

755

756

757 REFERENCES

758 Ahas, R. Aasa, A. Mark, U., Pae, T. And Kull, A. (2007). "Seasonal tourism spaces in
759 Estonia: Case study with mobile positioning data." *Tourism Management*, 28, 898-910.

760 Ahas R, Aasa A, Silm S, Tiru M. (2010a). "Daily rhythms of suburban commuters'
761 movements in the Tallinn metropolitan area: Case study with mobile positioning data."
762 *Transportation Research C*, 18(1), 45-54

763 Ahas R, Silm S, Jarv O, Saluveer E, Tiru M. (2010b). "Using mobile positioning data to
764 model locations meaningful to users of mobile phones." *Journal of Urban Technology*,
765 17(1), 3-27

766 Batty, M. (2012). "Smart cities, big data." *Environment and Planning B*, 39(2), 191-193.

767 Batty, M. (2013). "Big Data, Smart Cities and City Planning." *Dialogues in Human*
768 *Geography*, 3(3), 274-279.

769 Boyd, D. and Crawford, K. (2012). "Critical questions for big data". *Information,*
770 *Communication and Society* 15(5), 662-79.

771 California Planning Roundtable. (2008). "Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance. "
772 California Planning Roundtable, Sacramento, CA. Available at: <http://goo.gl/Q5Z4m4>,
773 Accessed November 20, 2015.

774 Cervero, R. (1989). "Jobs-housing balancing and regional mobility." *Journal of the*
775 *American Planning Association*, 55(2), 136-150.

776 Cervero, R. (1991). "Jobs-housing balance as public policy." *Urban Land*, 10, 14.

777 Cervero, R. and Landis, J. (1995). "The transportation-land use connection still matters."
778 *ACCESS Magazine*, 1(7), 2-10.

779 Charron, M., (2007). "From excess commuting to commuting possibilities: More
780 extension to the concept of excess commuting." *Environment and Planning A*, 39(5),
781 1238-1254.

782 Chen, C. (2014)." Agency and academic experience using cell data." In: Federal
783 Highway Administration (FHWA), 2014. Cell phone data and travel behavior research:
784 symposium summary report. Washington DC: FHWA. US Department of Transportation.

785 Chen, Z., Yang, D. and Guo, G. (2014). "Research on separation between workplace and
786 residence in large community in Shanghai" (In Chinese). *Transportation Standardization*,
787 42(15), 19-24.

788 Ding, L., Niu, X., and Song, X. (2015). "Identifying the commuting area of Shanghai
789 Central City using mobile phone data" (In Chinese). *City Planning Review*, 39(9), 100-
790 106.

791 Dodge, M. and Kitchin, R. (2005). "Codes of life: Identification codes and the machine-
792 readable world." *Environment and Planning D*, 23(6), 851-81.

793 Dubin, R. (1991). "Commuting patterns and firm decentralization". *Land Economy*,
794 67(1), 15-29.

795 Dumbill, E. (2012). "Getting up to Speed with Big data". In O'Reilly. 2012. *Big Data*
796 *Now: Current perspectives from O'Reilly Media*. Beijing, Cambridge, Farnham, Koln,
797 Sebastopol, Tokyo.

798 Feng, X., Yu, Y., Sun, B. and Guo, Y. (2011). "Commuting performance of polycentric
799 urban spatial structure" (In Chinese). *Urban Economy of China*, no. 11. 20-21.

800 Giuliano, G., 1991. "Is jobs-housing balance a transportation issue?" *Transportation*
801 *Research Record*, 1935, 305-312.

802 Gordon, P., Kumar, A. and Richardson, H.W. (1989). "The influence of metropolitan
803 spatial structure on commuting time." *Journal of Urban Economy*, 26(2), 138-151.

- 804 Horner, M.W. (2002). "Extensions to the concept of excess commuting." *Environment*
805 *and Planning A*, 34(3), 543–566.
- 806 Horner, M.W. (2010). "How does ignoring worker class affect measuring jobs-housing
807 balance? Exploratory spatial data analysis." *Transportation Research Record*, 2163, 57-64.
- 808 Horner, M.W. and Mefford, J. (2007). "Investigating urban spatial mismatch using jobs-
809 housing indicators to model home-work separation." *Environment and Planning A*, 39(6),
810 1420–1440.
- 811 Horner, M.W. And Murray, A.T.(2002). "Excess commuting and the modifiable areal
812 unit problem." *Urban Studies*, 39(1), 131-139.
- 813 Kanaroglou, P.S., Higgins, C.D. and Chowdhury, T.A. (2015). "Excess commuting: a
814 critical review and comparative analysis of concepts, indices, and policy implications."
815 *Journal of Transport Geography*, 44, 13-23.
- 816 Kim, S. (2005). "Excess commuting for two-worker households in the Los Angeles
817 Metropolitan Area." *Journal of Urban Economics*, 38(2), 166-182.
- 818 Kitch, R. (2013). "The Data Revolution: Big Data, Open Data, Data Infrastructures and
819 Their Consequences." London, UK, Sage.
- 820 Kung, K. S., Greco, K., Sobolevsky, S. and Ratti, C. (2014). "Exploring universal
821 patterns in human home-work commuting from mobile phone data." *PLoS ONE*, 9,
822 e96180.
- 823 Lee, S.Suzuki, T. and Lee, M. (2006). "A study on the change of urban structure and
824 commuting based on optimal commuting assignment problem in Korean and Japanese
825 Metropolitan Areas." *Journal of the Korea Planners Association*, 41(2),57-65.
- 826 Levinson, D.M., (1998). "Accessibility and the Journey to Work. " *Journal of Transport*
827 *Geography*, 6(1), 11–21.
- 828 Litman, T. and Burwell, D. (2006). "Issues in Sustainable Transportation." *International*
829 *Journal of Environmental Issue*, 6(4), 331-347.
- 830 Liu, W., Yan, X., Fang, Y. and Cao, X. (2008), "Related characteristics and mechanisms
831 for excess commuting in Guangzhou (in Chinese)." *Acta Geographica Sinica*, 63, 1085–
832 1096.
- 833 Loo, B.P.Y. and Chow, A.S.Y. (2011). Jobs-housing balance in an era of population
834 decentralization: An analytical framework and a case study." *Journal of Transport*
835 *Geography*, 19(4), 552–562.
- 836 Ma, K.R. and Banister, D. (2006). "Extended excess commuting: A measure of the jobs-
837 housing imbalance in Seoul." *Urban Studies*, 43(11), 2099–2113.
- 838 Margolis, J. (1973). "Municipal fiscal Structure in a metropolitan region." In: Grienson,
839 R.E. (ed.), *Urban Economics: Readings and Analysis*. Little Brown, Boston.
- 840 Marz, N. and Warren, J. (2012). "Big Data: Principles and Best Practices of Scalable
841 Realtime Data Systems." MEAP edition. Manning, Shelter Island, New York.

842 Mayer-Schonberger, V. and Cukier, K. (2013). “Big data: A Revolution that Will
843 Transform How We Live, Work, and Think.” New York: Houghton Mifflin Publishing
844 House.

845 McKinsey Global Institute. (2015). “Open Data: Unlocking Innovation and Performance
846 with Liquid Information”, Available at: <http://goo.gl/IavBty>, Accessed Feb 13, 2015.

847 Morrison, N. and Monk, S. (2006). “Job housing mismatch: Affordability crisis in Surrey,
848 South East England.” *Environment and Planning A*, 38(6), 1115-1130.

849 Murphy, E. and Killen, J.E. (2011). “Commuting economy: An alternative approach for
850 assessing regional commuting efficiency.” *Urban Studies*, 48(6), 1255–1272.

851 Niedzielski, M. A., Horner, M. W. and Xiao, N. C. (2013). “Analyzing scale
852 independence in jobs-housing and commute efficiency metrics.” *Transportation Research*
853 *A*, 58,129-143.

854 Niu, X. and Ding. L. (2015). “Analyzing job-housing spatial relationship in Shanghai
855 using Mobile phone data: Some conclusions and discussions” (In Chinese). *Shanghai*
856 *Urban Planning Review*, No.2. 39-43.

857 Peng, Z. R. (1997). *The Jobs–Housing Balance and Urban Commuting*. *Urban Studies*
858 34(8), 1215-1235.

859 Pelletier, M.P., Trepanier, M. and Morency, C. (2011). “Smart card data use in public
860 transit: A literature review.” *Transportation Research Part C*, 19(4), 557-568

861 Pucci, P. and Tagliolato, P. (2015). “Mapping urban practices through mobile phone data.”
862 *PoliMI SpringerBriefs*, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-14833-52

863 Schweitzer, L. (2014). “Planning and social media: A case study of public transit and
864 stigma on Twitter.” *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 80(3), 218-238.

865 Small, K.A. and Song, S. (1992). “Wasteful commuting – A resolution.” *Journal of*
866 *Political Economy*, 100(4), 888–898.

867 Sultana, S. (2002). “Job/Housing imbalance and commuting time in the Atlanta
868 Metropolitan Area: Exploration of causes of longer commuting time.” *Urban Geography*,
869 23(8), 728–749.

870 Sun, B., Tu, T., Shi, W. and Guo, Y. (2013). “Test on the performance of polycentric
871 spatial structure as a measure of congestion reduction in megacities: The case study of
872 Shanghai” (In Chinese). *Urban Planning Form*, no.2, 63-69.

873 Suzuki, T. and Lee, S. (2012). “Jobs–housing imbalance, spatial correlation, and excess
874 commuting.” *Transportation Research A*, 46(2), 322–336.

875 Vieira, Marcos R. , Frias-Martinez, Vanessa , Oliver, Nuria. (2010). “Characterizing dens
876 e urban areas from mobile phone-call data: Discovery and social dynamics.” *Proceeding*
877 *of IEEE Second International Conference on Social Computing*, Minneapolis, MN. (DOI:
878 10.1109/SocialCom.2010.41)

879 Wachs, M., Taylor, B.D., Levine, L. and Ong, P. (1993). "The changing commute: A
880 case study of the jobs-housing relationship over time." *Urban Studies*, 30, 1711–1729.

881 Wang, D., Chai, Y.W. (2009). "The jobs–housing relationship and commuting in Beijing,
882 China: The legacy of Danwei." *Journal of Transport Geography*, 17, 30–38.

883 Weitz, J. (2003). "Jobs-housing Balance." Chicago, IL: American Planning Association.

884 Yang, J. W. and Ferreira, J. R. (2008). "Choices vs. Choice sets: A commuting spectrum
885 method for representing job–housing possibilities." *Environment and Planning B*, 35(2),
886 364-378.

887 Zhang, T. (2016). "Shanghai job-housing spatial analysis based on cell phone big data"
888 (In Chinese). *Urban Transport of China*, no. 1, 15-23.

889 Zhou, J., Chen, X., Huang, W., Yu, P. and Zhang, C. (2013). "Jobs-housing balance and
890 commute efficiency in cities of central and western China: A case study of Xi'an" (In
891 Chinese). *Acta Geographica Sinica*, 68, 1316–1330.

892 Zhou, J. and Long, Y. (2014). "Jobs-housing balance of bus commuters in Beijing."
893 *Transportation Research Record*, 2418, 1-10.

894 Zhou, J. and Long, Y. (2015). "Losers and Pareto Optimality in optimizing commuting
895 patterns." *Urban Studies*. DOI: 10.1177/0042098015594072.

896 Zhou, J., Murphy, E. and Long, Y. (2014a). "Commuting efficiency in the Beijing
897 Metropolitan Area: An exploration combing smartcard and travel survey data." *Journal of*
898 *Transport Geography*, 41, 175-183.

899 Zhou, J., Murphy, E. and Long, Y. (2014b). "Visualizing the minimum and maximum
900 solutions of the transportation problem of linear programming for Beijing's Bus
901 Commuters." *Environment and Planning A*, 46, 2051-2054.

902

903