1	A systematic review of quality of thyroid-specific health-related quality of
2	life instruments recommends ThyPRO for patients with benign thyroid
3	diseases
4	
5	
6	Order of Author: Carlos K.H. Wong, PhD* ¹ , Brian H.H. Lang, MS, FRACS ² , Cindy L.K.
7	Lam, MD ¹
8	* First and correspondence Author
9	¹ Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care, The University of Hong Kong
10	² Division of Endocrine Surgery, Department of Surgery, The University of Hong Kong
11	
12	Abbreviated Title: Recommendation for HRQOL Instruments in Thyroid
13	Keywords: systematic review; thyroid disease; quality of life, measurement property;
14	psychometrics; COSMIN
15	Word Count: 3224
16	Number of figures and tables: 5
17	
18	Correspondence author and person to whom reprint request should be addressed:
19	Name: Carlos King Ho Wong, PhD, MPhil, BSc
20	Institution: Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care, The University of Hong Kong
21	Address: 3/F, Ap Lei Chau Clinic, 161 Ap Lei Chau Main Street, Ap Lei Chau, Hong Kong
22	Contact: +852-25185688 (tel); +852-28147475 (fax) <u>carlosho@hku.hk</u> (email)
23	
24	Disclose Statement: The authors have nothing to disclose.
25	

26

Abstract

Objective: To appraise the measurement properties of thyroid-specific health-related quality
of life (HRQOL) instruments, and to provide recommendations on the choice of HRQOL
instruments.

Study Design and Setting: Systematic review of English-language literature published
between 1993 and 2015 identified psychometric studies involving patients with thyroid
disease through a search of Pubmed, Web of Science, Embase, and OVID Medline. HRQOL
instruments were graded on methodological quality and overall levels of evidence using a
COSMIN checklist.

Results: After a review of 743 original studies, 23 studies reporting 14 standardized HRQOL

36 instruments targeted for Graves' ophthalmopathy (n=4), hypothyroidism (n=3), thyroid

37 cancer (n=2), other thyroid disease (n=3) and non-thyroid tumor sites (n=2) were identified.

38 Hypothesis testing was evaluated most frequently. The 84-item thyroid-specific patient-

reported outcome measure (ThyPRO) and 16-item Graves' ophthalmopathy specific Quality

40 of Life (GO-QOL) instruments were the most extensively evaluated instrument. The highest

41 number of positive ratings in overall level of psychometric evidence was found in ThyPRO,

42 GO-QOL and 11-item Thyroid Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (ThyTSQ) instruments.

43 **Conclusions**: The ThyPRO is recommended for the assessment of HRQOL in patients with

44 benign thyroid diseases whilst measurement properties of GO-QOL and ThyTSQ are

45 satisfactory in measuring HRQOL of patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy and

46 hypothyroidism, respectively.

48

49

⁴⁷ Abstract Word Count: 200

50	What is new?
51	
52	Key finding:
53 54 55 56 57	 ThyPRO is recommended to assess health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in patients with benign thyroid diseases according to quality assessment criteria Measurement properties of GO-QOL and ThyTSQ are satisfactory in measuring HRQOL in patients with graves' ophthalmopathy and hypothyroidism, respectively.
58	What this adds to what was known:
59 60 61 62	- No systematic review synthesized evidence on the critical appraisal of the measurement properties of generic and condition-specific HRQOL instruments that have been validated for use in patients with thyroid disease.
63	What is the implication, what should change now:
64 65 66 67 68 69 70	 There is a need for an improvement in the reporting quality of measurement properties in newly developed or translated HRQOL instruments for thyroid disease patients, in particular for thyroid cancer patients. Evaluation of measurement properties of HRQOL instruments, especially measurement error, criterion validity and cross-cultural validity, should be commenced.

7	1
/	т

Manuscript Text

73 Introduction

74

Thyroid diseases such as thyroid dysfunctions and cancers occur not uncommonly in 75 the general population and have imposed an increased burden on our already over-stretched 76 healthcare system[1-3]. This increased burden is attributed to the increased incidence as well 77 as increased ascertainment and earlier diagnosis of thyroid diseases^[4]. However, despite this 78 increased burden, patients with thyroid diseases are expected to have a comparable life-79 expectancy as the normal population[5]. Therefore, health-related quality of life (HRQOL) 80 has become a more clinically-relevant and an important outcome measurement for patients 81 with thyroid diseases. 82

83

In accordance to classical literature, HRQOL is defined as a subjective and multi-84 dimensional construct of health and welling. Concepts of general health, physical functioning, 85 psychological functioning and social functioning are fundamental determinants of HRQOL in 86 general[6] or thyroid disease populations[7]. Studies so far[8-10] have revealed that 87 persisting symptoms in thyroid dysfunctions and treatment following diagnosis of thyroid 88 cancer had considerable impact on HRQOL. Impaired HRQOL in patients with thyroid 89 dysfunctions were observed when being treated with antithyroid medication[11]. Relative to 90 the normal population, thyroid cancer survivors suffered from significant HRQOL 91 impairment[10]. Despite the availability of various thyroid-specific instruments[8-10], there 92 93 has been no recommended, commonly-accepted or the best instrument in the HRQOL assessment of patients with thyroid diseases. One reason for this lack of the best instrument is 94

95 the fact that measurement properties of instruments used for thyroid diseases have not been systematically assessed with agreed quality criteria to enable direct comparison[12]. 96 Comprehensive assessment of measurement properties, including validity and reliability of 97 98 instruments, should have been undertaken by systematic review[13]. However, no systematic review synthesized evidence on the critical appraisal of the measurement properties of 99 generic and condition-specific HRQOL instruments that have been validated for use in 100 patients with thyroid disease, especially for hypothyroidism, graves' ophthalmopathy and 101 thyroid cancer. 102

103

104 The aim of this paper was to conduct a systematic literature review on the 105 measurement properties of standardized HRQOL instruments for thyroid disease and to make 106 recommendations on the most appropriate HRQOL instrument(s) for a specific type of 107 thyroid disease through collective evidence from previous studies.

108

109 Methods
110
111 Literature Search Methods
112

113 Search Engines and Strategies

114

Systematic literature searches were conducted in databases of PubMed, Web of Science using Web of Knowledge platform, Embase and MEDLINE using OVID searching platform, to identify studies that investigated the HRQOL of patients with thyroid diseases. The Medical Subject Heading (MESH) 'quality of life' term was combined with 'thyroid

119 disease', 'thyroid cancer', 'thyroid neoplasm', 'thyroid carcinoma' or 'hypothyroidism' for our target population. Studies were limited to English language, and the years between 1993 120 and 2015. The earliest year that assessed the HRQOL of thyroid dysfunction was published in 121 1994[14] so as to limit the starting year of searching as 1993. Systematic searches were 122 conducted in May 1st, 2015 with electronic search strategies shown in Appendix 1. No 123 additional hand search was done. After the initial check for duplicated articles, the abstracts 124 of remaining articles were screened to rule out the literature reviews, meta-analyses, clinical 125 guidelines, study protocols, editorials, letters, commentaries, case reports, and conference 126 127 proceedings that were not recognized as original articles. Articles were also excluded if no abstract was available. 128

129

130 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

131

The eligibility criteria of studies were 1) to involve original articles 2) to use 132 standardized self-reported HRQOL instruments with items rating on point Likert scales or on 133 visual analogue scales, 3) to carry out in human subjects, 4) to evaluate the measurement 134 properties of HRQOL instruments referring to a study population consisting of thyroid 135 disease patients, and 5) to develop HRQOL instruments referring to a study population 136 consisting of thyroid disease patients. Articles without available full-text were excluded. 137 Instruments that focus on at least one general concept (i.e. general health, physical 138 functioning, psychological functioning and social functioning) of HRQOL were included; 139 while instruments measured symptom scales only were excluded. Two reviewers (CW and 140 BL) independently screened the eligibility criteria of study titles, abstracts, selected full-texts, 141 and reference lists of the studies retrieved by the literature search. To standardize the 142 appraisal criteria amongst the two reviewers, the methodological quality of the included 143

studies was assessed using the Consensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments (COSMIN) checklist[13, 15-17]. This checklist was previously adopted in the evaluation of cancer-specific HRQOL instruments[18-21]. Assessment of the methodological quality per property was performed by two reviewers independently. Disagreements regarding the procedures of database search, study selection and eligibility were resolved by discussion.

150

151 *Quality Criteria of Measurement Properties*

152

According to the COSMIN taxonomy and definitions[15], the review evaluated the 153 nine internationally agreed measurement properties including: 1) internal consistency, 2) 154 reliability, 3) measurement error, 4) content validity, 5) structural validity, 6) hypothesis 155 156 testing, 7) cross-cultural validity, 8) criterion validity, and 9) responsiveness. The first three measurement properties are in the subset of reliability category, whereas the subsequent six 157 measure properties are contained in the validity category. Internal consistency, referring to 158 the degree of the interrelatedness among the items within subscales or domains, was 159 supported if the Cronbach's alpha was equal to or greater than 0.70 and the factor analysis 160 161 was conducted with adequate sample size for the support of uni-dimensionality of the scales. 162 Reliability was supported if the test-retest reliability coefficient represented by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and weighted kappa was equal to or greater than 0.70 between 163 164 two administrations over short period of time among subjects with stable health condition. Measurement error was considered adequate if the smallest detectable change was smaller 165 than the minimal important change, or if the minimal important change reached the limits of 166 167 agreement. Content validity refers to the extent to which the instrument includes the most

relevant and important aspects of the HRQOL concept. Construct validity was assessed by testing a priori hypotheses specific to the expected correlations between scores representing similar concepts or expected differences in scores between known groups. Criterion validity was considered to be present if the gold standard for HRQOL measure existed as the fulllength version and tested for the correlations with the shortened version of the instrument. Responsiveness was examined using different statistics to detect changes in the construct being measured over time.

175

176 Data Synthesis on Methodological Quality Evaluation

177

For each study, each measurement property was rated as 'adequate' (+, positive sign) 178 179 or 'not adequate' (-, negative sign) if the quality criterion was met or was not met for each measurement property respectively. If the information given to the measurement property 180 was unclear or ambiguous, it was rated as 'doubtful' (?). Given no information was found on 181 that measurement property, zero (0) rating was assigned to that quality assessment. The 182 measurement properties of HRQOL instruments were evaluated based on the explicit quality 183 criteria proposed by Terwee et al.[12]. A summary of the quality criteria for measurement 184 properties of HROOL instruments is presented in the Appendix 2. To consolidate the grading 185 of measurement properties of multiple instruments, the overall rating for a measurement 186 property was synthesized by taking the quality ratings of each measurement study, 187 consistency of results between studies, and its evidence level for measurement properties. 188 One of the five possible rating options representing 'strong' (+++ or ---), 'moderate' (++ or ---189), 'limited' (+ or -), 'conflicting' (+/-) or 'unknown' (?) were assigned if the measurement 190

property of instrument was graded at least one. Rating summary of the overall levels ofevidence for the quality of each measurement property is displayed in Appendix 3.

193

194 **Results**

195

Figure 1 shows the process of literature identification, screening for eligibility and 196 selection of studies during the literature search presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for 197 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram[22]. The literature search 198 was completed in May 2015 and identified a total of 2108 potentially relevant studies 199 200 (PubMed: 266; Web of Science: 545; MEDLINE: 486; Embase: 811) that met the searching criteria in four bibliographic databases. After the removal of duplicated (n=835) and non-201 original articles (n=530) by abstract screening, the abstract content of 743 studies were 202 203 reviewed for eligibility. Twenty-four articles were reviewed in full text for eligibility. We included 23 studies which investigated the measurement properties of HRQOL instruments in 204 patients with thyroid disease inclusive of hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, Graves' 205 ophthalmopathy (GO) or thyroid cancer. 206

207

This review identified 14 condition-specific instruments: two instruments in the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) module (QLQ-C30 and QLQ-H&N35)[23], three instruments in Health Psychology Research Group and nine instruments in other modules. The 84-item thyroid-specific patient-reported outcome measure (ThyPRO)[24-29] was the most frequently evaluated HRQOL condition-specific instrument, followed by the 16-item Graves' ophthalmopathy specific Quality of Life (GO-QOL)[30-33], 20-item Thyroid-Dependent Quality of Life Questionnaire (ThyDQoL)[34-36] and 11-item

215 Thyroid Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (ThyTSQ)[34, 36, 37]. Table 1 illustrates the general characteristics of the evaluated HROOL instruments. A vast majority (8/14, 57.1%) 216 the HRQOL instruments evaluated were for thyroid dysfunctions (3 for 217 of hypothyroidism[34-39], 1 for hyperthyroidism[40] and 4 for GO[30-33, 38, 39, 41, 42]). One 218 was for benign thyroid disease[24-29] and another one was for awaiting thyroidectomy[43]) 219 whereas about one-seventh (2/14, 14.3%) was designed for thyroid cancer patients[44, 45] 220 with one for cancer survivors exclusively. The remainders were designed for a wider scope of 221 target population such as head and neck cancer (n=1)[23] and general cancer (n=1)[23]. 222 About two-third (9/14, 64.3%) of evaluated HRQOL instruments were developed in 223 European counties, and one-third (5/14, 35.7%) in North America. The total number of items 224 varied from 3[38, 39] to 105[41] whilst the number if subscales or domains varied from zero 225 226 (single-item questions only)[38, 39] to 23[41].

227

228 Characteristics of included instruments

Characteristics of 23 eligible articles included in this review are summarized in Table 2. Articles were predominately conducted in counties located in Europe[24-32, 34-37, 40, 45] and North America[38, 41-44], and the only one was conducted in Asia[39]. Most of the instruments were evaluated in the language versions of English[34-38, 43, 44], Danish[24-29] and Dutch[30-32, 40, 45].

234

235 Methodological Quality of Each Study

236

237 Eligible studies were weighted on nine measurement properties based on the aforesaid criteria on a 4-point Likert scale: 'poor', 'fair', 'good' and 'excellent'. Table 3 summarizes 238 the details of methodological quality of measurement properties evaluated in each study. 239 240 Most studies evaluated the properties of hypothesis testing and internal consistency, whereas the criterion validity, responsiveness and measurement error were not often informed. Of 241 those properties evaluated, 'excellent' methodological quality was found in internal 242 consistency, content validity, structural validity and hypothesis testing. Content validity was 243 rated the best as seven out of eight studies were weighted as 'excellent'. Most of the studies 244 245 were rated as 'good' or 'excellent' methodological quality in structural validity (9/13, 69.2%), hypothesis testing (14/24, 58.3%) and responsiveness (2/2, 100%). Less than half of the 246 studies were rated as 'good' or 'excellent' methodological quality in internal consistency 247 248 (8/17, 47.1%), reliability (2/5, 40%), and cultural validity (2/5, 40%).

249

250 *Overall quality of measurement properties*

251

The overall levels of evidence per measurement property and HROOL instrument are 252 reported in Table 4. None of the HRQOL instruments has been assessed and rated on all nine 253 measurement properties recommended by the quality assessment criteria. Most of instruments 254 assessed overall levels o evidence in internal consistency, content validity and hypothesis 255 testing. Evidence of criterion validity was unknown. Measurement error was only assessed in 256 257 GO-QOL instrument[31]; whereas the criterion validity was only assessed in Thyroid eye disease quality of life (TED-QOL)[38, 39] in which no convincing argument that gold 258 standard is "gold" and therefore rated as unknown. Among 14 evaluated instruments, 259 260 ThyPRO, GO-QOL and ThyTSQ achieved positive evidence on six, six and five measurement properties, respectively. Two instruments, Underactive Thyroid Symptom
Rating Questionnaire (ThySRQ)[35, 36] and THYCA-QoL[45], achieved moderate evidence
of inadequate structural validity.

264

265 **Discussions**

266

Despite the need for high-quality thyroid-specific HRQOL instruments, to our 267 knowledge, no previous studies have ever reviewed and compared the measurement property 268 of generic HRQOL instruments. This systematic review evaluated the measurement 269 properties of 14 standardized HRQOL instruments used in thyroid diseases patients among 23 270 eligible studies identified in the full-text assessment stage. The most frequently evaluated 271 272 HRQOL instrument was the ThyPRO[24-29] instrument, with six studies described the measurement properties. ThyPRO demonstrated strong adequate evidence in internal 273 consistency, content validity, and structural validity, and moderate adequate evidence in 274 hypothesis testing and cross-cultural validity. Five out of nine measurement properties were 275 evaluated in ThyPRO instrument, reflecting the most comprehensive measurement property 276 assessment among HRQOL instruments for use in thyroid disease patients. When assessing 277 HRQOL of GO patients, the GO-QOL[30-33] instrument was rated positive evidence in six 278 of nine measurement properties. In light of the HRQOL assessment of patients with 279 hypothyroidism, the ThyTSQ instrument was rated moderate to strong positive evidence in 280 five out of nine measurement properties. Overall measurement property of ThyTSQ was 281 superior to that of ThyDQoL and ThySRQ instruments. In overall, the highest number of 282 283 positive sign as an indication of satisfactorily adequate measurement property was obtained in the following three HRQOL instruments: ThyPRO (+: 15), GO-QOL (+:14) and ThyTSQ
(+: 13) instruments.

286

Notwithstanding, measurement properties of included instruments were evaluated in 287 at most two counties, limiting the transferability and wide applicability of instruments across 288 289 continents. For instance, ThyTSQ that was recommended for use in hypothyroidism was validated in the UK and Germany. However, researchers should interpret these results with 290 caution as it was not validated in other languages and therefore, the non-English and non-291 German versions would require further evaluation. While ThyPRO yielded the best overall 292 performance in measurement properties among existing instruments, it was only validated in 293 294 Denmark. ThyPRO in language versions other than Danish speaking patients has not been evaluated, and such results may over-represent evidence on the excellent quality and 295 performance of ThyPRO instrument in Danish patients with thyroid disease. However, the 296 297 latest published evidence[46] filled the knowledge gap on cross-cultural validity from an international study in which ThyPRO was assessed in seven counties. Despite its strengths in 298 measurement properties, its length may be an administrative burden to respondents calling for 299 300 development of an abbreviated 39-item version of the ThyPRO[47].

301

302 Development of instruments in EORTC group has involved multiple counties, 303 following their standard module development guidelines. However, other instruments have 304 not been examined in multiple countries altogether in the stage of content analysis, item 305 selection and piloting testing. Involvement of patients from a range of countries set out to 306 facilitate the back-and-forth evolvement through qualitative assessments in early stage of 307 development of HRQOL instrument, which may be certainly cultural-specific and subject to

308 linguistic modification. Furthermore, disparities in sampling of thyroid disease patients are of concerns. Two studies[23, 44] (EORTC and MDASI-THY instruments) had recruited 309 considerably more male patients, of those samples may not be representative of thyroid 310 311 cancer with predominant female population. Moreover, hypothyroidism patients in two studies[35, 37] (ThyDQoL, ThyTSQ and ThySRQ instruments assessed) were not free of 312 thyroid cancer due to the fact that thyroid cancer patients undergoing treatment may cause 313 314 hypothyroidism complications. HRQOL measures in those studies may be partly explained by their experiences from thyroid cancer, not merely from hypothyroidism. 315

316

For thyroid cancers, only two instruments (MDASI-THY[44] and THYCA-OoL[45]) 317 318 were identified in this review. Although THYCA-QoL had more positive signs than MDASI-THY, overall measurement property standard of the former instrument was not superior to 319 that of latter instrument due to negative evidence of structural validity as a result of <50%320 321 variance explained by 24 items of THYCA-QoL instrument. Collectively, no existing 322 instruments targeted to thyroid cancer had adequate level of positive evidence on their measurement properties. The EORTC and Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy 323 324 (FACIT) groups are two core measurement tools of cancer-specific HRQOL used in clinical trials. Although a few studies[7, 48, 49] measured HRQOL using FACIT instruments, the 325 measurement properties of instruments in FACIT measurement system was not assessed for 326 thyroid cancer patient. In FACIT measurement system based on official manual[50] and 327 website[51], to date, it was lacking in specific modules for measurement of thyroid-related 328 329 functioning, symptom problems, and quality of life. Likewise in EORTC module[52], the development of 'QLQ-THY' is currently underway to meet a pressing need for available use 330 in thyroid cancer patients exclusively, although one study[23] has demonstrated evidence of 331 332 using the two instruments in EORTC group in patients inclusive of thyroid cancer. Thus, the

newly-developed QLQ-H&N35 instrument was recently superseded by the updated and revised QLQ-H&N43[53] instrument which explicitly ruled out thyroid cancer as target population of instrument. This review urged to benchmark on COSMIN checklist for highquality reporting standard in evaluative studies of these upcoming HRQOL instruments.

337

338 *Limitations*

339

Several limitations of this review should be noted. Firstly, the inclusions of full 340 papers within the scope of MESH terms during database searching have results in relevant 341 instruments being missed. Discrepancies in searched records between databases reflected the 342 missing of relevant studies in some databases. The plausible explanation was that only MESH 343 term 'quality of life' was used for searching the HRQOL studies in PubMed database, 344 345 yielding a lower number of records found in PubMed compared to other databases. Several long-lasting cancer-specific HRQOL instruments targeted to general cancer (e.g. FACT-G), 346 hypothyroidism (e.g. Chronic Thyroid Questionnaire) and thyroid cancer (e.g. QOL-Thyroid) 347 were not covered at the stage of database search. QOL-Thyroid instrument was developed by 348 City of Hope National Medical Center[7], and dropped from this review because 349 measurement properties were not reported in a full paper. Thus, Chronic Thyroid 350 Questionnaire^[14] was dropped due to absence of measurement properties described in the 351 abstract. Secondly, the review was not decisive to recommend one single thyroid-specific 352 353 HRQOL instrument for the use in patients with thyroid disease, regardless of diagnosis with thyroid cancer or not. Choices of instruments were recommended with respect to 354 hypothyroidism, GO and benign thyroid disease. There were no available instruments 355

specific to HRQOL measurement in patients with different types of thyroid disease, i.e.euthyroid and thyroid nodules.

358

359 Conclusions

360

After reviewing the current literature and critically examining published HRQOL 361 instruments, the ThyPRO, GO-QOL and ThyTSQ were the three with the greatest number of 362 positive ratings according to nine quality assessment criteria of measurement properties. The 363 ThyPRO was recommended to assess HRQOL in patients with benign thyroid disease whilst 364 the overall measurement properties of GO-QOL and ThyTSQ were satisfactory in measuring 365 HRQOL in hypothyroidism and GO patients, respectively. Therefore, this review emphasized 366 367 the need for high-quality and standard reporting of measurement properties of the existing and newly-developed HRQOL instruments for thyroid dysfunctions or cancers. 368

369

370 Acknowledgements: None

371

```
372 Funding: None
```

373

374 Conflict of interest statement: None declared

375

376	Abbreviations: HRQOL=health-related	ed quality of life; MESH=Medical	l Subject Heading
-----	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------

377 COSMIN=Consensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments;

- 378 PRISMA=Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses;
- 379 ICC=intraclass correlation coefficient; ThyDQoL=Thyroid-Dependent Quality of Life

- 380 Questionnaire; ThySRQ=Underactive Thyroid Symptom Rating Questionnaire;
- 381 ThyTSQ=Thyroid Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire; HCQ=Hyperthyroidism Complaint
- 382 Questionnaire; TED-QOL=Thyroid eye disease quality of life; GO-QOL=Graves'
- 383 ophthalmopathy specific Quality of Life; GO-QLS=Graves Ophthalmopathy Quality-of-Life
- 384 Scale; NEI VFQ-25=25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire; WSCI-
- 385 T=Western Surgical Concern Inventory–Thyroid; MDASI-THY=M. D. Anderson Symptom
- 386 Inventory Thyroid Cancer module; EORTC=European Organization for Research and
- 387 Treatment of Cancer; QLQ=Quality-of-Life Questionnaire; ThyPRO=thyroid-specific
- 388 patient-reported outcome measure;

389

390 **Reference**

- 391 [1] Madariaga AG, Palacios SS, Guillén-Grima F, Galofré JC. The Incidence and Prevalence of
- Thyroid Dysfunction in Europe: A Meta-Analysis. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology &
 Metabolism. 2014;99:923-31.
- 394 [2] Morris LGT, Sikora AG, Tosteson TD, Davies L. The Increasing Incidence of Thyroid Cancer:
 395 The Influence of Access to Care. Thyroid. 2013;23:885-91.
- 395 The influence of Access to Care. Thyroid. 2013;23:885-91.
- [3] Lang BHH, Wong CK, Chan CT. Initial attributable cost and economic burden of clinically relevant differentiated thyroid cancer: A health care service provider perspective. European Journal of
 Surgical Oncology (EJSO). 2015;41:758-65.
- [4] Leese GP, Flynn RV, Jung RT, MacDonald TM, Murphy MJ, Morris AD. Increasing prevalence
 and incidence of thyroid disease in Tayside, Scotland: the Thyroid Epidemiology Audit and Research
 Study (TEARS). Clinical Endocrinology. 2008;68:311-6.
- 402 [5] Links TP, van Tol KM, Jager PL, Plukker JTM, Piers DA, Boezen HM, et al. Life expectancy in
- differentiated thyroid cancer: a novel approach to survival analysis. Endocrine-Related Cancer.
 2005;12:273-80.
- [6] Wilson IB, Cleary PD. Linking Clinical Variables With Health-Related Quality of Life. JAMA.1995;273:59-65.
- 407 [7] Dow KH, Ferrell BR, Anello C. Quality-of-Life Changes in Patients with Thyroid Cancer After
 408 Withdrawal of Thyroid Hormone Therapy. Thyroid. 1997;7:613-9.
- 409 [8] Razvi S, McMillan CV, Weaver JU. Instruments used in measuring symptoms, health status and
- quality of life in hypothyroidism: a systematic qualitative review. Clinical Endocrinology.
 2005;63:617-24.
- 412 [9] Watt T, Groenvold M, Rasmussen AK, Bonnema SJ, Hegedus L, Bjorner JB, et al. Quality of life
- in patients with benign thyroid disorders. A review. European Journal of Endocrinology.2006;154:501-10.
- 415 [10] Husson O, Haak HR, Oranje WA, Mols F, Reemst PH, van de Poll-Franse LV. Health-related
- quality of life among thyroid cancer survivors: a systematic review. Clinical Endocrinology.
 2011;75:544-54.
- [11] Elberling T, Rasmussen A, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Hording M, Perrild H, Waldemar G. Impaired
 health-related quality of life in Graves' disease. A prospective study. European Journal of
 Endocrinology. 2004;151:549-55.
- [12] Terwee CB, Bot SD, de Boer MR, van der Windt DA, Knol DL, Dekker J, et al. Quality criteria
 were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. Journal of Clinical
 Epidemiology. 2007:60:34-42.
- 424 [13] Terwee CB, Mokkink LB, Knol DL, Ostelo RW, Bouter LM, de Vet HC. Rating the
- 425 methodological quality in systematic reviews of studies on measurement properties: a scoring system
- 426 for the COSMIN checklist. Qual Life Res. 2012;21:651-7.
- 427 [14] Jaeschke R, Guyatt G, Cook D, Harper S, Gerstein HC. Spectrum of quality of life impairment in
 428 hypothyroidism. Qual Life Res. 1994;3:323-7.
- 429 [15] Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, Alonso J, Stratford PW, Knol DL, et al. The COSMIN
- 430 study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of measurement
- 431 properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology.432 2010;63:737-45.
- [16] Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, Alonso J, Stratford PW, Knol DL, et al. The COSMIN
 checklist for assessing the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties of health
 status measurement instruments: an international Delphi study. Qual Life Res. 2010;19:539-49.
- 435 status measurement instruments: an international Delphi study. Qual Life Res. 2010;19:539-49.
- [17] Mokkink L, Terwee C, Knol D, Stratford P, Alonso J, Patrick D, et al. The COSMIN checklist
 for evaluating the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties: A clarification of its
 content. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2010;10:22.
- 439 [18] Luckett T, King MT, Butow PN, Oguchi M, Rankin N, Price MA, et al. Choosing between the
- 440 EORTC QLQ-C30 and FACT-G for measuring health-related quality of life in cancer clinical
- 441 research: issues, evidence and recommendations. Annals of Oncology. 2011;22:2179-90.

- 442 [19] Wong CKH, Chen J, Yu CLY, Sham M, Lam CLK. Systematic review recommends the
- 443 European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer colorectal cancer–specific module for 444 measuring quality of life in colorectal cancer patients. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology.
- 445 2015;68:266-78.
- 446 [20] Wheelwright S, Darlington A-S, Hopkinson J, Fitzsimmons D, White A, Johnson C. A
- systematic review of health-related quality of life instruments in patients with cancer cachexia.
 Support Care Cancer. 2013;21:2625-36.
- [21] Pusic A, Cemal Y, Albornoz C, Klassen A, Cano S, Sulimanoff I, et al. Quality of life among
 breast cancer patients with lymphedema: a systematic review of patient-reported outcome instruments
 and outcomes. J Cancer Surviv. 2013;7:83-92.
- 452 [22] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews 453 and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2009;62:1006-12.
- 454 [23] Carrillo JF, Ortiz-Toledo MA, Salido-Noriega Z, Romero-Ventura NB, Ochoa-Carrillo FJ,
- 455 Onate-Ocana LF. Validation of the Mexican Spanish version of the EORTC QLQ-H&N35 instrument
- to measure health-related quality of life in patients with head and neck cancers. Annals of SurgicalOncology. 2013;20:1417-26.
- 458 [24] Watt T, Rasmussen AK, Groenvold M, Bjorner JB, Watt SH, Bonnema SJ, et al. Improving a
- newly developed patient-reported outcome for thyroid patients, using cognitive interviewing. QualLife Res. 2008;17:1009-17.
- 461 [25] Watt T, Bjorner JB, Groenvold M, Rasmussen AK, Bonnema SJ, Hegedus L, et al. Establishing 462 construct validity for the thyroid-specific patient reported outcome measure (ThyPRO): An initial 463 avamination Oval Life Bag 2000;18:482.06
- 463 examination. Qual Life Res. 2009;18:483-96.
- 464 [26] Watt T, Hegedus L, Groenvold M, Bjorner JB, Rasmussen AK, Bonnema SJ, et al. Validity and
 465 reliability of the novel thyroid-specific quality of life questionnaire, ThyPRO. European Journal of
 466 Endocrinology. 2010;162:161-7.
- 467 [27] Watt T, Cramon P, Hegedus L, Bjorner JB, Bonnema SJ, Rasmussen AK, et al. The thyroid468 related quality of life measure ThyPRO has good responsiveness and ability to detect relevant
 469 treatment effects. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2014;99:3708-17.
- 470 [28] Watt T, Groenvold M, Deng N, Gandek B, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Rasmussen AK, et al.
 471 Confirmatory factor analysis of the thyroid-related quality of life questionnaire ThyPRO. Health and
- 472 Quality of Life Outcomes. 2014;12.
- 473 [29] Watt T, Groenvold M, Hegedus L, Bonnema SJ, Rasmussen AK, Feldt-Rasmussen U, et al. Few
- 474 items in the thyroid-related quality of life instrument ThyPRO exhibited differential item functioning.
 475 Qual Life Res. 2014;23:327-38.
- 476 [30] Terwee CB, Gerding MN, Dekker FW, Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM. Development of a disease
- 477 specific quality of life questionnaire for patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy: the GO-QOL. Br J478 Ophthalmol. 1998;82:773-9.
- 479 [31] Terwee CB, Gerding MN, Dekker FW, Prummel MF, van der Pol JP, Wiersinga WM. Test-retest
- reliability of the GO-QOL: a disease-specific quality of life questionnaire for patients with Graves'
 ophthalmopathy. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 1999;52:875-84.
- [32] Terwee CB, Dekker FW, Mourits MP, Gerding MN, Baldeschi L, Kalmann R, et al.
 Interpretation and validity of changes in scores on the Graves' ophthalmopathy quality of life
 questionnaire (GO-QOL) after different treatments. Clinical Endocrinology. 2001;54:391-8.
- [33] Choi YJ, Lim HT, Lee SJ, Lee SY, Yoon JS. Assessing Graves' ophthalmopathy-specific quality
 of life in Korean patients. Eye (London, England). 2012;26:544-51.
- 487 [34] McMillan CV, Bradley C, Woodcock A, Razvi S, Weaver JU. Design of new questionnaires to
 488 measure quality of life and treatment satisfaction in hypothyroidism. Thyroid. 2004;14:916-25.
- [35] McMillan C, Bradley C, Razvi S, Weaver J. Evaluation of new measures of the impact of
 hypothyroidism on quality of life and symptoms: the ThyDQoL and ThySRQ. Value in Health.
 2008;11:285-94.
- 492 [36] Quinque EM, Villringer A, Kratzsch J, Karger S. Patient-reported outcomes in adequately treated
- hypothyroidism insights from the German versions of ThyDQoL, ThySRQ and ThyTSQ. Health &
 Quality of Life Outcomes. 2013;11:68.
- 495 [37] McMillan C, Bradley C, Razvi S, Weaver J. Psychometric evaluation of a new questionnaire
- 496 measuring treatment satisfaction in hypothyroidism: The ThyTSQ. Value in Health. 2006;9:132-9.

- 497 [38] Fayers T, Dolman PJ. Validity and reliability of the TED-QOL: a new three-item questionnaire to
 498 assess quality of life in thyroid eye disease. Br J Ophthalmol. 2011;95:1670-4.
- 499 [39] Son BJ, Lee SY, Yoon JS. Evaluation of thyroid eye disease: quality-of-life questionnaire (TED-
- 500 QOL) in Korean patients. Canadian journal of ophthalmology Journal canadien d'ophtalmologie. 501 2014:49:167-73.
- 502 [40] Fahrenfort JJ, Wilterdink AM, van der Veen EA. Long-term residual complaints and
- psychosocial sequelae after remission of hyperthyroidism. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2000;25:201 11.
- 505 [41] Patrick Yeatts R. Quality of life in patients with graves ophthalmopathy. Transactions of the506 American Ophthalmological Society. 2005;103:368-411.
- 507 [42] Bradley EA, Sloan JA, Novotny PJ, Garrity JA, Woog JJ, West SK. Evaluation of the National
 508 Eye Institute visual function questionnaire in Graves' ophthalmopathy. Ophthalmology.
 509 2006;113:1450-4.
- 510 [43] Abdul-Sater L, Henry M, Majdan A, Mijovic T, Franklin JH, Brandt MG, et al. What Are
- 511 Thyroidectomy Patients Really Concerned About? Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. 512 2011;144:685-90.
- 513 [44] Gning I, Trask PC, Mendoza TR, Harle MT, Gutierrez KA, Kitaka SA, et al. Development and
- 514 Initial Validation of the Thyroid Cancer Module of the M. D. Anderson Symptom Inventory. 515 Oncology. 2009;76:59-68.
- 516 [45] Husson O, Haak HR, Mols F, Nieuwenhuijzen GA, Nieuwlaat WA, Reemst PH, et al. 517 Development of a disease-specific health-related quality of life questionnaire (THYCA-QoL) for
- thyroid cancer survivors. Acta Oncologica. 2013;52:447-54.
- [46] Watt T, Barbesino G, Bjorner J, Bonnema S, Bukvic B, Drummond R, et al. Cross-cultural
 validity of the thyroid-specific quality-of-life patient-reported outcome measure, ThyPRO. Qual Life
 Res. 2015;24:769-80.
- 522 [47] Watt T, Bjorner JB, Groenvold M, Cramon P, Winther KH, Hegedüs L, et al. Development of a
- 523 Short Version of the Thyroid-Related Patient-Reported Outcome ThyPRO. Thyroid. 2015;25:1069-79.
- 524 [48] Chow SM, Au KH, Choy TS, Lee SH, Yeung NY, Leung A, et al. Health-Related Quality-of-
- Life Study in Patients With Carcinoma of the Thyroid After Thyroxine Withdrawal for Whole BodyScanning. The Laryngoscope. 2006;116:2060-6.
- 527 [49] Taieb D, Baumstarck-Barrau K, Sebag F, Fortanier C, De Micco C, Loundou A, et al. Heath-
- related quality of life in thyroid cancer patients following radioiodine ablation. Health and Quality ofLife Outcomes. 2011;9:33.
- [50] Cella D. Manual of the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT)
 Measurement System. Version 4. Evanston IL: Center on Outcomes, Research & Education (CORE),
 Evanston Northwestern Healthcare and Northwestern University.; 1997.
- 533 [51] FACIT.org. FACIT Questionnaires. 2015.
- 534 [52] EORTC QOL Module for Thyroid Cancer (QLQ-THY). EORTC Quality of Life Department.
- 535 [53] Singer S, Araújo C, Arraras JI, Baumann I, Boehm A, Brokstad Herlofson B, et al. Measuring
- 536 quality of life in patients with head and neck cancer: Update of the EORTC QLQ-H&N Module,
- 537 Phase III. Head & Neck. 2015;37:1358-67.

538

539

Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram of the literature search and selection process



542 543

Table 1. General Characteristics of the HRQOL Instruments and Their Evaluated Measurement Properties in Eligible Articles of Psychometric Evaluations

Instrument	Torrat Disago Domulation	Country of	Itoma S.	Number of	Number of	Persona Options	Deference
ThyDOol	Lunothymoidiam	Development				5 point (1 overall item)	Reference
THYDQOL	Hypouryroldisin	UK	20	18	2	7 point (1 overall item)	
						12 point (19 items)	[24 26]
ThySDO	Hypothyroidism	IIV	15	15	0	15-point (16 items)	[34-30]
THYSKQ	Hypothyfoldisin	UK	15	15	0	4-point	[35, 36]
ThyTSQ	Hypothyroidism	UK	11	2	0	7-point	[34, 36, 37]
HCQ	Hyperthyroidism	Netherlands	31	4	5	2-point (present/not)	[40]
WSCI-T	Pre-thyroidectomy	Canada	18	3	0	9-point	[43]
GO-QOL	Graves' ophthalmopathy	Netherlands	16	2	0	3-pont	[30-33]
GO-QLS	Graves' ophthalmopathy	USA	105	23	0	2-/3-/5-/6-/7-/11-point	[41]
NEI VFQ-25	Graves' ophthalmopathy	USA	25	12	0	5-point (13 items)	
						6-point (12 items)	[42]
TED-QOL	Graves' ophthalmopathy	Canada	3	0	3	11-point	[38, 39]
EORTC QLQ-C30	All cancer	European				4-point (28 items)	
(Version 3)		countries	30	9	6	7-point (2 global items)	[23]
EORTC OLO-	Head and neck cancer	European	35	7	11	4-point	[25]
H&N35		countries				. F	[23]
MDASI-THY	Thyroid cancer	USA	25	6	0	11-point	[44]
ThyPRO	Benign thyroid disease	Denmark	84	13	0	5-point	[24-29]
THYCA-QoL	Thyroid cancer survivors	Netherlands	24	7	0	4-point	[45]

Note:

ThyDQoL=Thyroid-Dependent Quality of Life Questionnaire; ThySRQ=Underactive Thyroid Symptom Rating Questionnaire; ThyTSQ=Thyroid Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire; HCQ=Hyperthyroidism Complaint Questionnaire; TED-QOL=Thyroid eye disease quality of life; GO-QOL=Graves' ophthalmopathy specific Quality of Life; GO-QLS=Graves Ophthalmopathy Quality-of-Life Scale; NEI VFQ-25=25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire; WSCI-T=Western Surgical Concern Inventory–Thyroid; MDASI-THY=M. D. Anderson Symptom Inventory Thyroid Cancer module; EORTC=European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; QLQ=Quality-of-Life Questionnaire; ThyPRO=thyroid-specific patient-reported outcome measure;

Table 2. Description of Eligible Articles

Refere	nce Evaluated instrument(s)	Year of Publication Country	Language Study Population			
[34]	ThyDQoL and ThyTSQ	2004 UK	English	Hypothyroidism	38	
[35]	ThyDQoL and ThySRQ	2008 UK	English	Hypothyroidism	110	
[36]	ThyDQoL, ThyTSQ and ThySRQ	2013 Germany	German	Hypothyroidism	101	
[37]	ThyTSQ	2006 UK	English	Hypothyroidism	103	
[40]	HCQ	2000 Netherlands	Dutch	Hyperthyroidism	303	
[43]	WSCI-T	2011 Canada	English	Pre-thyroidectomy patients	148	
[30]	GO-QOL	1998 Netherlands	Dutch	Graves' ophthalmopathy	70	
[31]	GO-QOL	1999 Netherlands	Dutch	Graves' ophthalmopathy	93	
[32]	GO-QOL	2001 Netherlands	Dutch	Graves' ophthalmopathy	164	
[33]	GO-QOL	2012 Korea	Korean	Graves' ophthalmopathy	98	
[41]	GO-QLS and NEI VFQ-25	2005 USA	English	Graves' ophthalmopathy	256	
[42]	NEI VFQ-25	2006 USA	English	Graves' ophthalmopathy	30	
[38]	TED-QOL, GO-QOL and GO-QLS	2011 Canada	English	Graves' ophthalmopathy	100	
[39]	TED-QOL	2014 Korea	Korean	Graves' ophthalmopathy	90	
[23]	EORTC QLQ-C30 (Version 3) and QLQ-H&N35	2013 Mexico	Spanish	Head and neck cancer including tumor location of thyroid carcinoma invasive to aerodigestive tract	193	
[44]	MDASI-THY	2008 USA	English	Thyroid cancer patients undergoing hormonal therapy	60	
[24]	ThyPRO	2008 Denmark	Danish	Thyroid disease patients including thyroid swelling and dysfunction of the gland	31	
[25]	ThyPRO	2009 Denmark	Danish	Benign thyroid disease	907	
[26]	ThyPRO	2010 Denmark	Danish	Benign thyroid disease	907	
[28]	ThyPRO	2014 Denmark	Danish	Benign thyroid disease	907	
[27]	ThyPRO	2014 Denmark	Danish	Benign thyroid disease	435	
[29]	ThyPRO	2014 Denmark	Danish	Benign thyroid disease	838	
[45]	THYCA-QoL	2013 Netherlands	Dutch	Thyroid cancer survivor	306	

	Measurement Properties									
Instrument /Reference	Internal Consistency	Reliability	Measurement error	Content validity	Structural validity	Hypothesis testing	Cross-cultural validity	Criterion validity	Responsiveness	
ThyDQoL										
[34]				Excellent						
[35]	Good				Good	Fair				
[36]	Poor ‡				Poor	Good	Poor			
ThySRQ										
[35]	Good			Excellent	Excellent	Fair				
[36]	Good				Good	Good	Good			
ThyTSQ										
[34]				Excellent						
[37]	Excellent				Excellent	Fair				
[36]	Good				Good	Good	Good			
HCQ										
[40] WSCI-T	Poor †					Fair				
[43]	Excellent			Excellent	Excellent	Fair				
GO-QOL										
[30]	Poor ‡			Excellent	Poor	Good				
[31]	Fair	Good	Good		Fair	Good				
[32]						Excellent			Excellent	
[33]	Poor †					Good				
GO-QLS										
[41]	Poor §				Poor	Good				
NEI VFQ-25 [42]				Good		Fair				

Table 3. Methodological Quality of Each Study per Measurement Property and HRQOL Instrument

COSMIN Thyroid Manuscript 20160303 clean.docx

TED-QOL

[38]		Fair			Fair		Fair	
[39]			Excellent		Fair	Poor		
EORTC QLQ-C3	0 (V3)							
[23]	Poor †	Fair			Fair			
EORTC QLQ- H&N35								
[23]	Poor †	Fair			Fair	Fair		
MDASI-THY								
[44]	Poor †		Excellent		Good			
ThyPRO								
[24]			Excellent					
[25]	Good				Excellent			
[26]		Good			Good			
[28]				Good	Excellent			
[27]								Good
[29]				Excellent	Excellent			
THYCA-QoL								
[45]	Good		Good	Good	Good			

Note:

† No exploratory or confirmatory factor analysis was performed.

‡ A small sample size, defined as sample size was less than the number of items times five, was performed in factor analysis.

§ Internal consistency statistic was not calculated for each subscale separately.

	Measurement Properties									
•	Internal		Measurement	Content	Structural	Hypothesis	Cross-cultural	Criterion	ъ .	
Instrument	Consistency	Reliability	error	validity	validity	testing	validity	validity	Responsiveness	s Reference
ThyDQoL	++			+++	++	++	?			[34-36]
ThySRQ	++			+++		++	++			[35, 36]
ThyTSQ	+++			+++	+++	++	++			[34, 36, 37]
HCQ	?					+				[40]
WSCI-T	+++			+++	+++	+				[43]
GO-QOL	+	++	++	+++	?	+++			+++	[30-33]
GO-QLS	?				?	++				[41]
NEI VFQ-25				++		+				[42]
TED-QOL		+		+++		+	?	?		[38, 39]
EORTC QLQ-C30 (V3)	?	?				+				[23]
EORTC QLQ-H&N35	?	?				+	?			[23]
MDASI-THY	?			+++		++				[44]
ThyPRO	++	++		+++	+++	+++			++	[24-29]
THYCA-QoL	++			++		++				[45]

Table 4. Overall Levels of Evidence per measurement Property and HRQOL Instrument

Note: Overall levels of evidence: +++/---, strong evidence positive/negative result; ++/--, moderate evidence positive/negative result; +/-, limited evidence positive/negative result; ?, unknown due to poor methodological quality.