# ChemComm



1

5

Q1 Q2

10

15

COMMUNICATION

10

5

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c4cc05972g

Received 31st July 2014, Accepted 22nd August 2014

15DOI: 10.1039/c4cc05972g

## [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF₄DMAP)OTf] catalysed anti-Markovnikov oxidation of terminal aryl alkenes to aldehydes and transformation of methyl aryl tertiary amines to formamides with $H_2O_2$ as a terminal oxidant<sup>+</sup>

Yi-Dan Du,<sup>a</sup> Chun-Wai Tse,<sup>b</sup> Zhen-Jiang Xu,\*<sup>a</sup> Yungen Liu<sup>b</sup> and Chi-Ming Che\*<sup>ab</sup>

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

aldehydes. High product yields were obtained but

Anti-Markovnikov oxidation of terminal aryl alkenes to aldehydes and transformation of N-methyl aryl tertiary amines to formamides 20 with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a terminal oxidant under mild conditions have been achieved with moderate to good product yields using [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] as catalyst.

Metal catalysed selective oxidation of C=C and C-H bonds is a 25 useful tool for organic synthesis and fine chemical industry but remains to be accomplished with high selectivity and product yields We using environmentally benign oxidants such as  $O_2/air$  or  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>1</sup> Therefore, we are attracted to the use of high valent iron-oxo Q5 30 complexes in organic synthesis as iron-oxo complexes with oxidation states IV and V as they are well documented for use as strong oxidants and are capable of performing oxidative functionalization of alkenes and alkanes.<sup>2</sup> We are particularly interested in developing synthetic applications of oxidation reactions that proceed  $via [Fe(Por)O]^+$  (Por = 35 porphyrinato dianion) reaction intermediates, as their methods of generation and reactivity have already been subjected to extensive studies over the past several decades.<sup>2,3</sup> In the literature, examples of Q6 iron catalyzed selective organic oxidation reactions using O2/air or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a terminal oxidant that can be used in organic synthesis are 40 sparse but have been increasing over the past several years.<sup>3,4</sup> An organic oxidation reaction that has a profound impact in both Q7 industry and academia is the Wacker oxidation, which is the anti-

Markovnikov oxidation of terminal alkenes to aldehydes without cleavage of C=C bonds by palladium compounds.<sup>5</sup> Very recently, Grubbs reported PdCl<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub> catalyzed selective oxidation of styr-45 enes to phenyl acetaldehydes with p-benzoquinone or O2 as oxidant.<sup>6</sup> We have previously reported that selective oxidation of terminal

<sup>a</sup> Shanghai-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory in Chemical Synthesis, Shanghai Institute 50 of Organic Chemistry, 354 Feng Lin Road, Shanghai, China.

E-mail: xuzhenjiang@mail.sioc.ac.cn

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and State Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China. E-mail: cmche@hku.hk; Fax: +852-2857-1586; Tel: +852-2859-2154

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental proce-55 dures, detailed characterizations, additional tables and figures. See DOI: 10.1039/c4cc05972g



earth Ru-oxo alkenes to aldehydes without C=C bond cleavage can be readily

accomplished with high product yields via a tandem epoxidation-20 isomerization (E-I) pathway, which involves a reactive metal-oxo species such as Ru as reaction intermediate.<sup>7</sup> As iron is abundant and biocompatible,3,8 we studied an iron porphyrin catalyzed anti-Markovnikov oxidation of both terminal aryl and aliphatic alkenes to aldehydes with high yields, but with a shortcoming of using PhIO as 25 oxidant.9 Our attempts to replace PhIO by H2O2 for [Fe(2,6-Cl2TPP)OTf] or  $[Fe^{III}(F_{20}TPP)OTf]^{10}$  (2,6-Cl<sub>2</sub>TPPH<sub>2</sub> = meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)porphyrin),  $H_2F_{20}TPP = meso-(tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)$ porphyrin) catalysed E-I reaction using styrene as substrate afforded phenylacetaldehyde with 30% yield and with low selectivity. We 30 envisioned that the highly oxidizing [(Por<sup>•+</sup>)(Fe<sup>IV</sup>=O)]<sup>+</sup> intermediate,<sup>11</sup> once generated, underwent C=C bond epoxidation as well as over oxidation.  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)]^+$   $(H_2TF_4DMAP = meso-tetrakis(o,o,m,m$ tetrafluoro-p-(dimethylamino)phenyl)porphyrin) is an analogue of  $[Fe^{III}(F_{20}TPP)]^+$  obtained by replacing the *para*-F substituent of *meso*-35  $C_6F_5$  groups with the electron-donating dimethylamino (NMe<sub>2</sub>) moiety. It is envisioned that the  $[(TF_4DMAP^{\bullet^+})(Fe^{IV}=O)]^+$  intermediate generated by the oxidation of  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)]^+$  with oxygen atom donors should be less oxidizing and more stable; thus, the accompanying side over oxidation reactions in the course of E-I oxidation may be 40minimized. Herein, we report [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] as an effective catalyst for anti-Markovnikov oxidation of terminal aryl alkenes to aldehydes with good product yields. The synthetic application of the putative  $[(TF_4DMAP^{\bullet^+})(Fe^{IV}=O)]^+$  intermediate has also been revealed by the examples of  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)]^+$  catalysed selective oxidation of a 45 panel of N-methyl aryl tertiary amines to formamides using H2O2 as a terminal oxidant.

#### Table 1 [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)X] catalysed E–I reaction<sup>a</sup> 1

Ph + H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 1a		2 mol% Fe(TF <sub>4</sub> DMAP)Cl 2 mol% Additive dioxane, rt		Ph C OH Ph C	$\begin{array}{ccc} a & b \\ OH & OH \\ OH & CH \\ C $		↔ о сно и
		Time <sup>b</sup>	Conversion <sup>c</sup>	Yield <sup>c</sup> (%)			
Entry	Additive	(h)	(%)	a	b	с	d
1	AgOTf	5 + 5	$\sim 100$	77	0	15	7
2	NaBAr <sub>F</sub>	5 + 5	$\sim 100$	29	65	5	1
3	NaBAr <sub>F</sub>	5 + 21	$\sim 100$	57	21	20	2
4	AgSbF <sub>6</sub>	5.5 + 5	94	10	79	0	5
5	AgPF <sub>6</sub>	5 + 5	87	11	71	3	2
6	AgPF <sub>6</sub>	5 + 22	91	24	51	13	2
7	$AgBF_4$	5 + 5	75	41	25	8	1
8	$AgBF_4$	5 + 22	75	46	5	20	2

<sup>a</sup> Styrene (0.2 mmol), catalyst (2 mol%) and additive were mixed in dioxane (1.5 mL) at room temperature, and then H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.4 mmol, diluted in dioxane (0.5 mL)) was added via syringe pump. b The first number was the addition time of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and the second number was the further stirring time. <sup>c</sup> Determined by GC.

20

Initially, the oxidation of styrene by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used for the optimization of the reaction conditions. As the ligand/counteranion X may affect the reactivity of [Fe<sup>III</sup>(Por)X] for formulated as  $\frac{1}{1000}$  (Por)X], <sup>9,12</sup> the catalytic activities of a panel of [Fe(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)X]  $(X = OTf^{-}, BAr_{F}^{-}, SbF_{6}^{-}, PF_{6}^{-} and BF_{4}^{-}, generated in situ by$ 25 reacting [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)Cl] with AgX, see ESI<sup>+</sup>) catalysts were evaluated (Table 1). [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] catalysed the oxidation of styrene with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to give phenylacetaldehyde with 77% yield along with 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol and benzaldehyde (15% and 7% yields, 30 respectively) and no epoxide was observed (Table 1, entry 1). The use of other AgX additives (X =  $SbF_6^-$ ,  $PF_6^-$  and  $BF_4^-$ ) and  $NaBAr_F$ resulted in lower substrate conversion and/or lower aldehyde selec-

tivity (Table 1). The recrystallization of the product (obtained by the reaction of [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)Cl] and NaBAr<sub>F</sub> in THF) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ 35 hexane (1:9) gave  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)_2]O$  (see ESI<sup>+</sup>). Was

Examination of solvent effect revealed dioxape to be the solvent of choice (Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup> entry 1). Low product yields were obtained when THF, toluene, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, diethyl ether, methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), or MeOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3/1) were used (Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup> entries 2–7).

40Phenylacetaldehyde was obtained with 47% yield when 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) was employed as solvent (Table S1, ESI<sup>+</sup> entry 8). 2-tert-Butoxy-2-phenylethanol via the ring opening reaction of styrene oxide was obtained with 70% yield when tert-butanol was used as a solvent (Table S1, ESI<sup>+</sup> entry 9). Products were obtained in acetal

45 forms when methanol or acetone was used as a solvent (Table S1, ESI; entries 10 and 11). Even with dioxane as a solvent, the substrate conversion decreased significantly from 100% to 40% when the amount of H2O2 was decreased from 2.0 equivalents to 1.2 equivalents. 2-Phenylacetic acid (the over-oxidized product from phenyl-

50 acetaldehyde) was obtained with 28% yield when the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was increased to 5.0 equivalents (Table S2, ESI<sup>+</sup>). Pre-treatment of commercially available styrene (from Aldrich<sup>®</sup>) by filtration through Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and adding 1% BHT (BHT = 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol) did not significantly improve the substrate conversion, product yield

and selectivity. Using 2.0 equivalents of H2O2, dioxane as solvent and a 55 lower loading of [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] (0.5 mol%), phenylacetaldehyde 1

25

30

35

40



1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

R<sup>2</sup>  $R^2$ 0.5 mol% Fe(TE4DMAP)OTf сно H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2.0 eq.), dioxane, rt 1b-1o 2b-2a 5 Conversion<sup>b</sup> Yield<sup>b</sup> Entry Substrate Product (%) (%)**1b**: R<sub>1</sub> = *p*-Me; R<sub>2</sub> = H 2b 100 73 **1c**:  $R_1 = p$ -OMe;  $R_2 = H$ 2c 100 55 **1d**:  $R_1 = p$ -ClCH<sub>2</sub>;  $R_2 = H$ 2d 100 64 10 **1e:**  $R_1 = p$ -F;  $R_2 = H$ 2e100 76 **1f:**  $R_1 = p$ -Br;  $R_2 = H$ 2f 100 72 **1g:**  $R_1 = p$ -CF<sub>3</sub>;  $R_2 = H$ 58 2g 90 **1h**:  $R_1 = m$ -Me;  $R_2 = H$ 2ň 94 87 **1i:**  $R_1 = m - NO_2$ ;  $R_2 = H$ 2i 80 67 **1j**:  $R_1 = m$ -**F**;  $R_2 = H$ 2j 90 75 **1k**:  $R_1 = m$ -Cl;  $R_2 = H$ 2ĸ 100 70 **1l**:  $R_1 = m$ -Br;  $R_2 = H$ 21 100 80 **1m**:  $R_1 = o$ -F;  $R_2 = H$ 60 2m 92 снс 86 60 2n 1n **10:**  $R_1 = H$ ;  $R_2 = Me$ 20 100 64 **1p**: R<sub>1</sub> = H; R<sub>2</sub> = Ph 2p 100 70 20 **1q:**  $R_1 = H$ ;  $R_2 = BrCH_2$ 2q 100 73

 $^a$  0.2 mmol substrate, catalyst (0.5 mol%) in dioxane (1.5 mL),  $\rm H_2O_2$ (0.4 mmol, diluted in dioxane (0.5 mL)) was added via syringe pump over 5 h, r.t., 8–9 h. <sup>b</sup> Based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR with PhTMS as the internal standard  $\mathcal{H}_{12}$  standard  $\mathcal{H}_{202}$ , it was heated to 80 °C. 2 mol% catalyst was used. d

Epoxide was obtained.

was obtained with the highest yield of 86% with minimal amounts of by-products, i.e. benzaldehvde (6%) and 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol. A trace amount of phenylacetaldehyde was obtained with <2% substrate conversion when the oxidant was changed to <sup>t</sup>BuOOBu<sup>t</sup> or <sup>t</sup>BuOOH (Table S3, ESI<sup>+</sup>). Hence, the protocol with 0.5 mol% iron catalyst and 2.0 equivalents  $H_2O_2$  was used in the subsequent experiments.

Using this protocol, we examined the substrate scope of the [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] catalysed E-I reaction. As listed in Table 2, a panel of aryl alkenes gave the corresponding aldehydes with good to high yields. Styrenes 1b-1f bearing para-substituents afforded the corresponding phenylacetaldehydes 2b-2f with 55%-76% yields (entries 1-5), while 58% p-trifluoromethyl styrene oxide was obtained from 1g (entry 6). Compounds 1i-1l, bearing electronwithdrawing meta-substituents, afforded 2i-2l with 67%-80% yields (entries 8-11); however, a higher reaction temperature or higher loading of catalyst was needed in these cases. α-Substituted styrenes 10-1q could also be converted to corresponding aldehydes with 64%-73% yields (entries 14-16).

Oxidative cross coupling of tertiary amines with nucleophiles is a 45 useful strategy for the synthesis of amino compounds.<sup>13</sup> In this study, oxidative cross coupling reaction of tertiary amines and TMSCF3 with  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)OTf]$  as catalyst and  $H_2O_2$  as a terminal oxidant gave formamides as the major product. Adding 1 equivalent (based on amine) of acetic acid increased both the substrate conversion and 50 product yield (Table S4, ESI<sup>+</sup>). Further optimization of the reaction conditions led to the use of 0.3 mol% of Fe catalyst with acetic acid (1 equivalent) as the additive, MeOH as the solvent, and  $H_2O_2$ (2.5 equivalent) as terminal oxidant at room temperature. As shown in Table 3, a panel of N,N-dimethyl anilines having electron-donating 55 or electron-withdrawing substituents were oxidized to corresponding

### ChemComm

1 Table 3 [Fe(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] catalysed formamide formation reaction<sup>a</sup>



$$^{20}$$
 5  $^{N}_{MeO}$   $^{N}_{3e}$   $^{M}_{MeO}$   $^{N}_{4e}$   $^{N}_{4e}$   $^{87}$   $^{94}$   $(75)$ 

$$30$$
 8  $HC$   $3h$   $HC$   $4h$   $82$   $54$ 

$$P$$
  $N$   $N$   $24$   $21$   $3i$   $4i$ 

<sup>35</sup> <sup>a</sup> [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] (3 μmol), substrate (1.0 mmol), and the additive (acetic acid 1.0 mmol) were added successively to MeOH (1.2 mL), and it was stirred under argon at room temperature. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mmol) were added *via* syringe pump over 1 h. <sup>b</sup> Analysed by GC and GC-MS. <sup>c</sup> Determined by GC and GC-MS based on conversions, and the isolated yields are shown in brackets.

*N*-methyl formamides with moderate to good yields (entries 1–8). Changing *N*,*N*-dimethyl aniline to *N*,*N*-diethyl aniline decreased both the substrate conversion and product yield dramatically. The corresponding *N*-ethyl acetamide was obtained with 21% yield and 24% substrate conversion (entry 9). Direct formation of formamides from *N*-methyl amines is an important synthetic strategy in organic chemistry. In the literature, 1-oxo-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinium ion, benzyltriethylammonium permanganate (Et<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)MnO<sub>4</sub><sup>--</sup>,

- <sup>50</sup> pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) and pyridinium dichromate (PDC) were reported as stoichiometric oxidants for this type of reaction.<sup>14</sup> To the best our knowledge, these are the first examples of Fe-catalysed formation of formamides from N-methyl amines using  $H_2O_2$  as an oxidant.<sup>15</sup>
- 55 The time course for the  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)OTf]$  catalysed E-I reaction is depicted in Fig. 1. Styrene was completely consumed within



5.5 hours, whereas the amount of styrene oxide reached the 20 maximum after 3 h, and then started to decrease afterwards and completely vanished after 7.5 h. The desired product, phenylacetaldehyde, together with two other side products, 1-phenyl-1,2-diol and benzaldehyde present in minor amounts, increased gradually as the reaction proceeded. Controlled experiments with styrene oxide as 25 substrate revealed that phenylacetaldehyde was obtained with 78% yield with 1-phenylethane-1,2-diol and benzaldehyde with 13% and 9% vields, respectively. Upon replacing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> by H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O in the aforementioned controlled experiment of ring-opening reaction of styrene oxide, no 18O labelled diol was observed (a mixture of 30 partially <sup>18</sup>O labelled phenylacetaldehyde, benzaldehyde and styrene oxide was detected). Thus, phenylacetaldehyde was formed from isomerization of styrene oxide via a tandem epoxidation-isomerization (E-I) pathway (see ESI<sup>†</sup>).

High resolution ESI-MS experiments were performed to gain insight into the reaction mechanism. Analysis of a mixture of [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (5 equiv.) in acetonitrile revealed two new species at m/z 1144.1793 and 1160.1798 (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>+</sup>) attributable to  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)O]^+$  (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>+</sup>) and  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)O_2]^+$ (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>+</sup>), respectively. Collision-induced dissociation of both species could produce a daughter ion peak at m/z 1128.1 corresponding to  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)]^+$  (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI<sup>+</sup>). In the presence of styrene (50 equiv.), the two oxygenated species could also be detected but their signal intensities were weakened by 40% and 50% respectively (relative to  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)]^+$  at m/z 1128.1, Fig. S6, ESI<sup>+</sup>). In the presence of  $H_2^{18}O$  (500 equiv.), the signal at m/z 1144.2 showed 85% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (Fig. 2), whereas the signal at m/z 1160.2 showed 35% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>+</sup>). [(Por<sup>•+</sup>)Fe<sup>IV</sup>=O]<sup>+</sup> is known to exchange its oxo-ligand with H218O and is reactive towards alkenes.16 Based on the aforementioned findings, we assigned the m/z 1144.2 signal to be predominantly  $[(TF_4DMAP^{\bullet^+})Fe^{IV}=O]^+$ . The doublyoxygenated signal at m/z 1160.2 might be a mixture of species, the nature of which remains to be studied. We also made attempts to generate analogous species by changing the porphyrin ligand from TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP to F<sub>20</sub>TPP. Under similar conditions, reaction of [Fe(F<sub>20</sub>TPP)OTf] with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> produced a new signal at m/z 1043.9742,

Communication

35

40

45

50

55



Fig. 2 ESI-MS spectrum of  $[Fe(TF_4DMAP)O]^+$  in the absence (top) and presence of  $^{18}O-H_2O$  (500 equiv., bottom).

15



Fig. 3 Plausible mechanism for the E-I reaction.

which can be formulated as  $[(F_{20}TPP^{\bullet^+})Fe^{IV}=O]^+$  (Fig. S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Its signal intensity was largely diminished in the presence of 50 equiv. styrene (Fig. S9, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and it showed 80% <sup>18</sup>O incorporation with 500 equiv. H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O (Fig. S10, ESI<sup>†</sup>). These results are similar to those observed with the TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP system, revealing the likely involvement of  $[(Por^{\bullet^+})Fe^{IV}=O]^+$  as reaction intermediate. Treatment of  $[Fe^{III}(TF_4DMAP)OTf]$  with excess H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> for 15 min led to broadening and red-shift of the lowest energy absorption peak maximum to 665 nm, which is similar to the absorption of porphyrin  $\pi$ -cation radical (Fig. S11, ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>11</sup>

A plausible mechanism for tandem epoxidation–isomerization (E–I) pathway is proposed (Fig. 3). Firstly, [Fe(Por)]<sup>+</sup> is converted to [Fe(O)(Por)]<sup>+</sup> which reacts with aryl alkenes to give the corresponding epoxides, and the regenerated [Fe(Por)]<sup>+</sup> complex induces isomerization of styrene oxides to phenylacetaldehydes.

In summary, using [Fe<sup>III</sup>(TF<sub>4</sub>DMAP)OTf] as catalyst, anti-<sup>45</sup> Markovnikov oxidation of terminal aryl alkenes to aldehydes *via* tandem epoxidation-isomerization reaction and transformation of *N*-methyl aryl tertiary amines to formamides with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a terminal oxidant under mild conditions has been achieved with moderate to good product yields.

<sup>0</sup> We acknowledge the financial support from the Innovation and Technology Commission (HKSAR, China) to the State Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry, the External Cooperation Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS-GJHZ200816), NSFC (21272197 and 21102162) and the CAS-Croucher Funding Scheme for Joint Laboratories.

### Notes and references

- For selected reviews, see: (a) B. S. Lane and K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2457; (b) T. Punniyamurthy, S. Velusamy and J. Iqbal, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2329; (c) S.-I. Murahash and D. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1490; (d) Z. Shi, C. Zhang, C. Tang and N. Jiao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3381.
- 2 (a) C. Krebs, D. G. Fujimori, C. T. Walsh and J. M. Bollinger Jr, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, 484; (b) S. Shaik, H. Hirao and D. Kumar, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, 532; (c) A. Gunay and K. H. Theopold, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1060; (d) W. Nam, Y.-M. Lee and S. Fukuzumi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 1146.
- 3 C. Bolm, J. Legros, J. Le Paih and L. Zani, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, 104, 6217.
- 4 For selected examples, see: (a) M. S. Chen and M. C. White, Science, 2007, 318, 783; (b) H. Egami and T. Katsuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6082; (c) M. S. Chen and M. C. White, Science, 2010, 327, 566; (d) T. Kunisu, T. Oguma and T. Katsuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12937.
- 5 (a) J. Smidt, W. Hafner, R. Jira, R. Sieber, J. Sedlmeier and A. Sabel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1962, 1, 80; (b) H. Pellissier, P.-Y. Michellys and M. Santelli, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 10733;
  (c) J. Tsuji, Palladium Reagents and Catalysts: Innovation in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 1998; (d) J. Muzart, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 7505; (e) J. Guo and P. Teo, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 6952.
- 6 (a) G. Dong, P. Teo, Z. K. Wickens and R. H. Grubbs, *Science*, 2011, 333, 1609; (b) P. Teo, Z. K. Wickens, G. Dong and R. H. Grubbs, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 3237; (c) Z. K. Wickens, B. Morandi and R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 11257; (d) Z. K. Wickens, K. Skakuj, B. Morandi and R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 890.
- 7 (a) J. Chen and C.-M. Che, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4950;
   (b) G. Jiang, J. Chen, H.-Y. Thu, J.-S. Huang, N. Zhu and C.-M. Che, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6638.
- 8 Reviews of iron-catalysed reactions: A. Correa, O. G. Mancheño and C. Bolm, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1108.
- 9 G.-Q. Chen, Z.-J. Xu, C.-Y. Zhou and C.-M. Che, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 10963. For a related iron(II) catalysed EI reaction with PhIO as terminal oxidant, see: A. D. Chowdhury, R. Ray and G. K. Lahiri, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 5497.
- 10 For selected Fe(F<sub>20</sub>TPP)X catalysed epoxidation, see: (*a*) W. Nam, M. H. Lim, S. Y. Oh, J. H. Lee, H. J. Lee, S. K. Woo, C. Kim and W. Shin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 3646; (*b*) W. Nam, S. Y. Oh, Y. J. Sun, J. Kim, W. K. Kim, S. K. Woo and W. Shin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 7903.
- (a) J. T. Groves and Y. Watanabe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 507;
   (b) H. Fujii, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4641.
- 12 (a) K. Suda, K. Baba, S. Nakajima and T. Takanami, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, 40, 7243; (b) K. Suda, K. Baba, S. Nakajima and T. Takanami, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2570.
- Reviews: (a) ref. 1c; (b) C.-J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 335; (c)
  W.-J. Yoo and C.-J. Li, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 292, 281; (d) C. Liu,
  H. Zhang, W. Shi and A. Lei, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1780;
  (e) A. E. Wendlandt, A. M. Suess and S. S. Stahl, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11062.
- 14 For the oxidation with other oxidants, see: (a) M. E. Kuehne and P. J. Seaton, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 4790; (b) D. H. Hunter, J. S. Racok, A. W. Rey and Y. Zea-Ponce, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 1278; (c) H. Wu, F. Xue, X. Xiao and Y. Qin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14052; (d) J. Danielsson and P. Somfai, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 784.
- 15 For a relative work, see: (a) S. Murata, M. Miura and M. Nomura, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 4700; (b) S. Murata, K. Suzuki, A. Tamatani, M. Miura and M. Nomura, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992, 1387.
- 16 W. Nam and J. S. Valentine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 1772.

ChemComm

1

5

10

15

20

30

35

40

45

50

55