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I. INTRODUCTION

The radiative transitions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{cJ} (J = 1, 2)$ provide information about the electromagnetic interaction between charm and anticharm quarks in charmonia and allow the investigation of many interesting topics, including whether the charm quark has an anomalous magnetic moment [1,2] or if there is $S$-wave and $D$-wave state mixing [3]. In general, the transition amplitude of radiative decays of charmonium states is dominated by the electric dipole (E1) contribution, with higher multipoles suppressed by powers of photon energy divided by charm quark mass [4]. The search for contributions of higher-order multipole amplitudes is of interest as a source of information on the charm quark’s magnetic moment; the possibility of anomalous magnetic moments of heavy quarks being larger than those of lighter ones was raised in Ref. [5]. In $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$ taking the charm quark to have a mass $m_c = 1.5$ GeV/$c^2$ and an anomalous magnetic moment $\kappa$, $M_2 = 0.029(1 + \kappa)$ is predicted [4].

Disagreement between a pure E1 calculation and experimental measurements [6] hints that higher-order multipole amplitudes may exist. These would be reflected in the angular distributions of both the radiative photon and the final state particles [7,8]. Thus, careful investigation of the angular distributions is important.
HIGHER-ORDER MULTIPOLE AMPLITUDE MEASUREMENTS

Several experiments, including the Crystal Ball experiment in $\psi' \rightarrow \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^- (\ell = e$ or $\mu$) [9], the E-835 experiment in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- $ [10], the E-760 experiment in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^- $ [11], and the BESII experiment in $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^- $ [12], have searched for higher-order multipole amplitudes. Because of their limited statistics, they were unable to provide evidence for the existence of higher-order multipoles. More recently, the CLEO experiment reported measurements of higher-order multipole amplitudes in $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \ell^+ \ell^- $ [13], where significant M2 contributions were found in the $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$ and $\chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ transitions. Tables I and II summarize the experimental measurements on searches for higher-order multipole amplitudes.

In this article, $(1.06 \pm 0.04) \times 10^8$ $\psi'$ events [14] accumulated in the BESIII experiment are used in the selection of $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2,\chi_{c1,2} \rightarrow \chi_{c2} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- / K^+K^- $ events, which allow the determination of the higher-order multipole amplitudes in the $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$ transition.

**II. THE BESIII EXPERIMENT AND DATA SET**

This analysis is based on a 156.4 pb$^{-1}$ of $\psi'$ data corresponding to $(1.06 \pm 0.04) \times 10^8$ $\psi'$ events [14] collected with the BESIII detector [15] operating at the BEPCII Collider [16]. In addition, an off-resonance sample of 42.6 pb$^{-1}$ taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.65$ GeV is used for the study of continuum backgrounds.

BESIII/BEPCII [15] is a major upgrade of the BESII experiment at the BEPC accelerator [16] for studies of hadron spectroscopy and $\tau$-charm physics [17]. The design peak luminosity of the double-ring $e^+e^-$ collider, BEPCII, is $10^{33}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ at beam currents of 0.93 A. The BESIII detector with a geometrical acceptance of 93% of $4\pi$ consists of the following main components: (1) a small-celled, helium-based main drift chamber with 43 layers, where the average single wire resolution is 135 $\mu$m, and the momentum resolution for 1 GeV/c charged particles in a 1 T magnetic field is 0.5%; (2) an electromagnetic calorimeter (EMC) made of 6240 CsI (TI) crystals arranged in a cylindrical shape (barrel) plus two end caps (for 1.0 GeV photons, the energy resolution is 2.5% in the barrel and 5% in the end caps, and the position resolution is 6 mm in the barrel and 9 mm in the end caps); (3) a time-of-flight system (TOF) for particle identification (PID) composed of a barrel part made of two layers with 88 pieces of 5 cm thick, 2.4 m long plastic scintillators in each layer, and two end caps with 48 fan-shaped, 5 cm thick, plastic scintillators in each end cap (the time resolution is 80 ps in the barrel and 110 ps in the end caps, corresponding to a $K/\pi$ separation of more than 2$\sigma$ for momenta below about 1 GeV/c); (4) a muon chamber system made of 1000 m$^2$ of resistive plate chambers arranged in nine layers in the barrel and eight layers in the end caps and incorporated in the return iron yoke of the superconducting magnet (the position resolution is about 2 cm).

The optimization of the event selection and the estimation of physics backgrounds are performed through Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The Geant4-based simulation software BOOST [18] includes the geometric and material description of the BESIII detectors and the detector response and digitization models, as well as the tracking of the detector running conditions and performance. The production of the $\psi'$ resonance is simulated by the MC event generator KKMC [19], while the decays are generated by EVTGEN [20] for known decay modes with branching ratios being set to PDG [21] world average values, and by

---

**TABLE I.** Current experimental measurements of the normalized M2 contributions in the decays $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ and $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>$\chi_{c1} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$</th>
<th>$\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c1}$</th>
<th>Signal events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Ball [9]</td>
<td>$-0.002^{+0.008}_{-0.020}$</td>
<td>$0.077_{-0.045}^{+0.050}$</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-835 [10]</td>
<td>$0.002 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEO-c [13]</td>
<td>$-0.0626 \pm 0.0063 \pm 0.0024$</td>
<td>$0.0276 \pm 0.0073 \pm 0.0023$</td>
<td>39363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE II.** Current experimental measurements of the normalized M2 contributions in the decays $\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$ and $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>$\chi_{c2} \rightarrow \gamma J/\psi$</th>
<th>$\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$</th>
<th>Signal events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Ball [9]</td>
<td>$-0.333^{+0.116}_{-0.292}$</td>
<td>$0.132_{-0.075}^{+0.098}$</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-760 [11]</td>
<td>$-0.14 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-835 [10]</td>
<td>$-0.093^{+0.039}_{-0.041} \pm 0.006$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESII [12]</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$-0.051^{+0.054}_{-0.036}$</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEO-c [13]</td>
<td>$-0.079 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>$0.002 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td>19755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LUNDCHARM [22] for the remaining unknown decays. The analysis is performed in the framework of the BESIII offline software system [23] which takes care of the detector calibration, event reconstruction, and data storage.

MC samples of $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0,2} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- / K^+ K^-$ are generated according to phase space to determine the normalization factors in the partial wave analysis [12,24], and MC samples of $\psi' \rightarrow (\gamma) e^+ e^- / (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\psi' \rightarrow X J/\psi (X = n^0 p^o, \eta)$ with $J/\psi \rightarrow (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ are generated for background studies.

### III. DATA ANALYSIS

Charged tracks are reconstructed in the main draft chamber, and the number of charged tracks is required to be 2 and have no net charge. For each track, the polar angle must satisfy $|\cos\theta| < 0.93$, and the point of closest approach must be within $\pm 10$ cm of the interaction point in the beam direction and within $\pm 1$ cm of the beam line in the plane perpendicular to the beam. The TOF (both end cap and barrel) and $dE/dx$ measurements for each charged track are used to calculate $\chi^2_{\text{PID}}(i)$ values and the corresponding confidence levels $\text{Prob}_{\text{PID}}(i)$ for the hypotheses that a track is a pion, kaon, or proton, where $i (i = \pi/K/p)$ is the particle type. For pion candidates, $\text{Prob}_{\text{PID}}(\pi) > 0.001$ is required, while for kaon candidates, $\text{Prob}_{\text{PID}}(K) > \text{Prob}_{\text{PID}}(\pi)$ and $\text{Prob}_{\text{PID}}(K) > 0.001$ are required.

Electromagnetic showers are reconstructed by clustering EMC crystal energies. The energy deposited in nearby TOF counters is included to improve the reconstruction efficiency and energy resolution. Showers identified as photon candidates must satisfy fiducial and shower-quality requirements. The minimum energy is 25 MeV for barrel showers ($|\cos\theta| < 0.8$) and 50 MeV for end-cap showers ($0.86 < |\cos\theta| < 0.92$). The showers in the angular range between the barrel and end cap are poorly reconstructed and excluded from the analysis. To eliminate showers from charged particles, a photon must be separated by at least 20° from any charged track. EMC cluster timing requirements are used to suppress electronic noise and energy deposits unrelated to the event. The number of good photon candidates is required to be larger than or equal to 1 in each event, and the photon with the highest energy is regarded as the radiative photon from $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c2}$.

In order to separate pions and kaons more effectively and to distinguish $\chi_{c0}$ and $\chi_{c2}$ more clearly, a four-constraint kinematic fit is performed with the two charged tracks and the radiative photon candidate under the hypotheses that the two tracks are either $\pi^+ \pi^-$ or $K^+ K^-$, and the kinematic chi-squares, $\chi^2_\pi$ and $\chi^2_K$, are determined. If $\chi^2_\pi < \chi^2_K$ and $\chi^2_\pi < 60$, the event is categorized as $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$; otherwise, if $\chi^2_K < \chi^2_\pi$ and $\chi^2_K < 60$, the event is categorized as $\gamma K^+ K^-$. For the selected $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ candidate events, at least one of the charged tracks is required to be identified as a $\pi$ for $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ or a $K$ for $\gamma K^+ K^-$. To remove $e^+ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) e^+ e^-$ and $\psi' \rightarrow (\gamma) e^+ e^-$ backgrounds, the deposited energy of each track in the EMC is required to be less than 1.4 GeV, and the observed ionization is also required to be within $3\sigma$ of the expected value for each track. Furthermore, in $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$, observed ionization of charged tracks is required to be within $2\sigma$ of the expected value when the polar angle of the charged track is within the EMC insensitive region (i.e., $0.81 < |\cos\theta| < 0.86$). These requirements remove almost all events with two electron tracks but still keep the efficiencies for the signal channels very high: 96% for $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and 97% for $\gamma K^+ K^-$. In $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$, there are $e^+ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\psi' \rightarrow (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ backgrounds due to $\pi/\mu$ misidentification. In order to remove the backgrounds with $\mu^+ \mu^-$, the deposited energy in the EMC of at least one of the charged tracks is required to be larger than 0.34 GeV. Over 99% of the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $\psi' \rightarrow (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^-$ backgrounds are removed after applying this requirement. Since $\mu/K$ misidentification is quite small, it is not necessary to apply this requirement in the $\gamma K^+ K^-$ decay.

After performing all the above selection criteria, clean $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \chi_{c0,2} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- / \gamma K^+ K^-$ data samples

![FIG. 1 (color online). The invariant mass distributions of $\pi^+ \pi^-$ (left panel) and $K^+ K^-$ (right panel) for the selected $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ events from $\psi'$ data. Dots with error bars are data, while blank histograms are the sum of MC simulated backgrounds and normalized continuum background (estimated from the data sample taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.65$ GeV).](image-url)
are obtained. The \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) and \( K^+ K^- \) invariant mass distributions are shown in Fig. 1. Clear \( \chi_{c0} \) and \( \chi_{c2} \) signals are observed, and the background levels within the \( \chi_{c2} \) signal region between 3.53 and 3.59 GeV/\( c^2 \) are 2.6\% [0.7\% \( \psi' \to (\gamma) \mu^+ \mu^- \), 0.8\% normalized continuum, 0.3\% cross contamination from \( \chi_{c2} \to K^+ K^- \), 0.7\% \( \chi_{c0} \) tail, and 0.1\% \( \psi' \to \pi^+ \pi^- / \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \) events] for \( \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \) and 2.1\% [0.7\% cross contamination from \( \chi_{c2} \to \pi^+ \pi^- \), 1.1\% \( \chi_{c0} \) tail, and 0.3\% \( \psi' \to K^+ K^- \) events] for \( \gamma K^+ K^- \). The highest mass peak corresponds to \( \psi' \) decays to two charged tracks that are kinematically fitted with an unassociated low energy photon. Requiring the invariant mass of the two charged tracks to be between 3.53 and 3.59 GeV/\( c^2 \) to select \( \chi_{c2} \), 7154 \( \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \) events and 6657 \( \gamma K^+ K^- \) events are obtained.

IV. FIT TO THE ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS

The formulas for the helicity amplitudes in \( \psi' \to \gamma \chi_{c2} \to \gamma PP \) \( (P = \pi^+ K) \), which include higher-order multipole amplitudes, are widely discussed in Refs. [7,8,12]:

\[
W(\theta_\gamma, \theta_M, \phi_M) = 3 \sin^2 \theta_\gamma \sin^2(2\theta_M)x^2 + \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta_\gamma \right) \sin^4 \theta_M y^2 - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(2\theta_\gamma) \sin(2\theta_M) \sin^2 \theta_M \cos \phi_M x y + \sqrt{6} \sin^2 \theta_\gamma \sin^2 \theta_M (3 \cos^2 \theta_M - 1) \cos 2 \phi_M y + (1 + \cos^2 \theta_\gamma) (3 \cos^2 \theta_M - 1)^2,
\]

where \( x = A_1/A_0, \ y = A_2/A_0, \) and \( A_{0,1,2} \) are the \( \chi_{c2} \) helicity 0, 1, 2 amplitudes, respectively. \( \theta_\gamma \) is the polar angle of the radiative photon, where the electron beam is defined as the \( z \) axis in the \( e^+ e^- \) center-of-mass frame, and \( \theta_M \) and \( \phi_M \) are the polar and azimuthal angles of the \( \pi^/K \) in the \( \chi_{c2} \) rest frame, where the polar axis is defined with respect to the radiative photon direction and \( \phi_M = 0 \) is defined by the electron beam direction.

An unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the joint production and decay angular distribution is performed to determine \( x \) and \( y \) values. We define six factors [24]:

\[
a_1 = 3 \sin^2 \theta_\gamma \sin^2(2\theta_M),
\]

\[
a_2 = \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta_\gamma \right) \sin^4 \theta_M,
\]

\[
a_3 = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(2\theta_\gamma) \sin(2\theta_M) \sin^2 \theta_M \cos \phi_M,
\]

\[
a_4 = \sqrt{6} \sin^2 \theta_\gamma \sin^2 \theta_M (3 \cos^2 \theta_M - 1) \cos 2 \phi_M,
\]

\[
a_5 = (1 + \cos^2 \theta_\gamma) (3 \cos^2 \theta_M - 1)^2.
\]

The mean values of \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \) are determined with \( \psi' \to \gamma \chi_{c2} \), \( \chi_{c2} \to \pi^+ \pi^- / K^+ K^- \) MC events, where phase space is used for the simulation of all the angular distributions:

\[
\bar{a}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_n(i)}{N}, \quad n = 1, \ldots, 6.
\]

Here \( N \) is the number of events after all selections from phase space MC samples. We integrate first the parts independent of the parameters in the angular distribution to make the fit faster. Since \( \bar{a}_n \) is calculated with phase space MC events, it naturally accounts for the detector acceptance effects.

Then, the constructed probability-density function is written as

\[
f(x, y) = \frac{W(\theta_\gamma, \theta_M, \phi_M)}{\bar{a}_1 x^2 + \bar{a}_2 y^2 + \bar{a}_3 x y + \bar{a}_4 x + \bar{a}_5 y + \bar{a}_6}.
\]

In practice, we use the log-likelihood function, which is given by \( \ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln f_i(x, y) \), for convenience, where the sum is over the events in the signal region. The dominant background events are simulated by MC events, and their normalized contributions are subtracted in \( \ln L \) value, i.e., \( \ln L = \ln L - \ln L_{b} \), where \( \ln L_{b} \) is the normalized sum of logarithmic likelihood values from background events.

Before fitting to the data, input and output checks have been done using MC samples, and the checked results verify the validity of the fitting procedure. An unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the \( \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \) and \( \gamma K^+ K^- \) production and decay angular distributions yields

\[
x_\pi = 1.55^{+0.08}_{-0.07}, \quad y_\pi = 2.06^{+0.10}_{-0.09}, \quad \rho_\pi = 0.890, \quad (10)
\]

\[
x_K = 1.55 \pm 0.08, \quad y_K = 2.13^{+0.11}_{-0.10}, \quad \rho_K = 0.902, \quad (11)
\]

where the errors are statistical, and \( \rho_\pi, \rho_K \) are the correlation coefficients between \( x \) and \( y \) for \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) and \( K^+ K^- \), respectively. A simultaneous fit to \( \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \) and \( \gamma K^+ K^- \) gives

\[
x = 1.55 \pm 0.05, \quad y = 2.10 \pm 0.07, \quad \rho = 0.896, \quad (12)
\]

where errors are statistical, and \( \rho \) is the correlation coefficient between \( x \) and \( y \). The normalized M2 and E3 amplitudes are calculated to be \[1\]

\[
M_2 = 0.046 \pm 0.010, \quad E_3 = 0.015 \pm 0.008 \quad (13)
\]

based on the simultaneous fit result, where errors are statistical only. Figure 2 shows the angular distributions
of data and the fitted results for both $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ events. Good agreement is observed for all angular distributions.

The goodness of the fit is estimated using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test [25]. The data sample is divided into $8 \times 8 \times 8 = 512$ bins in $\cos \theta_x$, $\cos \theta_y$, and $\phi_M$, and the $\chi^2$ value is calculated as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(n_i^{DT} - n_i^{MC})^2}{n_i^{DT}},$$

where $n_i^{DT}$ and $n_i^{MC}$ are the number of observed events in the $i$th bin from data and the corresponding number of normalized events from MC using $x$ and $y$ fixed to the values determined in the analysis. Here MC events are 20 times more than data events. For bins with less than seven events, we add the events into the adjacent bin. The result yields $\chi^2/n.d.f. = 377.5/368 = 1.03$ for $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\chi^2_K/n.d.f. = 348.1/354 = 0.98$ for $\gamma K^+ K^-$, where n.d.f. is the number of degrees of freedom. These results show that the fits are good.

V. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS

A. MC simulation of detector response

The consistency between data and MC simulation for $\chi_{c2}$ events can be tested using $\chi_{c0}$ events. The angular distribution of $\chi_{c0}$ is unambiguous, i.e., $W_0 = 1 + \cos^2 \theta_y$. If we replace the $(3 \cos^2 \theta_M - 1)^2$ term in Eq. (1) by 1, then Eq. (1) becomes equal to $W_0$ when both $x$ and $y$ equal zero. Therefore, if we fit the angular distribution of $\chi_{c0}$ events with a modified Eq. (1) using the same method as in $\chi_{c2}$
decays, $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ are expected. Nonzero $x$ and $y$ values from the fit reflect the difference between data and MC and give a measure of the systematic error due to the MC simulation of the detector response. The fitted results are $x = 0.049^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$, $y = -(0.024 \pm 0.011)$, $\rho = 0.037$ for $\gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$, $x_K = 0.073 \pm 0.015$, $y_K = 0.004 \pm 0.010$, $\rho_K = 0.077$ for $\gamma K^+ K^-$, and $x = 0.062 \pm 0.011$, $y = -(0.008 \pm 0.007)$, $\rho = 0.058$ for the simultaneous fit. The systematic error is taken as the shift from 0 plus its error. Assuming the correlation factor is 1 between $x$ and $y$ for the central value, 0.06, 0.04, and $-0.63$ are obtained for $\Delta x_\gamma$, $\Delta y_\gamma$, and $\rho_\gamma$, respectively; 0.08, 0.02, and 0.52 are obtained for $\Delta x_K$, $\Delta y_K$, and $\rho_K$, respectively; and for the simultaneous fit, 0.07, 0.02, and $-0.43$ are obtained for $\Delta x$, $\Delta y$, and $\rho$, respectively. Studies with the MC demonstrate that a systematic error in modeling the $\theta_\gamma$, $\phi_M$, or $\theta_M$ efficiency produces a shift of $x$ and $y$ of approximately the same size in both $\chi_c^0$ and $\chi_c^2$ samples, where the latter sample is generated with our nominal results for $x$ and $y$. Therefore, we assume the observed shift from $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ for the true $\chi_c^0$ data is an estimate of the systematic error on the measured values of $x$ and $y$ for radiative decays to $\chi_c^2$.

The systematic error of the detector response contains systematic errors associated with the simulation of charged track finding, photon detection efficiency, mass resolution of $\chi_c^2$, kinematic fit, PID efficiency, trigger efficiency, etc. Comparisons between data and MC simulation for the angular distributions of $\chi_c^0$ decay events are shown in Fig. 3.
B. $\mu^+\mu^-$ background in $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

In $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$, the dominant backgrounds are $\gamma\mu^+\mu^-$ events and continuum events, which contribute at the 1.5% level. The $\psi'\rightarrow (\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$ background events are estimated by MC simulation, while the continuum events are estimated using the data sample taken at $\sqrt{s} = 3.65$ GeV. The $\mu/\pi$ misidentification ratio has been checked using a control sample of $\psi'\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\mu^+\mu^-$, and its value is measured to be $(1.7\pm 2.6\%)$. Considering the uncertainty from the $\psi'\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ branching ratio (10.4%) [21], the $(\gamma)\mu^+\mu^-$ background level is determined to be $(1.5\pm 0.2\%)$. We vary the background level by $1\sigma$ (from 1.5% to 1.7% or 1.3%) in the fit and take the difference of the fitted $x$ and $y$ values as the systematic error due to the $\mu^+\mu^-$ background uncertainty. The differences are $\Delta x = 0.02$, $\Delta y = 0.03$.

C. $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ cross contamination

The systematic error arising from $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+K^-$ cross contamination is also determined by MC simulation. The $\gamma K^+ K^-$ background contamination in $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ is 0.3%, while the $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ background contamination in $\gamma K^+ K^-$ is 0.7%. Signal MC samples of $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ with $x = \sqrt{3}$, $y = \sqrt{6}$ are generated and mixed according to the estimated amount of cross contamination determined by MC simulation. The differences on the fitted $x$ and $y$ values are taken as the systematic errors due to the $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma K^+ K^-$ cross contamination, which are $\Delta x_\pi = 0.01$, $\Delta y_\pi = 0.02$ for $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Delta x_K = 0.01$, $\Delta y_K = 0.02$ for $\gamma K^+ K^-$. 

D. $\chi_{c0}$ contamination

There are some $\chi_{c0}$ events in the $\chi_{c2}$ signal region due to the overlap of the $\pi^+\pi^-/K^+ K^-$ invariant mass peaks. The contamination from $\chi_{c0}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ background events is only about 0.7% for $\chi_{c2}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, and the contamination from $\chi_{c0}\rightarrow K^+ K^-$ background events is 1.1% for $\chi_{c2}\rightarrow K^+ K^-$ according to MC simulations. Signal MC samples of $\chi_{c0}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-/K^+ K^-$ are generated and mixed into $\chi_{c2}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-/K^+ K^-$ signal MC samples according to the estimated contamination ratio determined by MC simulation. The differences on the fitted $x$ and $y$ values from the input ones are taken as the systematic errors. The systematic uncertainties due to $\chi_{c0}$ contamination can be ignored for $\chi_{c2}\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, while they are $\Delta x_K = 0.01$, $\Delta y_K = 0.02$ for $\chi_{c2}\rightarrow K^+ K^-$. 

E. Total systematic error

The systematic error sources discussed above are summarized in Table III. Here the correlation coefficients $(\rho_\pi$ and $\rho_K$) from background uncertainties, including $\mu^+\mu^-$ background, $\pi/K$ cross contamination background, and $\chi_{c0}$ background contamination, are set to 1, and the total correlation coefficient $\rho$ is calculated as $\rho = \sum_i \rho_{i,\pi,\rho_K}$, where $i$ runs over all systematic errors. The total systematic errors are $\Delta x_\pi = 0.07$, $\Delta y_\pi = 0.06$ in $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$, $\Delta x_K = 0.08$, $\Delta y_K = 0.04$ in $\gamma K^+ K^-$, and $\Delta x = 0.07$, $\Delta y = 0.05$ in the simultaneous fit.

VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The final helicity amplitude results are 

$$x_\pi = 1.55_{-0.07}^{+0.08} \pm 0.07, \quad y_\pi = 2.06_{-0.08}^{+0.10} \pm 0.06,$$

$$\rho_{\pi}^{\text{stat}} = 0.890, \quad \rho_{\pi}^{\text{sys}} = -0.17$$

for $\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$, 

$$x_K = 1.55 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08, \quad y_K = 2.13_{-0.10}^{+0.11} \pm 0.04,$$

$$\rho_{K}^{\text{stat}} = 0.902, \quad \rho_{K}^{\text{sys}} = 0.38$$

for $\gamma K^+ K^-$, and 

$$x = 1.55 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07, \quad y = 2.10 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.05,$$

$$\rho_{\text{stat}}^{\mu^+\mu^-} = 0.896, \quad \rho_{\text{sys}}^{\mu^+\mu^-} = 0.26$$

for the simultaneous fit, where the first errors are statistical and the second systematic. $\rho_{\text{stat}}^{\mu^+\mu^-}$ and $\rho_{\text{sys}}^{\mu^+\mu^-}$ are the correlation coefficients between $x$ and $y$ of the statistical and systematic errors. Then the normalized M2 and E3 amplitudes are determined to be 

$$M2 = 0.046 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.013,$$

$$E3 = 0.015 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.018,$$

where the first errors are statistical and the second systematic. By investigating the difference of the logarithmic likelihoods between a pure E1 transition and the best nominal fit, the statistical significance of the M2 amplitude contribution is estimated to be $4.4\sigma$, which means

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$x_\pi$</th>
<th>$y_\pi$</th>
<th>$p_\pi$</th>
<th>$x_K$</th>
<th>$y_K$</th>
<th>$\rho_K$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MC simulation</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu^+\mu^-$ background</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi/K$ cross contamination</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_{c0}$ contamination</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>\ldots</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. Summary of the systematic errors and correlations.
evidence of the M2 contribution has been observed. As for the E3 signal, the current measurement is consistent with zero. The M2 experimental results from different measurements are shown in Fig. 4. Our measurement agrees with predictions and is consistent with CLEO’s result within $2\sigma$ when E3 is free [13] and BESII’s result [12] within 1.7$\sigma$.

In summary, the higher-order multipole amplitudes in $\psi' \to \gamma\gamma X \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-/\gamma K^+K^-$ are studied with the BESIII experiment based on $(1.06 \pm 0.04) \times 10^8 \psi'$ events. Evidence of an M2 amplitude is observed. This measurement agrees with predictions and is consistent with the charm quark having no anomalous magnetic moment [1,2,4].
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