

ON THE ERROR TERM IN AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR THE SYMMETRIC SQUARE L -FUNCTION

YUK-KAM LAU

(Communicated by Wen-Ching Winnie Li)

ABSTRACT. Recently Wu proved that for all primes q ,

$$\sum_f L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} q + O(q^{27/28} \log^B q)$$

where f runs over all normalized newforms of weight 2 and level q . Here we show that $27/28$ can be replaced by $9/10$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let q be a prime and

$$\Gamma_0(q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : q|c \right\}.$$

We denote by $S_2(q)$ the space of all holomorphic cusp forms for $\Gamma_0(q)$ of weight 2. With respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_0(q) \backslash \mathbf{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dx dy,$$

$S_2(q)$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and there is an orthogonal basis $\mathcal{B}_2(q)$ (which is the set of all normalized newforms in $S_2(q)$) such that

- (i) each $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$ is a common eigenvector of all Hecke operators T_n with $(n, q) = 1$, i.e. when $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$ and $(n, q) = 1$,

$$T_n f = \lambda_f(n) f;$$

- (ii) the Fourier expansion of $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$ is

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) \sqrt{n} e(nz)$$

where $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$, $\lambda_f(n)$ is the eigenvalue in (i) if $(n, q) = 1$ and $\lambda_f(n)^2 = l^{-1} \lambda_f(m)^2$ if $n = lm$ where l is a power of q and $(m, q) = 1$ (see [3, (2.19) and (2.24)]).

Received by the editors September 17, 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F67.

For the properties of $\lambda_f(n)$, it is known that they are all real and satisfy the Deligne bound $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$. (Here and in the sequel $\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ is the divisor function.) Moreover we have

$$(1) \quad \lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \epsilon_q(d)\lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

where ϵ_q is the principal character mod q . In particular, we see that $\lambda_f(1) = 1$. Associated to each $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$, we define the symmetric square L -function by

$$(2) \quad L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) = \zeta_q(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n^2)n^{-s} \quad \text{for } \Re s > 1,$$

where $\zeta_q(s) = \prod_{p \nmid q} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$. This L -function extends to an entire function over \mathbb{C} and it satisfies a functional equation; more precisely, let us write

$$(3) \quad \Lambda(s, \text{sym}^2 f) = \left(\frac{q}{\pi^{3/2}}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{s+2}{2}\right) L(s, \text{sym}^2 f).$$

Then we have $\Lambda(s, \text{sym}^2 f) = \Lambda(1-s, \text{sym}^2 f)$. Analogous to the Riemann zeta function, the values attained by $L(s, \text{sym}^2 f)$ in the critical strip are interesting. Particularly for $s = 1$ and all large prime q , we have the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} q + O(q^\alpha \log^\beta q)$$

for some constants $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$. Here, we are concerned with the size of the error term. In [1], Akbary proved that $\alpha = 45/46$ is admissible and recently Wu gave an improvement to $\alpha = 27/28$ (see [5]). Our purpose is to show the refinement below.

Theorem. *Let q be a prime. There is an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\zeta(2)^3}{2\pi^2} q + O(q^{9/10} \log^c q).$$

(Note that $\zeta(2)^3/(2\pi^2) = \pi^4/432$.)

Remark. In decimal form we have $\frac{45}{46} \approx 0.978$, $\frac{27}{28} \approx 0.964$ and $\frac{9}{10} = 0.9$.

2. SOME PREPARATION

Lemma 1. *Let $A > 1$ be any fixed constant and $q \ll y \ll q^A$ but $y \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We have*

$$L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \zeta_q(2) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} + O(q^\epsilon (y^{-1} + (\frac{q}{y})^{2/7}))$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant and the implied constant in the O -term depends on ϵ .

Proof. This follows from the truncated Perron’s formula. Using the estimate

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{s+2}{2}\right) \asymp |t|^{(3\sigma+1)/2} e^{-3\pi|t|/4}$$

for $s = \sigma + it$ where $\sigma \ll 1$ and $|t| \gg 1$, we can derive from the functional equation the convexity bound: for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$,

$$(4) \quad L(\sigma + it, \text{sym}^2 f) \ll (q|t|^{3/2})^{1-\sigma+\epsilon}.$$

By [2, Lemma 12.1], we see that for any $T \gg 1$,

$$(5) \quad \zeta_q(2) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} = \frac{\zeta_q(2)}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon-iT}^{\epsilon+iT} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2) y^s}{n^{1+s}} \frac{y^s}{s} ds + O\left(y^\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)^2}{n^{1+\epsilon}} \min(1, (T|\log \frac{y}{n}|)^{-1})\right).$$

To evaluate the O -term, we split the summation over n into three pieces: $n \leq y/2$, $n \geq 3y/2$ and $y/2 < n < 3y/2$. As $|\log(y/n)| \gg 1$ in the first two pieces, these two sums are $O(T^{-1}y^\epsilon)$. The third one is

$$\ll y^\epsilon T^{-1} \sum_{\substack{y/2 < n < 3y/2 \\ |n-y| \geq 1}} |y-n|^{-1} + y^{-1+\epsilon} \ll y^\epsilon (T^{-1} + y^{-1}).$$

Thus the overall contribution is absorbed in the O -term in our lemma.

From (2), we can replace $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n^2) n^{-(1+s)}$ in (5) by

$$\zeta_q(2+2s)^{-1} L(1+s, \text{sym}^2 f).$$

Then we apply the residue theorem to the rectangular contour with vertices at $\epsilon \pm iT$ and $-1/2 + \epsilon \pm iT$. The integral in (5) equals a sum of two terms: the main term $L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)$ from the pole at $s = 0$, and the remainder term which is

$$\ll \int_{-1/2+\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left| \frac{L(1+\alpha+iT, \text{sym}^2 f)}{\zeta_q(2+2\alpha+i2T)} \right| \frac{y^\alpha}{T} d\alpha + y^{-1/2+\epsilon} \cdot \int_{-T}^T \left| \frac{L(1/2+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^2 f)}{\zeta_q(1+2\epsilon+i2t)} \right| \frac{dt}{1+|t|}.$$

Using the bound $\zeta(\sigma+it)^{-1} \ll \log(1+|t|)$ for $\sigma \geq 1$ and $|t| \gg 1$, the two O -terms are $\ll (qT)^\epsilon (y^{-1/2} q^{1/2} T^{3/4} + T^{-1})$. The proof is complete after setting $T = (y/q)^{2/7}$.

Our next task is to extend the admissible range in [5, Lemma 2]. To this end, we modify the mean square estimate result in [4, Corollary 1]. Suppose $M \leq q^9$ and $\{a_n\}_{1 \leq n \leq M}$ is a sequence of complex numbers. Then by taking $a_n = 0$ for $M < n \leq q^9$, [4, Proposition 1] with $N = q^9$ gives

$$(6) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \left| \sum_{n \leq M} a_n \rho_f(n) \right|^2 \ll q^9 (\log q)^{15} \sum_{n \leq M} |a_n|^2$$

where $\rho_f(n) = \sum_{lm^2=n} \epsilon_q(m) \lambda_f(l^2)$. (Note that $\mathcal{B}_2(q) = S_2(q)^*$ in [4] for prime q .)

Lemma 2. *Let $M \gg 1$ and suppose that $\{a(n)\}_{M < n \leq 2M}$ satisfies*

$$a(n) \ll \frac{(\tau(n) \log n)^A}{n}$$

for some constant $A > 0$. There exists a constant $B = B(A) \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \left| \sum_{M < n \leq 2M} a(n) \lambda_f(n^2) \right|^2 \ll \max(1, q^9 M^{-1}) \log^B(qM).$$

The implied constant depends on A .

Proof. When $M \geq q^9$, it follows immediately from [4, Corollary 1] (by taking $N = M$). Consider the case $M < q^9$. From [4, (16)], we have

$$S := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \left| \sum_{M < n \leq 2M} a(n) \lambda_f(n^2) \right|^2 = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \left| \sum_{l < 2M} a_l \rho_f(l) \right|^2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_l &= \sum_{\sqrt{M/l} < m \leq \sqrt{2M/l}} \mu(m) \epsilon_q(m) a(lm^2) \\ &\ll \frac{(\tau(l) \log 2l)^A}{l} \sum_{\sqrt{M/l} < m \leq \sqrt{2M/l}} \frac{(\tau(m) \log 2m)^{2A}}{m^2} \\ &\ll (Ml)^{-1/2} (\log Ml)^B \end{aligned}$$

(see the proof of [4, Corollary 1] as well). B denotes an unspecified positive constant depending on A and its value may differ at each occurrence in the proof. By (6),

$$S \ll q^9 (\log q)^{15} \sum_{l < 2M} (Ml)^{-1} (\log Ml)^B \ll q^9 M^{-1} \log^B(qM).$$

□

Define for $1 \leq x < y$,

$$\omega_f(x, y) = \sum_{x \leq n < y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $x > 0$ and $x < y \ll q^A$ for some constant $A > 0$. Suppose $r \geq 1$ is a fixed integer satisfying $x^r \geq q^9$. Then there exists a constant $D = D(r) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \ll (\log q)^D$$

where the implied constant depends on A and r .

Proof. Following the argument in the proof of [4, Lemma 4], one can show that

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{x^r \leq mn < y^r} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn}$$

where $c(m, n)$ is independent of f and $c(m, n) = 0$ if n is not of the form $n = dn_1$ where $d|m$ and n_1 is squarefull. Moreover, $|c(m, n)| \leq \tau(mn)^\gamma$ for some integer $\gamma = \gamma(r) > 0$ depending on r . Then we write

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{H=2^k} \sum_{\substack{x^r \leq mn < y^r \\ H \leq n < 2H}} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn}$$

where the first summation runs over all nonnegative integers k . Define

$$c_H(m) = \sum_{\substack{H \leq n < 2H \\ x^r m^{-1} \leq n < y^r m^{-1}}} \frac{c(m, n)}{n}.$$

Then, using $\sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ \text{squarefull}}} \tau(n)^\gamma \ll z^{1/2}(\log z)^{2^\gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_H(m) &\ll \tau(m)^\gamma \sum_{d|m} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{H \leq dn < 2H \\ n \text{ squarefull}}} \frac{\tau(n)^\gamma}{n} \\
 &\ll \tau(m)^\gamma \left(\sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d > \sqrt{H}}} d^{-1} + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d < \sqrt{H}}} d^{-1} \sum_{\substack{H/d \leq n < 2H/d \\ n \text{ squarefull}}} \frac{\tau(n)^\gamma}{n} \right) \\
 (7) \quad &\ll H^{-1/2}(\tau(m)(\log m)(\log H))^D.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use D to denote a positive constant (depending on r) which may assume different values at other places. Making use of (7) for $H \geq q$,

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{H=2^k < q} \sum_{x^r/(2H) < m \leq y^r/H} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c_H(m)}{m} + O(q^{-1/2} \log^D q).$$

Squaring both sides and averaging over all $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \\
 (8) \quad &\ll \left(\sum_{H=2^k < q} H^{-1} \sum_f \left| \sum_{x^r/(2H) < m \leq y^r/H} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c_H(m)\sqrt{H}}{m} \right|^2 + 1 \right) \log^D q
 \end{aligned}$$

as $(\sum_{i \in I} a_i)^2 \ll |I| \sum_{i \in I} a_i^2$ and $|\mathcal{B}_2(q)| \ll q$. For each H , we split the range of the summation over m into dyadic intervals $M < m \leq 2M$ where $M \geq x^r/(2H)$. It follows from Lemma 2 and (7) that

$$\sum_f \left| \sum_{x^r/(2H) < m \leq y^r/H} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c_H(m)\sqrt{H}}{m} \right|^2 \ll \max(1, q^9 x^{-r} H) \log^D q.$$

Inserting it into (8), we conclude that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \ll \log^D q \sum_{H=2^k < q} \max(H^{-1}, q^9 x^{-r}),$$

and our result follows in view of the condition $x^r \geq q^9$. □

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Define for $f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)$, $w_f = 4\pi \langle f, f \rangle$, which is a positive real number. We have from [3, Lemma 2.5] that $w_f = (2\pi^2)^{-1} q L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)$ and from [3, Corollary 2.2] (with $\tau_3((m, n)) \leq \tau((m, n))^2 \leq \tau(m)\tau(n)$) that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} w_f^{-1} \lambda_f(m^2) \lambda_f(n^2) = \delta(m, n) + O(q^{-1} (mn)^{1/2} (\tau(m)\tau(n))^2 \log 2mn)$$

for $\min(m, n) < q$, where $\delta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Kronecker delta. (Note that $w_f^{-1} = \omega_f$ in [4].) In particular, $\sum_f w_f^{-1} \ll 1$ as $\lambda_f(1) = 1$.

We split the sum over n in Lemma 1 into two subsums $\sum_{n \leq x} + \sum_{x < n \leq y}$ where $1 < x < q < y$. (Our choice will be $x = q^{9/10}$ and $y = q^{173/110}$.) Squaring the

formula in Lemma 1 together with the bound $L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) \ll \log^3 q$ (from [4, (18)]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) &= \frac{q}{2\pi^2} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} w_f^{-1} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)^2 \\ (10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{q}{2\pi^2} \zeta_q(2)(S_1 + 2S_2 + S_3) + O(q^\epsilon(y^{-1} + (\frac{q}{y})^{2/7})) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_f w_f^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \right)^2, \\ S_2 &= \sum_f w_f^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \right) \left(\sum_{x < n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \right), \\ S_3 &= \sum_f w_f^{-1} \left(\sum_{x < n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the bound $w_f^{-1} \ll q^{-1} \log q$ (see [4, (20)]) and Lemma 3 that if $x^r \geq q^9$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &\ll \frac{\log q}{q} \sum_f \omega_f(x, y)^2 \ll \left(\sum_f \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \right)^{1/r} |\mathcal{B}_2(q)|^{1-1/r} q^{-1} \log q \\ (11) \qquad &\ll q^{-1/r} \log^{c_{11}} q. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout c_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, denote unspecified positive constants. Using (9), we obtain that for $x < q$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{n \leq x} n^{-2} + O(q^{-1} \sum_{m, n \leq x} (mn)^{-1/2} \tau(m)^2 \tau(n)^2 \log 2mn) \\ (12) \qquad &= \zeta(2) + O(x^{-1} + q^{-1} x \log^{c_{11}} q). \end{aligned}$$

To treat S_2 , we split it into two parts: let $z = qx^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \qquad S_2 &= \sum_f w_f^{-1} \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \sum_{x < n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} + \sum_f w_f^{-1} \sum_{z < n \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \sum_{x < n \leq y} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \\ &= S_{21} + S_{22}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By (9), we have, provided that $z \leq x$ (or equivalently $x \geq q^{1/2}$),

$$S_{21} \ll q^{-1} (\log^{c_{11}} q) \sum_{m \leq z} \sum_{n \leq y} \tau(m)^2 \tau(n)^2 (mn)^{-1/2} \ll \sqrt{\frac{y}{qx}} \log^{c_{11}} q.$$

Applying the argument in (12), we get that

$$\sum_f w_f^{-1} \left(\sum_{z < n \leq x} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n} \right)^2 \ll z^{-1} + q^{-1} x \log^{c_{11}} q \ll q^{-1} x \log^{c_{11}} q.$$

By $ab \ll |a|^2 + |b|^2$ and (11), we have $S_{22} \ll (q^{-1/r} + q^{-1}x) \log^{c_{11}} q$. Hence, by (13),

$$S_2 \ll (q^{-1/r} + q^{-1}x + (\frac{y}{qx})^{1/2}) \log^{c_{11}} q.$$

Putting this estimate, (11) and (12) into (10), we infer that as $\zeta_q(2) = \zeta(2) + O(q^{-2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2(q)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) &= \frac{\zeta(2)^3}{2\pi^2} q + qO((q^{-1/r} + q^{-1}x) \log^{c_{11}} q \\ &\quad + q^\epsilon (x^{-1} + (\frac{y}{qx})^{1/2} + (\frac{q}{y})^{2/7})). \end{aligned}$$

Subject to the condition $x^r \geq q^9$, we take $x = q^{9/r}$ and select $r = 10$, $x = q^{9/10}$ and $y = q^{173/110}$ by equating $q^{-1/r} = q^{-1}x$. This ends the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Akbary, *Average values of symmetric square L -functions at $\Re s = 2$* , C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 22 (2000), 97-104. MR **2001h**:11067
- [2] A. Ivić, "The Riemann Zeta-Function," Wiley, New York, 1985. MR **87d**:11062
- [3] H. Iwaniec, W. Luo and P. Sarnak, *Low lying zeros of families of L -functions*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 91 (2000), 55-131. MR **2002h**:11081
- [4] E. Kowalski and P. Michel, *The analytic rank of $J_0(q)$ and zeros of automorphic L -functions*, Duke Math. J. 100 (1999), 503-542. MR **2001b**:11060
- [5] J. Wu, *Average values of symmetric square L -functions at the edge of the critical strip*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 1063-1070.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG

E-mail address: yklau@maths.hku.hk