The Survey on Mobile Library Services in Hong Kong and Singapore Academic Libraries



By Service Model Team of Next Gen 2011: Next Decade –Staff Development Programme

Ang Samantha (NTU library) Chia, Yew Boon (NTU library) Ivan Chan (CUHK libraries) Kevin Leung (CUHK libraries) Kit Li (CityU library) KU, Kam-ming (HKU libraries)

June 2012

Table of Contents

Pre	face		. 3
1.	Summary o	of Findings	4
2.	Survey Res	ults	. 5
9	Section A – Ba	ackground Information	. 5
	Table 1:	Question 1: Name of institution	. 5
	Table 2:	Number of respondents, broken out by city	. 5
	Table 3:	Question 2: Gender	. 5
	Table 4:	Question 3: Age group	6
	Table 5:	Question 4: Main area of study	6
	Table 6:	Question 5: Programme which you are enrolled in	. 7
	Table 7:	Question 6: Year of study	. 7
S	Section B –Lib	orary Services and Online Resources Usage	8
	Table 8:	Question 7: How often do you physically visit the campus library?	. 8
	Table 9:	Mean of users physically visit the campus library, broken out by city	. 8
	Table 10:	Question 8: How many hours do you spend online per week?	. 8
	Table 11:	Mean of users on how many hours spending online per week, broken out by city	. 8
	Table 12: related acti	Question 9: With reference to Q8, how much of the online time is spent on study-	. 9
	Table 13:	Mean of users on the online time spent on study-related activities, broken out by city	. 9
	Table 14:	Question 10: How do you prefer to access course readings?	. 9
	Table 15:	Mean of users on their preferences in accessing course readings, broken out by city	.9
	Table 16:	Question 11: How often do you access the library website for the following services?	LO
	Table 17:	Mean of users access the library websites for the following services, broken out by cit 14	У
	Table 18: the campus	Question 12: Are you aware of the following facilities and services currently offered by library?	
	Table 19: library, bro	Mean of users on awareness of facilities and services currently offered by the campus ken by city	
	Table 20:	Question 13: Which of the following statement best describes your attitude towards ew technologies in mobile devices?	L7

	Table 21:	Mean of users on the following statements that best describes their attitude toward	iS
	adopting n	ew technologies in mobile devices, broken out by city	. 18
	Table 22:	Question 14: What type(s) of handheld mobile device do you often use?	. 18
	Table 23: city	Mean of users on using the following type(s) of handheld mobile device, broken out	•
	Table 24:	Question 15: Can you access the Web with your mobile device?	. 19
	Table 25: out by city.	Mean of users on whether they can access the Web with their mobile device, broker	
	Table 26: resources o	Question 16: How often do you use your mobile device to access the following or services?	20
	Table 27: resources of	Mean of users on how often do they use their mobile device to access the following or services, broken out by city	
	Table 28: devices, ho	Question 17: If the following library resources and services are available on mobile we likely would you use them?	. 27
	Table 29: services if t	Mean of users on how likely they would use the following library resources and they are available on mobile devices, broken out by city	. 31
	Table 30: TEXT/SMS	Question 18: If you own a mobile device, how likely would you use the following library services?	. 33
	Table 31: if they own	Mean of users about how likely would they use the following TEXT/SMS library serving a mobile device, broken out by city	
	Table 32: resources of	Question 19: If you are using mobile device, how likely would you access the following service to begin your research for an assignment?	_
	Table 33: begin resea	Mean of users on how likely would they access the following resources or services to arch if they are using a mobile device, broken out by city	
S	Section C – Co	omments and Suggestions	. 39
2	Discussion	s	49

Preface

Survey on Mobile Library Services in Hong Kong and Singapore Academic Libraries

The captioned survey wasco-organized by libraries of The Chinese University of Hong Kong, The City University of Hong Kong, Nanyang Technological University and The University of Hong Kong in October 2011.

The primary objectives of this survey are:

- 1. To identify the needs and preferences of students with regard to mobile library services; and
- 2. To recommend a suite of pertinent mobile library services for students.

In this survey, the mobile library services are referring to library online contents and resources, which can be delivered and accommodated for display in mobile devices. We hope that the results and responses to this survey will be useful to participating libraries in order to plan and deliver pertinent mobile library services.

Thank you to colleagues from the participating libraries who contributed and helped with this important survey.

Last but not least, we would like to express our gratitude to Annie Talve and Monica Redden, our facilitators of Next Gen /Next Decade 2011–the Staff development programme for university librarians in Hong Kong, Singapore and Shanghai. This project could not have happened without Annie and Monica's inspirations and encouragement to bring four academic libraries from two cities to work together on this survey.

1. Summary of Findings

Background

- 1. A total of 505 completed responses from students were received, in which responses from CUHK, CityU, NTU and HKU were 17.3%, 35.4%, 11.5% and 35.8% respectively.
- 2. The proportion of female and male respondents was 50.5% and 49.5% respectively.
- 3. 49.1% respondents were undergraduate students, while 18% respondents were post-graduate students. Students from Science and Engineering departments represented the greatest proportion of respondents (37.2%).

Library services

- 4. Most respondents (58.2%) physically visited the campus libraries a few time a week, in which 19.4% respondents visited the libraries on a daily basis.
- 5. The greatest awareness of library services and facilities related to PC facility (85.1%), book renewal (76%) and library catalogue (75.8%). The least known services were online tutorials (25.1%), library tutorial class/workshop (27.7%) and requesting help for writing a term paper (28.1%).

Online resource usage

- 6. Almost half of respondents (46.8%) spent more than 20 hours online per week.
- 7. 42.6% respondents spent about 26% to 50% of their online time on study-related activities.
- 8. Regarding services available in the library website, the most frequently used services were searching e-bookand journal articles (8.7% daily, 30.7% at least once a week), conducting research for assignment (8.3% daily, 31.7% at least once a week) and searching library catalogue (7.7% very likely, 34.1% at least once a week). However, around 47% to 59% respondents have never used library audio tour/podcast, registering for library workshop and contacting librarian online.

Mobile library services

- 9. The possession rate of mobile devices was 93.8%. The most popular mobile devices were smartphone (67.1%) and tablet (19%). 71.3% respondents often used the mobile devices to access the Internet.
- 10. If the library can provide mobile library applications, the service that rated as very likely to be used included book renewal (61.8%), searching library catalogue (55.2%), viewing borrower details (53.9%), searching e-books/journal articles (50.9%) and book a group study room (36.6%). However, almost 40% of respondents rated very unlikely and unlikely to audio tour/podcast (13.1% unlikely and 29.9% unlikely) and ask a librarian online (10.3% very unlikely, 26.3% unlikely).

11. If the library can provide text/SMS services, receiving renewal and overdue notice (61.6% very likely), and recall notification (56.8% very likely) were the mostly wanted text services.

2. Survey Results

Section A - Background Information

Abbreviations used:

CUHK The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
CItyU The City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
NTU Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
HKU The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Table 1: Question 1: Name of institution

Institution	синк	CityU	NTU	нки	Total
Numbers of respondents	87	179	58	181	505
Percentage	17.3%	35.4%	11.5%	35.8%	100%

Table 2: Number of respondents, broken out by city

City	Hong Kong	Singapore
Numbers of respondents	447	58
Percentage	88.5%	11.5%

Table 3: Question 2: Gender

Institution	Total	синк	CityU	NTU	нки
Male	49.5%	44.8%	45.8%	41.4%	60.8%
Female	50.5%	55.2%	54.2%	58.6%	39.2%

Table 4: Question 3: Age group

Institution					
	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	HKU
Age group					
16-25	81.0%	82.8%	79.9%	74.1%	83.4%
26-35	11.5%	10.3%	13.4%	20.7%	7.2%
36-45	5.5%	5.7%	5.0%	3.5%	6.6%
46-55	1.8%	1.2%	1.7%	0.0%	2.8%
56-65	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%

Table 5: Question 4: Main area of study

Institution					
Main area of study	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	НКИ
Accountancy	3.6%	0.0%	6.1%	3.4%	2.8%
Arts & Humanities	11.7%	13.8%	9.5%	12.1%	12.7%
Business Administration	13.5%	2.3%	20.7%	3.5%	14.9%
Education	3.4%	3.5%	0.6%	1.7%	6.6%
Law	3.6%	2.3%	3.4%	0.0%	5.5%
Medicine	3.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
Science & Engineering	37.2%	49.4%	30.7%	63.8%	29.3%
Social Sciences	13.3%	13.8%	18.4%	8.6%	9.4%
Others	10.1%	5.7%	10.6%	6.9%	12.7%

Table 6: Question 5: Programme which you are enrolled in

Institution					
	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	нки
Programme					
enrolled					
Certificate	1.6%	1.1%	1.7%	0.0%	2.2%
Diploma	15.4%	3.4%	10.6%	0.0%	30.9%
Bachelor degree	49.1%	69.0%	49.2%	58.6%	36.5%
Master programme	12.9%	12.6%	17.3%	25.9%	4.4%
Doctoral programme	5.1%	9.2%	4.5%	12.1%	1.7%
Professional development course	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%	1.7%	2.8%
Others	14.1%	3.5%	15.6%	1.7%	21.5%

Table 7: Question 6: Year of study

Institution					
	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	HKU
Year of study					
First year	39.4%	33.3%	40.8%	43.1%	39.8%
Second year	27.3%	27.6%	26.8%	13.8%	32.0%
Third year	19.4%	25.3%	20.1%	12.1%	18.2%
Fourth year	3.4%	4.6%	1.7%	12.1%	1.7%
Postgraduate	8.1%	8.1%	7.8%	17.2%	5.5%
Others	2.4%	1.1%	2.8%	1.7%	2.8%

Section B -Library Services and Online Resources Usage

Table 8: Question 7: How often do you physically visit the campus library?

	Daily	A few times a		Once a	A few times a	Never
	Dally	week	Once a week	month	year	IACACI
Total	19.4%	38.8%	21.0%	7.7%	8.3%	4.8%
СИНК	11.5%	33.3%	32.3%	8.0%	11.5%	3.4%
CityU	28.5%	48.6%	15.6%	2.8%	3.4%	1.1%
NTU	31.0%	51.8%	6.9%	6.9%	1.7%	1.7%
НКИ	10.5%	27.6%	25.5%	12.7%	13.8%	9.9%

Table 9: Mean of users physically visit the campus library, broken out by city

	Daily	A few times a week	Once a week	Once a month	A few times a year	Never
Hong Kong	16.8%	36.5%	24.4%	7.8%	9.6%	4.9%
Singapore	31.0%	51.7%	6.9%	6.9%	1.7%	1.8%

Table 10: Question 8: How many hours do you spend online per week?

	Less than 5hrs	5-10 hrs	11-20 hrs	21-30 hrs	31-40 hrs	More than 40 hrs
Total	15.4%	20.2%	17.6%	17.8%	11.9%	17.1%
синк	17.3%	10.3%	17.3%	21.8%	12.6%	20.7%
CityU	15.1%	24.0%	21.2%	12.3%	11.2%	16.2%
NTU	10.3%	12.1%	17.2%	20.7%	19.0%	20.7%
НКИ	16.6%	23.8%	14.4%	20.4%	9.9%	14.9%

Table 11: Mean of users on how many hours spending online per week, broken out by city

	Less than 5hrs	5-10 hrs	11-20 hrs	21-30 hrs	31-40 hrs	More than 40 hrs
Hong Kong	16.3%	19.4%	17.6%	18.2%	11.2%	17.3%
Singapore	10.3%	12.1%	17.2%	20.7%	19.0%	20.7%

Table 12: Question 9: With reference to Q8, how much of the online time is spent on study-related activities?

	0%	1-25%	26-50%	51-75%	76-100%
Total	0.2%	30.5%	42.6%	20.2%	6.5%
СИНК	0.0%	37.9%	40.3%	14.9%	6.9%
CityU	0.0%	25.7%	44.7%	22.3%	7.3%
NTU	0.0%	36.2%	36.2%	22.4%	5.2%
НКИ	0.6%	29.8%	43.6%	19.9%	6.1%

Table 13: Mean of users on the online time spent on study-related activities, broken out by city

	0%	1-25%	26-50%	51-75%	76-100%
Hong Kong	0.2%	31.1%	42.8%	19.0%	6.8%
Singapore	0.0%	36.2%	36.2%	22.4%	5.2%

Table 14: Question 10: How do you prefer to access course readings?

	Read the materials online entirely	Download and print the materials for offline reading	No preference
Total	21.2%	56.6%	22.2%
синк	11.5%	70.1%	18.4%
CityU	24.0%	54.2%	21.8%
NTU	17.2%	67.3%	15.5%
нки	24.3%	49.2%	26.5%

Table 15: Mean of users on their preferences in accessing course readings, broken out by city

	Read the materials online entirely	Download and print the materials for offline reading	No preference
Hong Kong	20.0%	57.8%	22.2%
Singapore	17.2%	67.2%	15.5%

Table 16: Question 11: How often do you access the library website for the following services?

	Daily	At least once a week	At least once a month	At least once a year	Never	Not applicable
Check library ope	ening hours					
Total	1.6%	7.3%	21.0%	40.2%	24.0%	5.9%
СИНК	0.0%	8.0%	19.5%	55.2%	13.8%	3.5%
CityU	2.8%	7.3%	20.7%	34.6%	30.2%	4.4%
NTU	3.4%	13.8%	37.9%	25.9%	12.1%	6.9%
нки	0.6%	5.0%	16.6%	43.1%	26.5%	8.2%
Read library new	rs					
Total	1.8%	12.3%	22.4%	20.4%	39.4%	3.7%
СИНК	0.0%	8.0%	31.0%	28.8%	31.0%	1.2%
CityU	3.9%	11.7%	20.7%	19.0%	42.5%	2.2%
NTU	0.0%	25.9%	27.6%	10.3%	31.0%	5.2%
нки	1.1%	10.5%	18.2%	21.0%	43.1%	6.1%
ook for contact	information					
Total	2.4%	9.5%	16.2%	29.5%	37.0%	5.4%
СИНК	2.3%	3.4%	16.1%	35.6%	37.9%	4.7%
CityU	5.0%	11.2%	15.6%	28.5%	36.9%	2.8%
NTU	0.0%	12.1%	17.2%	31.0%	32.8%	6.9%
нки	0.6%	9.9%	16.6%	27.1%	38.1%	7.7%

eck campus or	library maps					
Total	1.4%	9.1%	15.8%	34.1%	34.3%	5.3%
СИНК	0.00/	6.00/	44.00/	44.40/	22.20/	4.60/
CityU	0.0%	6.9%	14.9%	41.4%	32.2%	4.6%
NTU	2.2%	11.7%	14.0%	29.6%	40.2%	2.3%
NIO	3.4%	15.5%	22.4%	27.6%	25.9%	5.2%
нки	0.6%	5.5%	16.0%	37.0%	32.0%	8.9%
eck list or RSS 1	feeds of new book	I.		1		
Total	1.8%	9.5%	18.6%	19.0%	46.9%	4.2%
СИНК	1.1%	8.0%	23.0%	20.7%	43.7%	3.5%
CityU	1.7%	10.6%	20.1%	15.6%	49.7%	2.3%
NTU	3.4%	10.3%	22.4%	20.7%	34.5%	8.7%
нки	1.7%	8.8%	13.8%	21.0%	49.7%	5.0%
cess course res	erves					
Total	4.6%	19.6%	30.1%	21.2%	21.0%	3.5%
СИНК	1.1%	21.8%	28.8%	29.9%	14.9%	3.5%
CityU	5.6%	17.9%	32.4%	16.8%	24.6%	2.7%
NTU	6.9%	17.2%	41.4%	15.5%	15.5%	3.5%
нки	4.4%	21.0%	24.9%	23.2%	22.1%	4.4%
rch library cat		21.070	2 11370	23.270	22.170	,0
Total	7.7%	34.1%	31.5%	14.5%	10.3%	2.0%
CUHK	10.3%	41.4%	29.9%	11.5%	5.7%	1.2%
CityU						
NTU	7.8%	34.1%	32.4%	12.8%	11.2%	1.7%
нки	8.6%	37.9%	29.3%	12.1%	10.4%	1.7%
	6.1%	29.3%	32.0%	18.2%	11.6%	2.8%

w your borrov	wer record					
Total	3.2%	24.6%	38.0%	15.0%	16.6%	2.6%
СИНК	1.1%	29.9%	39.1%	21.8%	6.9%	1.2%
CityU	5.0%	22.9%	39.1%	10.1%	22.3%	0.6%
NTU	1.7%	25.9%	37.9%	10.3%	22.4%	1.8%
HKU	2.8%	23.2%	36.5%	18.2%	13.8%	5.5%
new books						
Total	2.6%	15.6%	45.7%	17.0%	14.5%	4.6%
СИНК	0.0%	21.8%	46.0%	21.8%	6.9%	3.5%
CityU	5.0%	14.5%	42.5%	17.3%	17.9%	2.8%
NTU	0.0%	17.2%	51.7%	5.2%	24.1%	1.8%
нки	2.2%	13.3%	47.0%	18.2%	11.6%	7.7%
rch for electro	onic books or jour	nal articles				
Total	8.7%	30.7%	29.1%	15.0%	13.7%	2.8%
СИНК	8.0%	33.3%	34.5%	18.4%	3.4%	2.4%
CityU	11.2%	27.9%	26.8%	16.8%	16.2%	1.1%
NTU	10.3%	37.9%	27.6%	10.3%	12.1%	1.8%
нки	6.1%	29.8%	29.3%	13.3%	16.5%	5.0%
nduct research	for an assignmen		23.370	13.370	10.570	3.070
Total	8.3%	31.7%	30.3%	15.8%	10.5%	3.4%
СИНК	1.1%	35.6%	29.9%	17.2%	10.4%	5.8%
CityU	11.2%	32.4%	30.2%	14.5%	10.4%	1.7%
NTU	12.1%	34.5%	19.0%	17.2%	13.8%	3.4%
нки	12.170	37.370	34.3%	16.0%	9.9%	3.9%

ntact "Ask a Lil	brarian" online					
Total	2.6%	11.9%	14.7%	15.8%	47.7%	7.3%
СИНК	0.0%	4.6%	6.9%	16.1%	59.8%	12.6%
CityU	3.9%	19.0%	15.1%	12.8%	45.8%	3.4%
NTU	0.0%	1.7%	17.2%	17.3%	56.9%	6.9%
нки	3.3%	11.6%	17.2%	18.2%	40.9%	8.9%
ok up library re	esearch/study guid			10.270	40.570	0.570
Total	3.8%	12.9%	18.2%	22.8%	37.2%	5.1%
СИНК	1.1%	11.5%	17.2%	32.2%	33.3%	4.7%
CityU	5.6%	14.5%	18.4%	19.0%	39.7%	2.8%
NTU	3.4%	19.0%	27.6%	24.1%	24.1%	1.8%
нки	3.3%	9.9%	15.5%	21.5%	40.9%	8.9%
en to library a	udio tours or pod		20.070		10.570	0.070
Total	2.0%	6.3%	10.5%	15.4%	59.5%	6.3%
СИНК	0.0%	3.4%	10.3%	18.4%	64.4%	3.5%
CityU	4.5%	7.8%	12.3%	14.5%	57.5%	3.4%
NTU	0.0%	8.6%	13.8%	15.5%	55.2%	6.9%
нки	1.1%	5.5%	7.7%	14.9%	60.2%	10.6%
ok a group stu	dy/discussion roo		7.770	14.570	00.270	10.070
Total	1.4%	11.1%	19.6%	21.6%	40.0%	6.3%
СИНК	0.00/	9.2%	17 20/	22.20/	27.00/	2 50/
CityU	0.0%		17.2%	32.2%	37.9%	3.5%
NTU	2.8%	14.5%	21.8%	22.9%	34.6%	3.4%
НКИ	1.1%	5.2%	36.2% 13.3%	19.0%	32.8%	6.9%

Register for libra	ry training or work	shop				
		T	10.70/	22.00/	F2 F0/	C 40/
Total	1.8%	5.1%	10.7%	22.8%	53.5%	6.1%
СИНК						
	0.0%	4.6%	6.9%	28.7%	55.2%	4.6%
CityU						
	3.4%	8.4%	12.3%	14.5%	55.9%	5.5%
NTU						
	0.0%	1.7%	17.2%	36.3%	37.9%	6.9%
HKU						
	1.7%	3.3%	8.8%	23.8%	55.2%	7.2%

Table 17: Mean of users access the library websites for the following services, broken out by city

	Daily	At least once a week	At least once a month	At least once a year	Never	Not applicable
Check library opening	hours					
Hong Kong	1.1%	6.8%	18.9%	44.3%	23.5%	5.4%
Singapore	3.4%	13.8%	37.9%	25.9%	12.1%	6.9%
Read library news						
Hong Kong	1.8%	10.1%	23.3%	22.9%	38.9%	3.1%
Singapore	0.0%	25.9%	27.6%	10.3%	31.0%	5.2%
Look for contact infor	mation	-				•
Hong Kong	2.6%	8.2%	16.1%	30.4%	37.6%	5.0%
Singapore	0.0%	12.1%	17.2%	31.0%	32.8%	6.9%
Check campus or libra	iry maps	-				
Hong Kong	0.9%	8.1%	15.0%	36.0%	34.8%	5.2%
Singapore	3.4%	15.5%	22.4%	27.6%	25.9%	5.2%
Check list or RSS feed	s of new books	and resources				
Hong Kong	1.5%	9.2%	19.0%	19.1%	47.7%	3.5%
Singapore	3.4%	10.3%	22.5%	20.7%	34.5%	8.6%
Access course reserve	S	•		,		
Hong Kong	3.7%	20.2%	28.7%	23.3%	20.5%	3.5%
Singapore	6.9%	17.2%	41.4%	15.5%	15.5%	3.5%
				-		

Search library catalog Hong Kong	8.1%	34.9%	31.4%	14.2%	9.5%	1.9%
Singapore	8.6%	37.9%	29.4%	12.1%	10.3%	1.7%
iew your borrower i	record	<u> </u>	1	1		l.
Hong Kong	3.0%	25.3%	38.2%	16.7%	14.3%	2.4%
Singapore	1.7%	25.9%	37.9%	10.4%	22.4%	1.7%
Renew books	-	•		•	•	-
Hong Kong	2.4%	16.5%	45.2%	19.1%	12.1%	4.6%
Singapore	0.00%	17.3%	51.7%	5.2%	24.1%	1.7%
Search for electronic	books or journa	l articles				
Hong Kong	8.4%	30.3%	30.2%	16.2%	12.1%	2.8%
Singapore	10.3%	37.9%	27.7%	10.3%	12.1%	1.7%
Conduct research for	an assignment of	or project				
Hong Kong	6.7%	32.1%	31.5%	15.9%	10.1%	3.8%
Singapore	12.1%	34.5%	19.0%	17.2%	13.8%	3.4%
Contact "Ask a Librar	ian" online	•	•	•		
Hong Kong	2.4%	11.7%	13.0%	15.7%	48.8%	8.3%
Singapore	0.0%	1.8%	17.2%	17.2%	56.9%	6.9%
ook up library resea	rch/study guide	s and tutorials				
Hong Kong	3.3%	12.0%	17.0%	24.2%	38.0%	5.4%
Singapore	3.4%	19.0%	27.6%	24.1%	24.1%	1.8%
isten to library audic	tours or podca	st	•	•		
Hong Kong	1.9%	5.6%	10.1%	15.9%	60.7%	5.9%
Singapore	0.0%	8.6%	13.8%	15.5%	55.2%	6.9%
Book a group study/c	liscussion room	•	-	•	•	
Hong Kong	1.3%	11.4%	17.4%	23.7%	40.4%	5.8%
Singapore	0.0%	5.2%	36.1%	19.0%	32.8%	6.9%
Register for library tr	aining or worksl	пор	•	•		
Hong Kong	1.7%	5.4%	9.3%	22.3%	55.4%	5.8%
Singapore	0.0%	1.7%	17.2%	36.3%	37.9%	6.9%

Table 18: Question 12: Are you aware of the following facilities and services currently offered by the campus library?

	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	нки
Loan of DVDs and CDs	74.5%	81.6%	74.9%	87.9%	66.3%
Library news	50.7%	54.0%	46.4%	67.2%	48.1%
Online library catalogue	75.8%	85.1%	69.3%	87.9%	74.0%
Online journal articles or e-book databases	70.9%	78.2%	66.5%	93.1%	64.6%
Online renewal of items borrowed	76.0%	89.7%	72.1%	81.0%	71.8%
Request for items from other libraries (interlibrary loans)	47.9%	71.3%	44.1%	43.1%	42.0%
Online "Ask a Librarian" service	32.9%	25.3%	27.9%	53.4%	34.8%
Consult a librarian in person	40.0%	41.4%	34.6%	67.2%	35.9%
Request help for writing a term paper	28.1%	16.1%	29.1%	24.1%	34.3%
Get assistance for doing referencing and citations	35.0%	25.3%	35.2%	36.2%	39.2%
Online tutorials	25.1%	24.1%	29.1%	29.3%	20.4%
Research and library tutorial classes	27.7%	36.8%	27.4%	37.9%	20.4%
Research guides for different subject areas	31.7%	36.8%	28.5%	36.2%	30.9%
Using the PCs in the library	85.1%	81.6%	86.0%	96.6%	82.3%
Doing printing in the library	80.8%	86.2%	83.2%	93.1%	71.8%
Group study/discussion rooms	68.1%	78.2%	69.8%	81.0%	57.5%
Others	1.8%	2.3%	1.1%	1.7%	2.2%

Others including:

СИНК	CityU	NTU	нки
Car parking facilities	Book individual study booth	Computer Booking	N.A.
Good read			
	Due date reminder via email and SMS service		
	Library mobile apps for Android		

Table 19: Mean of users on awareness of facilities and services currently offered by the campus library, broken by city

	Hong Kong	Singapore
Loan of DVDs and CDs	74.4%	87.9%
Library news	49.5%	67.2%
Online library catalogue	76.1%	87.9%
Online journal articles or e-book databases	69.8%	93.1%
Online renewal of items borrowed	77.9%	81.0%
Request for items from other libraries (interlibrary loans)	52.5%	43.1%
Online "Ask a Librarian" service	29.3%	53.4%
Consult a librarian in person	37.3%	67.2%
Request help for writing a term paper	26.5%	24.1%
Get assistance for doing referencing and citations	33.2%	36.2%
Online tutorials	24.5%	29.3%
Research and library tutorial classes	28.2%	37.9%
Research guides for different subject areas	32.1%	36.2%
Using the PCs in the library	83.3%	96.6%
Doing printing in the library	80.4%	93.1%
Group study/discussion rooms	68.5%	81.0%
Others	1.9%	1.7%

Table 20: Question 13: Which of the following statement best describes your attitude towards adopting new technologies in mobile devices?

	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	HKU
I like to stay at the cutting edge with new devices and features	30.5%	18.4%	39.1%	20.7%	30.9%
I will consider upgrading to new devices and features if I think they suit my needs	45.5%	55.2%	37.4%	56.9%	45.4%
I tend to stick with basic features and upgrade only when absolutely necessary	17.9%	19.5%	16.8%	20.7%	17.1%
I don't use mobile technology (Please go directly to Q17)	6.1%	6.9%	6.7%	1.7%	6.6%

Table 21: Mean of users on the following statements that best describes their attitude towards adopting new technologies in mobile devices, broken out by city

	Hong Kong	Singapore
I like to stay at the cutting edge with new devices and features	29.5%	20.7%
I will consider upgrading to new devices and features if I think they suit my needs	46.0%	56.9%
I tend to stick with basic features and upgrade only when absolutely necessary	17.8%	20.7%
I don't use mobile technology (Please go directly to Q17)	6.7%	1.7%

Table 22: Question 14: What type(s) of handheld mobile device do you often use?

	Total	СИНК	CityU	NTU	НКИ
Simple mobile phone without Internet functions	24.1%	26.4%	17.9%	34.5%	22.1%
Smartphone (e.g. iPhone, Blackberry)	67.1%	62.1%	64.2%	63.8%	61.9%
Tablet (e.g. Samsung Galaxy Tab, Ipad2, Motorola Zoom)	19.0%	23.0%	20.1%	10.3%	15.5%
Electronic book reader (e.g. Amazon Kindle)	4.9%	8.0%	4.5%	0.0%	4.4%
Other handheld devices (e.g. iPod, iPod Touch, PDA)	9.9%	16.1%	5.6%	13.8%	8.3%

Table 23: Mean of users on using the following type(s) of handheld mobile device, broken out by city

	Hong Kong	Singapore
Simple mobile phone without Internet functions	22.1%	34.5%
Smartphone (e.g. iPhone, Blackberry)	62.7%	63.8%
Tablet (e.g. Samsung Galaxy Tab, Ipad2, Motorola Zoom)	19.5%	10.3%
Electronic book reader (e.g. Amazon Kindle)	5.6%	0.0%
Other handheld devices (e.g. iPod, iPod Touch, PDA)	10.0%	13.8%

Table 24: Question 15: Can you access the Web with your mobile device?

	Yes	No	Don't know
Total	80.6%	16.7%	2.8%
синк	71.3%	18.4%	4.6%
CityU	78.2%	12.3%	2.2%
NTU	77.6%	19.0%	1.7%
нки	72.9%	18.8%	2.8%

Table 25: Mean of users on whether they can access the Web with their mobile device, broken out by city

	Yes	No	Don't know
Hong Kong	74.1%	16.5%	3.2%
Singapore	77.6%	19.0%	1.7%

Table 26: Question 16: How often do you use your mobile device to access the following resources or services?

	Daily	At least once	At least once	At least once	Never	Not
	Dally	a week	a month	a year	never	applicable
Access docume	nts					
Total	34.8%	25.5%	14.1%	6.8%	12.0%	6.8%
СИНК	23.0%	20.7%	18.4%	10.3%	9.2%	6.9%
CityU	38.0%	24.6%	8.9%	2.8%	9.5%	2.8%
NTU	25.9%	20.7%	10.3%	8.6%	13.8%	8.6%
нки	27.6%	21.0%	13.3%	6.1%	11.0%	7.7%
Bookmark				<u> </u>		
Total	26.3%	26.0%	13.8%	8.1%	16.8%	9.0%
СИНК	19.5%	21.8%	16.1%	10.3%	11.5%	10.3%
CityU	27.9%	27.9%	8.4%	4.5%	12.3%	3.4%
NTU	19.0%	12.1%	13.8%	12.1%	20.7%	10.3%
нки	19.9%	20.4%	12.7%	6.1%	16.0%	9.9%
Calendar				<u> </u>		
Total	48.3%	23.4%	12.0%	4.1%	8.7%	3.5%
СИНК	44.8%	18.4%	13.8%	1.1%	8.0%	2.3%
CityU	41.3%	23.5%	7.8%	2.8%	7.8%	1.7%
NTU	37.9%	24.1%	13.8%	1.7%	5.2%	3.4%
НКИ	41.4%	16.6%	9.9%	6.1%	7.7%	4.4%
Check social ne	twork sites, e.g. F	acebook, Flickr, N	ЛуSpace	<u> </u>		
Total	59.5%	14.8%	6.4%	2.5%	8.4%	8.4%
синк	44.8%	17.2%	5.7%	3.4%	6.9%	10.3%
CityU	55.9%	11.7%	4.5%	1.1%	7.8%	3.9%

NTU	53.4%	8.6%	12.1%	3.4%	5.2%	6.9%
нки	50.8%	13.3%	4.4%	2.2%	7.7%	9.4%
Download musi	ic files					
Total	11.5%	19.4%	23.1%	10.9%	27.5%	7.6%
СИНК	9.2%	11.5%	26.4%	10.3%	21.8%	8.0%
CityU	14.0%	18.4%	16.8%	8.4%	23.5%	3.4%
NTU	10.3%	13.8%	25.9%	6.9%	20.7%	6.9%
нки	6.1%	18.2%	17.7%	10.5%	25.4%	8.8%
Email						
Total	55.8%	17.9%	6.6%	1.8%	10.0%	7.9%
СИНК	47.1%	18.4%	5.7%	2.3%	6.9%	9.2%
CityU	52.0%	15.1%	6.7%	0.6%	8.4%	3.4%
NTU	48.3%	13.8%	1.7%	1.7%	12.1%	8.6%
нки	46.4%	15.5%	6.1%	2.2%	8.8%	8.8%
nstant message	<u> </u>					
Total	61.0%	13.2%	7.5%	2.7%	9.1%	6.5%
СИНК	50.6%	16.1%	4.6%	2.3%	9.2%	6.9%
CityU	53.6%	11.7%	7.3%	1.7%	7.3%	3.4%
NTU	48.3%	10.3%	12.1%	5.2%	6.9%	5.2%
нки	55.2%	9.4%	5.0%	2.2%	8.3%	7.2%
Listen to podca	sts					
Total	14.1%	19.1%	19.1%	7.5%	31.9%	8.3%
СИНК	8.0%	17.2%	18.4%	6.9%	29.9%	9.2%
CityU	16.2%	20.1%	14.5%	6.1%	24.0%	4.5%

NTU	10.3%	12.1%	19.0%	8.6%	27.6%	8.6%
НКИ	11.0%	14.4%	17.1%	6.1%	30.4%	8.3%
/laps						
Total	14.5%	28.3%	28.8%	7.5%	12.7%	8.2%
СИНК	12.6%	26.4%	24.1%	6.9%	10.3%	9.2%
CityU	15.1%	27.4%	24.6%	5.0%	10.1%	3.9%
NTU	12.1%	27.6%	20.7%	6.9%	12.1%	6.9%
нки	10.5%	20.4%	27.6%	7.7%	12.2%	9.4%
lews						
Total	43.1%	24.9%	11.3%	2.7%	9.8%	8.2%
СИНК	35.6%	21.8%	12.6%	2.3%	8.0%	9.2%
CityU	43.0%	24.0%	6.7%	2.2%	6.7%	3.4%
NTU	36.2%	13.8%	13.8%	1.7%	12.1%	8.6%
NTU НКU	36.2%	13.8%	13.8%	2.8%	9.4%	9.4%
HKU Personalised ho	33.7%	22.1%	10.5%	2.8%	9.4%	9.4%
нки	33.7%					
HKU Personalised ho	33.7%	22.1%	10.5%	2.8%	9.4%	9.4%
HKU Personalised ho	33.7% Dome page 24.5%	22.1%	13.6%	9.0%	9.4%	9.4%
Personalised ho	33.7% Dome page 24.5% 13.8%	22.1% 16.6% 17.2%	13.6% 12.6%	9.0% 9.2%	9.4% 23.6% 19.5%	9.4% 12.7% 16.1%
Personalised ho Total CUHK CityU	33.7% Dome page 24.5% 13.8% 29.1%	22.1% 16.6% 17.2% 16.2%	13.6% 12.6% 10.6%	9.0% 9.2% 10.1%	9.4% 23.6% 19.5% 13.4%	9.4% 12.7% 16.1% 6.1%
Personalised ho Total CUHK CityU	33.7% Dome page 24.5% 13.8% 29.1% 10.3% 19.9%	22.1% 16.6% 17.2% 16.2%	13.6% 12.6% 10.6% 5.2%	9.0% 9.2% 10.1% 12.1%	9.4% 23.6% 19.5% 13.4% 24.1%	9.4% 12.7% 16.1% 6.1% 15.5%
Personalised ho Total CUHK CityU NTU HKU	33.7% Dome page 24.5% 13.8% 29.1% 10.3% 19.9%	22.1% 16.6% 17.2% 16.2%	13.6% 12.6% 10.6% 5.2%	9.0% 9.2% 10.1% 12.1%	9.4% 23.6% 19.5% 13.4% 24.1%	9.4% 12.7% 16.1% 6.1% 15.5%
Personalised hortography Total CUHK CityU NTU HKU Read e-books o	33.7% Dome page 24.5% 13.8% 29.1% 10.3% 19.9% Descriptions of articles	22.1% 16.6% 17.2% 16.2% 15.5% 10.5%	10.5% 13.6% 12.6% 10.6% 5.2% 14.4%	9.0% 9.2% 10.1% 12.1% 3.3%	9.4% 23.6% 19.5% 13.4% 24.1% 26.0%	9.4% 12.7% 16.1% 6.1% 15.5% 11.6%

NTU	17.2%	19.0%	13.8%	12.1%	17.2%	8.6%
НКИ	18.8%	14.9%	19.3%	6.1%	17.7%	9.4%
Search for inforr	mation					
Total	46.2%	27.2%	8.5%	3.2%	6.6%	8.3%
СИНК	50.6%	20.7%	5.7%	1.1%	2.3%	9.2%
CityU	41.9%	26.8%	4.5%	2.8%	5.0%	3.9%
NTU	31.0%	25.9%	10.3%	5.2%	6.9%	8.6%
нки	35.9%	21.0%	9.9%	2.8%	7.7%	8.8%
kype						
Total	13.0%	14.3%	14.1%	9.3%	35.2%	14.1%
СИНК	8.0%	12.6%	13.8%	6.9%	29.9%	17.2%
CityU	14.0%	18.4%	8.4%	10.6%	26.8%	7.8%
NTU	13.8%	15.5%	8.6%	6.9%	27.6%	15.5%
НКИ	9.4%	5.5%	16.6%	6.6%	35.9%	13.3%
нки	9.4%	5.5%	16.6%	6.6%	35.9%	13.3%
	9.4%	5.5%	16.6%	6.6%	35.9%	13.3%
нки	9.4%	5.5%	3.9%	2.3%	7.8%	3.8%
HKU Falk						
HKU Falk Total	66.0%	16.2%	3.9%	2.3%	7.8%	3.8%
HKU Falk Total CUHK	66.0%	16.2% 12.6%	3.9% 6.9%	2.3%	7.8% 11.5%	3.8%
Total CUHK CityU	66.0% 48.3% 58.7%	16.2% 12.6% 12.3%	3.9% 6.9% 3.4%	2.3% 2.3% 3.4%	7.8% 11.5% 6.7%	3.8% 8.0% 1.1%
HKU Falk Total CUHK CityU NTU HKU	66.0% 48.3% 58.7% 58.6%	16.2% 12.6% 12.3% 17.2%	3.9% 6.9% 3.4% 5.2%	2.3% 2.3% 3.4% 0.0%	7.8% 11.5% 6.7% 3.4%	3.8% 8.0% 1.1% 1.7%
HKU Falk Total CUHK CityU NTU HKU	66.0% 48.3% 58.7% 58.6%	16.2% 12.6% 12.3% 17.2%	3.9% 6.9% 3.4% 5.2%	2.3% 2.3% 3.4% 0.0%	7.8% 11.5% 6.7% 3.4%	3.8% 8.0% 1.1% 1.7%
HKU Talk Total CUHK CityU NTU HKU Text message	66.0% 48.3% 58.7% 58.6% 59.7%	16.2% 12.6% 12.3% 17.2% 15.5%	3.9% 6.9% 3.4% 5.2% 1.1%	2.3% 2.3% 3.4% 0.0% 1.1%	7.8% 11.5% 6.7% 3.4% 5.5%	3.8% 8.0% 1.1% 1.7% 3.9%

NTU	72.4%	8.6%	5.2%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%
нки	63.5%	11.6%	3.9%	3.9%	3.3%	2.2%
Watch video clips						
Total	10.5%	15.0%	10.5%	3.6%	5.9%	54.5%
СИНК	13.8%	28.7%	14.9%	4.6%	14.9%	11.5%
CityU	4.5%	6.7%	5.0%	2.2%	1.7%	65.4%
NTU	22.4%	25.9%	13.8%	5.2%	8.6%	12.1%
нки	7.2%	7.7%	8.8%	2.8%	2.8%	58.0%

Table 27: Mean of users on how often do they use their mobile device to access the following resources or services, broken out by city

or services, bro	Ken out by city					
		At least once a	At least once a			
	Daily	week	month	year	Never	Not applicable
Access document	ts	1	Γ	Г		1
Hong Kong	29.5%	22.1%	13.5%	6.4%	9.9%	5.8%
Singapore	25.9%	20.7%	10.3%	8.6%	13.8%	8.6%
Bookmark		_				
Hong Kong	22.4%	23.4%	12.4%	7.0%	13.3%	7.9%
Singapore	19.0%	12.1%	13.8%	12.1%	20.7%	10.3%
Calendar						
Hong Kong	42.5%	19.5%	10.5%	3.3%	7.8%	2.8%
Singapore	37.9%	24.1%	13.8%	1.7%	5.2%	3.4%
Check social netv	vork sites, e.g. I	acebook, Flickr, N	ЛуSpace			
Hong Kong	50.5%	14.1%	4.9%	2.2%	7.5%	7.9%
Singapore	53.4%	8.6%	12.1%	3.4%	5.2%	6.9%
Download music	files					
Hong Kong	9.8%	16.0%	20.3%	9.7%	23.6%	6.7%
Singapore	10.3%	13.8%	25.9%	6.9%	20.7%	6.9%
Email						
Hong Kong	48.5%	16.3%	6.2%	1.7%	8.0%	7.1%
Singapore	48.3%	13.8%	1.7%	1.7%	12.1%	8.6%
Instant message						
Hong Kong	53.1%	12.4%	5.6%	2.1%	8.3%	5.8%
Singapore	48.3%	10.3%	12.1%	5.2%	6.9%	5.2%
Listen to podcast	S					
Hong Kong	11.7%	17.2%	16.7%	6.4%	28.1%	7.3%
Singapore	10.3%	12.1%	19.0%	8.6%	27.6%	8.6%
Maps						
Hong Kong	12.7%	24.7%	25.4%	6.5%	10.9%	7.5%
Singapore	12.1%	27.6%	20.7%	6.9%	12.1%	6.9%
News						
Hong Kong	37.4%	22.6%	9.9%	2.4%	8.0%	7.3%

Singapore	36.2%	13.8%	13.8%	1.7%	12.1%	8.6%
Personalised hom	ne page					
Hong Kong	20.9%	14.6%	12.5%	7.5%	19.6%	11.3%
Singapore	10.3%	15.5%	5.2%	12.1%	24.1%	15.5%
Read e-books or a	articles					
Hong Kong	20.0%	19.7%	17.0%	7.5%	14.9%	7.9%
Singapore	17.2%	19.0%	13.8%	12.1%	17.2%	8.6%
Search for inform	ation					
Hong Kong	42.8%	22.8%	6.7%	2.2%	5.0%	7.3%
Singapore	31.0%	25.9%	10.3%	5.2%	6.9%	8.6%
Skype						
Hong Kong	10.5%	12.2%	12.9%	8.0%	30.9%	12.8%
Singapore	13.8%	15.5%	8.6%	6.9%	27.6%	15.5%
Talk						
Hong Kong	55.6%	13.5%	3.8%	2.3%	7.9%	4.3%
Singapore	58.6%	17.2%	5.2%	0.0%	3.4%	1.7%
Text message						
Hong Kong	63.5%	12.6%	3.6%	2.0%	3.6%	2.2%
Singapore	72.4%	8.6%	5.2%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%
Watch video clips	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Hong Kong	8.5%	14.4%	9.6%	3.2%	6.5%	45.0%
Singapore	22.4%	25.9%	13.8%	5.2%	8.6%	12.1%

Table 28: Question 17: If the following library resources and services are available on mobile devices, how likely would you use them?

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Not applicable
Access course reserve	es				
Total	34.1%	44.6%	12.5%	4.5%	4.3%
СИНК	29.9%	46.0%	14.9%	6.9%	2.3%
CityU	30.7%	48.0%	14.0%	1.7%	5.6%
NTU	44.8%	32.8%	15.5%	5.2%	1.7%
нки	35.9%	44.2%	8.8%	6.1%	5.0%
Book a group study/d	iscussion room				
Total	36.6%	45.3%	8.7%	4.4%	5.0%
СИНК	33.3%	41.4%	16.1%	5.7%	3.5%
CityU	43.0%	47.5%	5.0%	1.1%	3.4%
NTU	36.2%	46.6%	5.2%	6.9%	5.1%
нки	32.0%	44.8%	9.9%	6.1%	7.2%
Book library training	sessions				
Total	19.0%	41.4%	24.6%	9.7%	5.3%
СИНК	14.9%	37.9%	32.2%	10.3%	4.7%
CityU	21.8%	46.4%	21.2%	5.0%	5.6%
NTU	25.9%	39.7%	19.0%	13.8%	1.6%
НКИ	16.0%	38.7%	26.0%	12.7%	6.6%

Check campus/libr	rary maps				
Total	25.1%	46.1%	17.6%	7.1%	4.1%
СИНК	24.1%	41.4%	19.5%	11.5%	3.5%
CityU	25.1%	47.5%	20.1%	3.4%	3.9%
NTU	27.6%	37.9%	20.7%	12.1%	1.7%
нки	24.9%	49.7%	13.3%	7.1%	5.0%
heck library oper	ning hours				
Total	27.3%	51.7%	13.9%	3.6%	3.5%
СИНК	24.1%	52.9%	13.8%	6.9%	2.3%
CityU	27.9%	49.2%	16.8%	2.2%	3.9%
NTU	36.2%	44.8%	15.5%	1.7%	1.8%
нки	25.4%	55.8%	10.5%	3.9%	4.4%
Check list or RSS fe	eeds of new books/res	ources by subject			
Total	18.6%	46.3%	22.6%	7.9%	4.6%
СИНК	14.9%	42.5%	28.7%	10.4%	3.5%
CityU	21.2%	45.8%	22.9%	5.6%	4.5%
NTU	20.7%	43.1%	20.7%	13.8%	1.7%
НКИ	17.1%	49.7%	19.9%	7.2%	6.1%
Contact online "As	sk a librarian"				
Total	18.0%	41.6%	26.3%	10.3%	3.8%
СИНК	8.0%	28.7%	39.1%	17.3%	6.9%
CityU	20.1%	45.3%	24.6%	7.3%	2.7%
NTU	12.1%	41.4%	32.8%	12.1%	1.6%
HKU	22.7%	44.2%	19.9%	9.4%	3.8%

o research for an a	ssignment				
Total	36.8%	40.4%	13.9%	5.7%	3.2%
СИНК	28.7%	43.7%	13.8%	8.0%	5.8%
CityU	35.2%	43.6%	14.5%	3.4%	3.3%
NTU	36.2%	34.5%	19.0%	6.9%	3.4%
нки	42.5%	37.6%	11.6%	6.6%	1.7%
isten to library aud	io tours/podcasts				
Total	15.0%	37.4%	29.9%	13.1%	4.6%
СИНК	11.5%	34.5%	32.2%	17.2%	4.6%
CityU	16.2%	38.0%	30.2%	11.7%	3.9%
NTU	19.0%	34.5%	24.1%	19.0%	3.4%
нки	14.4%	39.2%	30.4%	10.5%	5.5%
ook for contact info	ormation				
Total	21.6%	51.1%	16.0%	7.1%	4.2%
СИНК	17.2%	44.8%	21.8%	12.7%	3.5%
CityU	24.6%	51.4%	14.5%	5.0%	4.5%
NTU	15.5%	60.3%	13.8%	8.6%	1.8%
нки	22.7%	50.8%	15.5%	6.0%	5.0%
Read library news			<u> </u>		
Total	20.8%	48.9%	19.8%	7.3%	3.2%
СИНК	12.6%	47.1%	24.1%	13.8%	2.4%
CityU	23.5%	50.3%	15.6%	6.7%	3.9%
NTU	17.2%	48.3%	29.3%	3.4%	1.8%
нки	23.2%	48.6%	18.8%	6.1%	3.3%

ew books					
Total	61.8%	28.7%	5.3%	2.4%	1.8%
синк	59.8%	27.6%	9.2%	2.3%	1.1%
CityU	60.3%	31.3%	5.0%	1.7%	1.7%
NTU	56.9%	29.3%	6.9%	5.2%	1.7%
нки	65.7%	26.5%	3.3%	2.2%	2.2%
ch for electror	nic books or journal ar	ticles			
Total	50.9%	36.4%	7.3%	3.6%	1.8%
СИНК	44.8%	36.8%	13.8%	3.4%	1.2%
CityU	48.6%	39.1%	7.8%	2.2%	2.3%
NTU	53.4%	24.1%	12.1%	8.6%	1.8%
нки	55.2%	37.6%	2.2%	3.3%	1.7%
ch the library	catalogue				
Total	55.2%	32.1%	7.5%	3.0%	2.2%
синк	54.0%	31.0%	10.3%	3.5%	1.2%
CityU	51.4%	34.6%	7.8%	2.8%	3.4%
NTU	55.2%	31.0%	5.2%	6.9%	1.7%
нки	59.7%	30.4%	6.5%	1.7%	1.7%
research/stud	y guides and tutorials				
Total	29.3%	43.2%	18.0%	6.3%	3.2%
синк	27.6%	36.8%	18.4%	12.6%	4.6%
CityU	25.1%	46.4%	20.7%	3.9%	3.9%
NTU	36.2%	37.9%	17.3%	6.9%	1.7%
НКИ	32.0%	44.8%	15.5%	5.5%	2.2%

View your own borro	wer details				
Total	53.9%	34.3%	6.7%	3.1%	2.0%
СИНК	46.0%	37.9%	9.2%	5.7%	1.2%
CityU	50.8%	36.9%	7.8%	2.2%	2.3%
NTU	53.4%	29.3%	6.9%	6.9%	3.5%
нки	60.8%	31.5%	4.4%	1.7%	1.6%

Table 29: Mean of users on how likely they would use the following library resources and services if they are available on mobile devices, broken out by city

they are available on mobile devices, broken out by city								
	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Not applicable			
Access course reserves								
Hong Kong	32.2%	46.1%	12.6%	4.9%	4.3%			
Singapore	44.8%	32.8%	15.5%	5.2%	1.7%			
Book a group stu	dy/discussion room							
Hong Kong	36.1%	44.6%	10.3%	4.3%	4.7%			
Singapore	36.2%	46.6%	5.2%	6.9%	5.1%			
Book library trair	ning sessions				_			
Hong Kong	17.6%	41.0%	26.5%	9.3%	5.6%			
Singapore	25.9%	39.7%	19.0%	13.8%	1.6%			
Check campus/lil	brary maps							
Hong Kong	24.7%	46.2%	17.6%	7.4%	4.1%			
Singapore	27.6%	37.9%	20.7%	12.1%	1.7%			
Check library ope	ening hours							
Hong Kong	25.8%	52.6%	13.7%	4.3%	3.5%			
Singapore	36.2%	44.8%	15.5%	1.7%	1.8%			
Check list or RSS	feeds of new books	resources by subje	ct					
Hong Kong	17.7%	46.0%	23.8%	7.7%	4.8%			
Singapore	20.7%	43.1%	20.7%	13.8%	1.7%			
Contact online "A	Ask a librarian"							

	_				
Hong Kong	16.9%	39.4%	27.9%	11.3%	4.5%
Singapore	12.1%	41.4%	32.8%	12.1%	1.6%
Do research for an	assignment				
Hong Kong	35.5%	41.6%	13.3%	6.0%	3.6%
Singapore	36.2%	34.5%	19.0%	6.9%	3.4%
Listen to library au	idio tours/podcast	5			
Hong Kong	14.0%	37.2%	30.9%	13.1%	4.7%
Singapore	19.0%	34.5%	24.1%	19.0%	3.4%
Look for contact in	formation				
Hong Kong	21.5%	49.0%	17.3%	7.9%	4.3%
Singapore	15.5%	60.3%	13.8%	8.6%	1.8%
Read library news					
Hong Kong	19.8%	48.7%	19.5%	8.9%	3.2%
Singapore	17.2%	48.3%	29.3%	3.4%	1.8%
Renew books					
Hong Kong	61.9%	28.5%	5.8%	2.1%	1.7%
Singapore	56.9%	29.3%	6.9%	5.2%	1.7%
Search for electron	nic books or journa	l articles			
Hong Kong	49.5%	37.8%	7.9%	3.1%	1.7%
Singapore	53.4%	24.1%	12.1%	8.7%	1.7%
Search the library	catalogue				
Hong Kong	55.0%	32.0%	8.2%	2.6%	2.1%
Singapore	55.2%	31.0%	5.2%	6.9%	1.7%
Use research/stud	y guides and tutori	als			
Hong Kong	28.2%	42.7%	18.2%	7.3%	3.6%
Singapore	36.2%	37.9%	17.2%	6.9%	1.8%
View your own bo	rrower details				
Hong Kong	52.5%	35.4%	7.1%	3.2%	1.7%
Singapore	53.4%	29.3%	6.9%	6.9%	3.5%

Table 30: Question 18: If you own a mobile device, how likely would you use the following TEXT/SMS library services?

library service	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	I own a mobile device but I don't text	Not applicable				
Contact online	ontact online "Ask a librarian"									
Total	19.0%	35.0%	26.1%	13.1%	3.4%	3.4%				
синк	9.2%	25.3%	32.2%	26.4%	1.1%	5.8%				
CityU	24.6%	39.7%	20.1%	7.8%	5.0%	2.8%				
NTU	12.1%	25.9%	41.4%	19.0%	1.6%	0.0%				
нки	20.4%	38.1%	24.3%	9.9%	3.4%	3.9%				
Receive change	e of opening hours	s details								
Total	36.6%	43.6%	10.7%	5.3%	2.2%	1.6%				
СИНК	27.6%	44.8%	11.5%	11.5%	1.1%	3.5%				
CityU	40.8%	40.8%	10.6%	3.4%	3.4%	1.0%				
NTU	27.6%	43.1%	19.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%				
нки	39.8%	45.9%	7.7%	2.8%	2.2%	1.6%				
Receive library	news									
Total	22.6%	38.0%	24.4%	10.1%	2.8%	2.1%				
СПНК	18.4%	24.1%	37.9%	14.9%	1.2%	3.5%				
CityU	28.5%	40.2%	18.4%	7.3%	3.9%	1.7%				
NTU	12.1%	34.5%	36.2%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%				
нки	22.1%	43.6%	19.9%	8.3%	3.3%	2.8%				

ceive recall n	otifications					
Total	56.8%	27.3%	10.1%	2.4%	1.8%	1.6%
СИНК	51.7%	28.7%	12.6%	3.4%	1.2%	2.4%
CityU	61.5%	24.6%	8.9%	1.1%	3.4%	0.5%
NTU	31.0%	36.2%	24.1%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
нки	63.0%	26.5%	5.5%	1.1%	1.1%	2.8%
eive renewa	l or overdue not	ices				
Total	61.6%	28.1%	5.5%	1.8%	1.8%	1.2%
СИНК	62.1%	28.7%	3.4%	2.3%	1.1%	2.4%
CityU	62.6%	25.1%	7.3%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%
NTU	46.6%	37.9%	8.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
нки	65.2%	27.6%	3.9%	0.0%	1.1%	2.2%
eive a call n	umber from the	catalogue				•
Total	38.4%	37.0%	15.2%	5.1%	2.6%	1.7%
СИНК	39.1%	35.6%	14.9%	6.9%	1.1%	2.4%
CityU	40.2%	34.1%	15.6%	5.0%	3.9%	1.2%
NTU	25.9%	44.8%	20.7%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%
нки	40.3%	38.1%	13.3%	3.3%	2.8%	2.2%

Table 31: Mean of users about how likely would they use the following TEXT/SMS library services if they own a mobile device, broken out by city

					I own a mobile	
	., III I				device but I	
	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	don't text	Not applicable
Contact online "Ask	a librarian"					
Hong Kong	18.1%	34.4%	25.5%	14.7%	3.1%	4.2%
Singapore	12.1%	25.9%	41.4%	19.0%	1.6%	0.0%
Receive change of o	pening hours de	tails				
Hong Kong	36.1%	43.8%	9.9%	5.9%	2.2%	2.1%
Singapore	27.6%	43.1%	19.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Receive library news	3					
Hong Kong	23.0%	36.0%	25.4%	10.2%	2.8%	2.7%
Singapore	12.1%	34.5%	36.2%	17.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Receive recall notific	cations					
Hong Kong	58.7%	26.6%	9.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
Singapore	31.0%	36.2%	24.1%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Receive renewal or o	overdue notices					
Hong Kong	63.3%	27.1%	4.9%	1.3%	1.9%	1.5%
Singapore	46.6%	37.9%	8.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Receive a call number	er from the cata	logue		•		
Hong Kong	39.9%	35.9%	14.6%	5.1%	2.7%	1.9%
Singapore	25.9%	44.8%	20.7%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 32: Question 19: If you are using mobile device, how likely would you access the following resources or service to begin your research for an assignment?

	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	I don't use this resource	Not applicable
Ask my instructo	or					
Total	29.3%	51.7%	11.9%	3.0%	2.4%	1.7%
СИНК	23.0%	43.7%	21.8%	3.4%	3.4%	4.7%
CityU	30.7%	53.6%	12.8%	1.7%	0.6%	0.6%
NTU	31.0%	50.0%	8.6%	5.2%	3.4%	1.8%
нки	30.4%	54.1%	7.2%	3.3%	3.3%	1.7%
E-books or libra	ry databases					
Total	47.5%	40.4%	6.1%	2.8%	1.8%	1.4%
СИНК	49.4%	33.3%	8.0%	2.3%	3.5%	3.5%
CityU	44.7%	43.6%	7.8%	1.1%	2.2%	0.6%
NTU	43.1%	44.8%	5.2%	5.2%	0.0%	1.7%
нки	50.8%	39.2%	3.9%	3.9%	1.1%	1.1%
Google						
Total	54.1%	35.2%	6.1%	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%
СИНК	58.6%	25.3%	9.2%	1.1%	2.3%	3.5%
CityU	50.3%	40.2%	6.1%	1.7%	1.1%	0.6%
NTU	60.3%	34.5%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.8%
нки	53.6%	35.4%	6.1%	2.2%	1.1%	1.6%

gle Scholar						
Total	45.9%	37.8%	8.5%	2.2%	4.0%	1.6%
CUHK	41.4%	35.6%	12.6%	3.4%	3.5%	3.5%
CityU	46.9%	40.8%	6.1%	1.7%	3.9%	0.6%
NTU	44.8%	37.9%	5.2%	1.7%	8.6%	1.8%
HKU	47.5%	35.9%	9.9%	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%
ary catalogue	2					
Total	45.0%	41.8%	7.7%	2.6%	1.8%	1.1%
СИНК	47.1%	37.9%	6.9%	2.3%	2.3%	3.5%
CityU	39.1%	48.6%	7.3%	1.7%	2.8%	0.5%
NTU	46.6%	37.9%	6.9%	6.9%	0.0%	1.7%
HKU	49.2%	38.1%	8.8%	2.2%	1.1%	0.6%
ine "Ask a lib	rarian"					
Total	21.4%	39.2%	25.7%	7.3%	4.6%	1.8%
СИНК	12.6%	29.9%	34.5%	12.6%	5.7%	4.7%
CityU	22.9%	41.3%	25.1%	5.0%	5.0%	0.7%
NTU	13.8%	37.9%	31.0%	12.1%	3.4%	1.8%
HKU	26.5%	42.0%	20.4%	5.5%	3.9%	1.7%
ipedia						
Total	47.9%	39.2%	6.5%	2.6%	2.0%	1.8%
СИНК	51.7%	34.5%	6.9%	1.1%	2.3%	3.5%
	44.1%	41.9%	8.4%	3.4%	1.7%	0.5%
CityU	44.1/0			1		
CityU NTU	50.0%	39.7%	6.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%

Table 33: Mean of users on how likely would they access the following resources or services to begin research if they are using a mobile device, broken out by city

		<u> </u>	1						
					I don't use this				
	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	resource	Not applicable			
Ask my instructor									
Hong Kong	28.0%	50.5%	13.9%	2.8%	2.4%	2.3%			
Singapore	31.0%	50.0%	8.6%	5.2%	3.4%	1.8%			
-books or library databases									
Hong Kong	48.3%	38.7%	6.6%	2.4%	2.2%	1.8%			
Singapore	43.1%	44.8%	5.2%	5.2%	0.0%	1.7%			
Google									
Hong Kong	54.2%	33.6%	7.1%	1.7%	1.5%	1.9%			
Singapore	60.3%	34.5%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	1.8%			
Google Scholar									
Hong Kong	45.3%	37.4%	9.5%	2.4%	3.5%	1.9%			
Singapore	44.8%	37.9%	5.2%	1.7%	8.6%	1.8%			
Library catalogue	Library catalogue								
Hong Kong	45.1%	41.5%	7.7%	2.1%	2.1%	1.5%			
Singapore	46.6%	37.9%	6.9%	6.9%	0.0%	1.7%			
Online "Ask a librari	an"								
Hong Kong	20.7%	37.7%	26.7%	7.7%	4.9%	2.4%			
Singapore	13.8%	37.9%	31.0%	12.1%	3.4%	1.8%			
Wikipedia									
Hong Kong	48.3%	38.4%	6.6%	2.4%	2.3%	2.1%			
Singapore	50.0%	39.7%	6.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.7%			

Section C - Comments and Suggestions

Question 20: Describe any technological problems or challenges which you have faced when using your campus library resources and services.

RESPONSES

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

- Cannot use wireless printing and read e-book offline.
- 2) Computers can be very slow. Queuing for the printer can also be extremely long and slow.
- 3) Have to register before being able to download e-resources for offline reading.
- I'm busy these days and haven't tried to access it physically. The online service appears confused. I cannot find what I want immediately. Compared to Google, it costs me more time to locate the book I want.
- 5) In October last year, I used a computer in the library on campus to do some homework. After two hours I wanted to save the work into my USB but once I inserted the USB, the computer restarted itself and my work was lost.
- 6) Many resources in the library do not provide electronic versions.
 It is quite inconvenient to view the place of the book located in bookmarked list as I have to click into each book detail to search for the place. It is better to show it together with the name list.
- 7) materials are not well organized
- 8) Mobile phone so small that not proper for reading on it.
- 9) Not able to load primal pictures of OviSP Using ipad/iPhone/PDA tablet as it required flash player.
 Recommend to have e-resource videos that can support u tub.
- 10) not enough computers in libraries. computers hang easily, too slow sometimes.
- 11) not user friendly
- 12) Please do not use flash because iPad cannot use it.
- some journals in the form of PDF cannot be highlighted. It ;s not convenient for reader to mark some important points.
- sometimes I do not know where the hyperlinks are, also, it is rather hard/not user friendly to search for journal articles in the library catalogue
- 15) Sometimes the websites that I'm using as part of the library catalogue will log me out after 30 minutes of inactivity.
- 16) Sometimes when the book is not borrowed on the internet, but I can't find the book.
- 17) the book search result is not accurate
- 18) The dedicated mobile webpage is rather too simple. It is not that useful.

- 19) time-consuming
- 20) too complicated interface
- 21) Website is not user friendly enough
- When I am connected to the CUHK VPN, sometimes I still cannot access a journal article from online database directly and need to browse the library website first and click the link to receive access right.
- 23) 不知道如何尋找自己需要用的資料[don't know how to find resources for my own needs]

The City University of Hong Kong

- 1) difficult to find the resources of materials
- 2) fast print 經常故障
- 3) feel frustrate when the time I cannot find my books
- 4) Hard to reserve a room
- 5) Not enough computer to use
- 6) Not enough PCs
- 7) not enough seats in library
- 8) printer copies 故障率過高
- 9) Printing only PC 不足夠,開機速度不夠快
- 10) semi-close 的書太快要還,printer 早上太多人
- 11) some books cannot be borrowed overnight, we may not have time to finish it in library.
- 12) Some PCs are slow in speed that create frustration and is a waste of time.
- 13) the book-searching algorithm need to have a better performance, it always loss some result that I wanted to find
- 14) he classic catalogue, although still necessary to find some resources, is not as immediately easy to use as the new catalogue. Some resources, for example collections, and features, for example hkall, and interloan are not very easy to discover and use.
- 15) the materials in the EXPORT basket are sorted by first-check-first-appear order, inhibiting the users to locate books which are stored in numerically or call-number order; the export function should enable users to sort materials by call number function
 - -every time in semester beginning, with several subjects, i need to check if 50 books assigned by professors are in our library collection, i have to enter book names 50 times and then check the item on individual basis/case-by-case basis. I prefer to have a multiple search engine, so that once i enter 50 book names in the search engine with 50 blank spaces, i can at once get the results to see if our library has the collections
- the websites do not use effectively scripting languages or CSS etc. to display content according to the devices users use. Thus users have to adjust the text or rotate the screen to try to optimise the views.
- 17) Too complicated system to access; too many results for a simple search.

- 18) too many students waiting for the printers
- 19) Use of classic catalogue is not as intuitive as the new catalogue. Some info, e.g. collections research is not immediately easy to find and use.
- 20) VPN issue with Android, EZproxy is troublesome
- 21) when printing out the notes the machine always out of control
- 22) 上網較慢,printer 有時壞要排長龍
- 23) 不能搜索所有我要的書
- 24) 中文書名翻譯太奇怪(搜索目錄)
- 25) 人太多
- 26) 圖書編碼索引讓我仍有一些困惑(標注的字母讓我在地圖上找不到?)希望能有簡單的操作指南(例如 一張小的 leaflet)
- 27) 圖書館的網頁指示不清楚,很難找到相關服務,例如用戶紀錄登入的連結位置不顯眼
- 28) 太冷,插座不夠
- 29) 太少空間,使用者太多
- 30) 影印服務供不應求,沒有足夠座位
- 31) 我部 computer 連接唔到 fast print
- 32) 打印時常出錯
- 33) 找不到 journal
- 34) 找不到電腦作列印筆記之用
- 35) 指示不清楚, 尋找資料程序煩複
- 36) 指示不足
- **37)** 搜索資源上不大問題,反而是影印服務上感到很不方便,因爲打印機經常不能正常運作,以致花了很多不必要的時間排隊等待使用
- 38) 数据库太多搜索方法复杂
- 39) 数据库太多,搜索方法较复杂
- 40) 有一些地方信號好差,上唔到網
- 41) 有時不清楚那些數據庫可用 有些數據庫只可查得到 abstract,不知去那裡查原文
- **42)** 電腦太少人太多,經常有人用袋/書霸位 **4-7** 小時候後才出現而圖書館人員沒定時檢查/警告及沒收霸位用的物品
 - 經常有人在圖書館大聲傾計/電話而圖書館人員沒有制止
- 43) 檢索圖書館書目並不能搜集到全郭信息

- 44) 比如圖書館座位不夠,經常有人占座,不給其他人使用,建議開發一個自動驚報系統來及時清除超過 30min 的占座行為
- 45) 永遠找不到我要的書, 書架沒人打理; search items 好多時唔 relevant
- 46) 沒有什麼大問題, 只是有時候印 note 有些技術問題
- 47) 沒有清楚的使用電子服務說明
- 48) 沒有足夠的電腦去查閱館藏,於 Oval 只有 2-3 部電腦以供這個用途,其他都以作研習之用
- 49) 登入圖書館的經常失靈
- 50) 經常找不到座位
- 51) 網上文章只能使用很短時間,不能下載來閱讀
- 52) 網上顯示 AVALIABLE 的書在圖書館架上找不到 免費列印的列印機不足,經常需排很長時間;列印機亦經常失靈 大部分電腦椅都壞
- 53) 经常借不到书,大部分书本都借出了。尤其是在写论文的时候。
- 54) 还挺好的没什么问题
- 55) 過多人使用
- 56) 電腦(搜索圖書用)太少,輪侯時間長,列印服務經常出現故障,經常沒有足夠的桌椅供學生使用(不是沙 發椅)
- 57) 電腦供應有限
- 58) 電腦太少,掃瞄器更甚,打印機經常故障,參考書不足,未能予以外借超過一天,自修室多出現被覇位的 現象

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

- 1) I have tried to borrow an e-book online but failed to do so despite many attempts trying. 2. Failed to book facilities online (discussion pods)
- 2) internet speed.
- 3) It is not mobile-device-friendly.
- 4) Lagging or at times unaccessible.
- 5) limited ebooks are available and sometime difficult to download
- 6) looking for databases saved.
- 7) Missing books that cannot be found immediately.
- 8) Not unable to bookmark a link to a book or search results
- 9) OPAC too slow
- 10) Printing problem especially during start of the semester. The Queue was too long. No privilge for printing lesser than 10 paper only.
- 11) service too laggy.

- 12) slow computers.
- 13) slow loading time
- 14) Some of the databases can't be accessed from our own laptop e.g. Bloomberg and Datastream, but only at specific PCs in the library. This poses a great hinder while trying to do research for our researches.
- 15) -sometimes internet connection has problem hence the need to restart
- 16) Sometimes we need some effort to find what we need online.
 - It is better to have a complete guideline for us to follow.
- 17) The library resource website doesnt fully support mobile version, and only some luxury smartphone can access it
- 18) the session gets expired, when i shift to do other work or leave the browser in active for some time
- 19) The user interface is somehow confusing.
- 20) Time slots of learning pod varies between libraries

The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

- 1) Access to wifi in campus and outside campus (University wifi) is a problem. I have no success on both Android cell phone and my tablet PC so far.
- 2) books can't borrow out form the library
- 3) Campus/Internet Reception.
 - Having to copy down the numbers of the book and locating them.
 - Not particular a problem, but booking of rooms can be much smooth, Too many options on the hku portal leading to a huge clutter of information no idea what is for what and when to use what.
- 4) cannot find the book.
- 5) Don't know where to find the e-resource on the web in the early beginning of the semester.
 - Error in requesting the books on dragon
- 6) For a particular book, it usually has only one copy in the library and it cause incovenience when others are reading it.
- 7) general good, some computer stations seems slower than others.
- 8) HKU library is too far away for my ccampus which locates in North Point. That's why i seldom go there.
- 9) I am living too far from HKU library.
- 10) I usually use my mobile phone to access internet or sometimes with my laptop. As my mobile phone is not an iphone and I do not have ipad or galaxy, sometimes it is hard for me to access the documents or files with pictures or in picture format.
- 11) inconvenience used if the system interface.
- 12) long borrowing line for course text books. printers can sometimes break down/cannot cancel printing

- 13) Not enough seats
- 14) not enough space
- 15) nothing so far. just a bit slow the PC.
- 16) online problem / technical problem/ user friendliness of the program
- 17) Paper jamming or no paper in the copier but no one is gonna help.
- 18) some journal articles cannot directly open their related file formats, it seems waste the time.
- 19) the copy machine is quite difficult to use :(
- 20) The library website does not suitable for mobile web browser. A lot of functions do not work. Multiplatform is also very important, there should have both iphone and Android version.
- 21) The loading time of the database is slow sometimes
- 22) The two catalogues are inconsistent for HKU.
- 23) There are not enough computers for students sometimes it has to wait for a long time.
- 24) There is no problem in using the library at all. Just that the seats of the library in HKU SPACE are not sufficient to accommodate large number of student.
- 25) When printer room is used for lesson, hardly printing.
- When the library got another copy of the same book, others could still recall mine. Probably because they can obtain the book more easily, on the dedicated bookshelves. That leads to the second problem, it is quite difficult to locate books if you are not familiar with the inside of the library. You cannot find the location of the book at the library catalogue website.
- 27) Wifi signal quality varies largely in different areas of library.
- 28) 不知有什麼途徑可以使用而且太遙遠
- 29) 並不能隨時隨地查詢有關書籍
- 30) 使用圖書館某些資源的指引,如:借用館內電腦
- 31) 地點太遠
- 32) 大學圖書館離我的住處太遠
- 33) 我不去圖書館
- 34) 找不到想要的書(註:沒有借出)
- 35) 書本分佈未夠清晰
- 36) 熱門書如課程指定書常失蹤。
- 37) 系統較麻煩
- 38) 網上假如能提供 tutorials 如何使用圖書館的資源/服務,應該更方便
- 39) 自動借書器不能閱取書本條碼,要到 counter 借閱。
- 40) 自動續借服務有欠完善
- 41) 資源太多

Question 22: If you have other views or suggestions about library mobile services, do share with us.

REPSONSES

The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

- 1) better wi-fi connection
- 2) include iPad function, not only iPhone
- 3) Instead of providing mobile apps or website optimized for mobile browsers, it will be much better if the library can provide APIs (web services or whatever). There are lots of students who can write mobile apps and the students know indeed what they want. If the library can provide some APIs and hold an iPhone/Android/mobile web app design contest, I think we will have something interesting at the end.
- 4) Make sure it can be used in an iPad.
- 5) Most time, we have Internet access with a desktop PC. We don't bother to hit a small keyboard of the phone. However, a phone is with us all the time. My suggestion is, leave complex operations for the PC, but support all kinds of notification services with the phone.
- 6) though a phone cannot access to the internet, it is better to receive reminders of due date of borrowed books.

The City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

- 1) Apps for renew and call books
- 2) Be concise if you appear on a mobile device, thank you.
- 3) Extending the life time of a print job may help a little bit too.
- 4) Good try
- 5) I wonder the current wireless technology is fast enough for e-books, e-journals to be useful. Catalog, borrower record, renewal should be sufficient.
- 6) It will be great if things can be made further personalised and functions like a personal record of reading, publication, workshops attended etc. A small personal knowledge profile. The system may even make certain suggestion, like what Amazon does, to recommend some readings or related news to users.;)
- 7) It would be great if the application is as small as possible. Thanks.
- 8) It would be very useful to have a mobile print-on-demand service that helps you find journal articles and have them printed by staff (on a fee, if necessary) and collected at the counters. It would save money, time and it would make the printing facilities of the library less crowded all day.

- 9) Simple user interface Please! No complicated applications.
- 10) Use BlackBoard as a platform to implement all mobile campus services.
- 11) -using ipad 2 to access library catalogue
 - -like HKU, to have reminder of pre-ovderdue courtesy notice/new library opening/closing hours in form of sms or apps
- 12) 增加中文服务
- 13) 如果能夠於手提裝置查詢所需館藏書籍位置(編碼),提供即時導航以便快速找到所尋書籍所在的位置
- 14) 希望能有更多的中文服务
- 15) 手提装置浏览期刊文献应和 RefWorks 相连。
- 16) 手提裝置服務應該要在多個平台都可以使用
- 17) 手提裝置的顯示屏這麼細,真的適合作長時間閱讀?
- 18) 提供 micro USB/ iphone 差電插頭,方便差電
- 19) 最重要簡單易用心

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

- 1) an iphone app for library will definitely be useful and cool!
- 2) Come out with new apps library first then i will have feedback.
- 3) make all features available in one touch.
- 4) mobile apps for library resource
- 5) OPAC really too slow
- 6) try to get ebook for reserve books so that many students can use them simultaneously

The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

- 1) I expect to have a great mobility information achievement experience in HK's academic library. Those countries such as US and Germany, they investigate and develop M-library service.
- i hope it will be user-friendly especially with regard to the common use of touch-screen. it would be great if the library mobile services can be accessed easily with students' use of touch-screen mobiles in mind. Thank you and I look forward to using library mobile services very soon.
- 3) I think there are still room of improvement on the variety of books provided in the library, for example books contain topics which are related to projects and learning purpose.
- 4) If build up a mobile apps to access the library service would be great.
- 5) New book/ good book recommendation regularly.

- 6) Really, I am so frustrated when my book was recalled while there are plenty of copies at the library... That doesn't even make sense. And then I need to find another copy just after I return that recalled copy and check that out. So ridiculous!
- 7) Sometimes SMS service charges. Maybe you may need to ask students whether they are willing to receive a text or not. Overall, I would appreciate the service if it is to be launched.
- 8) 下載文獻,圖書於手機,訂書及續借

3. Discussions

In this survey, a total of 505 completed responses were received from students. As shown in table 1 below, the ratio of responses is 17.3% from CUHK, 35.4% from CityU, 11.5% from NTU and 35.8% from HKU.

Institution	Respondents
Chinese University of Hong Kong, CUHK	17.3%
City University of Hong Kong, CityU	35.4%
Nanyang Technological University, NTU	11.5%
University of Hong Kong, HKU	35.8%

Table 1: Respondents (by Institution)

The proportion of female and male respondents was almost the same, 50.5% respondents were female while 49.5% respondents were male. 81% of respondents were between 16 to 25 years of old. Most respondents were undergraduate students (49.1%) and post-graduate students (18%).

The highest response rate by area of study was Science and Engineering students, a total of 188 (37.2%) science and engineering students responded to this survey.

Area of study	Respondents
Science & Engineering	37.2%
Business Administration	13.5%
Social Sciences	
Arts & Humanities	13.3%
Arts & Humanities	11.7%
Others	10.1%
Medicine	3.8%
Law	3.6%
Accountancy	0.504
	3.6%
Education	3.4%

Table 2: Respondents (by Area of study)

Library services

The survey results found out that 58.2% respondents physically visited the campus libraries at least a few times a week, in which 19.4% respondents even visited the libraries on a daily basis. This result reveals that more than half of the respondents are frequent library users. Only 4.8% respondents have never visited the libraries.

Physically visit the campus library	Respondents
Daily	19.4%
A few times a week	38.8%
Once a week	21.0%

Once a month	7.7%
A few times a year	8.3%
Never	4.8%

Table 3: Percentage of the respondents physically visits the campus library

The survey asked the respondents' awareness on which library services and facilities currently offered by the campus libraries. Over 75% respondents were aware of PC facility, online book renewal and library catalogues, which were the best known library services and facilities to the respondents.

On a contrary, the least known library services and facilities were online tutorials (25.1%), tutorial class (27.7%) and requesting help for writing a term paper (28.1%). This survey result reveals that most of the respondents focus on the facilities for their learning but may not aware the more personalized instructional services provided by the library.

Library services and facilities	Respondents
Using PC in the library	85.1%
Online renewal of item borrowed	76.0%
Online library catalogue	75.8%
Loan of DVDs and CDs	74.5%
Online journal articles or e-book database	70.9%
Group study/discussion room	68.1%
Interlibrary loan	47.9%
Online "Ask a librarian" service	32.9%
Research guide for different subject area	31.7%
Request help for writing a term paper	28.1%
Research and library tutorial class	27.7%
Online tutorials	25.1%

Table 4: Percentage of user's awareness on library facilities and services

Online resources usage

Surfing the Internet is becoming an important part of learning activities for university students. The survey asked the respondents about how many hours do respondents spent online per week. The results showed that 46.8% respondents spent more than 20 hours online per week. However, 15.4% respondents only spent less than 5 hours online. In addition to the number of hours spent online for all activities, 42.6% respondents spent about 26% to 50% of their online time on study-related activities.

No. of hours spent online	Respondents		
Less than 5 hours	15.4%		
5 to 10 hours	20.2%		
11 to 20 hours	17.6%		
21 to 30 hours	17.8%		
31 to 40 hours	11.9%		
More than 40 hours	17.1%		

|--|

Less than or equal to 20 hours	53.2%
More than 20 hours	46.8%

Table 5: Percentage of different hours spent online

As an information hub for teaching and learning, the library websites provide different services and information to facilitate usage of library facilities. How well do the students perceive the services in the library websites? In the survey results, as shown in table 6, accessing Search for electronic books or journal articles, conducting research for an assignment or project, and library catalogue are the most frequently used service. Over 40% respondents access these services at least once a week.

Online services available at the library website	Daily	At least once a week	At least once a month	At least once a year	Never
Search for electronic books or journal articles	8.7%	30.7%	29.1%	15.0%	13.7%
Conduct research for an assignment or project	8.3%	31.7%	30.3%	15.8%	10.5%
Search library catalogue	7.7%	34.1%	31.5%	14.5%	10.3%
Access course reserves	4.6%	19.6%	30.1%	21.2%	21.0%
Look up library research/study guides and tutorials	3.8%	12.9%	18.2%	22.8%	37.2%
View your borrower record	3.2%	24.6%	38.0%	15.0%	16.6%

Table 6: Percentage of respondents access the services in the library website

On the other hand, the results in table 7 also noted that there was a high percentage of respondents who never used some library services offered in the library websites, including listening to library audio tours, ask a librarian, register for library training, and check RSS feed for new books and resources. This result is important for librarians when they look into the pattern of usage toknow what are the most popular library services.

The results also noted that 40% respondents have never used the online room booking for group / discussion room, while 68.1% respondents aware of the group / discussion facility in the campus libraries. The relatively low usage of this online service may be caused by the insufficient numbers of group study and discussion rooms in the library but further study is necessary to understand the usage preference and pattern by the library users for these services.

Online services available at the library website	Never use	
Listen to library audio tours or podcast	59.4%	
Register for library training or workshop	53.5%	
Contact "Ask a Librarian" online	47.7%	
Check list or RSS feeds of new books and resources	46.9%	
Book a group study/discussion room	40.0%	
Read library news	39.4%	

Table 7: Percentage of respondents never accessed the services in the library website

Mobile library services

474 respondents have been using one or more mobile devices. The possession rate of mobile devices was 93.8%. Most popular mobile devices were smartphone (67.1%) and tablet (19%). 71.3% respondents often used the mobile devices for accessing the Internet. This result has revealed a high procession rate of mobile devices for the young generation library users.

Type of mobile device	Percentage
Smartphone (e.g. iPhone, blackberry)	67.1%
Tablet (e.g. Samsung Tablet, iPad2, Motorola Zoom)	19.0%
Other handheld devices (e.g. iPod, iPod Touch, PDA)	9.9%
Electronic book reader (e.g. Amazon Kindle)	4.9%
Simple mobile phone without Internet function	24.1%

Table 8: Percentage of type of mobile devices owned by respondents

As shown in table 9, the users wereasked if the library could provide Mobile library services, 61% respondents rated a very likely to book renewal feature, 55.2% to library catalogue, and 53.9% to viewing their own borrower's records.

However, almost 40% respondents rated unlikely or even very unlikely to use audio tours/podcasts or contact librarian online. This result reveals that library users, although they adapt to mobile and internet technology and devices, have clear preferences and accept some specific library services they think they are useful to their learning.

Library service available on mobile device, if available	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely
Renew books	61.8%	28.7%	5.3%	2.4%
Search the library catalogue	55.2%	32.1%	7.5%	3.0%
View your own borrower details	53.9%	34.3%	6.7%	3.2%
Search for electronic books or journal articles	50.9%	36.4%	7.3%	3.6%
Do research for an assignment	36.8%	40.4%	13.9%	5.7%
Book a group study/discussion room	36.6%	45.3%	8.7%	4.4%
Access course reserves	34.1%	44.6%	12.5%	4.6%
Use research/study guides and tutorials	29.3%	43.2%	18.0%	6.3%
Check library opening hours	27.3%	51.7%	13.9%	3.6%
Check campus/library maps	25.1%	46.1%	17.6%	7.1%
Look for contact information	21.6%	51.1%	16.0%	7.1%
Read library news	20.8%	48.9%	19.8%	7.3%
Book library training sessions	19.0%	41.4%	24.6%	9.7%
Check list or RSS feeds of new books/resources by subject	18.6%	46.3%	22.6%	7.9%
Contact online "Ask a librarian"	18.0%	41.6%	26.3%	10.3%
Listen to library audio tours/podcasts	15.0%	37.4%	29.9%	13.1%

Table 9: Percentage of type of mobile library services preferred by respondents

On the other hand, Text/SMS messaging service is one of the most frequently used services in mobile devices. In table 10, it is shown that 61.6% and 56.8% respondents rated a very likely for receiving renewal/overdue and recall text/SMS notices respectively. In contrast with receiving library notifications, the least service rated very unlikely to use SMS messaging service to receive library news and contacting librarians online.

TEXT/SMS service	Very likely	Likely	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Don't text
Receive renewal or overdue notices	61.6%	28.1%	5.5%	1.8%	1.8%
Receive recall notifications Receive a call number from the	56.8%	27.3%	10.1%	2.4%	1.8%
catalogue Receive change of opening hours	38.4%	37.0%	15.2%	5.1%	2.6%
details	36.6%	43.6%	10.7%	5.3%	2.2%
Receive library news	22.6%	38.0%	24.4%	10.1%	2.8%
Contact online "Ask a librarian"	19.0%	35.0%	26.1%	13.1%	3.4%

Table 10: Percentage of type of Text/SMS library services preferred by respondents

Limitations

This survey was available online for four university libraries in Hong Kong and Singapore in October 2011. Since all the users can access the online survey, it is difficult to assure the target audience can be reached and complete the survey.

Moreover, due to the limited time available to conduct and analyse this survey, it only focuses on the behavior and preferences of library users in relation tousing mobile library services but cannot explain why or how they use the services in more details. Therefore it is suggested a more in-depth qualitative study, such as focus group interviews, should be carried out to follow up the findings in this survey.

There were four participating libraries in this online survey: three of them from Hong Kong and one from Singapore. It is worthy to have a more comprehensive comparison between these two cities. However, since NTU is the only representing library in this survey, it is recommended to include more academic libraries in Singapore in the future survey so that a clear picture of library users' preference can be concluded from the comparative study.

Lastly, we did not notice that the questionnaire was quite long when we designed the survey. As a result, some readers did not complete the whole survey. So the response rate was not assatisfactory as it might have been with a shorter questionnaire.

- End -