

Research Article

On Lyapunov-Type Inequalities for Two-Dimensional Nonlinear Partial Systems

Lian-Ying Chen,¹ Chang-Jian Zhao,¹ and Wing-Sum Cheung²

¹ Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018, China

² Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

Correspondence should be addressed to Chang-Jian Zhao, chjzhao@163.com

Received 27 February 2010; Accepted 23 June 2010

Academic Editor: Alberto Cabada

Copyright © 2010 Lian-Ying Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We establish a new Lyapunov-type inequality for two nonlinear systems of partial differential equations and the discrete analogue is also established. As application, boundness of the two-dimensional Emden-Fowler-type equation is proved.

1. Introduction

In a celebrated paper of 1893, Liapunov [1] proved the following well-known inequality: if y is a nontrivial solution of

$$y'' + q(t)y = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

on an interval containing the points a and b ($a < b$) such that $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, then

$$4 < (b - a) \int_a^b |q(s)| ds. \quad (1.2)$$

Since the appearance of Liapunov's fundamental paper [1], considerable attention has been given to various extensions and improvements of the Lyapunov-type inequality from

different viewpoints [2–7]. In particular, the Lyapunov-type inequalities for the following nonlinear system of differential equations were given in [8]

$$\begin{aligned}x'(t) &= \alpha_1(t)x(t) + \beta_1(t)|u(t)|^{\gamma-2}u(t), \\u'(t) &= -\beta_2(t)|x(t)|^{\beta-2}x(t) - \alpha_1(t)u(t).\end{aligned}\tag{1.3}$$

In this paper, we obtain new Lyapunov-type inequalities for the two-dimensional nonlinear system and discrete nonlinear system, respectively.

2. The Lyapunov-Type Integral Inequality for the Two-Dimensional Nonlinear System

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 x(s,t)}{\partial s \partial t} &= \alpha_1(s,t)x(s,t) + \beta_1(s,t)|u(s,t)|^{\gamma-2}u(s,t), \\ \frac{\partial^2 u(s,t)}{\partial s \partial t} &= -\beta_2(s,t)|x(s,t)|^{\beta-2}x(s,t) - \alpha_1(s,t)u(s,t).\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

We shall assume the existence of nontrivial solution $(x(s,t), u(s,t))$ of the system (2.1), and furthermore, (2.1) satisfies the following assumptions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i) $\gamma > 1, \beta > 1$ are real constants;
- (ii) $\beta_1(s,t), \beta_2(s,t) : [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that $\beta_1(s,t) > 0$ for $(s,t) \in [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$;
- (iii) $\alpha_1(s,t) : [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the hypotheses (i)–(iii) hold. If the nonlinear system (2.1) has a real solution $(x(s,t), u(s,t))$ such that $x(a,t) = x(b,t) = x(s,c) = x(s,d) = 0$ for $(s,t) \in [a,b] \times [c,d]$, and $(\partial u(s,t)/\partial s)(\partial x(s,t)/\partial t) + (\partial u(s,t)/\partial t)(\partial x(s,t)/\partial s)$ and $x(s,t)$ is not identically zero on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b, c < d$, then*

$$2 \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d |\alpha_1(s,t)| dt ds + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s,t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2^+(s,t) dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $(1/\alpha) + (1/\gamma) = 1$, $M = \max_{\substack{a < s < b \\ c < t < d}} |x(s,t)|$, and $\beta_2^+(s,t) = \max_{\substack{a < s < b \\ c < t < d}} \{\beta_2(s,t), 0\}$ is the nonnegative part of $\beta_2(s,t)$.

Proof. Since $x(a,t) = x(b,t) = x(s,c) = x(s,d) = 0$ and $x(s,t)$ is not identically zero on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$, we can choose $(\tau, \sigma) \in (a,b) \times (c,d)$ such that $|x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max_{\substack{a < s < b \\ c < t < d}} |x(s,t)| > 0$.

Let $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| > 0$. Integrating the first equation of system (2.1) over t from c to σ and over s from a to τ , respectively, we obtain

$$\int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \frac{\partial^2 x(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} dt ds = \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \left(\alpha_1(s, t)x(s, t) + \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-2}u(s, t) \right) dt ds. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \frac{\partial^2 x(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} dt ds &= \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial x(s, t)}{\partial s} \right) dt ds \\ &= \int_a^\tau \left[\int_c^\sigma \frac{\partial x(s, t)}{\partial s} \Big|_t dt \right] ds \\ &= \int_a^\tau \frac{\partial x(s, \sigma)}{\partial s} ds - \int_a^\tau \frac{\partial x(s, c)}{\partial s} ds \\ &= x(\tau, \sigma) - x(a, \sigma) - x(\tau, c) + x(a, c) \\ &= x(\tau, \sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence,

$$x(\tau, \sigma) = \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \left(\alpha_1(s, t)x(s, t) + \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-2}u(s, t) \right) dt ds, \quad (2.5)$$

and similarly, we have

$$x(\tau, \sigma) = \int_\tau^b \int_\sigma^d \left(\alpha_1(s, t)x(s, t) + \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-2}u(s, t) \right) dt ds. \quad (2.6)$$

Employing the triangle inequality gives

$$|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma |\alpha_1(s, t)||x(s, t)| dt ds + \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-1} dt ds, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \int_\tau^b \int_\sigma^d |\alpha_1(s, t)||x(s, t)| dt ds + \int_\tau^b \int_\sigma^d \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-1} dt ds. \quad (2.8)$$

Summing (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$2|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \int_a^\tau \int_c^\sigma |\alpha_1(s, t)||x(s, t)| dt ds + \int_\tau^b \int_\sigma^d \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{r-1} dt ds. \quad (2.9)$$

By using Hölder inequality on the second integral of the right side of (2.9) with indices α and γ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1} dt ds \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t)^{1/\gamma} \beta_1(s, t)^{1/\alpha} |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1} dt ds \\
 &\leq \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\alpha(\gamma-1)} dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha} \\
 &= \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^\gamma dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $(1/\alpha) + (1/\gamma) = 1$.

Therefore, we obtain from (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|x(\tau, \sigma)| &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d |\alpha_1(s, t)| |x(s, t)| dt ds \\
 &+ \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^\gamma dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} (x(s, t) u(s, t)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial x(s, t)}{\partial s} \cdot u(s, t) + x(s, t) \cdot \frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial s} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial^2 x(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} \cdot u(s, t) + \frac{\partial x(s, t)}{\partial s} \cdot \frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial t} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\partial x(s, t)}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial u(s, t)}{\partial s} + x(s, t) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Multiplying the first equation of (2.1) by $u(s, t)$ and the second one by $x(s, t)$, adding the result, and noting $(\partial u(s, t)/\partial s)(\partial x(s, t)/\partial t) + (\partial u(s, t)/\partial t)(\partial x(s, t)/\partial s) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} [x(s, t) u(s, t)] = \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^\gamma - \beta_2(s, t) |x(s, t)|^\beta. \tag{2.13}$$

Integrating the left side of (2.13) over t from c to d and over s from a to b , respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} [x(s, t)u(s, t)] dt ds \\
 &= \int_a^b \int_c^d \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{\partial(x(s, t)u(s, t))}{\partial s} \right] dt ds \\
 &= \int_a^b \left[\int_c^d \frac{\partial(x(s, t)u(s, t))}{\partial s} \Big|_t dt \right] ds \\
 &= \int_a^b \frac{\partial(x(s, d)u(s, d))}{\partial s} ds - \int_a^b \frac{\partial(x(s, c)u(s, c))}{\partial s} ds \\
 &= x(b, d)u(b, d) - x(a, d)u(a, d) - x(b, c)u(b, c) + x(a, c)u(a, c).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Now integrating both sides of (2.13) over t from c to d and over s from a to b , respectively, and noting $x(a, t) = x(b, t) = 0$, we get

$$\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^\gamma dt ds = \int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2(s, t)|x(s, t)|^\beta dt ds. \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting equality (2.15) by (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2|x(\tau, \sigma)| &\leq \int_a^b \int_c^d |\alpha_1(s, t)||x(s, t)| dt ds \\
 &+ \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2(s, t)|x(s, t)|^\beta dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Noticing that $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max_{\substack{a < s < b \\ c < t < d}} |x(s, t)| > 0$ and $\beta_2^+(s, t) = \max_{\substack{a < s < b \\ c < t < d}} \{\beta_2(s, t), 0\}$, we obtain

$$2 \leq \int_a^b \int_c^d |\alpha_1(s, t)| dt ds + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2^+(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha}. \tag{2.17}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.2. Let $x(s, t), u(s, t), \alpha_1(s, t)$, and $\beta_1(s, t)$ change to $x(t), u(t), \alpha_1(t)$, and $\beta_1(t)$ in (2.2), and with suitable changes, (2.2) changes to the following result:

$$2 \leq \int_a^b |\alpha_1(t)| dt + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^b \beta_1(t) dt \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \beta_2^+(t) dt \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (2.18)$$

This is just a new Lyapunov-type inequality which was given by Tiryaki et al. [8].

3. The Lyapunov-Type Discrete Inequality for the Two-Dimensional Nonlinear System

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 x(s, t) &= \alpha_1(s, t)x(s+1, t+1) + \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^{\gamma-2}u(s, t), \\ \Delta_1 \Delta_2 u(s, t) &= -\beta_2(s, t)|x(s+1, t+1)|^{\beta-2}x(s+1, t+1) - \alpha_1(s, t)u(s, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, Δ_1 denotes the forward difference operator for s , that is, $\Delta_1 x(s, t) = x(s+1, t) - x(s, t)$, and Δ_2 denotes the forward difference operator for t , that is, $\Delta_2 x(s, t) = x(s, t+1) - x(s, t)$. We shall assume the existence of nontrivial solution $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ of the system (3.1), and furthermore, (3.1) satisfies the following assumptions (i), (ii), and (iii):

- (i) $\gamma > 1, \beta > 1$ are real constants;
- (ii) $\beta_1(s, t), \beta_2(s, t)$ are real-valued functions such that $\beta_1(s, t) > 0$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (iii) $\alpha_1(s, t)$ is a real-valued function for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the hypotheses (i)–(iii) hold. Assume $n_1, m_1, n_2, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_1 < m_1 - 2, n_2 < m_2 - 2$. If the nonlinear system (3.1) has a real solution $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ such that $x(n_1, t) = x(m_1, t) = x(s, n_2) = x(s, m_2) = 0$ for all $(s, t) \in [n_1, m_1] \times [n_2, m_2]$, and $\Delta_1 x(s, t+1) \cdot \Delta_2 u(s, t) + \Delta_2 x(s+1, t) \cdot \Delta_1 u(s, t) = 0$ and $x(s, t)$ is not identically zero on $[n_1, m_1] \times [n_2, m_2]$, then*

$$2 \leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} |\alpha_1(s, t)| + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2^+(s, t) \right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $(1/\alpha) + (1/\gamma) = 1, M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max_{\substack{n_1+1 < s < m_1-1 \\ n_2+1 < t < m_2-1}} |x(s, t)|$, and $\beta_2^+(s, t) = \max_{\substack{n_1+1 < s < m_1-1 \\ n_2+1 < t < m_2-1}} \{\beta_2(s, t), 0\}$.

Proof. Let $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ be nontrivial real solution of system (3.1) such that $x(n_1, t) = x(m_1, t) = x(s, n_2) = x(s, m_2) = 0$ and $x(s, t)$ is not identically zero on $[n_1, m_1] \times [n_2, m_2]$.

Then multiplying the first equation of (3.1) by $u(s, t)$ and the second one by $x(s + 1, t + 1)$, adding the result, and noting $\Delta_1 x(s, t + 1) \cdot \Delta_2 u(s, t) + \Delta_2 x(s + 1, t) \cdot \Delta_1 u(s, t) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta_1 \Delta_2 [x(s, t)u(s, t)] \\
 &= \Delta_2 ((x(s + 1, t) - x(s, t))u(s, t) + x(s + 1, t)(u(s + 1, t) - u(s, t))) \\
 &= \Delta_2 ((x(s + 1, t) - x(s, t))u(s, t) + \Delta_2(x(s + 1, t)(u(s + 1, t) - u(s, t))) \\
 &= (x(s + 1, t + 1) - x(s, t + 1) - (x(s + 1, t) - x(s, t)))u(s, t) \\
 &\quad + (x(s + 1, t + 1) - x(s, t + 1))(u(s, t + 1) - u(s, t)) \\
 &\quad + (x(s + 1, t + 1) - x(s + 1, t))(u(s + 1, t) - u(s, t)) \\
 &\quad + x(s + 1, t + 1)(u(s + 1, t + 1) - u(s, t + 1) - (u(s + 1, t) - u(s, t))) \\
 &= (\Delta_1 \Delta_2 x(s, t))u(s, t) + \Delta_1 x(s, t + 1) \Delta_2 u(s, t) \\
 &\quad + \Delta_2 x(s + 1, t) \Delta_1 u(s, t) + x(s + 1, t + 1) (\Delta_1 \Delta_2 u(s, t)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

we have

$$\Delta_1 \Delta_2 [x(s, t)u(s, t)] = \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^\gamma - \beta_2(s, t)|x(s + 1, t + 1)|^\beta. \tag{3.4}$$

Summing the left side of (3.4) over t from n_2 to $m_2 - 1$ and over s from n_1 to $m_1 - 1$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 (x(s, t)u(s, t)) \\
 &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} (x(s + 1, t + 1)u(s + 1, t + 1) - x(s + 1, t)u(s + 1, t) \\
 &\quad - (x(s, t + 1)u(s, t + 1) - x(s, t)u(s, t))) \\
 &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} (x(s + 1, m_2)u(s + 1, m_2) - x(s, m_2)u(s, m_2) \\
 &\quad - (x(s + 1, n_2)u(s + 1, n_2) - x(s, n_2)u(s, n_2))) \\
 &= x(m_1, m_2)u(m_1, m_2) - x(n_1, m_2)u(n_1, m_2) - x(m_1, n_2)u(m_1, n_2) \\
 &\quad + x(n_1, n_2)u(n_1, n_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Summing both sides of (3.4) over t from n_2 to $m_2 - 1$ and over s from n_1 to $m_1 - 1$, respectively, and noting $x(n_1, t) = x(m_1, t) = 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t)|u(s, t)|^\gamma = \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_2(s, t)|x(s + 1, t + 1)|^\beta. \tag{3.6}$$

Noticing that $x(m_1, t) = x(s, m_2) = 0$ and $\beta_2^+(s, t) = \max_{\substack{n_1+1 < s < m_1-1 \\ n_2+1 < t < m_2-1}} \{\beta_2(s, t), 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^\gamma &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2(s, t) |x(s+1, t+1)|^\beta \\ &\leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2^+(s, t) |x(s+1, t+1)|^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Choose $(\tau, \sigma) \in [n_1 + 1, m_1 - 1] \times [n_2 + 1, m_2 - 1]$ such that $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max_{\substack{n_1+1 < s < m_1-1 \\ n_2+1 < t < m_2-1}} |x(s, t)|$. Hence $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| > 0$. Summing the first equation of (3.1) over t from n_2 to $\sigma - 1$ and over s from n_1 to $\tau - 1$, respectively, we obtain

$$\sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 x(s, t) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \alpha_1(s, t) x(s+1, t+1) + \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-2} u(s, t). \quad (3.8)$$

Considering the left side of (3.8) and noting $x(n_1, t) = x(s, n_2) = 0$ for all $(s, t) \in [n_1, m_1] \times [n_2, m_2]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \Delta_1 \Delta_2 x(s, t) &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \left(\sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} (x(s+1, t+1) - x(s+1, t) - (x(s, t+1) - x(s, t))) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} (x(s+1, \sigma) - x(s, \sigma) - (x(s+1, n_2) - x(s, n_2))) \\ &= x(\tau, \sigma) - x(n_1, \sigma) - x(\tau, n_2) + x(n_1, n_2) \\ &= x(\tau, \sigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Hence,

$$x(\tau, \sigma) = \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \alpha_1(s, t) x(s+1, t+1) + \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-2} u(s, t), \quad (3.10)$$

and similarly, we have

$$x(\tau, \sigma) = \sum_{s=\tau}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=\sigma}^{m_2-2} \alpha_1(s, t) x(s+1, t+1) + \sum_{s=\tau}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=\sigma}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-2} u(s, t). \quad (3.11)$$

Employing the triangle inequality gives

$$|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} |\alpha_1(s, t)| |x(s+1, t+1)| + \sum_{s=n_1}^{\tau-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{\sigma-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \sum_{s=\tau}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=\sigma}^{m_2-2} |\alpha_1(s, t)| |x(s+1, t+1)| + \sum_{s=\tau}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=\sigma}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

Summing (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$2|x(\tau, \sigma)| \leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} |\alpha_1(s, t)| |x(s+1, t+1)| + \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1}. \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, using Hölder inequality on the second sum of the right side of (3.14) with indices α and γ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1} &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t)^{1/\gamma} \beta_1(s, t)^{1/\alpha} |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\alpha(\gamma-1)} \right)^{1/\alpha} \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^\gamma \right)^{1/\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $(1/\alpha) + (1/\gamma) = 1$. Therefore, from (3.7) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-1} \leq \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2^+(s, t) |x(s+1, t+1)|^\beta \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (3.16)$$

Substituting (3.16) to (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|x(\tau, \sigma)| &\leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} |\alpha_1(s, t)| |x(s+1, t+1)| \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2^+(s, t) |x(s+1, t+1)|^\beta \right)^{1/\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Noticing that $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max_{\substack{n_1+1 < s < m_1-1 \\ n_2+1 < t < m_2-1}} |x(s, t)| > 0$, we get

$$2 \leq \sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} |\alpha_1(s, t)| + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-1} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-1} \beta_1(s, t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{s=n_1}^{m_1-2} \sum_{t=n_2}^{m_2-2} \beta_2^+(s, t) \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (3.18)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Let $x(s, t), u(s, t), \alpha_1(s, t)$, and $\beta_1(s, t)$ change to $x(t), u(t), \alpha_1(t)$, and $\beta_1(t)$ in (3.2) and with suitable changes, (3.2) changes to the following result:

$$2 \leq \sum_{t=n}^{m-2} |\alpha_1(t)| + M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\sum_{t=n}^{m-1} \beta_1(t) \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\sum_{t=n}^{m-2} \beta_2^+(t) \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (3.19)$$

This is just a new Lyapunov-type inequality which was given by Ünal et al. [2].

4. An application

Two-dimensional Emden-Fowler-type equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s \partial t} \left(r(s, t) \left| \frac{\partial x(x, t)}{\partial s \partial t} \right|^{\alpha-2} \frac{\partial x(x, t)}{\partial s \partial t} \right) + q(s, t) |x(s, t)|^{\beta-2} x(s, t) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\alpha > 1$ is a constant, $r(s, t)$ and $q(s, t)$ are real functions, and $r(s, t) > 0$ for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the following special case of system (2.1), which is an equivalent system for the two-dimensional Emden-Fowler-type equation (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x^2(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} &= \beta_1(s, t) |u(s, t)|^{\gamma-2} u(s, t), \\ \frac{\partial u^2(s, t)}{\partial s \partial t} &= -\beta_2(s, t) |x(s, t)|^{\beta-2} x(s, t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\beta_1(s, t) = r(s, t)^{1-\gamma}$ and $\beta_2(s, t) = q(s, t)$.

Obviously Theorem 2.1 for the two-dimensional nonlinear system (2.1) with $\alpha_1(s, t) \equiv 0$ is satisfied for system (4.2). Therefore, we have

$$2 \leq M^{\beta/\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2^+(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\alpha}. \quad (4.3)$$

A nontrivial solution $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ of system (4.2) defined on $[s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$ is said to be *proper* if and only if

$$\sup\{|x(s, t)| + |u(s, t)| : a \leq s < \infty, c \leq t < \infty\} > 0, \quad (4.4)$$

for any $a \geq s_0, c \geq t_0$. A proper solution $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ of system (4.2) is called *weakly oscillatory* if and only if at least one component has a sequence of zeros tending to $+\infty$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $|x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max\{|x(s, t)| : a < s < b, c < t < d\}$, where $a > s_0, c > t_0$ and $s_0, t_0, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $u(\tau, t)$ is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$ and $u(s, \sigma)$ is bounded on $[s_0, \infty)$,*

$$\int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty \beta_1(s, t) dt ds < \infty, \quad \int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty |\beta_2(s, t)| dt ds < \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

then every weakly oscillatory proper solution of (4.2) is bounded on $I = [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $(x(s, t), u(s, t))$ be any nontrivial weakly oscillatory proper solution of nonlinear system (4.2) on $I = [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$ such that $x(s, t)$ has a sequence of zeros tending to $+\infty$. Suppose to the contrary that $\limsup |x(s, t)| = \infty$; then given any positive number M_0 , we can find positive numbers S_0 and T_0 such that $|x(s, t)| > M_0$ for all $s > S_0, t > T_0$. Since $x(s, t)$ is an oscillatory solution, there exist $(a, b) \times (c, d) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $a > S_0, c > T_0$ such that $x(a, t) = x(b, t) = x(s, c) = x(s, d) = 0$ and $|x(s, t)| > 0$ on $(a, b) \times (c, d)$. Choose (τ, σ) in $(a, b) \times (c, d)$ such that $M = |x(\tau, \sigma)| = \max\{|x(s, t)| : a < s < b, c < t < d\} > M_0$; in view of (4.5), we can choose S_0 and T_0 large enough such that for every $a \geq S_0, c \geq T_0$,

$$\int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty \beta_1(s, t) dt ds < M^{-(\beta-a)/(\alpha-1)}, \quad \int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty |\beta_2(s, t)| dt ds < 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Taking α th power of both sides of (4.3) and combining (4.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^\alpha &\leq M^{\beta-\alpha} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^b \int_c^d \beta_2^+(s, t) dt ds \right) \\ &\leq M^{\beta-\alpha} \left(\int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty \beta_1(s, t) dt ds \right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\int_a^\infty \int_c^\infty |\beta_2(s, t)| dt ds \right) \\ &< M^{\beta-\alpha} M^{-\beta+\alpha} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta_2^+(s, t) \leq |\beta_2(s, t)|$.

This contradiction shows that $|x(s, t)|$ is bounded on $I = [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$. Therefore, there exists a positive constant K such that $|x(s, t)| \leq K$ for all $(s, t) \in I$.

On the other hand, integrating the second equation of system (4.2) over t from σ to t and over s from σ to s , respectively, we obtain

$$u(s, t) - u(\tau, t) - u(s, \sigma) + u(\tau, \sigma) = \int_\sigma^s \int_\tau^t -\beta_2(s, t) |x(s, t)|^{\beta-2} x(s, t) dt ds. \quad (4.8)$$

Notice that $u(\tau, t)$ is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$, $u(s, \sigma)$ is bounded on $[s_0, \infty)$, and in view of triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(s, t)| &\leq |u(\tau, t) + u(s, \sigma) - C| + \int_{\sigma}^s \int_{\tau}^t |\beta_2(s, t)| |x(s, t)|^{\beta-1} dt ds \\ &\leq |u(\tau, t) + u(s, \sigma) - C| + K^{\beta-1} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} |\beta_2(s, t)| dt ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $C = u(\tau, \sigma)$ is a constant.

Equation (4.9) implies that $|u(s, t)|$ is bounded on $I = [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$ since $\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} |\beta_2(s, t)| dt ds < \infty$. It follows from

$$\limsup \{|x(s, t)| + |u(s, t)|\} \leq \limsup |x(s, t)| + \limsup |u(s, t)| \quad (4.10)$$

that $\limsup \{|x(s, t)| + |u(s, t)|\}$ is bounden on $I = [s_0, \infty) \times [t_0, \infty)$.

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (10971205). It is also partially supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China (Project no. HKU7016/07P) and an HKU Seed Grant for Basic Research.

References

- [1] A. M. Liapunov, "Problème général de la stabilité du mouvement," *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse*, vol. 2, pp. 27–247, 1907, French translation of a Russian paper dated 1893.
- [2] M. Ünal, D. Çakmak, and A. Tiryaki, "A discrete analogue of Lyapunov-type inequalities for nonlinear systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 2631–2642, 2008.
- [3] H. Ito, "A degree of flexibility in Lyapunov inequalities for establishing input-to-state stability of interconnected systems," *Automatica*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 2340–2346, 2008.
- [4] A. Cañada, J. A. Montero, and S. Villegas, "Lyapunov inequalities for partial differential equations," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 237, no. 1, pp. 176–193, 2006.
- [5] L. Jiang and Z. Zhou, "Lyapunov inequality for linear Hamiltonian systems on time scales," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 310, no. 2, pp. 579–593, 2005.
- [6] G. Sh. Guseinov and B. Kaymakçalan, "Lyapunov inequalities for discrete linear Hamiltonian systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 45, no. 6–9, pp. 1399–1416, 2003.
- [7] B. G. Pachpatte, "Lyapunov type integral inequalities for certain differential equations," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 139–148, 1997.
- [8] A. Tiryaki, M. Ünal, and D. Çakmak, "Lyapunov-type inequalities for nonlinear systems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 1, pp. 497–511, 2007.