

The matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor in modified gravity with nonminimal coupling between matter and geometry

T. Harko*

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical and Computational Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China

(Received 13 December 2009; revised manuscript received 3 January 2010; published 12 February 2010)

We show that in modified $f(R)$ type gravity models with nonminimal coupling between matter and geometry, both the matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor are completely and uniquely determined by the form of the coupling. This result is obtained by using the variational formulation for the derivation of the equations of motion in the modified gravity models with geometry-matter coupling, and the Newtonian limit for a fluid obeying a barotropic equation of state. The corresponding energy-momentum tensor of the matter in modified gravity models with nonminimal coupling is more general than the usual general-relativistic energy-momentum tensor for perfect fluids, and it contains a supplementary, equation of state dependent term, which could be related to the elastic stresses in the body, or to other forms of internal energy. Therefore, the extra force induced by the coupling between matter and geometry never vanishes as a consequence of the thermodynamic properties of the system, or for a specific choice of the matter Lagrangian, and it is nonzero in the case of a fluid of dust particles.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.81.044021](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.044021)

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 04.20.Cv, 95.35.+d

I. INTRODUCTION

A very promising way to explain the recent observational data [1,2] on the acceleration of the Universe and on dark matter is to assume that at large scales the Einstein gravity model of general relativity breaks down, and a more general action describes the gravitational field. Theoretical models in which the standard Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R , first proposed in [3], have been extensively investigated lately. Cosmic acceleration can be explained by $f(R)$ gravity [4], and the conditions of viable cosmological models have been derived in [5]. In the context of the Solar System regime, severe weak-field constraints seem to rule out most of the models proposed so far [6,7], although viable models do exist [8–11]. The possibility that the galactic dynamic of massive test particles can be understood without the need for dark matter was also considered in the framework of $f(R)$ gravity models [12–16]. For a review of $f(R)$ generalized gravity models see [17].

A generalization of the $f(R)$ gravity theories was proposed in [18] by including in the theory an explicit coupling of an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R with the matter Lagrangian density L_m . As a result of the coupling the motion of the massive particles is nongeodesic, and an extra force, orthogonal to the four-velocity, arises. The connections with modified Newtonian dynamics and the Pioneer anomaly were also explored. This model was extended to the case of the arbitrary couplings in both geometry and matter in [19]. The implications of the non-minimal coupling on the stellar equilibrium were inves-

tigated in [20], where constraints on the coupling were also obtained. An inequality which expresses a necessary and sufficient condition to avoid the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability for the model was derived in [21]. The relation between the model with geometry-matter coupling and ordinary scalar-tensor gravity, or scalar-tensor theories which include nonstandard couplings between the scalar and matter, was studied in [22]. In the specific case where both the action and the coupling are linear in R , the action leads to a theory of gravity which includes higher order derivatives of the matter fields without introducing more dynamics in the gravity sector [23]. The equivalence between a scalar theory and the model with the nonminimal coupling of the scalar curvature and matter was considered in [24]. This equivalence allows for the calculation of the parametrized post-Newtonian parameters β and γ , which may lead to a better understanding of the weak-field limit of $f(R)$ theories. The equations of motion of test bodies in the nonminimal coupling model by means of a multipole method were derived in [25]. The energy conditions and the stability of the model under the Dolgov-Kawasaki criterion were studied in [26]. For a review of modified $f(R)$ gravity with geometry-matter coupling see [27].

The extra force in the $f(R)$ gravity with nonminimal coupling has the intriguing property that it depends on both the matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor. It was pointed out in [22] that adopting the standard Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_m^{(1)} = P$, where P is the pressure, the extra force vanishes in the case of dust. Different forms for the matter Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_m , and the resulting extra force, were considered in [28], and it was shown that the more natural form for \mathcal{L}_m , $\mathcal{L}_m^{(2)} = -\rho$, does not imply the vanishing of the extra force. The impact on the classical equivalence between different Lagrangian descriptions of a

*harko@hkucc.hku.hk

perfect fluid was also analyzed. Recently, the problem of the two Lagrangian descriptions of a perfect fluid, and its effect on the extra force, was reconsidered in [29]. The main conclusion of this study is that "it is a fact that by choosing $\mathcal{L}_1 = P$ there is no extra force on a dust fluid, and it is equally undeniable that by choosing $\mathcal{L}_2 = -\rho$ there will be such a force, which may ultimately provide an alternative to dark matter." It is also pointed out that "as soon as this fluid is coupled explicitly to gravity ... the two Lagrangian densities cease to be equivalent" [29].

It is the purpose of the present paper to show that in the $f(R)$ gravity with nonminimal coupling the matter Lagrangian, and the corresponding energy-momentum tensor, are not model-independent quantities, but they are completely and uniquely determined by the nature of the coupling between matter and geometry. This result can be obtained by deriving first the equations of motion in the modified gravity model from a variational principle, and then considering the Newtonian limit of the particle action for a fluid obeying a barotropic equation of state. The corresponding energy-momentum tensor of the matter is more general than the usual general-relativistic energy-momentum tensor for perfect fluids, and it contains a supplementary term that may be related to the elastic stresses in the body, or to other sources of internal energy. The matter Lagrangian can be expressed either in terms of the density or in terms of the pressure, and in both representations the physical description of the system is equivalent. Therefore the presence (or absence) of the extra force is independent of the specific form of the matter Lagrangian, and it never vanishes, except in the case of (un)physical systems with zero sound speed. In particular, in the case of dust particles, the extra force is always nonzero.

The present paper is organized as follows. The matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor in $f(R)$ gravity with nonminimal coupling are derived in Sec. II. We discuss and conclude our results in Sec. III. In the present paper we use the Landau-Lifshitz [30] sign conventions and definitions, and the natural system of units with $c = 8\pi G = 1$.

II. MATTER AND ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR IN MODIFIED GRAVITY WITH LINEAR COUPLING BETWEEN GEOMETRY AND MATTER

The action for the modified theories of gravity proposed in [18] takes the following form:

$$S = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f_1(R) + [1 + \lambda f_2(R)] L_m \right\} \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (1)$$

where $f_i(R)$ (with $i = 1, 2$) are arbitrary functions of the Ricci scalar R , and L_m is the Lagrangian density corresponding to matter. The strength of the interaction between $f_2(R)$ and the matter Lagrangian is characterized by a

coupling constant λ . We define the energy-momentum tensor of the matter as [30]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \left[\frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} L_m)}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \frac{\partial(\sqrt{-g} L_m)}{\partial (g^{\mu\nu}/\partial x^\lambda)} \right]. \quad (2)$$

By assuming that the Lagrangian density L_m of the matter depends only on the metric tensor components $g_{\mu\nu}$, and not on its derivatives, we obtain $T_{\mu\nu} = L_m g_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial L_m / \partial g^{\mu\nu}$. By taking into account the explicit form of the field equations one obtains for the covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor the equation [18]

$$\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 2\{\nabla^\mu \ln[1 + \lambda f_2(R)]\} \frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3)$$

As a specific example of generalized gravity models with linear matter-geometry coupling, we consider the case in which the matter, assumed to be a perfect thermodynamic fluid, obeys a barotropic equation of state, with the thermodynamic pressure p being a function of the rest mass density of the matter (for short: matter density) ρ only, so that $p = p(\rho)$. In this case, the matter Lagrangian density, which in the general case could be a function of both density and pressure, $L_m = L_m(\rho, p)$, or of only one of the thermodynamic parameters, becomes an arbitrary function of the density of the matter ρ only, so that $L_m = L_m(\rho)$. Then the energy-momentum tensor of the matter is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho \frac{dL_m}{d\rho} u^\mu u^\nu + \left(L_m - \rho \frac{dL_m}{d\rho} \right) g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where the four-velocity $u^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$ satisfies the condition $g^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu = 1$. To obtain Eq. (4) we have imposed the condition of the conservation of the matter current, $\nabla_\nu(\rho u^\nu) = 0$, and we have used the relation $\delta\rho = (1/2)\rho(g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu)\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, whose proof is given in the Appendix. With the use of the identity $u^\nu \nabla_\nu u^\mu = d^2 x^\mu / ds^2 + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda$, from Eqs. (3) and (4) we obtain the equation of motion of a test fluid in the modified gravity model with linear coupling between matter and geometry as

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda = f^\mu, \quad (5)$$

where

$$f^\mu = -\nabla_\nu \ln\left\{ [1 + \lambda f_2(R)] \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} \right\} (u^\mu u^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}). \quad (6)$$

The extra force f^μ , generated due to the presence of the coupling between matter and geometry, is perpendicular to the four-velocity, $f^\mu u_\mu = 0$. The equation of motion Eq. (5) can be obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta S_p = \delta \int L_p ds = \delta \int \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu} ds = 0, \quad (7)$$

where S_p and $L_p = \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu}$ are the action and the Lagrangian density for the test particles, respectively, and

$$\sqrt{Q} = [1 + \lambda f_2(R)] \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho}. \quad (8)$$

To prove this result we start with the Lagrange equations corresponding to the action (7),

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_p}{\partial u^\lambda} \right) - \frac{\partial L_p}{\partial x^\lambda} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since $\partial L_p / \partial u^\lambda = \sqrt{Q} u_\lambda$ and $\partial L_p / \partial x^\lambda = (1/2) \times \sqrt{Q} g_{\mu\nu, \lambda} u^\mu u^\nu + (1/2) Q_{, \lambda} / Q$, a straightforward calculation gives the equations of motion of the particle as

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda + (u^\mu u^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}) \nabla_\nu \ln \sqrt{Q} = 0. \quad (10)$$

By simple identification with the equation of motion of the modified gravity model with linear matter-geometry coupling, given by Eq. (5), we obtain the explicit form of \sqrt{Q} , as given by Eq. (8). When $\sqrt{Q} \rightarrow 1$ we reobtain the standard general-relativistic equation for geodesic motion.

The variational principle (7) can be used to study the Newtonian limit of the model. In the limit of the weak gravitational fields, $ds \approx \sqrt{1 + 2\phi - \tilde{v}^2} dt \approx (1 + \phi - \tilde{v}^2/2) dt$, where ϕ is the Newtonian potential and \tilde{v} is the usual tridimensional velocity of the fluid. By representing the function \sqrt{Q} as

$$\sqrt{Q} = \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + \lambda f_2(R) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho}, \quad (11)$$

in the first order of approximation the equations of motion of the fluid can be obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta \int \left[\frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + \lambda f_2(R) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + \phi - \frac{\tilde{v}^2}{2} \right] dt = 0, \quad (12)$$

and are given by

$$\tilde{a} = -\nabla\phi - \nabla \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} - \nabla U_E = \tilde{a}_N + \tilde{a}_H + \tilde{a}_E, \quad (13)$$

where \tilde{a} is the total acceleration of the system, $\tilde{a}_N = -\nabla\phi$ is the Newtonian gravitational acceleration, and $\tilde{a}_E = -\nabla U_E = -\lambda \nabla [f_2(R) dL_m(\rho)/d\rho]$ is a supplementary acceleration induced due to the coupling between matter and geometry. As for the term $\tilde{a}_H = -\nabla [dL_m(\rho)/d\rho]$, it has to be identified with the hydrodynamic acceleration term in the perfect fluid Euler equation,

$$\tilde{a}_H = -\nabla \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} = -\nabla \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \frac{dp}{\rho}, \quad (14)$$

where ρ_0 , an integration constant, plays the role of a limiting density. Hence the matter Lagrangian can be obtained by a simple integration as

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho [1 + \Pi(\rho)] - \int_{p_0}^p dp, \quad (15)$$

where $\Pi(\rho) = \int_{p_0}^p dp/\rho$, and we have normalized an arbitrary integration constant to one. p_0 is an integration constant, or a limiting pressure. The corresponding energy-momentum tensor of the matter is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \{\rho[1 + \Phi(\rho)] + p(\rho)\} u^\mu u^\nu - p(\rho) g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (16)$$

respectively, where

$$\Phi(\rho) = \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \frac{p}{\rho^2} d\rho = \Pi(\rho) - \frac{p(\rho)}{\rho}, \quad (17)$$

and with all the constant terms included in the definition of p . By introducing the energy density of the body according to the definition $\varepsilon = \rho[1 + \Phi(\rho)]$, the energy-momentum tensor of a test fluid can be written in the modified gravity models with geometry-matter coupling in a form similar to the standard general-relativistic case, $T^{\mu\nu} = [\varepsilon(\rho) + p(\rho)] u^\mu u^\nu - p(\rho) g^{\mu\nu}$.

From a physical point of view $\Phi(\rho)$ can be interpreted as the elastic (deformation) potential energy of the body, and therefore Eq. (16) corresponds to the energy-momentum tensor of a compressible elastic isotropic system. The matter Lagrangian can also be written in the simpler form $L_m(\rho) = \rho \Phi(\rho)$.

If the pressure does not have a thermodynamic or radiative component one can take $p_0 = 0$. If the pressure is a constant background quantity, independent of the density, so that $p = p_0$, then $L_m(\rho) = \rho$, and the energy-momentum tensor of the matter takes the form corresponding to dust, $T^{\mu\nu} = \rho u^\mu u^\nu$.

III. DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In the present paper we have shown that in the $f(R)$ gravity with nonminimal coupling the matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor can be obtained uniquely and consistently from the form of the coupling between matter and geometry. The coupling completely fixes both the matter Lagrangian and the energy-momentum tensor. Since the matter is supposed to obey a barotropic equation of state, this result is independent of the concrete representation of the matter Lagrangian in terms of the thermodynamic quantities. The same results are obtained by assuming $L_m = L_m(p)$ —due to the equation of state ρ and p are freely interchangeable thermodynamic quantities, and the Lagrangians expressed in terms of ρ and p only are completely equivalent. More general situations, in which the density and pressure are functions of the particle number and temperature, respectively, and the equation of state is given in a parametric form, can be analyzed in a similar way.

The form of the matter Lagrangian, and the energy-momentum tensor, are strongly dependent on the equation of state. For example, if the barotropic equation of state is

linear, $p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$, $\gamma = \text{constant}$, $1 \leq \gamma \leq 2$, then

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho \left\{ 1 + (\gamma - 1) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) - 1 \right] \right\}, \quad (18)$$

and $\Phi(\rho) = (\gamma - 1) \ln(\rho/\rho_0)$, respectively. In the case of a polytropic equation of state $p = K\rho^{1+1/n}$, K , $n = \text{constant}$, we obtain

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho + K \left(\frac{n^2}{n+1} - 1 \right) \rho^{1+1/n}, \quad (19)$$

and $\Phi(\rho) = Kn\rho^{1+1/n} = np(\rho)$, respectively, where we have taken for simplicity $\rho_0 = p_0 = 0$. For a fluid satisfying the ideal gas equation of state $p = k_B \rho T / \mu$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and μ is the mean molecular weight, we obtain

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho \left\{ 1 + \frac{k_B T}{\mu} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) - 1 \right] \right\} + p_0. \quad (20)$$

In the case of a physical system satisfying the ideal gas equation of state, the extra acceleration induced by the presence of the nonminimal coupling between matter and geometry is given by

$$\vec{a}_E \approx -\lambda \frac{k_B T}{\mu} \nabla \left[f_2(R) \ln \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right], \quad (21)$$

and it is proportional to the temperature of the fluid. It is also interesting to note that the limiting density and pressure ρ_0 and p_0 generate in the energy-momentum tensor some extra constant terms, which may be interpreted as the dark energy.

In conclusion, the extra force induced by the coupling between matter and geometry does not vanish for any specific choices of the matter Lagrangian. In the case of the dust, with $p = 0$, the extra force is given by

$$f^\mu = -\nabla_\nu \ln[1 + \lambda f_2(R)] (u^\mu u^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}), \quad (22)$$

and it is independent of the thermodynamic properties of the system, being completely determined by geometry, kinematics, and coupling. In the limit of small velocities and weak gravitational fields, the extra acceleration of a dust fluid is given by

$$\vec{a}_E = -\lambda \nabla [f_2(R)]. \quad (23)$$

The thermodynamic condition for the vanishing of the extra force is $\partial L_m / \partial g^{\mu\nu} = (1/2)(\partial L_m / \partial \rho) \rho (g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) = 0$ only. If the matter Lagrangian is written as a function of the pressure, then $\partial L_m / \partial \rho = (\partial L_m / \partial p) \times (\partial p / \partial \rho)$, and for all physical systems satisfying an equation of state (or, equivalently, for all systems with a non-zero sound velocity), the extra force is nonzero. Therefore, the geometry-matter coupling is introduced in the generalized gravity models [18,19] in a consistent way. The coupling determines all the physical properties of the system,

including the extra force, the matter Lagrangian, and the energy-momentum tensor, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by an RGC grant of the government of the Hong Kong SAR.

APPENDIX: PROOF OF THE RELATION

$$\delta \rho = \frac{1}{2} \rho (g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu) \delta g^{\mu\nu}$$

Let us consider a fluid with density ρ in a system of arbitrary coordinates x^λ . Let us introduce an arbitrary coordinate transformation to a new coordinate system a^μ , so that $x^\alpha = f^\alpha(a^\lambda)$, $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In the new coordinate system a^λ the components of the metric tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu}(a^\lambda)$ are given by $\eta_{\mu\nu}(a^\lambda) = g_{\alpha\beta}(x^\lambda) \times (\partial f^\alpha / \partial a^\mu) (\partial f^\beta / \partial a^\nu)$. We define the four-velocities of the fluid in the two frames as $u^\alpha = dx^\alpha / ds_{(x)}$ and $Q^\alpha = da^\alpha / ds_{(a)}$, where $ds_{(x)}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta$ and $ds_{(a)}^2 = \eta_{\alpha\beta} da^\alpha da^\beta$, respectively. The fluid obeys the equations of mass continuity, which in the two reference frames are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (\sqrt{-g} \rho u^\alpha) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\eta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} (\sqrt{-\eta} \rho Q^\alpha) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

In Eq. (A1) we have taken into account that ρ is a scalar quantity; that is, it is invariant with respect to this coordinate transformation. Let us now assume that the coordinate transformation f^α takes our current coordinates of the particles in the fluid, x^λ , to the initial coordinates of the particles a^λ (the Lagrange coordinates). The corresponding Lagrange coordinate system is a comoving coordinate system—in it the fluid appears to be at rest. In Lagrange coordinates the spacelike coordinates a^k are constants, $a^k = a^k(0)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. The fluid velocity in the comoving coordinate system is

$$Q^\alpha = \frac{da^\alpha}{ds_{(a)}} = \delta_0^\alpha \left[g_{\alpha\beta}(f^\lambda) \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial a^0} \frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial a^0} \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (A2)$$

In the Lagrangian coordinates a^λ the equation of continuity becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a^0} \left[\sqrt{-\eta} \rho \left(g_{\alpha\beta}(f^\lambda) \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial a^0} \frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial a^0} \right)^{-1/2} \right] = 0, \quad (A3)$$

and can be integrated immediately to give

$$\sqrt{-\eta} \rho = \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}(f^\lambda) \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial a^0} \frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial a^0}} F(a^1(0), a^2(0), a^3(0)), \quad (A4)$$

where F is an integration function, depending on the Lagrange coordinates a^k , $k = 1, 2, 3$, only. Taking into

account that $\sqrt{-\eta} = \sqrt{-g}[\partial(x)/\partial(a)]$, where $\partial(x)/\partial(a)$ is the absolute value of the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation $x^\alpha \rightarrow a^\alpha$, we obtain

$$\rho\sqrt{-g}\frac{\partial(x)}{\partial(a)} = \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta}(f^\lambda)\frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial a^0}\frac{\partial f^\beta}{\partial a^0}}F(a^1(0), a^2(0), a^3(0)). \quad (\text{A5})$$

In the a^α coordinates the velocity four-vector takes the form

$$u^\alpha = \frac{df^\alpha}{ds_{(a)}} = \left(\frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial a^0}\right)\left(g_{\mu\nu}(f^\lambda)\frac{\partial f^\mu}{\partial a^0}\frac{\partial f^\nu}{\partial a^0}\right)^{-1/2}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Since the Jacobian $\partial(x)/\partial(a)$, as well as the function $F(a^1(0), a^2(0), a^3(0))$, does not contain the quantities $g_{\mu\nu}$, the variation with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (A5) gives

$$\frac{\partial(x)}{\partial(a)}\delta(\rho\sqrt{-g}) = \frac{1}{2}F\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(f^\lambda)\frac{\partial f^\mu}{\partial a^0}\frac{\partial f^\nu}{\partial a^0}}u^\mu u^\nu \delta g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

But

$$F\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(f^\lambda)\frac{\partial f^\mu}{\partial a^0}\frac{\partial f^\nu}{\partial a^0}} = \rho\sqrt{-g}\frac{\partial(x)}{\partial(a)}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\rho\sqrt{-g}) &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}(\rho u^\mu u^\nu)\delta g_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}(\rho u_\mu u_\nu)\delta g^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Combining this equation with the known relation $\delta\sqrt{-g} = -(1/2)\sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ gives

$$\delta\rho = \frac{1}{2}\rho(g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu)\delta g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{A10})$$

-
- [1] A. G. Riess *et al.*, *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998); S. Perlmutter *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565 (1999); P. de Bernardis *et al.*, *Nature* **404**, 955 (2000); S. Hanany *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **545**, L5 (2000).
 - [2] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003); T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rep.* **380**, 235 (2003).
 - [3] H. A. Buchdahl, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **150**, 1 (1970); R. Kerner, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **14**, 453 (1982); J. P. Duruisseau, R. Kerner, and P. Eysseric, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **15**, 797 (1983); J. D. Barrow and A. C. Ottewill, *J. Phys. A* **16**, 2757 (1983).
 - [4] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden, and M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043528 (2004).
 - [5] G. Allemandi, A. Borowiec, M. Francaviglia, and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 063505 (2005); S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and A. Troisi, *Phys. Lett. B* **639**, 135 (2006); L. Amendola, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 131302 (2007); S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and A. Troisi, *Phys. Lett. B* **639**, 135 (2006); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 086005 (2006); M. Amarzguoui, O. Elgaroy, D. F. Mota, and T. Multamaki, *Astron. Astrophys.* **454**, 707 (2006); L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 083504 (2007); T. Koivisto, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 043527 (2007); A. A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **86**, 157 (2007); B. Li, J. D. Barrow, and D. F. Mota, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 044027 (2007); S. E. Perez Bergliaffa, *Phys. Lett. B* **642**, 311 (2006); J. Santos, J. S. Alcaniz, M. J. Reboucas, and F. C. Carvalho, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 083513 (2007); G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02** (2005) 010; V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 061501 (2005); **72**, 124005 (2005); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115 (2007); L. M. Sokolowski, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **24**, 3391 (2007); V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 067302 (2007); C. G. Böhrer, L. Hollenstein, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084005 (2007); S. Carloni, P. K. S. Dunsby, and A. Troisi, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 024024 (2008); S. Capozziello, R. Cianci, C. Stornaiolo, and S. Vignolo, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **24**, 6417 (2007); S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and P. V. Tretyakov, *Phys. Lett. B* **651**, 224 (2007); **652**, 343 (2007); S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 023507 (2008); K. N. Ananda, S. Carloni, and P. K. S. Dunsby, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 024033 (2008).
 - [6] T. Chiba, *Phys. Lett. B* **575**, 1 (2003); A. L. Erickcek, T. L. Smith, and M. Kamionkowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 121501 (2006); T. Chiba, T. L. Smith, and A. L. Erickcek, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 124014 (2007); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 821 (2008); S. Capozziello, A. Stabile, and A. Troisi, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 104019 (2007); *Classical Quantum Gravity* **25**, 085004 (2008).
 - [7] G. J. Olmo, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 023511 (2007).
 - [8] W. Hu and I. Sawicki, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 064004 (2007).
 - [9] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 123512 (2003); J. A. R. Cembranos, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064029 (2006); V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 023529 (2006); T. Faulkner, M. Tegmark, E. F. Bunn, and Y. Mao, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 063505 (2007); P. J. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024007 (2007); C. S. J. Pun, Z. Kovacs, and T. Harko, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 024043 (2008).
 - [10] I. Sawicki and W. Hu, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 127502 (2007).
 - [11] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B* **660**, 125 (2008).
 - [12] S. Capozziello, V. F. Cardone, and A. Troisi, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **08** (2006) 001; *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **375**, 1423 (2007).
 - [13] A. Borowiec, W. Godlowski, and M. Szydlowski, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **4**, 183 (2007).

- [14] C.F. Martins and P. Salucci, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **381**, 1103 (2007).
- [15] C.G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F.S.N. Lobo, Astropart. Phys. **29**, 386 (2008).
- [16] C.G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F.S.N. Lobo, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2008) 024.
- [17] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, arXiv:0805.1726 [Rev. Mod. Phys. (to be published)].
- [18] O. Bertolami, C.G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F.S.N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 104016 (2007).
- [19] T. Harko, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 376 (2008).
- [20] O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 084018 (2008).
- [21] V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 127501 (2007).
- [22] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Classical Quantum Gravity **25**, 205 002 (2008).
- [23] T.P. Sotiriou, Phys. Lett. B **664**, 225 (2008).
- [24] O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Classical Quantum Gravity **25**, 245 017 (2008).
- [25] D. Puetzfeld and Y.N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 121501 (2008).
- [26] O. Bertolami and M.C. Sequeira, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 104010 (2009).
- [27] O. Bertolami, T. Harko, F.S.N. Lobo, and J. Paramos, arXiv:0811.2876.
- [28] O. Bertolami, F.S.N. Lobo and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064036 (2008).
- [29] V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 124040 (2009).
- [30] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1998).