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Observation of electric current induced by optically injected spin current
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Linearly polarized light at normal incidence injects a spin current into a strip of two-dimensional electron gas with Rashba spin-orbit coupling. The authors report observation of an electric current when such light is shed on the vicinity of the junction in a crossbar-shaped InGaAs/InAlAs quantum well Rashba system. The polarization dependence of this electric current was experimentally observed to be the same as that of the spin current. The authors attribute the observed electric current to the scattering of the optically injected spin current at the crossing.

Optically injected spin current has been evidenced both in theory and experiments, but detecting spin current, which is of great interest to spintronics physics as well as device applications, remains to be a challenging problem. A two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in a semiconductor heterostructure with $C_{2v}$ symmetry and vertical structural inversion asymmetry (SIA) would induce a Rashba spin-orbit coupling and lead to spin splitting of the conduction band in the momentum space. This system provides a good platform for electrical control of electron spins in semiconductors. Such examples include spin photocurrent, electric-dipole-induced spin resonance, and spin coherent transport. Among these efforts, optical injection of electron spin into 2DEG can be regarded as an efficient source to implement spin transport. Experimentally, the spin photogalvanic effect has been observed and studied extensively. In that effect, electrons in the valence band are pumped into the conduction band by irradiation of circularly polarized light, and the nonunifrom distribution of the excited electrons due to the spin-orbit coupling will circulate a spin polarized electric current. In the case that the incident light is normal to the 2D plane, electrons with opposite spins would travel in opposite directions. As a result, a pure spin current circulates while the electric photocurrent vanishes.

In this letter, we report an observation of onward or outward electric currents in a crossbar-shaped [001] InGaAs/InAlAs quantum well when linearly polarized light irradiates on the sample at normal incidence near the junction at 77 K. The electric current was demonstrated to share the same light polarization dependence as the spin current. We attribute the observed electric current to the scattering of optically injected spin current in the crossing region.

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(a), with a crossing bar carved on a sample of 2DEG which is formed at one interface between the only doped barrier and a 14 nm thick In$_{0.65}$Ga$_{0.35}$As/In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As quantum well (QW) grown on a semi-insulating [001] InP substrate by molecular beam epitaxy. The SIA was achieved by $\delta$ doping by molecular beam epitaxy. The SIA was achieved by $\delta$ doping the top of the well. The carrier density and mobility are about 1.5

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic view of the 2DEG sample and the experimental setup. The 20 $\mu$m and 200 $\mu$m wide planar channels are carved out by standard photolithography and wet etching. (b) Time-resolved Kerr rotation measurement finds a spin decoherence time of 106 ps. Black line: experimental data; red line: fitting with a single exponential function $\exp[-t/\tau_s]$. The spin coherence length is estimated to lie between the width of the narrow and wide channels.](image)
thermoelectric effects, were clearly eliminated.

The Rashba coupling coefficient is about $\alpha = 3.0 \times 10^{-12}$ eV m determined by Shubnikov–de Hass oscillation. The time-resolved Kerr rotation measurement with standard pump probe technique gives the spin decoherence time $\tau_s = 106 \text{ ps}$ at 77 K, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

The Fermi velocity of the sample is estimated to be $v_F = 5.0 \times 10^5$ m/s (taking the effective mass as 0.07$m_0$). Thus the spin coherence length is roughly estimated to be $l_s = 53 \mu$m at 77 K. Taking into consideration of these parameters, we designed a setup with two electric channels of widths $W_S = 20 \mu$m and $W_L = 200 \mu$m, respectively, one being narrower and the other wider than the spin coherence length, i.e., $W_S/2 < l_s < W_L/2$, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The planar electric channels were carved out by standard photolithography and wet etching along the [110] and the [110], defined as lab $x$ and $y$ axis, respectively [see Fig. 1(a)]. Electric contacts were made to the 2DEG by Ni/Au metal electrodes at far ends of the electric channels.

Linearly polarized laser light of wavelength of 880 nm and power of 40 mW produced by a tunable Ti:sapphire laser was used as the exciting source for the interband transition. The incident light was firstly guided through a photoelastic modulator (PEM), which modulated the light polarization to be oscillating between two orthogonal directions with a frequency of 50 kHz. Then the light was focused through a 10 mm diameter spot on the sample which was mounted in a cryostat at liquid nitrogen temperature. The electric currents passing through the terminals were monitored by voltage drops at symmetrically loaded resistors of 633 Ω. The voltages were readout with a lock-in amplifier, which was triggered by the PEM so that the electric currents induced by nonpolarization related phenomena, e.g., Dember effect and thermoelectric effects, were clearly eliminated.

No measurable current along the wide channels ($y$ axis) was observed on the background noise of tens of picoamperes under normal incidence, though a significant photocurrent was observed on the background noise of tens of picoamperes. As the current measurements were locked at the polarization oscillating frequency, the obtained current measures the difference between the electric currents generated by the two orthogonally polarized lights in the device. To further examine the relationship between the observed currents and polarization of the incident light, we rotate the polarization plane of the incident light while the light spot is fixed at the peak positions of $I_{C-A}$ and $I_{C-B}$ in Fig. 2(c). The current varies with the angle $\Phi$ between the light polarization plane and the $y$ axis, and a good fit is obtained with the form $\cos 2\Phi$, as shown in Fig. 3. Obviously the polarization dependence of the current is in excellent agreement with the calculated angle dependence of the spin current.5,19

The result of the laser scanning along the edge of channel A-B at $x = 10 \mu$m is shown in Fig. 4. Electric currents $I_{A-C}$ and $I_{B-C}$ were observed near the channel junction, and they were almost identical. The current $I_{A-B}$ remains negligible despite the laser spot position moving, while the currents $I_{A-D}$ and $I_{B-D}$ also remain negligible since the light spot is located far away from the channel D. When the laser scans along the central line of channel A-B, i.e., at $x = 100 \mu$m, no current was observed, for the light spot is located well beyond the spin coherence distance. This is consistent with the conventional spin photogalvanic effect, where the current vanishes when the linearly polarized light falls normally on the plane. We thus conclude that the observed electric current
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FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Linearly polarized light beam, polarized along $x$ axis as indicated by red color or along $y$ axis as indicated by blue color, scans along the narrow channel ($x$ axis) at normal incidence. (b) Flow pattern of measured electric currents for light spot along the narrow channel. (c)-(e) Typical charge currents through all the terminals as a function of the light spot position at $x$ axis.
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FIG. 3. (Color online) Charge current $I_{A-C}$ (black square) at channel junction $x = 0$ varies with the polarization angel $\Phi$ of light relative to the lab frame, as shown in the inset. The red curve is a fit of $\cos 2\Phi$. 

As the current measurements were locked at the polarization oscillating frequency, the obtained current measures the difference between the electric currents generated by the two orthogonally polarized lights in the device. To further examine the relationship between the observed currents and polarization of the incident light, we rotate the polarization plane of the incident light while the light spot is fixed at the peak positions of $I_{C-A}$ and $I_{C-B}$ in Fig. 2(c). The current varies with the angle $\Phi$ between the light polarization plane and the $y$ axis, and a good fit is obtained with the form $\cos 2\Phi$, as shown in Fig. 3. Obviously the polarization dependence of the current is in excellent agreement with the calculated angle dependence of the spin current.5,19

The result of the laser scanning along the edge of channel A-B at $x = 10 \mu$m is shown in Fig. 4. Electric currents $I_{A-C}$ and $I_{B-C}$ were observed near the channel junction, and they were almost identical. The current $I_{A-B}$ remains negligible despite the laser spot position moving, while the currents $I_{A-D}$ and $I_{B-D}$ also remain negligible since the light spot is located far away from the channel D. When the laser scans along the central line of channel A-B, i.e., at $x = 100 \mu$m, no current was observed, for the light spot is located well beyond the spin coherence distance. This is consistent with the conventional spin photogalvanic effect, where the current vanishes when the linearly polarized light falls normally on the plane. We thus conclude that the observed electric current
near the junction with a narrow width (20 μm) is not a conventional photocurrent.\textsuperscript{1,2,18} The equality of $I_{A,C}$ and $I_{B,C}$ obviously excludes the possibility of a diffusion mechanism for generating the photocurrent.

To understand the experimental results, we interpret the observed electric current as a result of the scattering of the spin current near the junction of the crossing bars. Firstly, the optical excitation serves as the source of a spin current with its spin polarization lying in the plane and perpendicular to the direction of the electron motion. The effective distance of this spin current is estimated to be within $l_{\parallel}$, which has the relation of $W_{\parallel}/2 < l_{\parallel} < W_{\parallel}/2$. Keeping this picture in mind the observed effect can then be well understood as follows.

In the case that the light spot scans along the central line of the narrow channel C-D in Fig. 2, the geometric boundaries of the sample set a limit to the motion of the excited electrons and lead them to two opposite directions with opposite in-plane spin polarizations. Two beams of the excited electrons compose the same spin current, which is then scattered by the edges of the crossing region to produce a transverse electric current. On the other hand, when the light spot scans along the central line of the wide channel A-B in Fig. 4, the spin current decays to zero before it reaches the edges of the crossing region, therefore no electric current circulates. While in the case that the light spot moves along the edge, also shown in Fig. 4, when the distance between the spot and the edge is within $l_{\parallel}$, the edge may again impose a constraint to the movement of the electrons and lead to an outward (inward) electric current along the edge, together with an inward (outward) electric current perpendicular to the edge, and thus a measurable current between C-A/C-B or D-A'/D-B. Also as the spin coherence length at liquid nitrogen temperature is longer than the width $W_{\parallel}=20 \, \mu$m of the narrow channel, the impurity effect or other interactions would not be crucial in this experiment.

The observation of spin current to electric current has been discussed extensively in the context of the reciprocal spin Hall effect where the separated spins are out of plane.\textsuperscript{20} In the present case the spin current is polarized within the plane, as the linearly polarized light does not generate out-of-plane spin polarization due to conservation of the total angular momentum. As examined in Ref. 5, an electron of spin $-y$ polarization will be equally scattered along the $x$ and $-x$ axes, and hence the resulted scattered electric current has such a pattern: either both outward or both inward, in the transverse directions of the spin current. Our experimental observation of the electric currents is in excellent qualitative agreement with this picture. For the case of the in-plane-polarized spin current as in the present experiment, the conversion rate for the spin current to electric current is estimated to be 0.3%–1%.\textsuperscript{5} Although there are some unknown parameters of the sample, the amplitude of the injected spin current $J_{\text{xy}}$ by the linearly polarized light can be roughly estimated by using the measurable photocurrent $J_{\text{p}}$ injected by the circularly polarized light at a small oblique angle Θ away from normal. A theoretical estimation gives $J_{\text{p}}(\Theta)/J_{\text{xy}} \approx 0.17\theta(2e/\hbar)$ by solving of semiconductor Bloch optical equation near the Γ point of the InGaAs 2DEG.\textsuperscript{19} Taking the light spot size, the geometry of the setup, and the laser power into account, we estimate from the experimental data that $J_{\text{p}}(\Theta) \approx 940\,\text{nA}$ (Ref. 18) hence the injected spin current is estimated to be approximately 550 nA ($\hbar/2e$). The observed electric current is several nanoamperes, say, 4.0 nA for the peaks in Fig. 2(c). The conversion rate from the spin current to charge current is roughly about 0.8%, close to the numerically simulated values.
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