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Salvia miltiorrhiza treatment during early reperfusion reduced postischemic myocardial injury in the rat
Ruqiong Nie, Rui Xia, Xingwu Zhong, and Zhengyuan Xia

Abstract: Oxidative stress may play a causative role in myocardial ischemia–reperfusion injury. However, it is a relatively understudied aspect regarding an optimal timing of antioxidant intervention during ischemia–reperfusion. The present study investigates the effect of different treatment regimens of Salvia miltiorrhiza (SM) herb extracts containing phenolic compounds that possess potent antioxidant properties on postischemic myocardial functional recovery in the setting of global myocardial ischemia and reperfusion. Langendorff-perfused rat hearts were subjected to 40 min of global ischemia at 37 °C followed by 60 min of reperfusion, and were randomly assigned into the untreated control and 2 SM-treated groups (n = 7 per group). In treatment 1 (SM1), 3 mg/mL of water soluble extract of SM was given for 10 min before ischemia and continued during ischemia through the aorta at a reduced flow rate of 60 μL/min, but not during reperfusion. In treatment 2 (SM2), SM (3 mg/mL) was given during the first 15 min of reperfusion. During ischemia, hearts in the control and SM2 groups were given physiological saline at 60 μL/min. The SM1 treatment reduced the production of 15-F2t-isoprostane, a specific index of oxidative stress-induced lipid peroxidation, during ischemia (94 ± 20, 43 ± 6, and 95 ± 15 pg/mL in the coronary effluent in control, SM1, and SM2 groups, respectively; p < 0.05, SM1 vs. control or SM2) and postponed the onset of ischemic contracture. However, SM2, but not the SM1 regimen, significantly reduced 15-F2t-isoprostane production during early reperfusion and led to optimal postsischemic myocardial functional recovery (left ventricular developed pressure 51 ± 4, 46 ± 4, and 60 ± 6 mmHg in the control, SM1, and SM2 groups, respectively, at 60 min of reperfusion; p < 0.05, SM2 vs. control or SM1) and reduced myocardial infarct size as measured by triphenyltetrazolium chloride staining (26% ± 2%, 22% ± 2%, and 20% ± 2% of the total area in the control, SM1, and SM2 groups, respectively, p < 0.05, SM2 vs. control). It is concluded that S. miltiorrhiza could be beneficial in the treatment of myocardial ischemic injury and the timing of administration seems important.
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Introduction

Salvia miltiorrhiza (SM, also known as danshen or tanshen) is a plant that has been used extensively as a traditional medicine for treating cardiovascular diseases in China and Japan. The aqueous extract of SM contains mainly phenolic compounds such as salvianolic acid A and B, rosmarinic acid, and 3,4-dihydroxyphenyl lactate (Danshensu) (Fig. 1) (Zhou et al. 2005), which have been shown to possess potent free-radical scavenging properties (Liu et al. 1992; Zhou and Ruigrok 1990). The administration of water-soluble extract of SM has been found to reduce the size of myocardial infarction induced by ischemia and reperfusion in both isolated and intact rabbit hearts (Wu et al. 1993; Fung et al. 1993). Water-soluble extract of SM was also found to increase the recovery of left ventricular developed pressure and attenuate the elevation in end-diastolic pressure during the postischemic reperfusion of isolated rat hearts (Zhou and Ruigrok 1990; Yagi et al. 1989). However, in these studies (Wu et al. 1993; Fung et al. 1993; Zhou and Ruigrok 1990; Yagi et al. 1989), only a single SM treatment regimen was incorporated. Little is known about whether SM could confer cardioprotection when administered to the myocardium before and during ischemia.

Oxidative stress has been strongly implicated in myocardial ischemia–reperfusion injury (IRI) (Das and Maulik 2006; Neuzil et al. 2005). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation, primarily during reperfusion, was previously thought responsible for IRI, but the importance of ROS formation during ischemia has recently been emphasized. Recent studies have demonstrated significantly increased levels of 15-F_{2t}-isoprostane (15-F_{2t}-IsoP), a sensitive and reliable index of in vivo oxidative stress-induced lipid peroxidation (Morrow and Roberts 1997), during the phase of myocardial ischemia and early reperfusion (Ulus et al. 2003; Mehlhorn et al. 2003). We have recently shown that the ischemic heart is a source of 15-F_{2t}-IsoP generation (Xia et al. 2003a; 2003b), and that 15-F_{2t}-IsoP plays a causative role in mediating myocardial IRI in the isolated rat hearts (Xia et al. 2005). Therefore, we hypothesized that SM, when administrated before and during myocardial ischemia, should reduce 15-F_{2t}-IsoP generation during ischemia and may confer similar or better cardioprotective effects than its administration being initiated during reperfusion in isolated ischemic reperfused rat hearts.

Materials and methods

Heart preparation

This study was approved by the institutional Committee of Animal Care. The investigation conforms to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85–23, revised 1996). Male Sprague–Dawley rats (280–320 g) were anesthetized with pentobarbital (70 mg/kg, i.p.) and heparinized with sodium heparin (1000 IU/kg, i.p.). After median sternotomy, hearts were quickly excised and immersed in ice-cold Krebs–Henseleit (KH) solution to stop contractions. Hearts were gently squeezed to remove residual blood and prevent clot formation. They were then retrogradely perfused via the aorta in a nonworking Langendorff preparation at a constant flow rate of 10 mL/min by using a peristaltic pump. The perfusion flow rate (10 mL/min) was based on the result of a pilot study showing that isolated hearts beat well and remain hemodynamically stable for 120 min (the duration of the experiment) in our experimental set-up when perfused with KH without inducing ischemia. The perfusion fluid (pH 7.4, 37 °C) was KH solution that contained (in mmol/L): NaCl, 118; NaHCO3, 24; KCl, 4.63; MgCl2, 1.2; CaCl2, 1.25; KH2PO4, 1.17; and glucose, 11. The perfusate was bubbled with a mixture of 95% O2 and 5% CO2. Temperatures of the perfusate solution and of the chamber in which the hearts were held were maintained at 37 °C by using a thermostatically controlled water-circulating system.

Coronary perfusion pressure (CPP) was measured via a side arm of the perfusion cannula connected to a pressure transducer, as previously described (Xia et al. 2003b, 2004). A latex water-filled balloon fixed to a pressure transducer was inserted through the mitral valve into the left ventricle for the determination of left ventricular (LV) developed pressure (LVDP), which was calculated by subtracting end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) from LV peak systolic pressure (LVSP). LVEDP was adjusted to approximately 5 mmHg before the start of the experiment by adjusting the volume in the intraventricular balloon. Exclusion criteria included heart preparation times longer than 60 s and (or) LVSP lower than 65 mmHg after 10 min of equilibration.

Experimental protocol

All hearts were initially equilibrated for 10 min (baseline, BS). They were then randomly assigned to 1 of the 3 experimental groups: ischemia–reperfusion untreated control (control, n = 7), SM pretreatment group (group SM1, n = 7), and SM posttreatment group (group SM2, n = 7). After BS, hearts in the SM1 group were perfused with KH containing 3 mg/mL of the purified aqueous extract of SM (1.5 g/mL, Lot number 0405172, Chitaiat Qinchunbao Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Hangzhou, China) in the perfusate for 10 min before global ischemia (40 min) was induced by stopping per-
fusion. The SM was provided as a concentrate that was subsequently diluted into the perfusate at the concentration (3 mg/mL) mentioned above. The SM concentration (3 mg/mL) was chosen based on a recent study showing that SM at 3 mg/mL attenuates anoxia and reoxygenation-induced cellular injury in the isolated rat ventricular cardiomyocytes (Cao et al. 2003). Hearts in the control and the SM2 groups underwent an additional 10 min period of equilibration before global ischemia was induced. During ischemia, saline (control and SM2 groups) or SM at 3 mg/mL in saline solution (group SM1) was perfused through the aorta at 60 μL/min by using a mini-pump. KH was perfused during 60 min of reperfusion in both the control and the SM1 groups. In the SM2 group, hearts were perfused with SM at 3 mg/mL in KH during the first 15 min of reperfusion followed by perfusion with KH alone for 45 min. Hearts were electrically paced at a rate of 300 beats/min before and after, but not during, the ischemic period when hearts ceased to beat spontaneously.

Baseline effluent perfusate was sampled at BS (i.e., 10 min after equilibration). Effluent samples during ischemia were collected during the first 30 min of ischemia (isch) as described previously (Xia et al. 2003a). The choice of this initial first 30 min interval, rather than 40 min, was largely based on the factor that most similar studies used a maximum of 30 min of global ischemia interval. So, it was aimed to make a potential comparison easier. Also, effluent was sampled for a duration of 0.5 min (which yielded 5 mL) during the first 15 min of reperfusion followed by perfusion with KH alone for 45 min. Hearts were electrically paced at a rate of 300 beats/min before and after, but not during, the ischemic period when hearts ceased to beat spontaneously.

Effluent free 15-F_{2t}-IsoP levels were measured by using a commercial enzyme-linked immunoassay kit (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, Mich.) as detailed previously (Xia et al. 2003a, 2003b). The samples were coded and the investigator responsible for 15-F_{2t}-IsoP assays was blinded until the completion of the assay.

Measurement of ET-1

Measurement of ET-1 concentrations in the coronary effluent was performed by using a commercially available human ET-1 enzyme immunometric assay kit (human ET-1 EIA kit 900–020, Assay Designs Inc., Ann Arbor, Mich.) as detailed previously (Xia et al. 2005). The assay kit detects ET-1 levels in biological fluids of human, bovine, canine, murine, porcine, and rat samples (Wang and Wang 2004). On the basis of pilot studies, ET-1 concentrations in perfusate samples were often below the ET-1 sensitivity of the assay (0.14 pg/mL). Therefore, effluent samples collected during both ischemia and reperfusion were concentrated 4-fold by evaporation of solvent (i.e., KH) at room temperature under a stream of dry nitrogen. ET-1 concentration was calculated as a quarter of the measured ET-1 level in the concentrated sample. The accuracy of this approach was confirmed by prior testing using known ET-1 standards that yielded a recovery rate of 96.5% ± 1.2% (n = 5). The assays plates were read at 450 nm, and the values of the unknowns were expressed as picograms of ET-1 per millilitre of effluent.

Measurement of CK-MB

Measurement of CK-MB was performed by using a commercially available enzyme immunoassay kit (Catalog num-
Fig. 2. Concentrations during myocardial ischemia–reperfusion of (A) effluent 15-F_{2t}-isoprostane, (B) endothelin-1 (ET-1), and (C) CK-MB (cardiac specific creatine kinase). Baseline (BS) and ischemia (isch) indicate 10 min after stabilization and 30 min during global ischemia, respectively; Re-1, Re-5, Re-30, and Re-60 indicate 1, 5, 30, and 60 min after reperfusion, respectively. Since the levels of 15-F_{2t}-isoprostane, ET-1, and CK-MB during ischemia might reflect the slow perfusion rate and hence the ability to accumulate high concentrations, their levels during ischemia and reperfusion were expressed as values (picograms, or nanograms, per millilitre per minute) for convenient comparison. *, p < 0.05 vs. BS; #, p < 0.05 vs. control.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as means ± SEM. Cardiac variables and chemical assay parameters were compared by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (GraphPad Prism). One-way repeated ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison tests were applied for within-group comparison. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Body and heart mass**

The animals’ body mass (302.1 ± 5.5, 303.0 ± 4.7, and 300.5 ± 5.9 g, respectively, in the control, SM1, and SM2 groups) and heart mass (0.92 ± 0.03, 0.99 ± 0.05, and 0.91 ± 0.04 g, respectively, in the control, SM1, and SM2 groups) did not differ among groups (p > 0.1).

**15-F_{2t}- IsoP generation during ischemia–reperfusion**

As shown in Fig. 2A, at BS, 15-F_{2t}-IsoP values did not differ among groups. During ischemia, 15-F_{2t}-IsoP levels were lower in the SM1 group than in the control (p < 0.05). At Re-1, effluent 15-F_{2t}-IsoP concentrations in the SM1 group tended to be lower than that in the control group, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.1). During early reperfusion, level of effluent 15-F_{2t}-IsoP concentrations decreased 74% in the SM2 group, 54% in the control, and 38% in the SM1 group from Re-1 to Re-5. At Re-5, levels of 15-F_{2t}-IsoP in the SM2 group, but not in the control and SM1 groups, decreased to baseline levels (p > 0.05 vs. BS). Effluent 15-F_{2t}-IsoP returned to baseline levels at Re-30 and onwards in all groups.

**Effluent ET-1 release during ischemia and reperfusion**

Baseline effluent ET-1 concentrations did not differ among groups (p > 0.1, Fig. 2B). ET-1 increased during ischemia in the control groups (p < 0.05 vs. BS). It was about 28% lower in the SM1 group relative to the control, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.05, Fig. 2B). ET-1 values at Re-1 in the control and SM2 groups were about 1.25-fold of their baseline levels, respectively, but did not significantly differ from BS (p > 0.1 Re-1 vs. BS). Unexpectedly, ET-1 values at Re-1 and Re-5 in the
SM1 group were about 2.5-fold of its baseline value ($p < 0.05$ vs. BS), and were significantly higher than the corresponding values in the control group ($p < 0.05$ vs. control).

**CK-MB release during ischemia–reperfusion**

Baseline CK-MB release was detectable in this model and did not differ among groups (Fig. 2B). During ischemia, CK-MB levels were lower relative to the baseline values the control and SM2 groups ($p < 0.05$ vs. BS), which may indicate that the very low flow of saline solution given during ischemia could only reach part of the myocardium adjacent to the coronary vasculature. CK-MB release during ischemia was significantly lower in the SM1 group compared with control ($p < 0.05$). Unexpectedly, significant increase of CK-MB during early reperfusion at Re-1 was seen in the SM1 group, but not in the control and SM2 groups. CK-MB levels increased during reperfusion in the control group, reached statistical significance at Re-30 compared with baseline ($p < 0.05$ vs. BS), and remained increased at Re-60. SM2 prevented the significant increased of CK-MB seen in the control group during reperfusion. At Re-60, levels of CK-MB in the SM2 group, but not in the SM1 group, was lower than in that the control group ($p < 0.05$).

**Contracture development during ischemia**

The LVEDP increased progressively during ischemia in all the 3 experimental groups and neither the magnitude of LVEDP nor the peak contracture or time to peak contracture significantly differ among them (data not shown). However, time to the onset of ischemic contracture, defined as elevation of LVEDP $\geq 2.5$ mmHg above baseline value, was significantly longer in the SM1 group (23.5 ± 1.2 min) than in the control group (18.0 ± 1.6 min) and SM2 group (17.5 ± 1.7 min) ($p < 0.05$).
Functional response to ischemia–reperfusion

During reperfusion, LVEDP in all the groups was significantly higher than the corresponding baseline values (Fig. 3A), which tended to decrease toward the end of reperfusion. SM2, but not SM1, significantly attenuated the elevation of LVEDP at Re-30 and Re-60 compared with control group \((p < 0.05)\).

The LVDP in the control group recovered to a maximum of 87\% ± 12\% of its baseline value at Re-30 \((p > 0.05\) vs. BS, Fig. 3B) and decreased thereafter. The LVDP in the SM1 group was lower than that in the control group at Re-10 \((p < 0.05)\) and gradually increased thereafter. The LVDP in the SM2 group recovered to 90\% ± 10\% of its baseline value at Re-30 \((p > 0.05\) vs. BS, Fig. 3B) and maintained at this level thereafter. At Re-60, LVDP in the SM2 group, but not in the SM1 group, was significantly higher than that in the control group.

Coronary perfusion pressure

Coronary perfusion pressure (CPP) did not increase significantly until after 60 min of reperfusion in the untreated control group \((p < 0.05\) vs. BS, Fig. 4). CPP in the SM1 group rapidly increased during early reperfusion and was higher than that in the control group at Re-10. It remained elevated throughout reperfusion in the SM1 group \((p < 0.05\) vs. baseline and control). In contrast, SM2 prevented the significant increase of CPP seen in the control group.

Myocardial infarct size

As shown in Fig. 5, myocardial infarct size in the untreated group was 25.5\% ± 2.1\% \((n = 7, \text{range } 21.5\%–32.0\%)\). This infarct size is relatively smaller than that seen in ischemic–reperfused untreated hearts \((n = 3, 46.5\% ± 5.0\%, \text{unpublished data})\) previously studied under the same experimental conditions \((\text{i.e., } 40 \text{ min global ischemia followed by } 60 \text{ min reperfusion})\) except that no saline solution was infused during the global ischemia. This is suggestive that the small volumes of saline infusion during ischemia in the current study may serve to wash out some toxic substances produced during ischemia, therefore attenuating myocardial injury. At 60 min postischemic reperfusion, the myocardial infarct size in the SM2 group \((19.8\% ± 1.6\%)\), but not in the SM1 group \((22.4\% ± 2.4\%)\) was significantly smaller than that in the control group \((p < 0.05, \text{Fig. 5})\).

Discussion

A novel, but somewhat surprising, finding of the current study is that SM administration before and during myocardial ischemia attenuated oxidative stress and myocardial cellular damage during ischemia, but followed by more severe cellular damage during the early phase of reperfusion in the isolated ischemic–reperfused rat hearts as compared with untreated control hearts. The 15-F\(_2\)IsoP formation and CK-MB release during ischemia in the SM1 group were lower than that in the control group accompanied with significantly delayed ischemic contracture onset time, suggestive of a cardioprotective effect of the SM1 treatment regimen during ischemia. However, the SM1 treatment regimen resulted in more severe myocardial cellular damage during early reperfusion manifested as significant increase of CK-MB release at Re-1 relative to control. Increased myocardial cellular damage seen in the SM1 group during early reperfusion might be attributable, in part, to the significant increase of CPP during early reperfusion, which might be either a cause and (or) a consequence of coronary artery endothelial cell damage.

A study has shown that coronary vascular endothelial cell injury occurs prior to cardiomyocyte injury in the isolated rat hearts subjected to 35 min of regional ischemia followed by up to 120 min of reperfusion \((\text{Scarabelli et al. 2001})\). Also, impairment of coronary vasodilation \((\text{microvascular “stunning”})\) may persist for hours after a brief \((15 \text{ min})\) reversible ischemia \((\text{Bolli et al. 1990})\). Hence, in the current study, the study agent SM diluted in saline was given at a very low infusion rate \((60 \mu\text{L/min})\) in the SM1 group during global ischemia, attempting to ameliorate vascular injury during and posts ischemia and subsequently attenuating myocardial IRI. This is based on the assumption that an agent perfused at this low infusion rate is unlikely to be able to reach the myocardium in significant amount, but rather mainly interacts with the vascular endothelium and as such may confer beneficial effects during myocardial ischemia–reperfusion in this model \((\text{Xia et al. 2004})\). The relatively lower CK-MB levels in the effluent during ischemia compared with baseline in the control group \((\text{Fig. 2})\) might serve as an indirect evidence that saline solution perfused at a very low flow rate \((60 \mu\text{L/min})\) could only reach part of the myocardium adjacent to the coronary vasculature. It should be noted, however, this model does not exactly simulate the clinical scenario during cardiac surgery in which the agents are given as additives to the cardioplegia. Therefore, caution has to be exercised when interpreting the results in clinical settings. Nevertheless, the current approach \((\text{infusing the agent alone at a very low rate during ischemia})\) avoided confounding the potential vascular protective effects of the cardioplegia.

The mechanism responsible for the increase of CPP during early reperfusion in the SM1 group is not very clear. Since we used constant flow rate perfusion in the current study, the increase of CPP should reflect the increased release or activity of vascular constrictive substances or decreased release or activity of vasodilators. Therefore, the dramatic increase of ET-1 during early reperfusion seen in the SM1 group should be responsible, at least in part, for the increase of CPP during early reperfusion. Given the antioxidative nature of SM \((\text{Cao et al. 2003})\), it seems difficult to understand that antioxidant therapy before and during myocardial ischemia should lead to the increase of ET-1 during early reperfusion. Whereas burst production of reactive oxygen species occurs during early reperfusion \((\text{Bolli et al. 1989})\), significant superoxide generation occurs in the cardiomyocyte during ischemia before reperfusion \((\text{Becker et al. 1999})\). We suspect that the generation of small amount of superoxide during early phase of ischemia may have exerted an “ischemic preconditioning (IPC)"-like protective effect. IPC refers to the ability of short periods of ischemia to render the myocardium more resistant to a subsequent ischemic insult, a phenomenon first identified by Murry and colleagues \((\text{Murry et al. 1986})\). The effects of IPC rely on the generation of small amount of superoxide to render an acute and delayed cardioprotection \((\text{Kevin et al. 2005; Pagliaro et al. 2007 NRC Canada})\).
al. 2001). The superoxide generated during preconditioning may prepare the heart to produce increased amount of endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, which may serve to reduce the burst production of reactive oxygen species during reperfusion and attenuate postischemic myocardial dysfunction (Bolli et al. 1989). On the other hand, superoxide has been shown to inhibit endothelin-converting enzyme, the enzyme that cleaves big ET-1 into ET-1, and decrease ET-1 formation in the cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells (Lopez-Ongil et al. 2000). Therefore, the SM1 treatment regimen may have blocked the potential beneficial effects of superoxide generated before and especially during early phase of ischemia, which may have resulted in increased endothelin-converting enzyme activity, leading to the subsequent burst increase of ET-1 release during early reperfusion. This notion is indirectly supported by the most recent finding of Duda et al. (2007), which shows that IPC prevents the burst increase of ET-1 during reperfusion in the isolated ischemic–reperfused guinea-pig hearts. However, further study is needed to verify our hypothesis that superoxide generated during early phase of ischemia may confer beneficial effects.

Another interesting finding of the current study is that administration of SM solely during the early phase of reperfusion (SM2 group) prevented the increase of CK-MB and CPP during reperfusion seen in the control group, and ended up with accelerated postischemic myocardial functional recovery (Fig. 3B) and reduced myocardial infarct size (Fig. 5). The results are consistent with the notion that the first minutes of reperfusion is a window of opportunity for cardioprotection (Piper et al. 2004). CK-MB is a relatively more cardiac-specific isoenzyme of the creatine kinase family. However, it also exists in other tissues like the skeletal muscle and elevates when skeletal muscle injury occurs. The isolated heart preparation ensures that CK-MB elevation can be served as a specific marker of myocardial cellular damage. Similar to our previous study (Xia et al. 2005) and studies of others (Calabresi et al. 2003; Chocron et al. 1996), CK-MB concentration in the effluent perfusate was increased during posts ischemic reperfusion in the isolated rat hearts (Fig. 2C). To our knowledge, our study is the first to report that SM, when administrated solely during early reperfusion, reduces cardiac CK-MB release in the isolated ischemic-reperfused hearts, confirming its cardioprotective effects. It is worth noting that SM2 treatment led to faster reduction of 15-F2-Isop concentration in the perfusate during early reperfusion. At Re-5, levels of 15-F2-Isop in the SM2 group, but not in the control or SM1 group, decreased to a level comparable with the baseline values (Fig. 2), indicative of increased antioxidant potential in the SM2 group. Hence, enhancement of antioxidant potential during early reperfusion may represent a mechanism whereby SM2 facilitates posts ischemic myocardial functional recovery and reduced myocardial infarction. In addition to its antioxidant capacity, aqueous extracts of SM have been shown to dilate coronary artery by inhibition of calcium channels (Lam et al. 2007). The gradual increase of CPP during reperfusion seen in the control group suggests a gradual vascular closure in the isolated heart perfused under nonphysiological perfusion conditions (i.e., nonblood perfusion), which renders part of the myocardium to a hypoxic condition, resulting in increased CK-MB release during reperfusion. Therefore, the cardioprotection seen in the SM2 group should be attributable, in part, to its coronary dilatory effects evidenced by significantly lower CPP at Re-60 compared with the control and SM1 group. On the contrary, the cardioprotective effects of SM1 manifested during ischemia (evidenced by reduced CK-MB release and delayed onset of ischemic contracture) may have been counteracted by the subsequent increase of ET-1 and CPP during reperfusion that caused increased postischemic myocardial cellular damage. This may explain why SM1 confers a neutral cardioprotective effect in terms of posts ischemic functional recovery despite the reduction of myocardial damage during ischemia.

In summary, we have shown that SM application before and during myocardial ischemia postponed, but did not significantly attenuate posts ischemic myocardial injury. The mechanism for this phenomenon is unclear and merits further studies. Application of SM during reperfusion reduced 15-F2-Isop production during early reperfusion that led to optimal posts ischemic myocardial functional recovery and reduced myocardial infarct size. We conclude that S. miltiorrhiza could be beneficial in the treatment of myocardial ischemia injury and the timing of administration seems important. Results from this study may shed light on the mechanisms responsible for the conflict results of agents with antioxidant property such as the anesthetic propofol, which has been reported to be either beneficial (Xia et al. 2003a, 2003b; Kokita and Hara 1996) or not cardioprotective (Ross et al. 1998; Ebel et al. 1999) in various myocardial ischemia–reperfusion models.
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