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Based on quantitative complementarity relations (QCRs), we analyze the multipartite correlations in four-qubit cluster-class states. It is proven analytically that the average multipartite correlation $E_{\text{ms}}$ is entanglement monotone. Moreover, it is also shown that the mixed three-tangle is a correlation measure compatible with the QCRs in this kind of quantum state. More arrestingly, with the aid of the QCRs, a set of hierarchy entanglement measures is obtained rigorously in the present system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement, first noted by Einstein and Schrödinger, is one of the most important features of a many-body quantum system. Nowadays, it is a crucial physical resource widely used in quantum-information processing (QIP), as in quantum communication [1,2] and quantum computation [3–5]. Therefore, the characterization of entanglement, especially at a quantitative level, is fundamentally important. Compared with bipartite entanglement, which is now well understood in many aspects, the characterization of multipartite entanglement is still very challenging though a lot of effort has been made (see [6]).

It is widely accepted that a good entanglement measure should be non-negative, invariant under local unitary (LU) transformation, and nonincreasing on average under local operations and classical communications (LOCC), i.e., entanglement monotone [7]. Recently, based on quantitative complementarity relations (QCRs) [8], an average multipartite correlation measure $E_{\text{ms}}$ is introduced, which was proved to satisfy the first two conditions [9]. From much numerical analysis, it was conjectured that $E_{\text{ms}}$ also has the entanglement monotone property and thus may be able to characterize the multipartite entanglement in a four-qubit pure state [9]. However, the analytical proof of the conjecture is extremely difficult for a general quantum state. In this sense, it seems helpful to look into the conjecture in certain cases, which, on one hand, allows us to obtain exact results, and, on the other hand, gives us useful information beyond bipartite entanglement.

Cluster states, which are typically multipartite entangled states, are utilized in quantum error-correcting codes [10] and tests of quantum nonlocality [11]. Moreover, they are also a universal resource in one-way quantum computation [4]. In optical systems, a four-qubit cluster state has been prepared and applied to the Grover search algorithm [12,13]. More recently, a six-photon cluster state was also produced [14]. So, in order to make better use of the cluster state, it is quite desirable to explore quantitatively the entanglement in this kind of system.

In this paper, we analyze the multipartite quantum correlations in four-qubit cluster-class states. Here, by a cluster-state, we mean the output state of a cluster state under stochastic LOCC (SLOCC [15,16]). For this class of quantum states, we prove exactly that the average multipartite correlation $E_{\text{ms}}$ is entanglement monotone. Moreover, it is shown that the three- and four-qubit correlations $t_3$ and $t_4$ are also entanglement monotone when setting $t_3$ to be a mixed three-tangle. More intriguingly, a set of hierarchy entanglement measures are thus obtained rigorously in the system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the entanglement monotone property of multipartite correlations in the cluster-class states is proven exactly. In Sec. III, we address several relevant key issues and give a brief conclusion.

II. MULTIPARTITE QUANTUM CORRELATIONS IN FOUR-QUBIT CLUSTER-CLASS STATES

Before analyzing these quantum correlations, we first recall the QCRs and the definition of average multipartite quantum correlation. As an essential principle of quantum mechanics, complementarity often refers to mutually exclusive properties. The quantitative version of the complementarity relation in an $N$-qubit pure state is also provided and formulated as [8] $\tau_{R_1} + S^2 = 1$, where the linear entropy $\tau_{R_1}$ characterizes the total quantum correlation of qubit $k$ with the remaining qubits $R_1$ and $S^2$ is a measure of single-particle properties. For an $N$-qubit pure state, the linear entropy is contributed by the different levels of quantum correlation, i.e., $\{t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_N\}$, in which $t_m$ represents the genuine $m$-qubit correlation for $m=2,3,\ldots,N$ [9,17]. Based on the QCRs, an average multipartite correlation measure in a four-qubit pure state is introduced [9]:

$$E_{\text{ms}}(\Psi_A) = \frac{M}{4} = \frac{M_A + M_B + M_C + M_D}{4},$$

(1)

where $M$ is the sum of the single residual correlations and $M_A$ is defined as $M_A = \tau_{R(B)} - \sum_{l=R} C_{ij}$ (here, the square of the concurrence quantifies the two-qubit correlation). It is conjectured that $E_{\text{ms}}$ is entanglement monotone and can characterize the multipartite entanglement in the system. However, the proof of this property is extremely difficult for a generic quantum state, although a numerical analysis supports the conjecture.

Due to the important applications in QIP, cluster states have been paid more and more attention in recent years. As
shown in Fig. 1, these states are associated with graphs where each vertex represents a qubit prepared in the initial state \((\ket{0}+\ket{1})/\sqrt{2}\) and each edge represents a controlled phase gate applied between two qubits [4]. In this paper, we will consider the multipartite quantum correlations in four-qubit cluster-class states that are related to the cluster states by SLOCC. In the following, we will analyze the entanglement monotone property of the average multipartite correlation \(E_{ms}\) and the three- and four-qubit correlations \(t_3\) and \(t_4\) in this class of quantum state.

A. Average multipartite quantum correlation and entanglement monotone

In one-dimensional (1D) lattices, the four-qubit cluster state can be written as \(|\tilde{\psi}_4\rangle=(|0000\rangle+|0111\rangle+|1110\rangle−|1111\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\) after LU transformation. The entanglement monotone property requires that the correlation \(E_{ms}\) does not increase on average under SLOCC. It is known that any local operation can be implemented by a sequence of two-outcome positive operator-valued measures (POVMs) such as \(\{A_1,A_2\}\) which satisfies \(A_1^\dagger A_1+A_2^\dagger A_2=I\) [16]. According to the singular-value decomposition [16], the POVM operators can be written as \(A_1=U_1\text{diag}(\alpha,\beta)V\) and \(A_2=U_2\text{diag}(\sqrt{1−\alpha^2},\sqrt{1−\beta^2})V\), respectively, where \(U_1\) and \(V\) are unitary matrices, and \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are real numbers in the range \((0,1)\). Due to the LU invariance of the \(E_{ms}\), we need only consider the diagonal matrices. The output state of \(|\tilde{\psi}_4\rangle\) under a general POVM operator (i.e., the SLOCC operation) has the form

\[
|\psi(\gamma)\rangle = a|0000\rangle + b|0111\rangle + c|1110\rangle - d|1111\rangle,
\]

where the normalized parameters \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) are complex numbers and we refer to \(|\psi(\gamma)\rangle\) as the cluster-class state [18]. Furthermore, since the form of this quantum state is not changed under the next POVM, the entanglement monotone property of \(E_{ms}(|\psi(\gamma)\rangle)\) will be satisfied only if the quantity is nonincreasing under the first level of the POVM.

For the quantum state \(|\psi(\gamma)\rangle\), the two-qubit reduced density matrix of subsystem \(AB\) reads

\[
\rho_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
|a|^2 & |b|^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
|a'c−b'd|^2 & |c|^2 & |d|^2 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that the two-qubit quantum correlation may be defined as \(t_2(\rho_{AB}) = C^2(\rho_{AB})\), where the concurrence \(C(\rho_{AB})\)
parameters and \(b' = c'\), the multipartite entanglement reaches the maximum \(E_{ms} = 1\). In this case, the quantum state can be rewritten as

\[
|\Pi_i\rangle = (|00\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle + |11\rangle \otimes |\psi^\perp\rangle)/\sqrt{2}
\]

(6)

where \(|\psi\rangle = (a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle)/\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\) and \(|\psi^\perp\rangle = b'|00\rangle - a'|11\rangle)/\sqrt{a^2 + b'^2}\). This state is the generalized Bell state, i.e., the maximal bipartite entangled state between subsystems \(AB\) and \(CD\). When \(|\psi\rangle\) is a product state, \(|\Pi_i\rangle\) is a GHZ state. When \(|\psi\rangle\) is a Bell state, \(|\Pi_i\rangle\) is a cluster state \(|\psi^{(1)}\rangle\).

In two-dimensional lattices, the four-qubit cluster-class state has the form

\[
|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = a|0000\rangle - b|0111\rangle - c|1010\rangle + d|1101\rangle,
\]

(7)

where the parameters \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) are also complex. This kind of quantum state is related to the box cluster state \(|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = (|0000\rangle - |0111\rangle - |1010\rangle + |1101\rangle)/2\) via SLOCC. For the cluster-class state, we can obtain the concurrences \(C^A_{AC} = 4\langle [ac] - [bd]\rangle^2\) and \(C^C_{ij} = 0\) for the other subsystems. Unlike the 1D case, the single residual correlation \(M_c|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) is not permutation invariant and does not satisfy the entanglement monotony property. As an example, we consider the quantum state \(|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\), where the non-normalized coefficients \(a' = b' = 2, c' = 0.2,\) and \(d' = 3\). After a simple calculation, we have \(M_4 = 0.5643\) and \(M_2 = 0.2915\). Under the POVM performed on qubit \(A\) (here \(a = 0.9\) and \(\beta = 0.2\)), the change of the residual correlation is \(\Delta M_c = M_c|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle_2 - p_1 M_c|\Psi_1\rangle - p_2 M_c|\Psi_2\rangle = -0.1151\).

However, the average multipartite correlation

\[
E_{ms}|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = 3\langle a^2 + c^2\rangle + \langle b^2 + d^2\rangle + 4\langle abcd\rangle
\]

(8)

is entanglement monotone, which can be proven as follows.

First, we consider the POVM \(\{A_1, A_2\}\) performed on the subsystem \(A\). Due to the LU-invariant property of the \(E_{ms}\), we need only consider the diagonal matrices in the singular-value decomposition form, as the output states \(|\Phi_1\rangle\) and \(|\Phi_2\rangle\) are obtained with the probabilities \(p_1\) and \(p_2\), respectively. The correlation \(E_{ms}|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) can be separated into two components \(\xi_1 = (\tau_2|\Phi_2\rangle - 2C^2_{AC})/4\) and \(\xi_2 = (\tau_2|\Phi_2\rangle + \tau_2|\Phi_2\rangle + \tau_2|\Phi_2\rangle)/4\), on which the effects are different under the POVM. The component \(\xi_1\) is invariant under the determinant one SLOCC. With this property, we can derive

\[
\Delta \xi_1 = \xi_1|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle - p_1 \xi_1|\Phi_1\rangle - p_2 \xi_1|\Phi_2\rangle = [1 - a^2 b^2 + p_1 (1 - a^2) + p_2 b^2] \xi_1|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle,
\]

where \(\xi_1|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = (|ab\rangle + |bc\rangle)^2 - \langle [ac] - [bd]\rangle^2\) (in the general case, this quantity is not guaranteed to be non-negative). For the component \(\xi_2\), the change is \(\Delta \xi_2 = \xi_2|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle - p_1 \xi_2|\Phi_1\rangle - p_2 \xi_2|\Phi_2\rangle = \Sigma_{k=B,C,D}\{\tau_2|\rho_k\rangle - p_1 \tau_2|\rho_k\rangle - p_2 \tau_2|\rho_k\rangle\},\) which is equivalent to the changes of the linear entropies induced by the mixed state decomposition of subsystems \(\rho_k\) for \(k = B, C, D\) [22]. After some tedious calculation, the change of the average multipartite correlation is

\[
\Delta A E_{ms} = \Delta \xi_1 + \Delta \xi_2 = \langle a^2 - b^2\rangle^2 |abcd|\langle a^2 + |b|^2\rangle\langle c^2 + |d|^2\rangle + 3(|b|c^2 - [ad]^2)^2/p_1 p_2,
\]

(9)

which is obviously a non-negative number. This means that the correlation \(E_{ms}|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) does not increase on average under the POVM performed on qubit \(A\). But, since the quantities \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) are invariant under the permutation of two qubits, we still need to consider the POVMs performed on the subsystems \(B, C,\) and \(D\). After a similar analysis, we can derive the change of the correlation under the POVM on qubit \(C\) as \(\Delta C E_{ms} = \langle a^2 - b^2\rangle^2 |abcd|\langle a^2 + |b|^2\rangle\langle c^2 + |d|^2\rangle + 3(|b|c^2 - [ad]^2)^2/p_1 p_2\), which is also non-negative. For the POVM on the subsystem \(B\), one can separate the correlation \(E_{ms}\) into two components \(\kappa_1 = (\tau_2|\rho|/4)\) and \(\kappa_2 = (\Sigma_{k=B} \tau_2|\rho|) - 2C^2_{AC}/4\) (the non-negative property of \(\kappa_2\) is guaranteed by the monogamy relation [23]). \(\kappa_1\) is nonincreasing due to the SLOCC invariance, and \(\kappa_2\) is nonincreasing because of the concave and convex properties of the linear entropy and the concurrence, respectively. Therefore, \(E_{ms}\) is also nonincreasing under this POVM. The case of the POVM on the subsystem \(D\) is similar. According to the above analysis, we can draw the conclusion that the correlation \(E_{ms}|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) is entanglement monotone and can characterize the multipartite entanglement in the system.

In Fig. 2(b), the change of \(E_{ms}|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) with the non-realized parameters \(a' = b' = 0.5\) is plotted. When \((a' > b', c', d')\) and \((d' > a', b', c')\), \(E_{ms} = 0\) and the quantum states tend to the four-qubit product state. When \((a', d' = 0)\) and \((a', d' > b', c')\), the multipartite entanglement has rather large values \(E_{ms} \approx 0.75\), where the quantum state approximates to the product state of a single-qubit state and a three-qubit GHZ state. The maximum \(E_{ms} = 1\) appears at the point \(a' = d' = 0.5\), where the quantum state is just the box cluster state \(|\psi^{(1)}\rangle\).

Finally, we address the entanglement monotony property of \(E_{ms}\) in a three-dimensional cluster-class state, which is a trivial case. This state has the form

\[
|\Psi^{(3)}\rangle = a|0000\rangle + b|1111\rangle,
\]

(10)

and relates to the four-qubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state via the SLOCC operation. The quantum state \(|\Psi^{(3)}\rangle\) is invariant under the permutation of qubits and all its two-qubit concurrences are zeros. Under the next level of the POVM, the same properties still hold. So the single residual correlation \(M_1 = \tau_2|\rho|\) is entanglement monotone and satisfies \(M_A = M_B = M_C = M_D\). It is obvious that the average correlation \(E_{ms}|\Psi^{(3)}\rangle = M_4 = 4a|b|^2\) is also entanglement monotone and can characterize the multipartite entanglement in the system.

**B. Three- and four-qubit entanglement measures**

In a four-qubit pure state \(|\Psi\rangle_{ABCD}\), there are five multipartite correlation parameters (cf. the Venn diagram in [9]), i.e., one genuine four-qubit correlation \(t_4(|\Psi\rangle_{ABCD})\) and four three-qubit correlations \(t_3(\rho_{ijk})\). According to the QCRs, we have a set of equations [9]
\[ t_d(|\Psi\rangle) + \sum_{i<j<k} t_3(\rho_{ijk}) = M_k, \]  
(11)

where \( M_k \) is the single-residual correlation related to qubit \( k \), and the subscripts \( i,j,k = A,B,C,D \). Note that these four equations are unable to determine completely the five correlation parameters. In fact, at least one additional independent relation for either \( t_1 \) or \( t_4 \) is needed in this case.

As is known, the mixed three-tangle is a good entanglement measure for a three-qubit mixed state; it is defined as

\[ \tau_3(\rho_{ijk}) = \min \left\{ \rho_{ijk} \phi \right\}, \]  
(12)

where \( \tau \) is the pure state three-tangle [25] and the minimum runs over all the pure state decompositions of \( \rho_{ijk} \). However, it is shown in Ref. [9] that \( \tau_3 \) is not compatible with the QCRs in some specific four-qubit pure states [for example, the quantum state \( |\psi_{ABCD}\rangle = (|0000\rangle+|1011\rangle+|1101\rangle+|1110\rangle)/2 \) [26]]. So, for the cluster-class states, it is necessary to check whether or not \( \tau \) can quantify correctly the \( t_3 \) in the QCRs. If \( \tau_3 \) does this, we are able to obtain the genuine four-qubit correlation \( t_4 \) in terms of Eq. (11).

For the cluster-class state \(|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle\) in 1D lattices, the three-qubit reduced density matrices have the form \( \rho_{ijk} = \rho_i |0\rangle \langle 0| \otimes |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|_{jk} + p_{2,i} |1\rangle \langle 1| \otimes |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|_{jk} \), in which \( |\phi\rangle \) and \( |\phi\rangle \) are two-qubit entangled states. If one uses the mixed three-tangle to quantify the three-qubit correlation, the relation \( t_3(\rho_{ijk}) = \tau_3(\rho_{ijk}) = 0 \) can be obtained. Substituting this relation into Eq. (11), one can solve the genuine four-qubit correlation \( t_4 = M_4 = 4|ad+bc|^2 \). According to the analysis in Sec. II A, we know that the quantity \( t_4 = t_4 \) satisfies all three requirements of an entanglement measure. Therefore, for the cluster-class state \(|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle\), a set of correlation measures \( \{\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4\} \) which all are entanglement monotone [we define \( \tau_2(\rho_{ij}) = C^{(2)}_{ij} \) can characterize the genuine two-, three-, and four-qubit entanglement in the system. For the cluster-state \(|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle\) in 3D lattices, the case is similar. Its three-qubit reduced density matrix is \( \rho_{ijk} = a|000\rangle\langle 000| + |b|^2 |111\rangle\langle 111| \) and the corresponding three-tangle \( \tau_3 \) is zero. After using \( \tau_3 \) to quantify the correlation \( t_3 \), one can solve the correlation \( t_4 = t_4 = M_4 = 4|ab|^2 \), which is also entanglement monotone. So the correlation measures \( \{\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4\} \) can characterize the different levels of entanglement in the cluster-class state \(|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle\).

In the cluster-class state \(|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\), the situation is nontrivial. If one uses the mixed three-tangle to quantify the correlation \( t_3 \), it is straightforward to find that \( \tau_3(\rho_{ABCD}) = 0 \) and \( \tau_3(\rho_{MCD}) = 0 \). Substituting the two zero \( t_3 \)’s into Eq. (11), one can obtain the other three multipartite correlations \( t_4(\rho^{(2)}_{ABCD}) = 16|abcd| \), \( t_3(\rho_{ABCD}) = 4(|a|d^2 + |bc|)^2 \), and \( t_3(\rho_{MCD}) = 4(|ab| + |cd|)^2 \). At this stage, we need to consider whether or not the mixed three-tangle \( \tau_3 \) is compatible with the QCRs in this system and whether the correlation \( t_4 \) is appropriate to characterize the genuine four-qubit entanglement.

We first analyze the compatibility of \( \tau_3 \) with the QCRs in the system. The decomposition of \( \rho_{ABD} \) into its eigenstates can be written as

\[ \rho_{ABD} = p|\psi_1\rangle\langle \psi_1| + (1-p)|\psi_2\rangle\langle \psi_2|, \]  
(13)

where

\[ |\psi_1\rangle = (a|000\rangle + d|111\rangle)/\sqrt{p}, \]
\[ |\psi_2\rangle = (b|011\rangle + c|100\rangle)/\sqrt{1-p}, \]  
and \( p = |a|^2 + |d|^2 \). It is well known that any other decomposition can be obtained with a unitary transformation on the eigenvectors [27]. Hence, the vectors of any decomposition of \( \rho_{ABD} \) are linear combination of \( |\psi_1\rangle \) and \( |\psi_2\rangle \), i.e.,

\[ |Z(q, \phi)\rangle = \tilde{q}|\psi_1\rangle - \tilde{q}^2 |1-q|\psi_2\rangle = \tilde{a}|000\rangle - \tilde{a}^2 b|011\rangle - \tilde{a}\tilde{c}|100\rangle + \tilde{a}\tilde{d}|111\rangle, \]  
(14)

where \( \tilde{a} = a\gamma, \tilde{b} = b\eta, \tilde{c} = c\eta, \) and \( \tilde{d} = d\gamma \), with \( \gamma = \sqrt{q/p} \) and \( \eta = \sqrt{1-q)/(1-p) \). For this pure state, the reduced density matrix of qubits \( AB \) is

\[ \rho_{AB}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{a}|^2 & 0 & -\tilde{a}\tilde{c} e^{-i\phi} & 0 \\ 0 & |\tilde{b}|^2 & 0 & -\tilde{b}\tilde{d} e^{-i\phi} \\ -\tilde{a}\tilde{c} e^{i\phi} & 0 & |\tilde{c}|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{b}\tilde{d} e^{i\phi} & 0 & |\tilde{d}|^2 \end{pmatrix} \]  
(15)

and its concurrence is zero (in fact, \( \rho_{AB} \) is a mix of two product states). Similarly, for the quantum state \( \rho_{AB}(Z) \), we can obtain \( C_{AB} = 0 \) as well. So, in any pure state decomposition of \( \rho_{ABD} \), the entanglements of subsystems \( AB \) and \( AD \) are both zeros. Then, according to the definition of the mixed state three-tangle, we have the following relation:

\[ \tau_3(\rho_{ABD}) = \min \left\{ \sum_{(p, z_3)} p_p \tau(Z(q, \phi)) \right\} = \min \left\{ \sum_{(p, z_3)} p_p \tau(Z(q, \phi) - \tau_3^2 (C_{AB}^2 - C_{AD}^2)^2) \right\} = \sum_{(p, z_3)} p_p \tau^2 (C_{AB}^2 - C_{AD}^2)^2 = C_{A,BD}(\rho_{ABD}) \]  
(16)

where we have replaced the basis \( \{00,11\}_B \) with \( \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}_B \) for the calculation of the last equation. This value coincides with the correlation \( t_3(\rho_{ABD}) \) obtained using the QCRs. For the quantum state \( \rho_{BCD} \), we can get \( t_3(\rho_{BCD}) = 4(|a|d^2 + |cd|)^2 = t_3(\rho_{BCD}) \) after a similar derivation. Therefore, in the cluster-class state \(|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\), the mixed three-tangle \( \tau_3 \) can quantify correctly the correlation \( t_3 \) and is compatible with the QCRs.

With the QCRs, we solve the genuine four-qubit correlation \( t_4(\rho^{(2)}_{ABCD}) = 16|abcd| \), which is obviously non-negative. The LU-invariant property is guaranteed by the corresponding property of the correlations \( M_k \) and \( t_3 \) in Eq. (11). Before using \( t_4(\rho^{(2)}_{ABCD}) \) to characterize the genuine four-qubit entanglement in the system, we should prove first that it is entanglement monotone. Since the correlation \( t_4 \) is invariant under the permutations of qubits, we need only consider the
POVM \{A_1, A_2\} performed on the subsystem A in which the diagonal matrices are \(\text{diag}(\alpha, \beta)\) and \(\text{diag}(1-\alpha^2, 1-\beta^2)\), respectively. After the POVM, two output states are available with probabilities \(p_1\) and \(p_2\), respectively, and the change of the correlation is \(\Delta t_4(\Psi^{(2)}) = (1-\alpha^2)^2/p_1 (1-\beta^2)^2/p_2 |t_4(\Psi^{(2)})|\). Due to the non-negativity of the two factors in \(\Delta t_4\) [16], the correlation \(t_4(\Psi^{(2)}) = t_4\) is entanglement monotone. Therefore, the set of correlation measures \(\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}\) is able to characterize the entanglements of two, three, and four qubits in the cluster-class state \(\Psi^{(2)}\), namely, they can be good entanglement measures for the corresponding multibody systems.

In Fig. 3, the variations of the two-, three-, and four-entanglement with the non-normalized parameters \(a'\) and \(b'\) are plotted. The behaviors of \(C_{2A}^C\) and \(t_4(\rho_{ABD})\) are the same and both attain the maximum 0.4999 when \((a' = 0, b' = 0.7)\) and \((a' = 0.7, b' = 0)\). The value of \(t_4(\rho_{BCD})\) tends to 1 when \((a' = b' = 0)\) and \((a' = b' \gg 0.5)\), because the quantum state \(\rho_{BCD}\) approximates the pure GHZ state in these regions. The genuine four-qubit entanglement \(t_4\) will be 1 when \((a' = b' = 0.5)\). At this point, the quantum state is just the box cluster state \(|C_4^{(2)}\rangle\).

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that not only is the mixed three-tangle \(t_3\) a compatible correlation measure with the QCRs but also a set of hierarchy measures \(\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}\) can, respectively, quantify the two-, three-, and four-qubit entanglement in the cluster-class states, as listed in Table I.

### III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

For the cluster-class state \(\Psi^{(2)}\), the single residual correlation \(M_C\) is not entanglement monotone as we showed in Sec. II A. Here, we explain the reason. This residual correlation can be written as \(M_C = t_4 + t_4(\rho_{BCD})\) in terms of the analysis in Sec. II B. Although the two components are both entanglement monotone functions under the POVMs performed on the subsystems \(B, C,\) and \(D\), the effects of the POVMs on the subsystem \(A\) are different from them. Due to the invariance of the qubit permutations, \(t_4\) is still monotone under this POVM. For the reduced density matrix \(\rho_{BCD}\), the effect of the POVM on qubit \(A\) is equivalent to a mixed state decomposition of \(\rho_{BCD}\). Because the mixed three-tangle is a convex function, the parameter \(t_4(\rho_{BCD})\) is nondecreasing under this POVM. Therefore, when the decrease of \(t_4\) is less than the increase of \(t_3\), the residual correlation \(M_C\) will not be monotone. Just as in the example in Sec. II A, the changes of the three- and four-qubit correlations are \(\Delta t_3(\rho_{BCD}) = -0.1964\) and \(\Delta t_4(\rho_{BCD}) = 0.08127\), respectively, which results in \(\Delta M_C = -0.1151\). It should be pointed out that, for quantum states that do not have three-qubit correlations under LOCC (like the cluster-class states \(\Psi^{(1)}\) and \(\Psi^{(3)}\)), the residual correlation \(M_C\) could be entanglement monotone.

In this paper, we prove analytically that \(E_{ms}\) is entanglement monotone for the four-qubit cluster-class states, and thus it can characterize the multipartite entanglement in the system. For general four-qubit states, \(E_{ms}\) is conjectured to be entanglement monotone according to the numerical analysis in Ref. [9]. Moreover, for a type of four-qubit state, numerical analysis of Bell inequalities [28,29] shows a similar property to that of \(E_{ms}\), which also supports our conjecture. A proof or disproof for an arbitrary \(N\)-qubit case is still awaited. At present, we know that, in a kind of quantum state whose two-qubit concurrences are zeros under the POVMs, the average correlation \(E_{ms} = \frac{\sum t_k(\rho_{A(k)})}{N}\) is entanglement monotone. A trivial example is the \(N\)-qubit GHZ-class state \(|G_N\rangle = a(0\ldots 0) + b|1\ldots 1\rangle\). A nontrivial example is a type of six-qubit cluster-class state \(|\Psi_0\rangle = a(000000) + b(000111) + c(111000) - d(111111)\), where the parameters \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) are complex numbers; the corresponding cluster states have been prepared recently by Lu et al. with a photon system [14].

In the four-qubit cluster-class states, the mixed three-tangle \(t_3\) is shown to be a compatible measure for quantifying the correlation \(t_4\) in the QCRs. With this evaluation, the genuine four-qubit entanglement measure \(t_4\) can be obtained. Based on this pure cluster state entanglement, we are able to introduce a mixed state entanglement measure by the convex roof extension [30].

### Table I. Entanglement measures in different four-qubit cluster-class states.

| State parameters | \(|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle\) | \(|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle\) | \(|\Psi^{(3)}\rangle\) |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| \(\tau_4\)       | 4\(|ad+bc|^2\)   | 16\(|abc|\)       | 4\(|ab|^2\)       |
| \(\tau_3(\rho_{ABD})\) | 0               | 4\(|ad|\)         | 0                 |
| \(\tau_4(\rho_{BCD})\) | 0               | 4\(|ab|\)         | 0                 |
| \(\tau_2(\rho_{AB})\) | 4\(|a+c-bd|^2\) | 0                 | 0                 |
| \(\tau_2(\rho_{AC})\) | 0               | 4\(|ac|\)         | 0                 |
| \(\tau_2(\rho_{CD})\) | 4\(|a-b-cd|^2\) | 0                 | 0                 |
\[ \tau_3(\rho_{ABCD}) = \text{min} \sum_{\{p, \phi_3^{(i)}\}} p_3 \tau_3(\phi_3^{(i)}), \quad (17) \]

where an extra restriction is that the general vector \( |\phi_3^{(i)}\rangle \) in the pure state decomposition has the form of cluster-class states. As an example, we analyze the quantum state \( \rho_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{2} (|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2|) \), in which \( |\psi_1\rangle = (|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle) / \sqrt{2} \) and \( |\psi_2\rangle = (|0011\rangle + |1100\rangle) / \sqrt{2} \). The general decomposition vector \( |Z(q_1, \varphi_1)\rangle = (\sqrt{q_1} |\psi_1\rangle - e^{i\varphi_1} \sqrt{1-q_1} |\psi_2\rangle) \) has the form of the cluster-class state \( |\Psi^{(1)}\rangle \). After choosing \( q_1 = q_2 = 0.5 \), \( \varphi_1 = 0 \), and \( \varphi_2 = \pi \), we can obtain \( \tau_3(\rho_{ABCD}) = 0 \) in terms of the formula in Eq. (17). Furthermore, via the mixed state parameter \( \tau_4 \), one can solve the five-qubit correlation \( t_4 \) with the help of the QCRs, which can possibly be entanglement monotone in a kind of five-qubit pure state.

In conclusion, we have explored the multiparticle quantum correlations in four-qubit cluster-class states. It is shown that the average multiparticle correlation \( E_{max} \) is entanglement monotone in these systems, partly supporting our previous conjecture [9]. Moreover, we find a set of hierarchy measures \( \{t_2, t_3, t_4\} \) that can characterize the different levels of entanglement in the cluster-class states. The entanglement monotone property of \( E_{max} \) in a general N-qubit pure state is still an open problem, which is worth study in the future.
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[18] Although the parameters \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) are real in the general case, we can enlarge them into complex numbers without loss of generality.
[22] After the POVM \( \{A_i, A_2\} \), the output states of the subsystem \( \rho_B \) will be \( \rho_B = Tr_{ACD}[\Psi^{(i)}(\Psi^{(i)}|A_i) / p_i] \), for \( i = 1,2 \), for which the relation \( \rho_B = p_1 \rho_{b1} + p_2 \rho_{b2} \) holds. The cases for \( p_c \) and \( \rho_D \) are similar.