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Using a tight binding model, we have investigated charge transport in model DNA sequences under external ac bias. The numerical results of emittance for several model DNA sequences are found to be well described by an analytical formula, especially when the dynamic response is inductive-like. This formula can be understood from general considerations of scattering matrix theory. The temperature dependence of emittance is also studied numerically within the tight binding model, and dynamic response of the model DNA sequences can change from inductive-like to capacitive-like as temperature is varied. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2359447]

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1962, Eley and Splivey suggested that charge transfer through DNA may occur via the overlap of π stacks of the base pairs.1 Experimental indications of long range charge transfer in DNA molecule were since observed by photoexcitation measurements.2 The DNA molecule has a property that its base pairs obey a strict rule: adenine always pairs with thymine while cytosine always pairs with guanine. Such a strict pairing property makes DNA an interesting candidate for fabricating nanoscale structures.3 The scientific interest in DNA and, more recently, the perspective of using DNA molecule in nanotechnology3 have attracted extensive studies on electric conduction through DNA.4–16

Experimental and theoretical reports on charge transport through DNA have provided evidences for a wide range of possibilities, including proximity induced superconductivity,7 reasonably good conductor with a resistance of 2.5 MΩ,5 semiconductor behavior with an energy gap of a few eV,6,8 and insulating behavior with bias voltage up to 10 V.4,9,17,18 The conducting behavior of DNA appears to depend on many physical and chemical details of the measurements. Since some of these details, such as the presence or absence of an impurity, are difficult to control, theoretically it has been quite useful to focus on some generic features of DNA conduction.10–12,15,16,19,20

In this work, we report an investigation of high frequency charge transport in model DNA sequences. We view a DNA chain as a possible and interesting molecular wire. As such, a good understanding of its dynamic response to external high frequency ac bias is desirable. This understanding is certainly necessary if DNA is ever going to be used as components of electronic circuitry. From a theoretical point of view, dynamic response of a molecule is also interesting as it reveals whether the molecule behaves as a capacitive or inductive conductor.

It turns out that understanding high frequency dynamic conductance of molecular scale conductor is an important and general problem of quantum transport theory. When a system is biased by a high frequency external ac potential, dynamic induction may become important for nanoscale conductors whose electronic density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level is small. Dynamic induction gives rise to charge accumulation in the conductor as well as the displacement current; these effects must be considered in a transport theory, otherwise the predicted total current will not be conserved. This issue has been first considered by Büttiker and co-workers and Ma et al.21 A related issue is the gauge invariance: transport properties should only depend on the voltage difference of the measuring contacts.21 The gauge invariance is satisfied automatically for linear dc response but may become problematic at ac and nonlinear transport. In order to satisfy current conservation and gauge invariance, Coulomb interaction must be considered in the theory which is, actually, the origin of displacement current21 in ac situations.

When ac frequency ω of the external bias is small, the dynamical conductance of a system can be expanded in terms of ω and the linear coefficient is the emittance \( E_{\alpha\beta} \): \( G_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = G_{\alpha\beta}(0) - i\omega q^2 E_{\alpha\beta} + O(\omega^2) \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) are indices of the device leads and \( q \) the charge of the carrier. \( E_{\alpha\beta} \) is an important quantity and the sign of the off-diagonal matrix \( E_{12} \) tells whether the dynamic response of a two probe system is capacitive-like (negative sign) or inductive-like (positive sign). It is also related to the partial density of states.22

---
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characterizing the DOS for electron scattering, $E_{\alpha\beta}$ has been extensively studied for mesoscopic systems but its property for a DNA sequence has been unknown.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the model, theoretical and numerical analysis, as well as results. Section III is a short summary.

II. MODEL, THEORY, AND RESULTS

We consider the following tight binding Hamiltonian to describe the model DNA sequence:

$$
H = \sum_j \left[ -t_{\text{DNA}} \cos(\theta_{l,j+1})(c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + H.c.) + \epsilon_j c_j^\dagger c_j \right].
$$

This one dimensional tight binding model has been successfully applied to study a single stranded DNA with result that can be compared with experiment (see Ref. 11). We note that a double stranded DNA would be a realistic model to consider. Here $c_j^\dagger$ is the creation operator for electron at site $l$, $\epsilon_l$ is the on-site energy which depends on the details of the sequence, $t_{\text{DNA}}$ is the hopping matrix element at zero temperature which describes the $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking interaction between base pairs, and $\theta_{l,j+1}$ is the randomly fluctuating twist angle between neighboring base pairs. Here we assume that $\theta$ follows a Gaussian distribution such that $\langle \theta \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \theta^2 \rangle = kT/\hbar^2$ from the equipartition theorem with $\hbar^2/8k = 250\, \text{K}$. The on-site energies are chosen as $\epsilon_{\text{A}} = 8.24\, \text{eV}$, $\epsilon_{\text{T}} = 9.14\, \text{eV}$, $\epsilon_{\text{C}} = 8.87\, \text{eV}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{G}} = 7.75\, \text{eV}$ following Ref. 24. For simplicity of analysis, we assume that the model DNA sequence is connected to the outside world through type-G nucleobase so that current can be injected into the DNA sequences. The self-energy due to the electrode is calculated using type-G nucleobase. In the calculation, the hopping matrix element $t_{\text{DNA}}$ is set to 1 eV similar to Ref. 13. The hopping matrix between electrodes and scattering region $t_{l}$ is also set to be 1 eV. Since the quantum interference will be destroyed at high temperature, we will restrict numerical calculation to the low temperature regime. We note that the transport measurement of DNA can be carried out for the low temperatures. In fact, the temperature dependence of $I$-$V$ curve has also been measured from 4 to 300 K. In this work, we have not studied the effect of environment on ac transport. The influence of environment on dc transport has been studied theoretically before.

Adessi et al. modeled the influence of environment on transport by including the effect of disorder in the tight binding model. They found that if the on-site disorder strength is less than 50 meV, the conductance does not decrease much. When the on-site disorder strength is larger than 150 meV, however, the conductance can drop by a few orders of magnitude between typical lengths of 10 and 100 nm. For the ac transport, as will be described later, we found that when the dynamic response is inductivelike, there exists an approximated formula to describe the emittance of the system and the inductivelike response can change to the capacitivelike as the temperature is varied. In the presence of environment, as long as the system is in the regime of inductivelike response, our conclusion remains.

A. Emittance

In this subsection we very briefly review the relevant expressions for calculating the emittance. In the presence of a small ac bias, one can expand the dynamic conductance matrix to the first order in $\omega$ as discussed in Sec. I, i.e.,

$$
G_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = G_{\alpha\beta}(0) - i\omega q^2 E_{\alpha\beta} + O(\omega^2).
$$

Here the first term is the dc conductance $G_{12} = G$, and the second term is the emittance discussed above. $E_{\alpha\beta}$ contains contributions from both the conduction current and the displacement current under ac bias. In the absence of magnetic field, the emittance matrix element $E_{\alpha\beta}$ has been derived before:

$$
E_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{dN_{\alpha\beta}}{dE} - D_{\alpha\beta}.
$$

Here, the global partial DOS $dN_{\alpha\beta}/dE$ describes the density of states for the scattering process that electrons enter from lead $\beta$ and exit to lead $\alpha$. This quantity can be calculated from the spatially resolved local partial DOS,

$$
\frac{dN_{\alpha\beta}}{dE} = \int dx \frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE},
$$

where the local partial DOS $dn_{\alpha\beta}/dE$ is defined using Green’s function of the Hamiltonian (1):

$$
\frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE} = \text{Re}(\delta_{\alpha\beta} G_{\alpha} G^*_{\beta}|_{x} + [G_{\alpha} G^*_{\beta} G_{\beta} G^*_{\alpha}|_{x}).
$$

The positive quantity $D_{\alpha\beta}$ of Eq. (3) contains the contributions from the displacement current and is given by

$$
D_{\alpha\beta} = \int d^3x \frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE} \frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE} dx dE,
$$

where the injectivity $dn_{\alpha}/dE$ is defined as

$$
\frac{dn_{\alpha}(x)}{dE} = \sum_{\beta} \frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE} = [G_{\alpha} G^*_{\beta}|_{x},
$$

which describes the local DOS for charged carriers at a space point $x$ which are injected into the system from probe $\alpha$ due to the oscillating chemical potential. The total local DOS is

$$
\frac{dn_{\alpha}(x)}{dE} = \sum_{\beta} \frac{dn_{\alpha\beta}(x)}{dE} = [G_{\alpha} G^*_{\beta}|_{x},
$$

where the total linewidth function is defined as $\Gamma = \Gamma_L + \Gamma_R$ and the quantity $[\cdots]|_{x}$ denotes the diagonal element of the relevant matrix. Importantly, using the above expressions, it is not difficult to confirm that emittance $E_{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies the current conservation and gauge invariant condition $\Sigma_{\alpha} E_{\alpha\beta} = \Sigma_{\beta} E_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. These expressions were derived before and refer interested readers to the original papers for more details. In this work, we use them to calculate the emittance for the model DNA sequence.

Using the Fisher-Lee relation, the global partial DOS can be expressed in terms of scattering matrix $S_{\alpha\beta}$.
DOS shows a capacitivelike behavior if the matrix theory. For a capacitor, the dc transmission coefficient is capacitive, depending on the sign of the emittance. As mentioned in Sec. I, two different dynamic behaviors are anticipated depending on the sign of the emittance \( E_{12} \). The system shows a capacitivelike behavior if \( E_{12} \) is negative. Otherwise, the system is dominated by inductivelike behavior. This can be understood within the picture of scattering matrix theory.\(^{21}\) For a capacitor, the dc transmission coefficient is zero, i.e., \( S_{11} = 0 \). Hence \( dN_{12}/dE = 0 \) from Eq. (9). As a result, \( E_{12} \) is negative since \( D_{ab} \) is positive definite [see Eq. (3)]. Then, because \( E_{11} + E_{12} = 0 \) due to current conservation, \( E_{11} \) is positive for a capacitor. For a perfect inductor, there is complete transmission so that \( S_{11} = 0 \). Therefore from Eq. (3), \( E_{11} \) must be negative. In general, a complete transmission corresponds to an inductivelike dynamic behavior and a complete reflection corresponds to a capacitivelike dynamic behavior. For systems having partial transparency to charge transport, the dynamic response can be either inductive or capacitive, depending on the sign of the emittance.

B. Numerical results

Using the model DNA sequence Hamiltonian (1), we can easily evaluate Green’s function which gives the various DOSs discussed above. The emittance can then be computed numerically.

Figure 1 plots the emittance \( E_{12} \), logarithm of global DOS \( dN/dE \), and \( E_{12}/DOS \) versus energy for a poly-CG sequence. The emittance [Fig. 1(a)] shows many positive peaks which, as we have confirmed, correspond to resonance peaks in the transmission coefficient. This is an inductivelike dynamic behavior. Near the band edges \( E \approx 6.2 \) eV, 7.7 eV, and 8.8 eV, these emittance peaks become much higher and narrower due to the large global DOS [Fig. 1(b)]. Interestingly, at these band edges, the emittance can be negative, therefore showing a capacitivelike behavior. Comparing with Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), we observe that the structures of emittance and the global DOS are well correlated. If we plot \( E_{12}/DOS \) versus energy, as in Fig. 1(c), it very much resembles the electron transmission coefficient. In fact, the emittance curves can be nicely fit to the following relation which is a central result of this paper:

\[
\frac{dN_{ab}}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \left( S_{ab} \frac{dS_{ab}}{dE} - \frac{dS_{ab}}{dE} S_{ab} \right).
\]

As mentioned in Sec. I, two different dynamic behaviors are anticipated depending on the sign of the emittance \( E_{12} \). The system shows a capacitivelike behavior if \( E_{12} \) is negative. Otherwise, the system is dominated by inductivelike behavior. This can be understood within the picture of scattering matrix theory.\(^{21}\) For a capacitor, the dc transmission coefficient is zero, i.e., \( S_{11} = 0 \). Hence \( dN_{12}/dE = 0 \) from Eq. (9). As a result, \( E_{12} \) is negative since \( D_{ab} \) is positive definite [see Eq. (3)]. Then, because \( E_{11} + E_{12} = 0 \) due to current conservation, \( E_{11} \) is positive for a capacitor. For a perfect inductor, there is complete transmission so that \( S_{11} = 0 \). Therefore from Eq. (3), \( E_{11} \) must be negative. In general, a complete transmission corresponds to an inductivelike dynamic behavior and a complete reflection corresponds to a capacitivelike dynamic behavior. For systems having partial transparency to charge transport, the dynamic response can be either inductive or capacitive, depending on the sign of the emittance.

\[
\frac{dN_{ab}}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \left( S_{ab} \frac{dS_{ab}}{dE} - \frac{dS_{ab}}{dE} S_{ab} \right).
\]

\[
E_{12} = \frac{3T(E) - 1}{8} \frac{dN}{dE},
\]

which is rather similar to Eq. (10). The difference between the last two relations is due to the spatial dependence of \( dN_i/dE \). It is interesting to notice from Fig. 1(c) that all the peak heights in the weighted emittance, \( E_{12}/DOS \), have a value of 1/4, indicating that the resonant points where \( T = 1 \) are special. At these points, we have \( dN_i/dE = 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) from Eq. (9). Hence \( dN_i/dE = dN_{12}/dE = (3T-1)/8 \) so that Eqs. (10) and (11) give the same result, \( E_{12} = (dN/dE)/4 \). This explains the 1/4 peak heights in Fig. 1(c). However, why Eq. (10) only works for large inductivelike responses is still unclear. When the DNA sequence is well contacted with the electrode and the system is very transmissive, Eq. (10) describes the system very well. Otherwise, the capacitivelike behavior dominates and Eq. (10) fails to describe this behavior.

In Fig. 2 we compare the weighted emittance with Eq. (10) for different model sequences: poly(dAdT) sequences with \( \alpha, \beta = G, C, A, T \).\(^{30}\) Again, Eq. (10) holds almost per-
fectly for all these different sequences. We emphasize that Eq. (10) is an approximate expression for poly(dadβ) sequences but $E_{\bar{1},2}=1/4$ at $T=1$ is a universal feature. We have tested Eq. (10) for other types of model DNA sequences: Fibonacci poly-CG sequence, $\lambda_1$ chain [the first 60 base pairs (bps) of the $\lambda$ phase sequence], $\lambda_2$ chain (the next 60 bps), $\lambda_3$, $\lambda_4$, and $\lambda_5$, and the human chromosome 22. Some examples are shown in Fig. 3 where the weighted emittance of $\lambda_2$ sequence and that of the human chromosome 22 versus energy at zero temperature are displayed. In general, we found that Eq. (10) is very good for the weighted emittance with large inductivelike dynamic response, but it is not suitable for small positive weighted emittance as well as those with capacitivelike responses.

Now we study the temperature dependence of the emittance. Since the measured quantity is taken at finite time intervals, we have averaged the emittance as well as the global DOS over 100 000 realizations of the twist angles. Figure 4(a) shows the weighted emittance for poly(dGdC) at finite temperature $E_{\bar{1},2}=(E_{12})/(dN/dE)$ versus energy at different temperatures. The temperature has two main effects. First, it destroys quantum interference and reduces the weighted emittance. The influence of structural fluctuation due to temperature on emittance is the strongest near the left and right band edges $E=6.2$ eV and $9.7$ eV. As the temperature is increased to $T=10$ K, the response of poly(dGdC) near the left band edge becomes inductivelike instead of capacitivelike and the oscillation of emittance vanishes. In the neighborhood of the band edges in the center region $E\sim 7.7$ eV and $8.8$ eV, the influence of temperature is smaller. Second, increasing temperature shifts the peak positions of the emittance. As shown in Fig. 4(a), this shift is toward the band gap in a nonuniform fashion. Near the band gap, the peak positions do not change. The further the peak position is away from the band gap, the larger the shift. The origin of this shift can be understood as follows. The rms value of the hopping matrix element $t_{\gamma} = t_{\text{DNA}} \cos(\sqrt{T/250}$ K) decreases as the temperature rises. For a closed system, different hopping matrix elements give different energy spectra. When this system becomes open, these energy levels evolve into resonant levels. Hence the peak positions of the emittance change accordingly as the temperature varies. To verify this picture, we have calculated the emittance $E_{\bar{1},2}$ by replacing the fluctuating hopping matrix element $t_{\text{DNA}} \cos(\theta)$ by its rms value $t_{\gamma}$ so that the random fluctuation of $t_{\text{DNA}}$ is removed. Figure 4(b) shows the weighted emittances $\langle E_{12}\rangle/\langle DOSS \rangle$ and $\langle E'_{12}\rangle/\langle DOSS \rangle$ versus energy. We see that, indeed, the shifting of the peak position is due to the changing of the rms of hopping matrix element while the thermal fluctuation suppresses the quantum interference, resulting to a lower peak height. Our numerical result shows that the sharper the peak, the larger the suppression. For the averaged global DOS, Fig. 4(c) shows that it is less sensitive to the temperature as compared with the weighted emittance. Similar shifting of the peak positions for DOS is observed.

The emittance as a function of temperatures at fixed chemical potentials has interesting behavior, and different behaviors can be observed at different chemical potentials. Figure 5(a) plots the enhancement of the emittance as the
temperature is increased. At $E=9.612 \text{ eV}$ (solid line) which is near the right band edge [see Fig. 1(a)], the emittance is maximum at $T=0$. As the temperature is increased, the emittance drops quickly due to thermal fluctuations. However, a thermal enhancement is possible, indicated by a small peak at $T=30 \text{ K}$ due to shifting of resonant levels by temperature. At $E=9.12 \text{ eV}$, the emittance is minimum at $T=0$ (dashed line). As the temperature increases, it rises quickly due to the thermal enhancement, reaches peak value at $T=20 \text{ K}$, and then decays to zero due to the thermal fluctuation. Temperature can also change the response nature of the model DNA sequence. Figure 5(b) shows that at $E=8.94 \text{ eV}$, the emittance is negative at $T=0$, exhibiting a capacitive-like behavior. Increasing temperature, the model DNA sequence gives an inductive-like response. This change of dynamic response can be observed for up to $T=30 \text{ K}$. At $E=9.694 \text{ eV}$, the system gives an inductive-like response at $T=0$, changes to capacitive-like near $T=10 \text{ K}$, and finally returns to inductive-like behavior at higher temperatures. This result suggests that in the low temperature regime, temperatures can be used as an extra handle to tune the dynamic response of DNA sequences. We emphasize that Eq. (2) is a low frequency expansion. The expansion is appropriate when $\hbar/\omega \ll 1$ where $E_0$ is some characteristic energy scale of the system. For the DNA sequence we considered, the typical energy scale can be identified using averaged level spacing. Roughly speaking this average spacing is around 0.01 eV (or bigger) which is equivalent to terahertz frequency. It is safe to use Eq. (2) in the gigahertz regime. The influence of contact can be modeled by the coupling between electrode and the scattering region. Different coupling constants can lead to the tunneling regime and transmissive regime, both of them can be found in experimental literatures.\textsuperscript{15} As for the influence of contact on ac transport, we have tried different coupling constants between electrodes and scattering region $t_p$. We found that our main conclusion is unchanged. Finally, \textit{ab initio} calculation (Ref. 24) suggests that $t_{\text{DNA}}=0.4 \text{ eV}$. We have tried this value in our study as well. Our conclusion remains in this case.

III. SUMMARY

The emittance of model DNA sequences is found to have interesting behavior as a function of electron energy and temperature. An approximated expression of emittance, Eq. (10), is found to give good description of the emittance for several model DNA sequences including poly(dAdB) where $\alpha, \beta=G, C, A, T$. For other model sequences such as the Fibonacci poly-CG sequence, $\lambda$ chains, and the human chromosome 22, Eq. (10), fits the emittance very well in the regime of inductive-like response. The results suggest that temperature can have substantial effect on emittance through shifting the resonance levels and smearing of quantum interference. The former may enhance emittance at certain temperature ranges, while the latter reduces emittance value. In the low temperature regime ($T<40 \text{ K}$), the temperature can change the dynamic response of the model DNA sequences from inductive-like to capacitive-like and vice versa.
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27We assumed that the DNA sequence is not gated (zero capacitance limit).
30We find that the transmission coefficient and the weighted emittance for the poly(dAdT) and poly(dAdC) sequence are almost the same.