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Abstract

This paper aims to develop a new method for strengthening reinforced concrete coupling beams. Experiments were conducted to test three full-scale RC coupling beams, of which two were strengthened by bolted external steel plates on the side faces of the beams and the other one acted as a control specimen without strengthening. The improvements to strength, deformation and energy dissipation of the external plate strengthened RC coupling beams were observed from the experimental results. Nonlinear finite element analysis was carried out to model the strengthened and non-strengthened coupling beams. The material properties used for concrete and reinforcement in the numerical analysis were validated by the laboratory tests. As experimental study showed that there was a small slip between the bolted connection and the concrete wall pier, a bilinear model was used to simulate the load-slip behaviour of the bolt connections. The model was calibrated by the experimental results from the plate strengthened coupling beams. Numerical parametric study found that the small slip (>3mm) between the bolt connection and the concrete wall could significantly affect the load carrying capacity of the bolt connections as well as the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beams. The numerical model developed is very useful for investigating strengthened beams with other configurations and other reinforcement details.
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1. Introduction

Coupled shear walls or core walls are commonly employed as a major lateral load-resisting system in tall building structures in Hong Kong. Due to various architectural constraints and the need for accommodating building services, openings through walls are unavoidable. Coupling beams are required to connect the wall piers and transfer forces between them. The stiffness, strength and ductility of coupling beams have great influences on the overall structural behavior of coupled wall buildings under seismic attack. Local failure of coupling beams may lead to a more serious global failure of the whole lateral load resisting system of the building.

In Hong Kong, most of the reinforced concrete (RC) buildings were built during the last 40 years or so. It is evident that a large number of old building structures are prone to serious material deteriorations due to carbonation, chloride attack of reinforcement, alkali-silica reaction of concrete, and so on. In addition, owing to the inadequacy of the shear design equation in the old design code CP114 [1], these old buildings are likely to be deficient in shear reinforcement. Recent seismic hazard studies [2-3] have shown that Hong Kong is located in a region of low-to-moderate seismicity. Many existing building structures without provisions for resisting earthquake loads might no longer be considered to be up to the new standard due to increased-load specifications in the seismic code. A large number of RC coupling beams might require rehabilitation or retrofitting to increase their strength, stiffness and energy dissipation capability.

Many studies have focused on the structural performances of conventional and non-conventional RC coupling beams. Paulay [4] studied the behavior of conventional RC
coupling beams in shear wall structures. He pointed out that the behavior of coupling beams was different from that of ordinary beams, especially when the span-to-depth ratio was low. Harries [5] reviewed the large-scale experimental investigations of conventional RC coupling beams and demonstrated that the coupling beam ductility demand often exceeded the expected available ductility. Harries et al. [6] had reported their research on seismic retrofit of RC coupling beams using steel plates. In their research the retrofitting measures involved using a number of different attachment ways to fix the thin steel plates to one side of the coupling beams to enhance their shear performance. Recently, Lam et al. [7-8] proposed to enhance the deformation and ductility capacities of RC coupling beams by utilizing the composite action between steel plate and RC. However, their suggestion of embedding a steel plate in a RC coupling beam could only be used in new constructions. For existing shear wall structures in Hong Kong, where the coupling beams were designed without any seismic considerations, the increase in strength by attaching external steel plates on both sides of the beam is proposed.

There are basically two methods for strengthening or stiffening existing RC members. The first method is to attach advanced composites, such as glass fibre reinforced plastic (GFRP) and carbon fibre reinforced plastic (CFRP), onto the tension surface or the side faces of the members. Teng et al. [9] conducted a comprehensive review of using advanced composites to strengthen RC structures. These composites are generally capable of increasing the ductility and ultimate load resistance but are prone to peeling and delamination under shear stresses, and debonding under cyclic loading. Design procedures were developed to prevent rupture and debonding failures [10-11]. Sheikh [12] reported the research on retrofit of beams, slabs, walls and columns with fibre reinforced
plastic (FRP). His results indicated that flexural strength of the damaged slabs, shear resistance of the damaged beams and seismic resistance of the columns could be improved.

The second method for strengthening or stiffening existing RC components is to attach steel plates to the external surfaces of the structural components by means of adhesive bonding or bolting. Oehlers and Seracino [13] evaluated the design of steel plated RC structures. Bolting can avoid any uncertainty over long-term durability of adhesives and the problem of peeling associated with high stress concentrations and cyclic loadings. Furthermore, bolting can provide limited confinement for the concrete core by allowing a triaxial stress state to develop in bolting area and can avoid the need for surface preparation on site. However bolting construction has the drawbacks of possible weakening of the concrete components due to drilling of bolt holes through the concrete and the associated more expensive labor cost.

Several researchers have studied the ways to strengthen the flexural resistance of concrete beams by means of adhesively bonded or bolted steel plates on the soffit of the beams. Subedi and Baglin [14] conducted an investigation on strengthening the shear capacity of concrete beams by bolted steel plates on the external surfaces and showed enhancements of shear and flexural strengths of the beams. Uy [15] presented a set of tests for RC columns strengthened with bolted, or glued and bolted steel plates under both axial and flexural loads. His investigation illustrated that adding steel plates to RC columns could increase both the stiffness and strength of the member. However, the increase in column stiffness is not desirable after retrofit, as additional seismic load would be attracted to the superstructure. Barnes et al. [16] studied the effects of attaching the steel plates to the
external surfaces of concrete beams by bonding or bolting. Their research mainly focused on shear strength enhancement of RC beams. By introducing a gap at the bottom of the plating system, Wu et al.[17-19], on the other hand, developed a steel plate retrofit system that can increase the ductility of RC columns without increasing the stiffness of the member.

Although attaching steel plates to external surfaces of RC beams have been shown to be able to improve the strength of simply supported and continuous beams, this technique has not been fully explored and extended to strengthening coupling beams that are structurally different from ordinary floor beams. Detailed numerical analysis of this type of retrofitted beams is rare. In this paper, experimental and numerical studies on coupling beams strengthened by steel plates attached on the vertical faces (web) of the beams were conducted. The steel plates were attached to the side faces of the specimens by means of bolting. The bolts were designed to transfer all the bending and shear forces from the steel plate to the wall anchor by using bolt group theory. The positions of the bolts through beam and walls were carefully selected such that the boltholes would not cut across the main reinforcement of the beam and walls, whereas the steel plates could be securely attached on the vertical faces by tightening the bolts. The improvements to strength, deformation and energy dissipation of the external plate strengthened RC coupling beams will be presented in the following sections of this paper. The variations of shear load to chord rotation angle of the beams and the force distributions of the bolts are obtained from laboratory tests. The results are compared with those from the nonlinear finite element analysis (NLFEA). Numerical parametric study was conducted to study the effects of slip between the bolt connection and the concrete wall on the load
carrying capacity of the bolt connections as well as the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beams.

2. Experimental Program

2.1. Description of test specimen

Three RC coupling beam specimens having the same dimensions and reinforcement details but different attached steel plate arrangements were tested. The first specimen CB1 with conventional reinforcement detail was a control beam, and the other two beams (CB2 and CB3) were strengthened with bolted steel plates with different thickness as shown in Table 1. The specimen dimensions and reinforcement details of CB2 and CB3 are displayed in Figure 1, and the material properties are shown in Table 2.

The control specimen CB1 was designed according to BS8110 [20] to ensure that shear failure would occur prior to flexural failure. For the other two specimens (CB2 and CB3), where bolted steel plates were attached, socket anchorages were incorporated at specific locations prior to concreting. All steel plates used were 1250mm long and 300mm deep. The locations of the anchorages of the steel plates for the two specimens are shown in Figure 2. After curing of concrete, the external plates were fixed to the cast-in sockets with Grade 8.8 bolts of diameter 20mm. Slightly larger clearance holes of 22mm diameter were provided for tolerances in fabrication and drilling of holes in the steel plates. Once all the bolts were fastened, a torque of 100Nm was applied to tighten the bolts to achieve a good connection. The bolts were then welded to the plate in order to prevent any slip within the clearance hole.
Electrical resistance linear strain gauges and rosette strain gauges were attached to the reinforcement and the external steel plates, respectively, to investigate the strain as well as the internal stress and force distributions. Linear variable displacement transducers (LVDTs) were instrumented to capture the chord rotation and the sectional curvature profiles of the coupling beams. Figure 3. shows the arrangement of linear variable displacement transducers (LVDTs) and rosette strain gauges of specimen CB3.

2.2. Test Setup

Figures 4 and 5 show the test setup, which was designed by Kwan and Zhao [21], and the loading sequence. Loading was applied from a 500kN actuator to the top end of each 90° rotated specimen through a rigid arm with the line of action passing through the beam center. In this way, the coupling beam was loaded with a constant shear force along the span and a linearly varying bending moment with the contra-flexure point at mid-span. In order to simulate the real situation in which the wall piers at the two ends of a coupling beam remain parallel under deflections of the building, a parallel mechanism was installed to connect the upper rigid arm with the lower structural steel beam fixed on the floor. Reversed cyclic loading was first applied to each specimen in a load controlled cycle up to 75% of the theoretical ultimate shear capacity ($V_u^+$). The subsequent cycles were displacement-controlled, in which the specimen was displaced to nominal ductility factor ($\mu_n$) 1 for one cycle, then to each successive nominal ductility factor for two cycles as shown in Figure 5. Beam rotations ($\theta$), defined [22] as the differential displacement between the two beam ends in the loading direction divided by the clear span ($l$), were calculated using the displacements measured by LVDTs. The nominal yield rotation ($\theta_{yn}$)
at $\mu_n = 1$ was obtained using the average of the $\theta$ values corresponding to the positive and the negative loads at $0.75V^*_u$ in the first cycle divided by the factor 0.75. The actual yield rotation ($\theta_y$) was later obtained in the same manner from the maximum measured shear ($V_{max}$). The test was terminated when the peak load obtained in the first cycle of a nominal ductility level fell below the lesser of $0.8V^*_u$ and $0.8V_{max}$, and the test specimen was then considered to have failed.

3. Experimental Results and Discussion

3.1. Strength and Ductility

The performance of the specimens was evaluated through the measured strains and LVDT data, and by the observed damage and crack patterns. Table 3 summarizes the experimental results of all the three specimens. The attached plates increased both the ultimate capacity and deformability of specimen beams CB2 and CB3. The ultimate shear $V^*_u$ and the ultimate measured chord rotation angle ($\theta_u$) for CB2 were increased by 37% and 23%, respectively, whereas for CB3 were increased by 70% and 62%, respectively. The maximum nominal ductility factors, which are defined as the ultimate rotations divided by the nominal yield rotations ($\mu_n = \theta_u / \theta_{yn}$), for CB1 and CB2 & CB3, respectively, are equal to 6.2 and 5.1. The maximum ductility factors, which are defined as the ultimate rotations divided by the notional yield rotations obtained from the test ($\mu = \theta_u / \theta_y$), for CB1, CB2 and CB3 are equal to 4.0, 3.8 and 3.7, respectively. The attached plates slightly reduced the ductility of the coupling beams. This is because by attaching the ductile steel plates onto the beams, the increase in the notional yield chord
rotation angle \( \left( \theta_s \right) \) is slightly larger than that of the ultimate measured chord rotation angle \( \left( \theta_u \right) \). Ductility defined as \( \theta_u / \theta_s \) is therefore reduced by adding the steel plates. Furthermore, the attached steel plates increased both the stiffness and the deformation capacity of the beams. The excessive deformation caused crushing of concrete under compression and led to ultimate failure of the beams.

**3.2. Load-Chord Rotation Curves**

Figure 6 shows the applied shear force against the chord rotation angle for all the specimens. It can be seen that the bending stiffness of all the plate-strengthened coupling beams was increased when compared with the control specimen. The shear force – chord rotation curve of CB1 exhibits substantial pinching, especially at large deflection amplitudes. Such pinching leads to rapid stiffness degradation and less energy dissipation. The shear force – chord rotation curves of CB2 and CB3 are similar and have less pinching, better energy dissipation capacity (more than double) and more stable hysteretic loop when compared with that of CB1. Figure 7 shows the relationships of cumulative energy dissipation with the cumulative displacement. Comparing the energy dissipated values of CB2 and CB3, it is seen that among the two specimens, the beam strengthened with thick steel plate dissipated more energy. The reasons are that the ductile steel plates possessed better deformability and higher energy dissipation via plastic deformation, and resisted most of the applied load as the ductility factor increases. The results reveal that the external attached steel plates can significantly improve the inelastic behavior in terms of higher energy dissipation and lower strength degradation of the coupling beams.
3.3. Stiffness degradation

The degradations of stiffness for all the specimens are analyzed and shown in Figure 8. In the figure, the initial stiffness $K_0$ is defined as the ultimate shear force divided by the notional yield displacement and $K_i$ is the instantaneous secant stiffness at a certain displacement. The initial stiffnesses $K_0$ for CB1, CB2 and CB3 are 30.60kN/mm, 40.82kN/mm and 37.46kN/mm, respectively. Although CB3 was strengthened with thick steel plate, it has lower initial stiffness than that of CB2 due to immature tearing of welds of the bolt connections of CB3. Because of insufficient leg length, some of the fillet welds between the bolts and the steel plates were torn during the test when the specimen reached its ultimate load (at nominal ductility +2). From Figure 8, it can be seen that the strengthened coupling beams CB2 and CB3 have lower stiffness degradation rate and higher displacement capacity than the conventional RC coupling beam CB1.

3.4. Crack and Damage Patterns

The crack patterns in the wall piers of all the specimens were similar. Only minor cracks were observed. Figure 9 shows the crack patterns at failure of all the coupling beams. For specimens CB2 and CB3, the external plates have been taken away for displaying the crack patterns of concrete along the span of the beams. Plastic hinges adjacent to the beam-wall joints and spalling of concrete along the longitudinal reinforcement can be observed in CB1. The damage mode can be classified as shear flexural failure. For CB2, cracks were evenly distributed along the span of the coupling beam and no significant plastic hinge can be observed. The row of bolts along the span of the coupling beam could prevent local buckling of the thinner steel plate (3mm) but led to serious concrete
damage at the failure stage. The bolt arrangement in coupling beams should be further
studied to come up with a procedure for optimum design of bolt groups. For CB3, plastic
hinges formed at the ends of the beam can be observed. The thicker steel plate (6mm) can
effectively mitigate buckling of the plate. As the increasing of the ductility factor, the
concrete cover to the main reinforcement of the beam gradually spalled off due to
increasing compressive force developed along the beam. Serious crushing of concrete
under compression led to ultimate failure of the beam.

3.5. The Behaviors of External Steel Plates

The stress, strain and internal force distributions of the external steel plates can be
determined by analyzing the data obtained from the rosette strain gauges attached on the
plate surfaces as well as observing the macro-deformations of the steel plates. The shear
and axial strains on the steel plates were first calculated from the measured strains. Then
by assuming linear variation of strains between the strain gauges and invoking the stress-
strain relationship of steel, the internal forces at each section can be calculated
accordingly. Figure 10 shows the variations of the internal shear and axial forces at mid-
span of the external steel plates. The results reveal that the steel plates for CB2 and CB3
took up increasingly more shear loads as the beam rotation increases. The plates
sustained almost all of the shear loads when the nominal ductility factor was higher than
3.

For CB2, the shear resistance of the steel plate reached its maximum capacity after
nominal ductility factor +2. This was because local yielding and buckling of the steel
plate had occurred and limited its load carrying capacity. Owing to elongation of the
concrete beam under cyclic loads, tensile forces were developed in the steel plate when approaching the peak load at each positive and negative cycle. When the tensile stress induced in the steel plate had reached its yield stress (after nominal ductility factor +2), permanent plastic deformation occurred and caused an extension of the steel plate. As a result, the span length of the steel plate was longer than that of the reinforced concrete counterpart under the next reversed cycle. When the chord rotation angle was reduced to zero, the strain compatibility between the reinforced concrete and the steel plate resulted in compression developed in the steel plate and tension induced in the reinforced concrete. For CB3, the shear force taken by the steel plates increased with that of the nominal ductility factor whereas the axial force variation was not stable due to immature tearing of some of the weld connections between the bolts and the steel plate. As a result, energy dissipated by the steel plate in CB3 was lower than that of CB2.

During the test, the external steel plates took the combined bending, shear and axial forces. The induced diagonal compressive forces caused local buckling instability of the plate when the applied loads were greater than the critical limit. This local buckling phenomenon is known as unilateral constraint buckling problem and is critical for external plate strengthened coupling beam under reversed cyclic seismic actions. Figure 11 shows the local buckling modes of the external steel plates at failure of CB2 and CB3. The local buckling occurred at the steel plates near the beam-wall joints. As thinner steel plates were used in CB2 compared with that of CB3, a more serious local buckling occurred in CB2 than in CB3 despite that additional bolts had been fixed along the beam span of CB2 to control buckling. In spite of buckling of steel plates, no significant loss in strength was found for CB2 and CB3. It is because the compressive force originally taken
up by the steel plate was transferred to concrete after plate buckling. Provided that concrete could have reserved capacity to take up the additional compressive load, the detrimental effects due to plate buckling on the beams would be relatively small. It should be mentioned that Smith and his co-researchers [23-27] had conducted significant theoretical and experimental works on unilateral buckling of steel plates bolted in retrofitted concrete beams. However, theoretical study of the present type of local buckling under combined in-plane bending and shear forces has yet to be studied.

3.6. The Force Distributions of Bolts

Based on the strains measured from the rosette strain gauges attached on the surface of the steel plates and the stress-strain relationship of steel, the internal stress at the cross sections of the steel plate were calculated. The bolt forces were then evaluated by considering force equilibrium of free body diagram, from the left side to the right side of the steel plate. Table 4a shows the bolt force distribution at the peak load of specimen CB2. It can be observed that the bolt forces at the span of specimen CB2 (Bolts 7-9) are much smaller than the bolt forces at the wall anchorage (Bolts 1 and 6). The bolt forces at the interior locations of the bolt group at the wall anchorage (Bolts 3 and 4) are also relatively small. The results indicate that the bolts at the internal part of the bolt group and at the beam span have little effects on the force transfer between the steel plate and the reinforced concrete. However, the bolts at the beam span are important in preventing plate buckling. Table 4b shows the bolt force distributions of specimen CB3. Similar observation has been found when the bolt forces at the interior locations are much smaller than the bolt forces at the corner locations of the bolt group. It is noted that as the
maximum shear force taken by the bolts was 40kN, which was much less than the shear capacity of 91kN, all the bolts were deformed elastically. Based on the experimental observations, the most effective arrangement of the bolt group is to keep the four corner bolts at the wall anchorage and remove the rest of the bolts. This is because fewer the number of holes drilled through the shear wall, the less the impairment to the integrity of the RC members as well as the lower the construction expenses would be resulted in.

4. Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis

4.1. Concrete Material Model and Modeling of the Bolted Connections

The two-dimensional non-linear finite element package ATENA [28] was used to analyze the load-deflection behaviours and the bolt force distributions of the steel plate strengthened coupling beams. In this analysis, the non-linearity due to the materials and the geometric deformations has been considered.

The non-linear concrete material model used in the subsequent analyses considered the following factors (1) non-linear behavior in compression including hardening and softening, (2) fracture of concrete in tension based on nonlinear fracture mechanics, (3) biaxial strength failure criterion, (4) reduction of compressive strength after cracking, and (5) reduction of the shear stiffness after cracking (variable shear retention). The failure envelope of concrete in the biaxial stress state is described by means of the effective stress and the equivalent uni-axial strain [29].
The complete constitutive model of concrete is shown in Figure 12. The material state indices shown in Figure 12 are used to indicate the state of concrete damage during numerical analysis. The peak stresses in compression $f_{ec}^{ef}$ and in tension $f_{et}^{ef}$ are calculated according to the failure envelope of concrete [29]. In the first state (Index No. 1), the behavior of concrete in tension without crack is assumed to be linear elastic.

In the second state (index No. 2), after formation of tension cracks, a fictitious crack model based on a crack opening law and fracture energy, which was derived experimentally by Hordijk [30], is used and can be expressed as,

$$\frac{\sigma}{f_{et}^{ef}} = \left\{1 + \left(\frac{3w}{w_c}\right)^3\right\} \exp\left(-6.93 \frac{w}{w_c}\right) - \frac{10w}{w_c} \exp(-6.93)$$

(1)

and

$$w_c = 5.14 \frac{G_f}{f_{et}^{ef}}$$

(2)

where $w$ is the crack opening displacement, $w_c$ is the crack opening at the complete release of stress and $\sigma$ is the normal stress in the crack (crack cohesion). $G_f$ is the fracture energy needed to create a unit area of stress—which has been expressed as Eq. (3) by Vos [31].

$$G_f = 0.000025 f_{et}^{ef} \text{ [MN/m]}$$

(3)

The crack opening displacement $w$ can be related to the total crack opening displacement within the crack band according to Eq. (4) [32],

$$w = \epsilon_c L_t$$

(4)
where $\varepsilon_{cr}$ is the crack opening strain, which is equal to the strain normal to the crack direction in the cracked state after the complete stress release and $L_i$ is the band size of the element in tension.

In the third state (Index No.3), the stress-strain formula recommended by CEB-FIP Model Code 90 [33] was adopted for compression before the peak stress.

$$
\sigma_c = f_c^{\varepsilon_f} \frac{kx - x^2}{1 + (k - 2)x}, \quad x = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_c}, \quad k = \frac{E_0}{E_c}
$$

(5)

where $\sigma_c$ is the concrete compressive stress, $x$ is the normalized strain, $\varepsilon$ is the concrete strain, $\varepsilon_c$ is the strain at the peak stress $f_c^{\varepsilon_f}$, $k$ is a shape parameter, $E_0$ is the initial elastic modulus and $E_c$ is the secant elastic modulus at the peak stress.

In the fourth state (Index No. 4), the compressive stress after the peak stress, the softening law in compression is assumed to be linearly descending. The model of strain softening in compression is based on dissipated energy theory [34].

Extensive concrete compression and tension tests [35-38] have been conducted at The University of Hong Kong to study the stress-strain relationship, elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio and tensile strength of normal strength and high strength concrete produced in Hong Kong. Their studies revealed that locally mixed concrete, when compared with those produced from other places has a relatively small initial elastic modulus and a larger peak strain values. This implies that the stress-strain curves for concrete in Hong Kong would be somewhat distorted and shifted to the right side when compared with the stress-strain curves of concrete from other countries with the same
compressive strength. Furthermore, concrete produced in Hong Kong is found to be more deformable under the same stress level and absorb more energy during deformation. The local concrete properties of the initial elastic modulus $E_c$ and the peak strains $\varepsilon_c$ used in NLFEA were estimated by the following equations,

$$E_c = 6500 f_{cu}^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\varepsilon_c = \frac{3.46 f_{cu}^{3/4}}{E_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Accurate modeling of the anchor bolts in the present study is necessary in order to simulate the load-slip effect of the cast-in steel bolts [39]. In this study, a bi-linear model (as shown in Figure 13) is chosen to simulate the load-slip behavior of a 20mm diameter cast-in steel bolt. In this figure, the inner circular finite element mesh represents the shank of the steel bolt. The outer ring in the finite element mesh having bi-linear softening material is used to model the load-slip behaviour of the bolt connections. At first, the conventional RC specimen CB1 was modeled by NLFEA. The results were then compared with the experimental results to validate the material parameters for concrete and steel bars used in the NLFEA. After that, finite elements related to the steel plate were added to the model. As the material properties of steel plate are well defined, the only variable is the stiffness (load-slip behaviour) of the bolt connections. This single variable was then calibrated against the experimental results of CB2 and CB3. The calibrated stiffness of the load-slip curve (as shown in Figure 13) is found to be slightly higher than that of Ahmed [39]. This is considered reasonable as the bolts used in the present study were fastened into steel cast-in sockets (see Figure 2) which have higher
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stiffness than the cast-in bolts without socket as used by Ahmed. It is expected that the present connection detail have higher stiffness than other fastening connections which may be used in practices by installing bolts through pre-drilled holes in the concrete without socket.

4.2. Shear Force and Chord Rotation Angle Curves

The variations of shear force and chord rotation angle were computed by the NLFEA for all the specimens. The finite element mesh configuration of CB2 is shown in Figure 14. It is noted that CB1 is a control specimen without attachment of the steel plates to the RC beam. Figure 15 shows the load-rotation curve of CB1 obtained from the numerical analysis. The numerical result agrees well with that of experimental study. Based on that model, small ring finite element meshes with non-linear property as shown in Figure 13 were added to simulate the load-slip effect of the bolted connections. Elasto-plastic plane elements were used to model the steel plate. The finite element models for specimens CB2 and CB3 were then developed. It can be seen that the envelope curves determined by the NLFEA for specimens CB2 and CB3 are consistent with the experimental results. The peak loading, the stiffness and the non-linear load-rotation behaviors could be correctly simulated.

4.3. Comparison of Numerical and Experimental Results of Bolt Forces

Figures 16 and 17 show the comparison of bolt forces obtained from numerical and experimental results. Basically, the numerical results can correctly simulate the loading histories of the bolts. The maximum deviation from the experimental results at peak
loadings is approximately 20%. As the predicted bolt forces could be quite sensitive to the change in load-slip model used in the numerical analysis, numerical parametric study based on the calibrated finite element model is conducted to investigate the effect of varying the bolt stiffness on the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beams.

4.4. Parametric Study of Varying the Stiffness of the Bolt Connections

The stiffness of bolt connection that could significantly affect the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beam is a key concern in this study. The connection stiffness depends on various factors such as the type of anchor bolts used, the thickness of the specimens and the workmanship for installation of the bolts. These factors, however, are difficult to be quantified in the design stage. In order to investigate the effect of varying the bolt connection stiffness on the overall stiffness as well as the post-peak behavior of the strengthened beam, case studies for specimen CB3 using NLFEA was carried out. In Case 1, rigid-plastic connection was used to obtain the upper bound solution. In the other cases, gradually reduced connection stiffness was used in the finite element analysis. Apart from the change in connection stiffness, other structural parameters are kept to be the same as those of specimen CB3. Figure 18(a) shows the load-slip curves of the bolt connection used in the analysis. Figure 18(b) presents the load-rotation curves of the strengthened beams obtained by the NLFEA. The curve from the real model CB3 using the actual connection stiffness is also shown in Figure 18(b) for comparison. It is clear that the capacity as well as the ultimate rotation of the beams is highly dependent on the bolt stiffness. By using rigid-plastic bolt connection (Case 1), the peak load can go up to
495kN, which is increased by 32% when compared with the actual bolt stiffness used in CB3. In Case 4 when the stiffness is reduced by 5 times when compared with the actual stiffness used in CB3, the peak load is reduced to 257kN, which is similar to that of the non-strengthened specimen CB1. Accompanied with the changes in peak loading, there appear certain reductions in the initial stiffness as well as the yield displacement for all the specimens due to the reduction of the bolt stiffness. This is because good bolt connection with stronger stiffness could enhance the steel plate to take up more shear force from the reinforced concrete before and especially after the peak loading. Numerical results clearly indicate that small slip (>3mm) between the bolt connection and the concrete wall could have detrimental effects on the load carrying capacity of the bolt connections as well as the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beams. Further experimental investigations may need to be conducted to develop more accurate load-slip models for the common types of anchor bolts used in construction industry.

5. Conclusions

The paper presents a study of strengthening RC coupling beams by bolted steel plates on side faces of the web. The experimental and numerical findings are summarized as follows:

1. External steel plate attachment by bolted connections could considerably enhance the strength and deformation capacity of coupling beams under reversed cyclic loadings.
2. The attached external steel plates with bolted connections could steadily sustain most of the shear force after reaching the peak loading of the coupling beam. The good inelastic responses of the strengthened coupling beams support the use of bolted connections for the case of high seismic loading and displacement demands.

3. Before yielding of the plate, axial tension would develop in the steel plate. After yielding had occurred, axial force would alternate from compression to tension and then back to compression in half of each loading cycle.

4. The phenomenon of unilateral constraint local buckling of the external steel plate under reversed cyclic loading was observed. However, there was no significant loss in strength for the retrofitted beams (CB2 and CB3) after buckling. It is probably because the compressive force originally resisted by the steel plate was transferred to the concrete after buckling. Further experimental and numerical studies may be conducted to quantify this effect.

5. Very good agreements between the experimental and NLFEA results are found. The NLFEA has been shown to be able to accurately predict the strength, stiffness and load-rotation behavior of the steel plate strengthened coupling beam.

6. The proposed method for considering bolt connection load-slip effect can correctly simulate the load-rotation behavior of the coupling beams as well as the loading history of the bolt forces. The numerical model developed is very useful
for design of strengthened beams with other configurations and other reinforcement details.

7. Numerical parametric study reveals that the small slip (>3mm) between the bolt connection and the concrete wall could have detrimental effects on the load carrying capacity of the bolt connections as well as the structural performance of the strengthened coupling beams. Further experimental investigations may need to be conducted to develop more accurate load-slip models for the common types of anchor bolts used in construction industry. Furthermore, the type of bolts used, the connection details and the stiffness of the bolt groups should be well thought-out in design stage for RC coupling beams strengthened with bolted external steel plates.

This new application of using steel plate to strengthen RC coupling beams is still under development, the design procedure will be provided after conducting more experimental or numerical testing of specimens with different span-to-depth ratio as well as different steel plate and bolt arrangements.
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Table 1. Coupling Beam Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beam</th>
<th>Span-Depth Ratio</th>
<th>Plate Thickness</th>
<th>Bolt Arrangement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>At Ends and Span of the Plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6mm</td>
<td>At Ends of the Plate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Material Properties (MPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$f_{cu}$</th>
<th>$f_c'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB1</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB2</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$f_y$</th>
<th>Young’s modulus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel Bar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>462.7</td>
<td>212000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>571.0</td>
<td>211000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>529.3</td>
<td>207000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T16</td>
<td>549.2</td>
<td>210000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T20</td>
<td>504.1</td>
<td>203000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Plate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3mm</td>
<td>342.0</td>
<td>185000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6mm</td>
<td>354.3</td>
<td>193000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Summary of Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>( V_u^* ) (kN)</th>
<th>( V_{max} ) (kN)</th>
<th>( \frac{V_{max}}{V_u} )</th>
<th>( \theta_{yn} ) (rad)</th>
<th>( \theta_y ) (rad)</th>
<th>( \theta_u ) (rad)</th>
<th>( \mu_n )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0297</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB2</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0365</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB3</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0482</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4a. Bolt Forces of Specimen CB2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Bolt1</th>
<th>Bolt2</th>
<th>Bolt3</th>
<th>Bolt4</th>
<th>Bolt5</th>
<th>Bolt6</th>
<th>Bolt7</th>
<th>Bolt8</th>
<th>Bolt9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Direction</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-Direction</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>-12.2</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4b. Bolt Forces of Specimen CB3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Bolt1</th>
<th>Bolt2</th>
<th>Bolt3</th>
<th>Bolt4</th>
<th>Bolt5</th>
<th>Bolt6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Direction</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-Direction</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>