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ABSTRACT

This paper studies the design of M-channel perfect-
reconstruction (PR) linear-phase (LP) filter banks (FBs) with
M = 2^k using a tree-structured FB. It is based on a observation
of Fliege [1], the length of the analysis filters is decreased by a
factor of two when the depth of the tree is increased by one, while
its transition bandwidth is increased by the same factor. A
lattice-based 2-channel LP FB is chosen because the frequency
responses of the lowpass and highpass analysis (synthesis) filters
can be designed to be closely symmetric to the other around
\( \pi/2 \). By properly selecting the filter length, transition
bandwidth, and stopband attenuation of the 2-channel PR LP FBs
at each level of the tree structure, it is possible to design uniform
PR LP FB with excellent frequency characteristic and much
lower system delay.

I. INTRODUCTION

Perfect-reconstruction (PR) linear-phase (LP) filter banks (FBs) are used in a wide range of applications, such as data
compression, communications, and image and speech coding.
Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of a critically decimated M-band
uniform FB. The input signal is first decomposed by M analysis
filters \( H_m(z) \). The outputs are then decimated by a factor of M to
form M subband signals. In the synthesis bank, the subband
signals are upsampled by a factor of M before passing through the
synthesis filters \( F_m(z) \) to reconstruct the processed signal.
The theory and design of PR FB have been widely studied in
the literature [2]. One efficient structure is the cosine-modulated
(CMFB), where the analysis filters (synthesis filters) are obtained
by cosine modulation of a prototype filter. Due to the cosine
modulation, the implementation and design complexities of
CMFB are very low compared with a general PR FBs. Unfortunately, the classical CMFB proposed in [3] does not have
LP analysis and synthesis filters, which is desirable in some
applications. More recently, a new CMFB using a different
cosine and sine modulations are proposed [4]. Although the
analysis and synthesis filters are LP, its frequency support is
considerably different from that of uniform FB and there is
considerable overlap between the passbands of the low frequency
analysis filters. Another popular class of LP M-channel uniform
FBs is the linear-phase paraunitary filter bank (LPPUFB) [5],
where the LP FB is parameterized as a cascade of delays and
unitary matrices, which can further be parameterized as a series
of planar rotations. The design of LPPUFB can be very involved
because of the large number of design parameters and the highly
nonlinear dependency of the frequency response on the rotation
parameters [5-8]. This usually limits the stopband attenuation of
the FB. Another commonly used method to construct PR FB is to
cascade sets of PR FBs with smaller number of channels in a tree
structure [9]. For example, an 8-channel PR FB can be obtained
by cascading sets of 2-channel PR FBs in a tree structure with 3
levels as shown in Fig. 2. The output from the previous level is
further decomposed using the analysis filters in that level into two
more channels. In general, all the 2-channel PR FBs can differ
from each other and they can be either linear- or nonlinear-phase.
In wavelet transform and most tree-structured FBs considered in
the literature, the same set of PR FB is used throughout the tree
structure. Two significant drawbacks of this structure, from the
viewpoint of designing a uniform FB, are the high system delay
and the asymmetric transition band of the analysis filters. The
latter usually results in a higher filter order to satisfy a given
stopband attenuation and transition bandwidth, which further
increases the total system delay. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 using
a 2-channel PR FB with filter length \( N = 128 \). It can be seen that
transition bandwidth are unequal and the system delay rapidly
increases to 889 samples. In [1], Fliege has shown that the
system delay of a tree-structured FB can be drastically reduced by
having non-identical analysis filters in each level of the tree.
More precisely, the length of the filters should decrease by a
factor of two when going from one level to the other, while their
transition bandwidth should increase by the same factor. In this
paper, we further study this novel idea in the design of M-channel
LP uniform FB using the lattice-based two-channel LP FB
proposed in [10]. The main reason in choosing the latter is that
the frequency responses of the lowpass and highpass analysis
(synthesis) filters can be designed to be closely symmetric to the
other around \( \pi/2 \). By properly selecting the filter length, transition
bandwidth, and stopband attenuation of the 2-channel PR LP FBs
at each level of the tree structure, it is possible to design uniform
LP FB with excellent frequency characteristic and much
lower system delay. For example, a uniform 8-channel PR
LP FB with the same worse-case transition bandwidth
requirement and stopband attenuation as the previous one
\((N=128)\) can be achieved with the new structure with a much
lower implementation complexity and system delay of 377
samples (Fig. 4). The savings also increase linearly with the depth
of the tree structure. The resulting FB, therefore, serves as useful
alternative to the LPPUFB for designing LP FB with \( N \) a powers
of two number and more generally \( M \) a composite number.
Though the design of the component LPPUFBs in the latter case
will become more complicated than the 2-channel LP FB in the
former, it is still much simpler than designing directly an
M-channel LPPUFB. The rest of the paper is organized as follows:
Section II is devoted to the proposed tree-structured PR LP FB.
The design procedure and some design examples are given in
Section III. Conclusions are drawn in Section IV.

II. TREE-STRUCTURED PR LP FBs

First of all, let's consider an 8-channel tree-structured
uniform FB constructed by cascading 2-channel PR FBs as shown in
Fig. 2. \( H_{j,k}(z) \) and \( H_{j,k}(z) \) are respectively the lowpass and
highpass analysis filters of the 2-channel PR FB at the \( k \)-th level
of the tree structure, where \( 1 \leq k \leq K \), and \( K \) is the total number
of levels in the tree. In the synthesis bank, the subband signals
are recombined successively, two at a time, by a set of synthesis
filters \( F_{j,k}(z) \). From the noble identity, we know that \( H(z) \)
followed by a decimator with a ratio of two is equivalent to
\( H(z) \) preceding the decimator. Therefore, the tree-structured
FB can be redrawn as an 8-channel uniform FB shown in Fig. 1
by moving the analysis filters to the right hand side of the tree
structure, leading to M analysis filters \( H_{j}(z) \). For convenience, let's treat the index \( j \) in \( H_{j}(z) \) as the \( k \)-th
digits in a weighted binary representation and denote it by \( b_n \). The equivalent transfer function obtained by passing the signal though the branch \( H_{in}^{(m)}(z) \), \( H_{in}^{(m)}(z) \), ..., \( H_{in}^{(m)}(z) \) can then be labeled as \( H_{in}(z) \), where \( m = b_1 \cdot 2b_2 + \cdots + 2^{m-1}b_m = (b_1, ..., b_m) \). The resulting analysis filters \( H_{in}(z) \) and synthesis filters \( F_{in}(z) \) (0 ≤ \( m ≤ \) M − 1) can then be written as
\[
H_{in}(z) = H_{in}^{(1)}(z^{2^1})H_{in}^{(2)}(z^{2^2}) \cdots H_{in}^{(M)}(z^{2^M}) \quad (1)
\]
\[
F_{in}(z) = F_{in}^{(1)}(z^{2^1})F_{in}^{(2)}(z^{2^2}) \cdots F_{in}^{(M)}(z^{2^M}) \quad (2)
\]
It is clear that the whole system is PR if the 2-channel FBs in each level are PR. Further, if \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) and \( F_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) are LP, then so are the filters \( H_{in}(z) \) and \( F_{in}(z) \).

As mentioned earlier, if the same set of FB is employed at all levels in the tree-structured FB, then the frequency responses of the overall analysis filters are not identical due to the upsampling of \( z \) in moving the analysis filters to left of the decimators, Fig. 3. As a result, higher implementation complexity is required to achieve a given transition bandwidth and stopband attenuation. This also significantly increases the system delay of the FB. For example, if the lattice-based PR LP FB in [10] is used as the 2-channel FB, the system delay \( D \) of the 3-level tree-structured FB is given by
\[
D = N^{(0)} + 2(N^{(2)} + 2(N^{(0)} - 1)) - 1. \quad (3)
\]
where \( N^{(0)} \) is the length of FB in the \( k \)-th level. If we set \( N^{(0)} = N^{(2)} = N^{(0)} = 128 \), then the resulting tree-structured FB, as shown in Fig. 3, will have a system delay of 889 samples. It can be seen that 2-channel PR FBs at different levels of the tree structure contribute differently to the total system delay. Each component is linearly proportional to the length of the FB used and its scalar constant grows exponentially with the depth or level of the FB in the FB tree. To reduce the total system delay, it is therefore advantageous to reduce the length of the FB when the level increases.

From the design of 1-D FIR filter using the Kaiser window method, we know that the length of the filter \( N \), stopband attenuation \( A \), and transition bandwidth \( \Delta \phi \) are related by the following formula
\[
N = \frac{\Delta - 8}{2.285 \cdot \Delta \phi} \quad (4)
\]
For a given stopband attenuation and passband ripple, the filter length is inversely proportional to the transition bandwidth. From (4), it can be seen that the worse case stopband attenuation of \( H_{in}(z) \) is equal to the worst case stopband attenuation of its factors \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z^{2^1}) \), ..., \( H_{in}^{(M)}(z^{2^M}) \) and its transition bandwidth will depend on those of \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z^{2^1}) \), ..., and \( H_{in}^{(M)}(z^{2^M}) \). Due to the upsampling by a factor of \( 2^k \), the transition bandwidth of \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z^{2^1}) \) will be \( 2^{k-1} \) times narrower than that of \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \). Thus, to achieve a uniform transition bandwidth, the length of 2-channel PR FB should be reduced by a factor of 2 when we go from one level to the other. In other words,
\[
N^{(0)} = 2 \times N^{(1)} = 4 \times N^{(2)} = 2^{M-1} \times N^{(0)} \quad (5)
\]
\[
\Delta^{(0)} = \Delta^{(1)} / 2 = \Delta^{(2)} / 4 = \cdots = \Delta^{(k)} / 2^{k-1} \quad (6)
\]
The system delay \( D \) (for \( K=3 \)) is now reduced to \( D = 3N^{(0)} - 7 \), which grows only linearly with the depth of the tree. The arithmetic complexity, in terms of the numbers of multiplications and additions per unit time (MPUs and APUs), also reduced from 99 MPUs and 291 APUs to 59 MPUs and 171 APUs. This novel technique has been mentioned in [1] but unfortunately the selection of the 2-channel PR FB and detail design examples are missing. In this work, we shall show that this approach can be used to obtain \( M \)-channel LP PR uniform FB (\( M = 2^k \), \( m \) a positive integer) with very good frequency characteristic, using the lattice-based 2-channel LP PR FB proposed in [10]. Although the efficient structure by Phoong et al [11] can also be used in a similar manner, which is very attractive because of their low design and implementation complexities [12], the frequency responses of its associated lowpass and highpass filters are not quite symmetric to each other. Therefore, the frequency characteristic at the transition band edges will start to degrade when we are cascaded to form a tree structure with large number of channels. If one is comfortable with nonlinear-phase FIR filters (i.e. only passband linear-phase), then the CQF [9] and the general low-delay 2-channel FB [13] can also be employed. The latter will further reduce the total system delay of the FB. Interested readers are referred to [14] for more details regarding their design and factorization. We now consider the design of the 2-channel lattice-based LP FB and some design examples.

III. DESIGN PROCEDURE AND EXAMPLES

A. DESIGN PROCEDURE

For a 2-channel critically decimated FB, the PR condition is given by
\[
H_{in}^{(0)}(-z)H_{in}^{(1)}(z) - H_{in}^{(2)}(z) = \beta \cdot z^{d}, \quad (7)
\]
where \( d \) is a positive integer and \( \beta \) is a nonzero constant. The synthesis filters are given by \( F_{in}^{(1)}(z) = H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) and \( F_{in}^{(2)}(z) = -H_{in}^{(2)}(z) \). For our LP FB, \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) and \( H_{in}^{(2)}(z) \) are chosen respectively to be symmetric and antisymmetric having the same filter length, which is an even number. Instead of optimizing the lattice coefficients, which involves highly nonlinear objective function, the coefficients of filters \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) and \( H_{in}^{(2)}(z) \) are obtained by solving the following constrained optimization
\[
\min_{\phi} \| \phi \|_2^2 = \int_0^{\pi} \left( 1 - |H_{in}^{(2)}(e^{j\omega})| \right)^2 d\omega + (1 - \sigma) \int_{\pi}^{\pi} |H_{in}^{(2)}(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega + (1 - \sigma) \int_{\pi}^{\pi} |H_{in}^{(2)}(e^{j\omega})|^2 d\omega \quad (8)
\]
subject to (7),

where \( \phi(\omega) = (\pi/2 < \omega < \pi) \) and \( \pi - \phi(\omega) \) are the stopband cut off frequencies of \( H_{in}^{(1)}(z) \) and \( H_{in}^{(2)}(z) \), respectively, \( \sigma \) is a weighting constant from 0 to 1, and \( h \) is the vector containing the free variables in the impulse response. The transition bandwidth is \( \Delta^{(0)} = 2\phi(\omega) - \pi \). It is assumed that the FBs at stage \( k \) are all identical. The constrained optimization is solved using the DCONF subroutine in the IMSL library. On average, it takes 150 iterations for convergence and the violation of PR constraints is of the order of 10⁻¹⁵.

Given the number of channel \( M = 2^k \), transition bandwidth \( \Delta^{(1)} \) and stopband attenuation \( A \). The 2-channel PR LP FB at the first level is first designed by the above method to satisfy the given specification. Suppose that a filter length of \( N^{(0)} \) is required. The (K-1) 2-channel PR LP FBs at the other levels can be designed with parameters given in (5) and (6).

B. DESIGN EXAMPLES

We now present two examples: i) a two-level tree-structured FB with \( K = 2 \) and \( M=4 \), and ii) a three-level tree-structured FB with \( K = 3 \) and \( M=8 \). For simplicity, \( N^{(0)} \), \( N^{(2)} \),
and $N^{(i)}$ are chosen as 128, 64 and 32, respectively. The frequency responses of the three two-channel LP FBs are shown in Fig.3 (a), (b) and (c). It can be seen that they have approximately the same stopband attenuation but successively wider transition band. The frequency responses of the 4-band and 8-band LP analysis FBs obtained by cascading these 2-channel FBs in a tree structure are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 4, respectively. It can be seen that frequency characteristic of this LP 8-channel PR FB is very good and a stopband attenuation over approximately the same stopband attenuation but successively previous observation of Fliege, where the length of the analysis number. Though it is also possible to form tree-structured FB by number of channel that can be designed. The proposed tree-structured FB is relatively easy to design because the 2-channel LP FBs can be designed separately. This limits the number of parameters in each sub problem to a reasonable value. Moreover, the design of 2-channel LP PR FB is much easier than designing a LPPUFB and a number of efficient design methods are already available. On the other hand, the major disadvantage of the tree-structured FB is the restriction that the number of channel $M$ is a powers-of-two number. Though it is also possible to form tree-structured FB by cascading FBs with 2, 3 and larger number of channels using a similar approach, there is still a fundamental limitation on the number of channel that can be designed.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

A method for designing $M$-channel LP PR FB with $M = 2^n$ using a tree-structured FB is presented. It is based on a previous observation of Fliege, where the length of the analysis filters is decreased by a factor of two when the depth of the tree is increased by one, while its transition bandwidth is increased by the same factor. A lattice-based 2-channel LP FB is chosen because the frequency responses of the lowpass and highpass analysis (synthesis) filters can be designed to be closely symmetric to the other around $\pi/2$. By properly selecting the filter length, transition bandwidth, and stopband attenuation of the 2-channel LP PR FBs at each stage of the tree structure, it is possible to design uniform PR LP FB with excellent frequency characteristic and much lower system delay.
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Table 1. Parameters of the lowpass filters in tree-structured FB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter Type</th>
<th>Length of filter $N^{(k)}$</th>
<th>Stopband cut off frequency $\omega_s^{(k)}$</th>
<th>Transition bandwidth $\Delta^{(k)}$</th>
<th>Parameters to be optimized</th>
<th>Implementation complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-band FB</td>
<td>$H_0^{(4)}(z)$, $k = 1$</td>
<td>$H_0^{(4)}(z)$, $k = 2$</td>
<td>$H_0^{(4)}(z)$, $k = 3$</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>33 MPUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-band FB</td>
<td>$H_0^{(8)}(z)$, $k = 1$</td>
<td>$H_0^{(8)}(z)$, $k = 2$</td>
<td>$H_0^{(8)}(z)$, $k = 3$</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>17 MPUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>49 APUs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25 APUs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. The frequency responses of 3-level tree-structured FB by conventional method.

Fig. 4. The frequency responses of 3-level tree-structured FB by the proposed method.

Fig. 5. Frequency responses of $\{ H_0^{(k)}(z) \}$ in (a) level 1, (b) level 2, and (c) level 3.

Fig. 6. The frequency responses of 4-band FB.
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