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Abstract—A novel direct-drive planar parallel manipulator for high-speed and high-precision semiconductor packaging systems is presented. High precision kinematics design, significant reduction on moving mass and driving power of the actuators over traditional XY motion stages are the benefits of the proposed manipulator. The mathematical model of the manipulator is obtained using the Newton-Euler method and a practical model-based control design approach is employed to design the PID computed-torque controller. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed planar parallel manipulator has significant improvements on motion performance in terms of positioning accuracy, settling time and stability when compared with traditional XY stages. This shows that the proposed planar parallel manipulator can provide a superior alternative for replacing traditional XY motion stages in high precision low-payload applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Parallel manipulators have been used in various kinds of industries, after being proposed by Gough [1] and Stewart [2]. The Stewart platform has been modified and improved to the modern Hexapod machine, which is mainly used for multi-axis CNC machining centre. A class of parallel manipulator known as the Delta robot has been proposed by Clavel [3]. Since Delta robots have multiple DOF (degree-of-freedom) passive joints (universal joints and ball-and-socket joints), the positional accuracy is hard to assure.

In this paper, we will study a 2-DOF parallel mechanism as an alternative to the traditional XY-stage for high-precision, low-payload motion aimed at semiconductor packaging applications. End-point accuracy and repeatability are essential for high-precision positioning mechanism used in semiconductor packaging systems to assure product quality. We will show that compared to the traditional XY motion stages, the advantages of the proposed planar parallel manipulator include significant improvements in the position accuracy and settling time, light moving mass of the mechanism and reduction in the motor driving power.

Feedback control plays a crucial role in achieving the required position accuracy. A joint-based controller with acceleration feedback was proposed by Chiacchio et al. [4] using a state-variable filter with linear feedforward compensation. A computed torque plus \( H_0 \) compensation method has been developed by Lee and Cheng [5] to deal with the manipulator payload and actuator uncertainties. Another approach was proposed by Lin and Brandt [6] using optimal control method. However, these methods are not suitable in our case as the payload of semiconductor devices is negligible compared to the mass of the manipulator and the characteristic of the linear actuators can be readily determined. A non-parametric model robust control design method was proposed by Gonzalez and Aguilar [7] using external signals to excite the manipulator for measuring the output position. A simple PD with gravity controller was proposed by Ghorbel et al. [8] to perform the set point control of closed-chain mechanism. This approach has been modified by Pierrot et al. [9] and [10] to control a redundant mechanism. A predictive functional control approach was proposed in [11] to control the manipulator presented in [10]. We will use a model-based design approach to design the required high-precision position control system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the kinematics and dynamic models of the proposed planar parallel manipulator are given. Section III describes the model-based approach of the PID computed-torque control system design. A prototype of the parallel manipulator has been constructed and the experimental setup of the prototype together with a high-precision laser displacement measurement system is described in Section IV. The results obtained from the experiments performed on the prototype are given in Section V. Section VI contains some concluding remarks.

II. KINEMATICS AND DYNAMIC MODELS

A. Kinematics model

Fig. 1 shows the kinematics design of the proposed 2-DOF planar parallel manipulator. The manipulator provides 2-DOF of translation on the XY plane, and is driven by a linear miniature servomotor pair located at \((d_1, d_2)\) along the X-axis. The linear actuators are coupled to a 2-DOF
triangular planar mechanism which can be used to place the point \( G(x, y) \) at desired positions on the XY-plane. Note that the small platform at the outer joint of the triangular mechanism in Fig. 1 is constrained by a belt mechanism to have only translational motion but not rotation on the XY-plane.

Let the positions \( d_1 \) and \( d_3 \) of the linear actuators satisfy:

\[
0 < d_{1\min} \leq d_1 \leq d_{1\max} < d_{3\min} \leq d_3 \leq d_{3\max}.
\]

In the case when \( l_2 = l_4 = l \), the forward kinematics can be determined by relating the position of \( G(x, y) \) to the actuator positions as

\[
x = (d_1 + d_3)/2
\]
\[
y = \sqrt{l^2 - (d_3 - d_1)^2}/4 + d_y
\]

To obtain the inverse kinematics, we note that \( d_i < d_3 \). The positions of the linear actuators can be solved from (1) as

\[
d_i = x - \sqrt{l^2 - (y - d_y)^2}
\]
\[
d_3 = x + \sqrt{l^2 - (y - d_y)^2}
\]

B. Dynamic model

Fig. 2 shows the free-body diagram of the 2-DOF parallel manipulator. In Fig. 2, \( m_1 \) and \( m_3 \) are the masses of the linear actuators and \( m_2 \) and \( m_4 \) are the masses of the linkages. The inertia of link 2 and link 4 about the centre of mass are \( I_2 \) and \( I_4 \) respectively. The dynamic model of the planar parallel manipulator can be expressed in the form [14]

\[
F_p = M_p(q)\ddot{q} + C_p(q, \dot{q})
\]

where \( F_p \) denotes the actuating force vector, \( q \) represents the generalized coordinates vector, \( M_p \) represents the mass matrix of the planar manipulator and \( C_p \) represents the velocity coupling vector.

In the parallel manipulator, the inputs are the force exerted by the linear actuators,

\[
F_p = [F_1 \ F_3]^T
\]

and the generalized joint variable vector is

\[
q = [d_1 \ d_3]^T
\]

Because of the closed kinematics structure and also by the symmetry of the linkages, the joint variables of the passive revolute joints can be expressed in terms of \( q \) as:

\[
\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}((d_1 - d_4)/2l)
\]

The parameter matrices in (3) are given by:

\[
M_p = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
C_p = \begin{bmatrix}
C_1 \\
C_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
M_{11} = m_1 + m_2 - \frac{m_4 r_2}{l_2} + \frac{m_2 r_2^2 + l_4 + m_2 r_2^2 + l_2}{4l_2^2 s^2 \theta_2} - \frac{m_p h c \theta_2}{2s \theta_2} - \frac{m_p}{4}
\]

\[
M_{12} = \frac{m_4 r_4 + m_2 r_2}{2l_2} - \frac{m_4 r_4^2 + l_4 + m_2 r_2^2 + l_2}{4l_2^2 s^2 \theta_2} + \frac{m_p h c \theta_2}{2s \theta_2} - \frac{m_p}{4}
\]

\[
M_{21} = -M_{12}
\]

\[
M_{22} = -m_3 - m_4 + \frac{m_4 r_4}{l_2} - \frac{m_4 r_4^2 + l_4 + m_2 r_2^2 + l_2}{4l_2^2 s^2 \theta_2} + \frac{m_p h c \theta_2}{2s \theta_2} + \frac{m_p}{4}
\]

\[
C_1 = C_2 = -\frac{(m_4 r_4^2 + l_4 + m_2 r_2^2 + l_2)c \theta_2 (d_1 - d_4)^2}{8l_2^2 s^4 \theta_2} + \frac{m_p h c \theta_2}{2s \theta_2}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
    h_1 &= \frac{-(d_1-d_2)^2}{16(l^2-(d_3-d_1)^2/4)^{3/2}} - \frac{(d_1-d_2)^2}{4(l^2-(d_3-d_1)^2/4)^{1/2}} \\
    h_2 &= -\frac{(d_3-d_4)}{4(l^2-(d_3-d_1)^2/4)^{1/2}} 
\end{align*}
\]  

(13)  

(14)  

where \( m_p \) is the mass of the end-effector. Equations (3) to (14) provide a complete dynamic model of the proposed planar manipulator.

III. COMPUTED-TORQUE CONTROL DESIGN

The dynamic model (3) of the proposed planar manipulator developed in Section II is used for designing the controller. First, feedback linearization is applied to convert the nonlinear manipulator model into a linear model. Suppose the actuating force vector \( F_p \) is generated by

\[
F_p = M_p(q)u + C_p(q, \dot{q}) 
\]

(15)

where \( u \) is a new control input. Equating (3) and (15) yields

\[
M_p(q)\ddot{q} + C_p(q, \dot{q}) = M_p(q)u + C_p(q, \dot{q}) 
\]

\[
\ddot{q} = u 
\]

(16)

\[
F_p = M_p(q)\ddot{q} + C_p(q, \dot{q}) 
\]

\[
\dot{q} = q + \frac{\dot{q}}{\ddot{q}} 
\]

\[
\Delta(s) = [s^3 I + K_d s^2 + K_p s + K_i] 
\]

(19)

and the diagonal control gains are defined as \( K_d = \text{diag}\{K_{dm}\}, K_p = \text{diag}\{K_{pm}\}, K_i = \text{diag}\{K_{im}\} \) (\( m = 1 \) and 2). The damping ratio \( \zeta \) and the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) of the joint error \( m \) are used for designing the control gains of the manipulator as

\[
K_{pm} = \omega_n^2, \quad K_{dm} = 2\zeta\omega_n, \quad K_{im} < K_{dm}K_{pm} 
\]

IV. PROTOTYPE SETUP

A prototype of the manipulator is designed and constructed as shown in Fig. 5. A typical BGA substrate is mounted on the end-effector to simulate the actual operation of the alignment process. The dimensions of the linkages are determined by kinematics optimization as described in [13]. The mechanical properties of the moving parts are then determined. Table I lists the dimensions and mechanical characteristics of the linkages of the manipulator, based on which a prototype has been constructed.
Four ironless linear brushless servo motors are used as actuators to drive the manipulator, and high precision linear encoders with 0.2µm/count resolution are used for measuring the actual positions of the prismatic joints of the parallel manipulator. In Fig. 5, a high precision laser displacement measurement system Keyence LB-041 with 0.91µm/mV resolution is mounted on the fixed base of the manipulator to provide an independent measurement of the position of the end-effector. A PC-DSP control system is used to implement the motion controller of the manipulator.

V. Experimental Results

A motion trajectory is designed to carry out a typical point-to-point motion of the manipulator for performing the substrate alignment process. The original location of the end-effector measured from the origin is \((x_0, y_0) = (109.5\text{mm}, 73.246\text{mm})\) and the destination is \((x_1, y_1) = (117\text{mm}, 74.372\text{mm})\). The required trajectory time of this motion is 70ms. The end-effector trajectory is mapped into two 5th order polynomial motion profiles for the linear actuators using the inverse kinematics model and pre-computed in the PC. The PID computed-torque controller designed in the section III is downloaded into the DSP platform for real-time control with sampling frequency of 2 KHz. The required end-point accuracy of the end-effector to the destination point is \(\pm10\mu\text{m}\) in the XY plane.

Figs. 6 and 7 show the position and velocity tracking of the actuator \(d_1\) compared with the 5th order polynomial motion profiles generated in the joint space. The tracking performance of the actuator \(d_1\) is shown in Figs. 8 and 9. The position errors of the actuators \(d_1\) and \(d_3\) as measured by the linear encoders in the joint space are shown in Fig. 10.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuator ranges (mm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{1\text{max}})</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{1\text{min}})</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{3\text{max}})</td>
<td>119.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{3\text{min}})</td>
<td>159.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(l_2) and (l_4)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_2)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_4)</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_r)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_1) and (m_3)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_2)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_4)</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_p)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia (kgm(^2))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_2)</td>
<td>7.2x10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_4)</td>
<td>5.5x10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Position tracking of the \(d_1\) actuator.

Fig. 7. Velocity tracking of the \(d_1\) actuator.

Fig. 8. Position tracking of the \(d_3\) actuator.
The desired and estimated travelling positions of the end-effector are determined using the forward kinematics model and plotted in Fig. 11. The estimated position errors of the end-effector in the X and Y directions are shown in Fig. 12.

Fig. 9. Velocity tracking of the $d_3$ actuator.

Fig. 10. Position error of actuators $d_1$ and $d_3$ in the joint space.

Fig. 11. Position tracking of the end-effector in the Cartesian space determined by the forward kinematics model.

Fig. 12. Position error of the end-effector in the Cartesian space determined by the forward kinematics model.

Whether the end-effector achieves the desired end-point accuracy within the desired settling time are verified independently using a laser displacement measurement system. In Figs. 13 and 14, the X and Y positions of the end-effector is measured over an interval covering the end of motion profile of the linear actuator (dotted vertical line corresponds to $t=70\text{ms}$) and the time when the position accuracy falls within $\pm10\mu\text{m}$ (solid vertical line in Figs. 13 & 14). The measurement results of the planar manipulator are compared with traditional XY motion stages and summarized in Table II. From Figs. 13 and 14, a significant reduction in the settling time of the end-effector is obtained as compared with the traditional XY motion stage given in Table II. The end-point accuracy demonstrates that the steady-state error of the parallel manipulator is significantly less than that of an XY stage.

Fig. 13. Position response of the end-effector in X-direction over the settling interval measured by laser displacement system.
settling interval measured by laser displacement system.

Fig. 14. Position response of the end-effector in Y-direction over the DOF manipulator proposed in [8].

The performance of our parallel mechanism is better than the 2-energy. A direct comparison also shows that the motion required motor power and independent verification on the accuracy of the end-effector. Furthermore, the size of the manipulator and the savings in the actuator driving energy of the actuators are less demanding than the tradition XY motion stage. The purpose of this study is to show that the proposed parallel manipulator is suitable for use as a superior alternative to the traditional XY motion stage on semiconductor packaging systems.
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VI. CONCLUSION

A significant improvement of the end-effector settling time and steady-state accuracy over the XY motion stage demonstrates the capability of the proposed planar parallel manipulator for high accuracy semiconductor packaging applications. The PID computed-torque controller implemented for the manipulator provides an effective control method to achieve high end-point positioning accuracy. The performance as measured by the high-precision laser displacement system provides a convincing and independent verification on the accuracy of the end-effector. Furthermore, the size of the manipulator and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III</th>
<th>COMPARISON OF THE MOVING MASS AND THE ACTUATOR POWER OF THE PLANAR MANIPULATOR AND THE XY STAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XY Motion</td>
<td>Planar Manipulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving mass in X-direction (kg)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving mass in Y-direction (kg)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required motor peak current (A)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required actuator power (W)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III shows the advantages of the proposed manipulator over the traditional XY motion stage in terms of the total moving mass, the required peak current and the required motor power. Other benefits include a reduction in the size of the mechanism and savings in the actuator driving energy. A direct comparison also shows that the motion performance of our parallel mechanism is better than the 2-DOF manipulator proposed in [8].
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