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Introduction

The accident and emergency (A&E) services provided by government-subsidised Hospital Authority (HA) hospitals are free of charge for residents of Hong Kong. Currently, there are 15 HA hospitals providing acute care treatment to patients with conditions requiring emergency medical care—four hospitals are located on Hong Island, five in Kowloon, and six in the New Territories.1 The primary consideration of the no-fee policy is to ensure that every

Introduction

The accident and emergency (A&E) services provided by government-subsidised Hospital Authority (HA) hospitals are free of charge for residents of Hong Kong. Currently, there are 15 HA hospitals providing acute care treatment to patients with conditions requiring emergency medical care—four hospitals are located on Hong Island, five in Kowloon, and six in the New Territories.1 The primary consideration of the no-fee policy is to ensure that every
patient who requires emergency medical treatment can receive immediate care equitably. However, this policy unintentionally induces non-urgent attendances at A&E departments. Subsequently, patients complain about the long waiting time for acute treatment. In view of this and the level of expenditure for these services, the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau of the Hong Kong SAR Government is proposing to revise the fee schedule and to establish a user-fee policy for all attendances at A&E departments in an attempt to reduce non-emergency attendances and to decrease the waiting time for those who need acute care. This scheme suggests that all patients who attend for acute care services are required to pay a consultation fee. An amount of HK$100 or HK$150 per visit is suggested. A safety net is also to be established to protect low-income groups, so that they may still receive acute care in Hong Kong when the situation arises.

There are two objectives for this study. Firstly, the utilisation pattern of A&E services in Hong Kong, based on patient-volume analysis, is examined. Secondly, the possible impact on A&E utilisation if a user-fee policy is adopted is evaluated. A scenario projection of A&E attendances for the period 2000 to 2029 is compiled to evaluate the impact of the proposed user-fee policy.

**Methods**

Accident and emergency attendance data for the year 2000 were made available by the HA. The current triage-based allocation system at A&E departments and hospital admission data can be used to objectively indicate the condition of patients who register for treatment and to estimate the inappropriate usage rate. The word ‘triage’ is a French word meaning ‘to sort’ or ‘to choose’ and is a process whereby priorities for the treatment of patients in A&E departments is determined. Patients are assigned to one of five levels of triage, based on their need for emergency treatment. The average waiting time for patients is classified according to the different levels of triage. The primary objective of the triage assignment is to ensure that priority for treatment correlates with the severity of the subject’s condition and not to the time of attendance. Therefore, the lower the level of triage that the patient is assigned, the longer the waiting time for a medical consultation. The relationship between triage and average waiting time for a patient in 2000 is illustrated in Table 1. For example, a patient in a critical condition (triage level 1) will be attended to without delay, while a patient with a non-urgent condition (triage level 5) will need to wait for approximately one hour for medical treatment.

**Utilisation pattern of accident and emergency services**

The relationship between A&E attendance rates for each level of triage and the age of prospective users is examined. Age is an important element in utilisation rates for acute care. According to data about the Hong Kong population made available by the Census and Statistics Department, the number of elderly people aged 65 years or older amounted to 729,300, of whom 223,918 had attended an A&E department in 2000. In other words, more than 30% of the elderly people in the community aged 65 years or older had attended an A&E department in 1 year. With a rapidly growing elderly population, it is inevitable that the need for acute care services will increase in the coming years.

In addition to the triage-based system, the medical condition of a patient can be evaluated using departmental admission data. The function of the A&E department is only to provide primary assistance and diagnosis and to prevent the patient’s condition from deteriorating. Further medical treatment and diagnoses for patients with an acute condition will then be conducted after the patient is admitted to the hospital. If a patient does not have an acute condition, he or she will normally be discharged from the A&E department directly without being admitted to the hospital. Most of the medical needs of these patients will have been satisfied in the A&E department. Thus, hospital admission data can provide an alternative way to estimate the number of non-emergency A&E attendances.

**Scenario projection of accident and emergency attendances to assess the impact of a user-fee policy**

Assuming that the user-fee policy is established and this policy can effectively divert non-emergency A&E attendances to out-patient clinics, it is important to assess the possible impact on the attendance distribution for A&E departments in Hong Kong. Therefore, a scenario projection of A&E attendances for the period 2000 to 2029 has been performed. Four different scenarios with regard to future government policy and A&E attendance trends are considered:

1. the no-fee policy continues and A&E attendance rates for every triage level remain unchanged;
2. the user-fee policy is adopted and any patients in triage level 5 who do not require in-patient treatment will be diverted to public out-patient or private clinics. Thus, attendance rates for patients classified into triage level 5 are revised by multiplying the age- and sex-specific utilisation rates for that triage level with the corresponding hospital admission rates;

**Table 1. Proportion of patients at each triage level and average waiting times in accident and emergency departments in Hospital Authority hospitals in 2000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triage level</th>
<th>Proportion of patients (%)</th>
<th>Waiting time (mins)</th>
<th>Urgency</th>
<th>Severity of condition when registered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Extremely high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.96</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Semi-urgent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Non-urgent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Two percent of patients (47,581) could not be assigned a triage level in 2000.
(3) the user-fee policy is adopted and patients who are not admitted and are classified at triage levels 4 and 5 will be diverted to out-patient clinics. In this scenario, the attendance rates for patients classified at triage levels 4 and 5 will be revised; and

(4) the user-fee policy is adopted and patients at triage levels 3, 4, and 5 who do not need in-patient treatment will be diverted to out-patient clinics and the utilisation rates for these triage levels will be revised.

Patients classified at triage levels 1 and 2 are assumed to genuinely require immediate medical attention. Experienced nursing staff at the registration counter in A&E departments perform the classification of patients into different triage levels. Patients with non-acute symptoms are not likely to be classified into triage levels 1 or 2 upon arrival. Therefore, it is implausible that the user-fee policy will cause any impact on the utilisation rate for these two triage groups. To obtain the number of A&E attendances in each age- and sex-group at the end of each year, the age- and sex-specific A&E attendance rates and the projected population size of the corresponding age- and sex-group are used. Finally, the projected number of attendances for each year is calculated by adding the number of attendances for each age- and sex-group.

Results

In year 2000, the total number of A&E attendances was 2.3 million, with 1.3 million patients attending the A&E departments at HA hospitals. In other words, more than 20% of the population (the population of Hong Kong in 2000 has been revised to 6.66 million based on the results of the 2001 population census) attended A&E departments for acute care consultations and, on average, each patient made 1.72 attendances. The estimated cost was approximately HK$1.4 billion, given that the average cost for one A&E attendance is approximately HK$600. There was no significant difference between males and females in the utilisation pattern of A&E attendances. No separate estimates for males and females were given. Figure 1 shows the age- and triage level–specific A&E attendance rates. Only 55 000 A&E attendances, or 2.4% of the total attendances, were classified as triage levels 1 and 2. On the other hand, more than 1.7 million attendances (72.4% of the total attendances) were classified as triage levels 4 and 5 (semi-urgent and non-urgent). This suggests that only a small proportion of attendances at A&E departments were genuine emergencies.

Figure 1 also illustrates that A&E attendance rates for every non-emergency triage level (triage levels 3, 4, and 5) were significantly associated with the age of the patient. A quadratic curve is shown using age as the independent variable. It was found that the attendance rates for triage levels 3 to 4 follow a U-shaped curve, which is high for the age-group 0 to 4 years, but then decreases and gradually rises with age. This can be explained by a higher attendance rate for children and a greater prevalence of morbidity and disability for the elderly. Surprisingly, a downward curve with a significant negative slope coefficient is observed for the utilisation pattern of triage level 5 (P<0.05). This suggests that the non-urgent A&E attendance rate is decreasing relative to the age of patients. Elderly people are not necessarily the most prevalent group among non-urgent attendances at A&E departments. Finally, for triage levels 1 and 2, there are no significant differences among different age-groups.

Table 2 illustrates the departmental admission rates by age-group and triage level. Using analysis of variance, the departmental admission rates for non-emergency cases were
Table 2. Hospital admission rates according to age-group and triage level (per 1000 persons) in Hong Kong in 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age-group (years)</th>
<th>Triage level*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>860.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>842.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>797.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>754.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>716.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>729.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>714.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>718.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>683.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>601.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>702.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The admission rates for various triage levels are significantly different from each other (P<0.01)

significantly lower than those for emergencies (P<0.01). It seems that the triage process is satisfactory in terms of determining the severity and nature of the medical conditions, which enables patients to be classified into the appropriate triage level. It is also worth noting that the departmental admission rate for triage level 1 is lower than that for triage level 2. This can be explained by the higher fatality rate for critically ill patients classified at triage level 1. For those who die in the A&E department, no further treatment is required and the deceased is immediately taken to the mortuary. Only 22% of A&E attendances were admitted to hospital wards for further medical care and treatment, which supports our hypothesis that the problem of non-emergency attendance is serious.

Figure 2 shows the projected number of A&E attendances classified according to the four scenarios for the period 2004 to 2029. As the population ages over time (the rate of ageing is more serious in Hong Kong than in other countries because of the low birth rate [less than 0.927 live births per woman aged 15-49 years in 2001]), for scenario 1 (the no-fee policy continues), the total number of A&E attendances would increase by more than 47%, from 2.3 million in 2000 to 3.4 million in 2029, given that the growth of A&E attendances is solely due to the ageing effect and the increased elderly population. For scenario 2, the number of attendances would immediately decrease by 16.3%, given that all else is equal, and that patients classified at triage level 5 are not admitted, which, along with the implementation of a user-fee policy, would act as a deterrent. In the long term, however, the ageing effect and population growth would entirely outweigh the impact of the policy by 2013. Towards the end of the period of projection, the total number of attendances would increase to 2.9 million—an increase of 0.6 million or 25.8% above the base-year figures. Thus, the user-fee policy is probably not able to overcome the ageing effect and population growth unless the policy affected the patients at triage level 4 (the most prevalent group in the A&E data set). For scenarios 3 and 4, the number of attendances would decrease dramatically by 67.0% and 76.4%, respectively. Overall, the number of attendances in 2029 would be reduced by 1.0 million to 1.3 million (47.0% for scenario 3, and by 1.4 million to 0.96 million (60.3%) for scenario 4. However, patients who do not attend A&E departments may seek medical treatment in government out-patient clinics, which are currently operated and financed solely by the government. It is important to note that, currently, only 21% of primary care attendances are provided by government out-patient clinics and out-patient departments of HA hospitals. The largest proportion of care is provided by private practitioners.7

Discussion

Non-emergency attendances in A&E departments appear to be an important problem. This could be linked to the free service and the comprehensive treatment offered. Furthermore, population ageing aggravates the severity of the problem.8 The growing burden on the government seems almost unmanageable if the present utilisation pattern continues. In a survey, Ma et al9 indicated that the respondents accepted the proposal to establish a user-fee policy to divert non-emergency attendances away from A&E departments for treatment if the fees were comparable to those in a district hospital.

In this study, the focus was on the impact of a user-fee policy to divert non-emergency attendances away from A&E departments. However, there is no evidence to show that the adoption of such a policy would improve the overall health of the population and reduce the burden on the government in the future. Theoretically, a user-fee policy can only work as a barrier to divert non-emergency attendances from A&E departments to the general out-patient service (GOPS) clinics, which are also currently operated...
and financed by the Department of Health (DH). According to statistics provided by the Census and Statistics Department, it is evident that the workload of medical practitioners in government clinics in Hong Kong is high. In 2000, only 545 doctors were registered with the DH, and they treated 6.55 million people attending government clinics. In other words, each practitioner in the government clinics treated an average of 33 patients per day. Indeed, the severity of the manpower problem in government clinics may be understated. The mission of the DH is not only providing out-patient services to the public, but also to execute health care policies and to safeguard the health of the community through health planning, infectious disease prevention campaigns, and health education. As a consequence, registered doctors in the DH are not all involved in GOPS clinics, so the situation in the government clinics could be worse than that reported. Data have shown that the public out-patient system is stretched and is financially unable to absorb any new attendances diverted from A&E departments. This factor should be borne in mind when evaluating the viability of a user-fee policy for A&E services in Hong Kong. Public health care policy planning is not only a matter of controlling public expenditure on health care, but is also a commitment to ensure quality of services so that everyone can receive appropriate medical treatment. Before the government determines whether they will adopt a user-fee policy in A&E departments, the provision for GOPS clinics needs to be evaluated—the number of registered medical practitioners may have to increase as a consequence.

For illustrative purposes, this study shows the impacts of population growth, ageing, and a user-fee policy, on the growth and utilisation pattern of A&E services in Hong Kong. It should be borne in mind, however, that there is no universal standard for what constitutes a ‘typical’ A&E attendance. Thus, it is ethically and technically difficult to identify whether each attendance was truly urgent or not. The use of departmental admission rates to calculate the number of non-emergency attendances is a reasonable criterion. Finally, an assumption that the problem of non-emergency attendances is only subject to the no-fee policy and the introduction of a user-fee system will solve the problem was followed. Therefore, the projections in this study only illustrate the most optimistic outcomes for the impact of the user-fee system. In reality, however, non-financial factors that affect the utilisation pattern of A&E departments exist, so the impact is likely to be smaller than shown here. In the final section of this paper, three non-financial factors that affect A&E attendances are discussed.

**Opening hours of accident and emergency departments**

Most of the GOPS clinics do not open for medical care services at night or on public holidays. Thus, many patients attend A&E departments if they need medical treatment during these times. To solve this problem, the HA has cooperated with the Hong Kong Medical Association and established several pilot medical centres to serve patients’ unexpected medical needs at night. However, these pilot clinics are not successful in absorbing patients from the A&E departments because of the fees charged. The services offered by A&E departments are free of charge, while the pilot medical centres charge patients at market rates for medical care. Moreover, the medical care facilities in the overnight clinics are not as sophisticated as those offered in the A&E departments.

**Medical care in accident and emergency departments**

There has been a remarkable improvement in the operational efficiency and the quality of public health care services offered to the public under the management of the HA. This may partly explain why some patients who do not require immediate treatment still seek medical care at A&E departments, where the quality of the services is better than that offered by the pilot medical centres and local clinics.

**Inadequate health education**

Despite the initiatives discussed above, non-urgent A&E attendances will not be entirely eliminated simply because most patients do not have sufficient knowledge about their own or their dependants’ health. As a consequence, the user-fee policy may not affect the preference of patients to seek medical care at A&E departments. Public health education for the community could help to prevent unnecessary attendances at A&E departments.

**Conclusion**

Solving the problem of inappropriate attendances for acute care services will help to make A&E services cost-effective. The saving from eliminating inappropriate attendances at A&E departments is relatively small when compared with the overall budget for the health care system. However, it is expected that a user-fee policy will divert patients with non-emergency conditions away from A&E departments and control unnecessary admissions to the hospitals. In this way, the A&E service will only be utilised for emergency situations.
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