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Objective. To review the use of episiotomy during vaginal delivery in Hong Kong public hospitals.

Design. Prospective observational survey.

Setting. Public hospitals, Hong Kong.

Participants. Women who underwent normal vaginal delivery of a singleton foetus with cephalic presentation.

Main outcome measures. Number of women having an episiotomy, severe-degree (third- or fourth-degree) tear, other types of tear, blood loss at delivery, postpartum haemorrhage, need for blood transfusion, puerperal pyrexia, wound infection, gaping wound that required suture removal, and drainage or resuturing of a perineal wound.

Results. Between 1 January and 31 March 2003, there were 6222 singleton spontaneous normal vaginal deliveries in the public hospitals of Hong Kong. Of the 6167 women in whom the status of the perineum was known, episiotomy was performed in 5274 (85.5%). Primiparous women were more likely to undergo episiotomy at delivery than multiparous women (97.9% vs 71.4%). Women with episiotomy had significantly less perineal tearing of any kind than those without. The occurrence of any type of perineal tear and severe-degree (third- or fourth-degree) tear was significantly lower in primiparous women who had an episiotomy than those without (P<0.05). Women with episiotomy had increased mean blood loss at delivery but other complications were not significantly increased.

Conclusions. In Hong Kong, episiotomy is routinely performed during normal vaginal delivery. It is associated with a significantly lower overall rate of perineal tearing. This study was observational, nonetheless the occurrence of other complications was likely to increase when episiotomy was performed. Firm evidence from several randomised controlled studies shows that routine episiotomy is unjustified and possibly harmful. Routine episiotomy should not be promoted in Hong Kong without further randomised controlled study.
Introduction

Episiotomy was first described by Ould in 1741 and was popularised by DeLee in the 1920s. Despite little scientific support for its routine use, it continues to be a frequently performed obstetric procedure. Asian race (predominantly Chinese) is an established risk factor for third- and fourth-degree perineal tears during delivery. It is thought that the perineum is smaller and tighter in Asians and that routine episiotomy may decrease the risk of perineal tear during delivery. Mediolateral episiotomy is usually preferred because of the risk of a third- or fourth-degree tear from the extension of a midline episiotomy.

In a western population, restrictive episiotomy is associated with less posterior perineal trauma, less suturing, and less complications than routine episiotomy but more anterior perineal trauma. A number of studies in western populations show that the less use of episiotomy is associated with a reduction in severe perineal laceration. In view of the available evidence, it has been suggested that routine episiotomy should be abandoned: an episiotomy is associated with less posterior perineal trauma, less suturing, and less complications than routine episiotomy but more anterior perineal trauma. A number of studies in western populations show that the less use of episiotomy is associated with a reduction in severe perineal laceration. In view of the available evidence, it has been suggested that routine episiotomy should be abandoned: an episiotomy is associated with less posterior perineal trauma, less suturing, and less complications than routine episiotomy but more anterior perineal trauma.

Methods

Data were extracted from the Specialty Clinical Information System (SCIS) for all deliveries in Hong Kong public hospitals between 1 January and 31 March 2003. Basic demographic data including the age and parity of the women were collected and the occurrence of episiotomy during delivery was recorded. Any complications arising from the episiotomy wound prior to discharge were entered into the SCIS. Data were manually collected if complications were detected following hospital discharge or if a woman required readmission or was seen at the hospital: these data were matched with the data extracted from the SCIS.

Perineal status was not recorded in 55 women. Of the 6222 singleton spontaneous vaginal deliveries, 6020 (96.8%) were of Chinese ethnics; 3312 were primiparous women and 2910 were multiparous. The status of perineum at delivery was not recorded in 28 primiparous women and 27 (0.9%) multiparous women. Of the 6167 women in whom the status of the perineum was known, 3215 (97.9%) primiparous and 2059 (71.4%) women had no episiotomy. The episiotomy rate varied from 77.8% to 92.7% in different hospitals.

Of the 6222 singleton spontaneous vaginal deliveries, 6020 (96.8%) were of Chinese ethnics; 3312 were primiparous women and 2910 were multiparous. The status of perineum at delivery was not recorded in 28 (0.8%) primiparous and 27 (0.9%) multiparous women. Of the remaining 6167 women with the status of perineum known, 3215 (97.9%) primiparous and 2059 (71.4%) multiparous women had an episiotomy. Primiparous women were more likely to receive episiotomy at delivery (P < 0.001).

The mean age of women with episiotomy was 29.0 (standard deviation [SD], 0.14; range, 14-46) years, significantly younger than those without (mean age, 31.7; SD, 0.35; age range, 14-45 years) [Student’s t test, P < 0.05]. The mean parity in women with episiotomy was 0.44 (SD, 0.02; range, 0-6) and in those without episiotomy 1.51 (SD, 0.04; range, 0-6). In this population, lower parity was associated with a higher episiotomy rate.

The presence or absence of any tear (including vaginal, anterior or posterior perineal tear) in women with and without episiotomy is summarised in Table 1. Women with episiotomy had significantly less tear (in any form) than those without (P < 0.001). Among the 5274 women with...
episiotomy, posterior perineal (first-, second-, third-, or fourth-degree) tears were noted in 119 (2.3%). Of these, six were third-degree tears and one was fourth-degree (5.9% of posterior perineal tear). Other types of tear occurred in 66 women (1.3% of all women with episiotomy). Among the 893 women without episiotomy at delivery, perineal tear was noted in 708 (79.3%). Most of these were first-degree tears (90.8%); three were third-degree tears (0.4% of all posterior perineal tear). There were 30 (3.4%) tears of other types. The rate of severe (third- or fourth-degree) tear was 0.1% in women with episiotomy and 0.3% in women without. The difference was not statistically significant (P=0.3). The percentage of other types of tear in the presence or absence of episiotomy varied from 0% to 4.5% and 0% to 13.6%, respectively between hospitals. It was significantly lower in women with episiotomy (1.3%) compared with those without (3.4%) [P<0.001; Table 1].

Women were further classified in two groups—primiparous and multiparous—and the effect of episiotomy was analysed. Of the 3284 primiparous women, 50 (72.5%) of 69 had tears (of any types) when episiotomy was not performed, and 143 (4.4%) of 3215 had tears in the presence of episiotomy (P<0.001) [Table 2]. A similar pattern of occurrence for all types of tear was evident in the 2883 multiparous women. When episiotomy was performed, 37 (1.8%) of 2059 women had tears of any type. Nonetheless 658 (79.9%) of 824 women had tears when episiotomy was not performed (P<0.001) [Table 3]. Unlike primiparous women, the use of episiotomy in multiparous women did not reduce the incidence of severe perineal tear (P=0.292).

Women with episiotomy had increased mean blood loss at delivery: 248.1 mL (standard error [SE], 4.3 mL) compared with 206.2 mL (SE, 23.2 mL) in those without (P<0.001). Other complications including perineal pain, bleeding, genital haematoma formation, postpartum haemorrhage, puerperal pyrexia, wound infection, gaping wound that required suture removal, and drainage or resuturing of perineal wound were not significantly increased in women with episiotomy. The distribution of these complications in women with and without episiotomy is shown in Table 4.

**Discussion**

The routine use of episiotomy is being increasingly questioned and is no longer recommended by standard obstetric textbooks. Episiotomy nevertheless remains one of the most commonly employed procedures in labour
wards in Hong Kong. It is performed in 97.9% of primiparous women and 71.4% of multiparous women, an overall rate of 85.5%. Our episiotomy rate was far higher than that supported by scientific evidence and other trials.6,13,14

Studies from western countries suggest that routine episiotomy is unnecessary since it does not decrease the occurrence of posterior perineal tear.6 There is also no evidence that routine episiotomy benefits pelvic floor muscle tone, urine or faecal incontinence, or intrapartum foetal morbidity.15-17 In the present survey, women with episiotomy had significantly fewer tears than those without (overall reduction from 79% to 6%). The associated lower rate of tear was mainly from the posterior tear of milder (first- and second-) degrees and other types of tear (anterior perineal and vaginal). There was no significant decrease in severe perineal tear (0.1% with episiotomy vs 0.3% without). Episiotomy was nonetheless associated with a significantly lower rate of severe (third- or fourth-) degree perineal tear from 2.9% to 0.2% in primiparous women.

The main concern about routine episiotomy in our population is the possible complications. Although not statistically significant, there was an increase in the occurrence of some complications associated with the use of episiotomy. This study was observational. Thus the results may reassure obstetricians about the use of episiotomy in our population, nonetheless routine episiotomy even in primiparous women should not be recommended. Little information was available to explain why episiotomy was or was not performed, and women with routine episiotomy were not compared with those with restrictive episiotomy. The ‘other types of tear’ in this survey included anterior perineal tear and vaginal tear but severity was not coded in the database. The tears could have ranged from unsutured minor lacerations to extensive sulcus tears. The percentage of other types of tear in the presence and absence of episiotomy varied from 0 to 4.5% and 0 to 13.6%, respectively. This variation may be due to variation in reporting among hospitals. Although this survey identified an association between episiotomy and a lower rate of perineal tears, it should not be considered definitive or causative since these results contrasted those of other randomised trials and meta-analyses.6,14,18

The increase in the occurrence of various complications, though not statistically significant, should give cause for concern and the liberal use of episiotomy should be restricted. This survey did not provide long-term follow-up data, thus some chronic complications that may only become evident much later on (e.g., pain, dyspareunia) would not have been identified.

The high episiotomy rate in this survey presumes that episiotomy is beneficial yet a deliberate first- or second-degree tear. The decision to perform episiotomy should be judicious. Recent reviews have conclusively determined that routine episiotomy should be abandoned and its appropriateness determined on an individual patient basis.5,9,14,18 Episiotomy should not be promoted as routine practice in an Asian population without further randomised controlled study.

Conclusions

The high episiotomy rate in this survey suggests that the procedure is considered routine. Its use is associated with a significantly lower rate of perineal tears overall and a significantly lower rate of severe (third- and fourth-degree) posterior perineal tears in primiparous women. Nonetheless there is an increase in the occurrence of complications. Routine episiotomy is now established to be unjustified and possibly harmful. Further randomised controlled studies should be conducted in Hong Kong before any recommendations can be made for our local population.
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