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HPV and p53 in cervical cancer

H Y S Ngan, M Stanley, S S Liu, H K Ma

Abstract
Objective—To determine the prevalence of HPV 16 and 18 E6 by DNA detection and p53 abnormal protein expression in cervical cancers in Hong Kong.
Materials and methods—Seventy-three squamous cell cervical cancer biopsy were analysed. Detection of HPV DNA was carried out by the polymerase chain reaction and Southern blotting (PCR/SB) technique using primers to the HPV16 & 18 E6 region and consensus primers to the L1 region. Abnormal expression of the p53 protein was detected by immuno-histochemical staining (IHS) using the antibody CM1 on frozen sections of 55 cervical cancer samples. Forty-six samples were analysed for both the presence of HPV DNA and abnormal expression of p53.
Results—67.2% of the 64 samples showed the presence of HPV 16 E6 DNA and 39.1% showed the presence of HPV 18 E6 DNA. 32.8% showed the presence of both HPV 16 and 18 E6 DNA. No HPV DNA was shown in 10.9% of samples. Only 3.6% (2) of 55 samples showed positive IHS with CM1. One occurred in a HPV negative sample and the other in a HPV positive sample.
Conclusion—A high prevalence of HPV DNA was detected in cervical cancer in Hong Kong using the PCR/SB technique. However, abnormal expression of p53 was uncommon amongst patients with or without HPV 16 or 18 infection.

(Genitourin Med 1994;70:167–170)

Introduction
Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) are small double stranded DNA viruses which in the natural infection induce benign self-limiting proliferative lesions. There is a remarkable multiplicity of HPVs and about 65 types are recognised at present.1 About 20 types infect the genital tract and a subset of these is associated with ano-genital cancer, particularly cervical carcinoma.2 HPV DNA sequences have been found in at least 90% of cervical carcinomas in virtually all studies reported with almost 100% of lesions positive in some studies.3 In all studies the most frequently identified viral type is HPV 16 which is found in 50–70% of lesions followed by HPV 18 and to a lesser extent HPV 31, 33 and 35.4 Furthermore the introduction of cloned HPV 16 or 18 DNA sequences into primary genital keratinocytes results in the immortalisation of these cells5 and this phenomenon requires only the expression of the early genes E6 and E7.6
Insight into the possible mechanisms by which the oncogenic HPVs exert their effects comes from observations of interactions between the E6 and E7 gene products and cellular proteins encoded by tumour suppressor genes. Thus the E7 protein of HPV 16 binds the retinoblastoma p105 protein7 and HPV 16 or 18 E6 interacts with the p53 gene product.8,9 Abnormal expression of p53 has been found in many human carcinomas, particularly breast and colon, predominantly as elevated levels of the protein as a consequence of point mutation. Abnormal expression of p53 can be due to either gene mutation or enhanced degradation of the normal gene product10 and both pathways lead to a deregulation of crucial cell regulatory processes.11
The observation that p53 mutations could be found in HPV negative cervical cancer cell lines12 and HPV negative anal carcinomas13 but not in HPV positive cell lines or lesions suggested an alternative mechanism for p53 inactivation in HPV containing cancers in which wild type p53 was rapidly degraded by the E6 gene product of oncogenic HPVs. In the present study we have examined the status of p53 expression by immunohistochemistry in a group of patients with cervical carcinoma in Hong Kong and determined the prevalence of HPV infection in these patients with the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using both consensus and type specific primers. We show that the prevalence of HPV DNA in our cases is comparable to other studies although the incidence of double infections of HPV 16 and 18 is considerably higher. However, no association between the absence of HPV DNA and enhanced expression of p53 protein in the cancers could be shown.

Materials and methods
Biopsies of squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix of 73 patients seen in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital were taken before treatment and stored in liquid nitrogen. Only 46 of the 73 samples were big enough for both DNA extraction and frozen section. A total of 64 samples were extracted for DNA for detection of human papillomavirus by polymerase chain reaction and southern blot hybridization. Fifty-five samples were stained for the detection of p53 protein on frozen sections.
HPV 16 and 18 E6 and consensus primers
PCR/Southern hybridisation
High molecular weight DNA was extracted from cervical cancer tissue by proteinase K
digestion followed by phenolchloroform extraction.12 Polymerase chain reaction was
carried out on 0.5 μg DNA in 50 μl reaction mixture using Taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer
Cetus). The template DNA was denatured at
94°C for 1 minute, followed by 30 cycles of
PCR as follows: annealing at 57°C for 15 seconds,
polymerisation at 65°C for 20 seconds, then
denaturation at 94°C for 15 seconds. The primers
used for the E6 sequence were HPV 16A—TCA
AAA GCC ACT GTG TGC TG; HPV
16B—GT GTT CTT GAT GAT CTG CA
(120 bp); HPV 18A—ACC TTA ATG AAA
AAC CAG GA; HPV 18B—CTG CTG
TGG AGT CTG TCC TG (100 bp).17 The
HPV L1 consensus primers were MY11—
GCC CAG GGW CAT AAY AAT GG and
MY09—CGT CCM ARR GGA WAC TGA
TC (448–454 bp).18 Precautions against contamina-
tion were taken and cuffed micropipette
tips were used. All solutions were autoclaved
and prepared only for the use of PCR. One
third of the PCR products were analysed by
electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels and the
amplified products were visualised by ethidium
bromide staining. PCR was repeated
using beta-globin gene primers for control
sequence in all DNA samples. The amplified
products were run by electrophoresis on a 2%
agarose gel and stained by ethidium bromide.
Southern blotting of the amplified HPV prod-
ucts was performed using alkali to
nylon membrane (Amersham Hybond N
plus).

Hybridisation was performed with oligonu-
cleotide probe of 20 nucleotides to the inter-
nal portion of the amplified sequence using
5'-end labelling and P32 gamma ATP. The
oligonucleotide probes used were HPV160P:
TGG ACC GGT CGA TGT ATG TC; HPV180P:
TAG CTG GGC ACT ATA
GAG GC, consensus GP1: CTG TTG
ATC ATA CTA CAC GCA GTA C and consensus
GP2: CTG TGG TAG ATA GCA CATC
GCA GTA C. Membrane blot was prehy-
brided with 10% dextran sulphate, 5 ×
Denhardt’s solution, 1% SDS, 5 × SSPE and
200 μg/ml salmon sperm DNA at 52°C for 6
hours. Hybridisation was performed using the
same solution with addition of radio-labelled
oligonucleotide probe at 52°C overnight.

DNA extracted from the Caski cell line and
HeLa cell line were used as positive controls
for HPV 16 and 18 PCR reaction respectively.
DNA extraction from C33 cell line which
contains no HPV sequences and water were
used as negative controls for the PCR reac-
tion.

p53 immunohistochemical staining on frozen
section of cervical cancer
Frozen sections of 6 μm thickness were cut
and mounted on APES19 coated slides and air
dried for 45 minutes. Sections were fixed in
10% acetone stored at −20°C for 10 minutes
and then immersed in 25% hydrogen perox-
ide to block endogenous peroxidase activity
for 10 minutes. Sections were subsequently
taken to water and immunostaining carried
out using the ABC immunoperoxidase
method (Dakopatts UK Ltd.). A polyclonal
antibody, CM1 (full-length recombinant
human p53) (Novocastra Lab Ltd., UK), was
used at a 1:75 dilution. After incubation of
the primary antibodies at room temperature
for 1 hour, incubation with polyclonal
biotinylated goat antirabbit antibody for CM1
were carried out at room temperature for 30
minutes. Further incubation after washing in
PBS was carried out with avidinbiotin complex
conjugated to horseradish peroxidase followed
by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine-hydrogen
peroxide as a c amogen. A light haema-
toxylin counterstain was used. Sections were
dehydrated in alcohol, cleared in xylene, and
mounted.

Each section was scored by two separate
observers. A score of 0 was assigned to sec-
tions showing no evidence of tumour cell
nuclear staining with p53; a score of 1 was
assigned to staining of 1–25% of tumour cell
nuclei; a score of 2 to staining of 26–75% and
a score of 3 to staining of more than 75%.

Negative controls were included by perfor-
ding duplicate assays on the same sample
with one of the primary antibodies replaced
by phosphate buffered saline. A known posi-
tive control for each antibody was included
with each batch of staining.

Results
HPV 16, 18 and consensus primers PCR and
Southern blot
A positive HPV 16 E6 PCR/Southern blot
reaction was shown in 43 of the 64 samples
(67.2%) and a positive HPV 18 reaction was
shown in 25 of the 64 samples (39.1%). Both
HPV 16 and 18 were positive in 21 of the 64
samples (32.8%) and both were negative in 17
of 64 samples (26.6%). Of the 17 samples
negative for HPV 16 or 18 E6 sequences, 10
were positive for the consensus sequence.
Thus, 57 of the 64 samples (89.1%) were
HPV positive and seven of the 64 samples
(10.9%) were HPV negative (table 1) (fig 1).

All DNA samples showed the presence of
amplification products of PCR with the use of
the beta-globin gene primers.

p53 immunohistochemical staining
Only two of the 55 (3.6%) cervical cancer
samples were positive for p53 CM1 staining.
(fig 2) Both scored 2. A section of endome-
trial cancer positive for p53 with the CM1
antibody was used as positive control.

Table 1 Results of HPV 16 and 18 E6 PCR/SB in 64
squamous cell carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV 16</th>
<th>HPV 18</th>
<th>No. %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>22 34-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>4 6-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>21 32-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>17 26-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 10 positive for consensus sequence.
Figure 1. PCR/SB of HPV16, HPV18 and consensus primers: a = marker, b = positive control, c = negative control, d and f = positive samples, e = negative samples.

Table 2. Results of HPV PCR/SB and p53 immunohistochemical staining in 46 squamous cervical carcinomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV 16</th>
<th>HPV 18</th>
<th>no</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>p53 CM1 positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37-0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9-7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>28-2</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 9 positive for consensus sequence.
† negative for consensus sequence.

Discussion

The results of the present study reveal HPV DNA sequences in almost 90% of the carcinomas examined with PCR using consensus primers for the major genital HPVv.1 The observation is in accord with most studies which have used PCR as the detection system. When primers specific for the E6 of HPV 16 or 18 were used in the assay, 43 (67%) of 64 squamous cell carcinomas were positive for HPV 16 and 25 (39%) for HPV 18. Interestingly, mixed infections of 16 and 18 accounted for 21 (33%) of these cases. This incidence of double infection is considerably higher than has been reported in other studies but is in accord with a retrospective analysis by Ip and colleagues (20) in Hong Kong. These workers examined the HPV status of the cervical cancers of 45 young patients using PCR on paraffin wax sections. They found HPV 16 in 69%, HPV 18 in 44% and double infections of 16 and 18 in 31%, a distribution very comparable to that reported here.

The p53 status of 46 cases, of which 42 were HPV DNA positive and four HPV DNA negative, was examined by immunohistochemical staining of frozen sections using the monoclonal antibody CM1. Two cases showed positive staining but only one case was HPV negative, the other p53 positive case containing both HPV 16 and 18 DNA sequences. These observations are consistent with other studies in which p53 status in cervical carcinoma has been examined.21-23 In these reports in which p53 expression was examined by GC-clamp PCR, SSCP, or immunohistochemical staining as screening for mutation followed by direct DNA sequencing for confirmation. None of the HPV negative cervical cancers showed p53 over-expression or mutation. In another report25 point mutations in p53 were found in two of the patients with a low copy number of HPV but wild type p53 was found in the HPV negative cases. However the situation in anal carcinoma appears to be different and in a small study by Crook et al.,26 six HPV positive lesions contained wild type p53 but the three HPV negative lesions had point mutations. A recent study on cervical cancer by the same workers also found point mutations in p53 in the three HPV negative cervical cancers in the series.24

However, to date the numbers of HPV negative cervical cancer cases examined for p53...
expression are small, four in the present study and 16 reported in the literature.21–23 The assay methods have differed in the various investigations and in no study to date have p53 protein levels been quantitated in HPV negative and positive lesions or any clinicopathologic correlations made. Although point mutation appears to be the basis for the deregulation of p53 expression in human cancers, there is evidence from experimental murine epidermal carcinogenesis of novel mechanisms for p53 inactivation which do not involve point mutation.24 Furthermore in this model system in which tumour progression can be monitored, the level of p53 protein related to the degree of differentiation of the tumours with negligible levels in poorly differentiated carcinomas. Data of this type suggest caution in the interpretation of p53 inactivation by mutation in cervical cancers and the relationship to HPV.

There is evidence that HPV negative and positive cervical carcinomas have a different clinical course and by implication may have alternative routes to malignancy. In a recent study in which HPV status was determined by in situ hybridisation with subgenomic riboprobes26 approximately 10% of lesions were HPV negative. Interestingly in this population, patients with HPV negative lesions were older, had a poorer response to therapy than the HPV positive population and there was some evidence that HPV negative lesions were not associated with an intra-epithelial lesion. Crook at al.27 documented a p53 mutation in the metastasis from an HPV positive p53 wild type primary and speculated that tumours with a p53 mutation exhibited more aggressive behaviour but the validity of this requires data from a larger series of cases.

However, it is evident that the interrelationship between p53 expression and HPV in cervical cancer is unclear and requires further work in which large numbers of HPV negative cervical cancers are examined for p53 status by direct sequencing and p53 protein expression by immunoprecipitation and Western blotting.
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