UK), 0.25 μM control primers, 0.5 U DNA polymerase (Advanced Biotechnologies, Epsom, UK), and 50 ng genomic DNA. One duplicate reaction set was specific for the normal sequence (845G) and a second reaction set was specific for the mutated sequence (845A); both reaction sets used a common forward primer (5'-AAGGTGACACATCATGTTGCA-CC-3'), with a reverse primer specific for either 845G (5'-CTGGGTGCTCCACCTGGC-3'), or 845A (5'-CTGGGTGCTCCACCTGTT-3'), resulting in a PCR product of 232 base pairs. Control primers, which amplified an 842 base pair fragment of the human growth hormone gene were included in all reactions. Amplification conditions involved an initial denaturation step for one minute at 96°C, followed by five cycles consisting of denaturation for 25 seconds at 96°C, annealing for 45 seconds at 70°C, and extension for 30 seconds at 72°C, then a further 21 cycles where the annealing temperature was lowered to 65°C, plus four cycles where annealing was for one minute at 55°C, and extension was for two minutes at 72°C. A final extension step for five minutes at 72°C completed the amplification.

Results and discussion
The duplicate reaction sets typing for either 845G or 845A were analysed by electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel; PCR products were visualised by staining with ethidium bromide and photographed under ultraviolet illumination. Results from three representative individuals are shown in fig 1. The results demonstrate that the PCR-SSP method is highly specific for the haemochromatosis associated mutation 845G→A in the HFE gene and that the method could be used as an aid to diagnosis, or for screening purposes.

I am very grateful to Dr Mark Worwood (Department of Haematology, University of Wales College of Medicine) for his kind gift of DNA for validation purposes. Primers were designed from sequence data for the haemochromatosis gene deposited in Genbank (Accession number U60319).
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Abstract
Single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis using ethidium bromide can be improved by adding formamide as the denaturant. This gives higher resolution than previous SSCP methods; it had 100% sensitivity in the discrimination of 14 PCR samples from two different genes, even for a long fragment close to the upper limit of 250 base pairs. This modified procedure is a rapid, simple, safe, and yet highly sensitive method for detecting structural differences in DNA fragments.
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Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of DNA fragments followed by single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) analysis is a sensitive method for detecting genetic polymorphisms or mutations. Detection by autoradiography or silver staining is time consuming, costly, and inconvenient. Non-isotopic SSCP (cold SSCP) using ethidium bromide staining facilitates the rapid identification of structural changes in PCR products. Currently, the denaturant used most frequently in cold SSCP is either sodium hydroxide or methylmercury hydroxide. The former has been reported in some cases to yield inconsistent results; the latter is an extremely toxic and volatile compound and great care has to be taken to avoid skin contact with methylmercury and inhalation of its aerosol.
Methods

We tested 14 PCR products amplified from two different genes: six from the human catechol O-methyltransferase gene and eight from human p53, a tumour suppressor gene.

The catechol O-methyltransferase gene has a polymorphism at codon 1947 (G/G, or A/A, or G/A) that is associated with high or low activity of the enzyme in erythrocytes. The forward and reverse primers used were: 5'-CTGCACAGGCAAGATCGTGGAGA-3' and 5'-TCCAGGTCTGAACCGGTCA-3', respectively. The optimal PCR conditions were: primers (25 μM), genomic DNA (0.1–0.4 μg), dNTPs (0.2 μM), MgCl₂ (2 μM), and use of the “hot start” PCR at 94°C for five minutes before adding Taq polymerase (1 unit; Advanced Biotechnologies, Epsom, Surrey, UK); then 94°C for one minute, 65°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds, for 26 cycles, and a final extension time of five minutes at 72°C. The amplified fragment length was 234 base pairs.

The PCR product (volume 10–15 μl; 20–100 ng of DNA) was mixed with an equal volume of sequencing stop buffer containing 98% formamide. The other constituents were 10 mM NaOH, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, and 0.05% xylene cyanol. A control experiment was carried out simultaneously with 10 mM sodium hydroxide and no formamide. No purification of the PCR product was necessary before SSCP analysis when only one desired major band was present. The mixture was denatured at 100°C for seven minutes then plunged into ice immediately for five minutes. Next, the mixture was loaded on to a 20% Tris borate EDTA (TBE) polyacrylamide gel (8.0 x 8.0 x 0.1 cm; 39:1 acrylamide; bisacrylamide; Novex, San Diego, California, USA). Electrophoresis was carried out in 1.5x TBE buffer, initially at 400 V for two minutes, followed by 300 V for 2.5 hours, using the thermoflow system (Novex) and refrigerated circulator (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) to maintain consistent temperature. Different running temperatures ranging from 10°C to 25°C were tried, and the optimal temperature was found to be 15°C for this particular fragment. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with a 0.5 μg/ml solution of ethidium bromide for 20 minutes, then washed with water for five minutes. Ethidium bromide stained bands were visualised using a 340 nm ultraviolet viewing box and photographed with an exposure time of one second.

We also tested detection of a single base mutation (G→A and G→C mutations) in exon 8 of the human p53 tumour suppressor gene, using a further eight PCR products and similar conditions (except using a running temperature of 20°C).
Results
We found that formamide denatured gels showed better resolution than those denatured using sodium hydroxide alone (fig 1); better even than any other published pictures. Although sodium hydroxide also showed some different mobility shifts in SSCP, gel lanes often showed additional artefactual bands comprising incomplete denatured single strands, which made it difficult to detect the polymorphic bands (fig 1B). In contrast, the use of formamide as a denaturant did not result in any ambiguity and gave high resolution in distinguishing the various alleles (fig 1A) of the polymorphic region tested.

Others have used different volume ratios of formamide to PCR product (3:1 or 1:1) in silver stained SSCP. We did not find any difference in the resolution of the ethidium bromide stained SSCP using volume ratios of 1:1, 2:1, or 3:1. We found that a 1:1 volume ratio was the most practical because of the limited well volume of the gel. We also compared various concentrations of sodium hydroxide (ranging from a final concentration of 10–50 mM) as denaturants in our cold SSCP analyses but did not find any significant difference in the resolution (data not shown). Different gel concentrations of 6% and 20% homogeneous polyacrylamide TBE gels, and 4–20% gradient polyacrylamide gels (Novex) were used: we found that the 20% homogeneous polyacrylamide TBE gel demonstrated the best resolution of this polymorphism.

In addition, this method detected and distinguished all the other known single base mutations in exon 8 of the human p53 gene within the eight PCR products tested (fig 2).

Discussion
To our knowledge, this ethidium bromide stained cold SSCP procedure using formamide as the denaturant has not been reported before. It gave a higher resolution than previous SSCP methods and had 100% sensitivity in the discrimination of 14 PCR samples from two different genes, even for a long fragment close to the upper limit of 250 base pairs. We believe that this modified procedure is a rapid, simple, safe, and yet highly sensitive method for detecting structural differences in DNA fragments.

This work was sponsored by the Committee on Research and Conference Grants, University of Hong Kong. We are grateful for the space and facilities provided by Professor E D Janus (the Clinical Biochemistry Unit, Queen Mary Hospital) and for the critical review of this manuscript by Dr Joan Marsh (Clinical Trials Centre, The University of Hong Kong). The PCR products of the human p53 tumour suppressor gene were kindly provided by Dr X H Yang (department of microbiology, University of Hong Kong).