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By employing the Lyapunov method and some inequality techniques, the global point dissipativity is studied for neural networks with both discrete time-varying delays and distributed time-varying delays. Simple sufficient conditions are given for checking the global point dissipativity of neural networks with mixed time-varying delays. The proposed linear matrix inequality approach is computationally efficient as it can be solved numerically using standard commercial software. Illustrated examples are given to show the usefulness of the results in comparison with some existing results. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2126940]

It is well known that the stability problem is central to the analysis of a dynamic system, especially the stability of an equilibrium point that has captured the attention of researchers. Nevertheless, from a practical point of view, it is not always the case that every dynamic system has its orbits approach a single equilibrium point. It is possible that there is no equilibrium point in some situations. Therefore, the concept such as point dissipativity has been introduced and investigated. The concept of point dissipativity generalizes the idea of a Lyapunov stability theory, chaos and synchronization theory, system norm estimation, and robust control. To the best of our knowledge, so far the point dissipativity problem for general neural networks with both discrete and distributed delays has received little research attention, mainly due to the mathematical difficulties in dealing with discrete and distributed delays simultaneously. Hence, it is our intention in this paper to tackle such an important yet challenging problem. In this paper, simple sufficient conditions are given for checking the global point dissipativity of neural networks with mixed time-varying delays by employing the Lyapunov method and some inequality techniques.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, neural networks have been extensively investigated and many successful applications in different areas were found. Such applications depend heavily on the dynamic behavior of the networks. The dynamic behaviors of various neural networks, such as the stability, the attraction, and the oscillation, are popular research topics that have drawn much attention from mathematicians, physicists, and computer sciences, and a large amount of results are available in the literature (see Refs. 1–20 and references therein). In Refs. 1 and 2 several sufficient conditions are presented for complete stability of delayed cellular neural networks with positive cell linking and dominant templates. In Refs. 16–19 several sufficient conditions have been derived for absolute stability of neural networks. In Refs. 3–15 and 31 the conditions for the existence of an equilibrium point, and the global stability of various neural networks with delay are investigated yielding results of significant generality which are expected to be useful in network design. Various sufficient conditions, either delay dependent or delay independent, have been proposed to guarantee global asymptotic or exponential stability for neural networks. However, in these recent publications, only discrete time delays have been treated.

Another type of time delay, namely, distributed delays, have begun to receive research attention. The main reason is that a neural network usually has a spatial nature due to the presence of an amount of parallel pathways of a variety of axon sizes and lengths, therefore, it is desirable to model them by introducing continuously distributed delays over a certain duration of time, such that the distant past has less influence compared to the recent behavior of the state. Therefore, when modeling neural networks, both discrete and distributed time delays should be taken into account. Very recently, many results have been reported on the stability issue for various neural networks with distributed time delays. As is well known, the stability problem is central to the analysis of a dynamic system where various types of stability of an equilibrium point have captured the attention of researchers. Nevertheless, from a practical point of view, it is not always the case that every neural network has its orbits approach a single equilibrium point. It is possible that there is no equilibrium point in some situations. Therefore, the concept such as point dissipativity has been introduced.

\textsuperscript{(a)}Electronic mail: jdcao@seu.edu.cn

\textsuperscript{(b)}Electronic mail: madaniel@cityu.edu.hk
and investigated in Refs. 27–29. The concept of point dissipativity generalizes the idea of a Lyapunov stability and has found applications in diverse areas such as stability theory, chaos and synchronization theory, system norm estimation, and robust control.28,29 To the best of our knowledge, so far the point dissipativity problem for general neural networks with both discrete and distributed delays has received little research attention, mainly due to the mathematical difficulties in dealing with discrete and distributed delays simultaneously. Hence, it is our intention in this paper to tackle such an important yet challenging problem. Moreover, in practice, time-varying delay in neural networks occurs commonly in most designs. Thus, the study of neural networks with time-varying delays is more important in practice than those with constant delays.

Motivated by the above discussion, the aims of this paper are to study the global point dissipativity of neural networks with both discrete time-varying delays and distributed time-varying delays. For the different classes of activation functions, we derive several new criteria for checking the global point dissipativity of the considered model by using different bounding techniques and Lyapunov functions or functionals.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II the model formulation and some preliminaries are given. The main results are stated in Sec. III. Illustrated examples are given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed results in Sec. IV. Finally, concluding remarks are made in Sec. V.

Notation: Throughout this paper, for real symmetric matrices $X$ and $Y$, the notation $X \geq Y$ (respectively, $X \succ Y$) means that the matrix $X - Y$ is positive semidefinite (respectively, positive definite). The superscript “T” represents the transpose. The notation $\|x\|_r$ denotes a vector norm defined by $\|x\|_r = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^r)^{1/r}$ ($r > 1$) when $x$ is a vector. For a matrix $A$, $|A|$ denotes the spectral norm defined by $\|A\| = \|\lambda_{\max}(A^T A)^{1/2}\|$. Matrix dimensions, if not explicitly stated, are assumed to be compatible for algebraic operations. For sets $A$ and $B$, the set difference $A \setminus B$ is defined as $\{x | x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$.

II. MODEL FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Consider the following neural networks with both time-varying discrete delays and distributed time-varying delays:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -Dx(t) + Af(x(t)) + Bf(x[t - \tau(t)])$$

$$+ C \int_{t - \tau_2(t)}^{t} f(x(s)) \, ds + u,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

or

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -dx(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} f(x_j[t - \tau(t)])$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} \int_{t - \tau_2(t)}^{t} f(x(s)) \, ds + u,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots, x_n(t)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector associated with the neurons, $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) > 0; A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}, B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n},$ and $C = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$ denote the connection weights matrix, the connection weights matrix with discrete delays, and the connection weights matrix with distributed delays, respectively; $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a constant external input vector; $\tau(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are discrete and distributed time-varying delays, respectively; and $f_j$ is a real activation function,

$$f(x(t)) = \{f_1(x(t)), f_2(x(t)), \ldots, f_n(x(t))\}^T \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$f(x[t - \tau(t)]) = \{f_1(x(t) - \tau(t)), f_2(x(t) - \tau(t)), \ldots, f_n(x(t) - \tau(t))\}^T \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Throughout this paper, the time-varying delays are assumed to satisfy the following assumption.

**Assumption A:** The time-varying delays $\tau(t)$ and $\tau(t)$ are positive, bounded, and differentiable with $0 < \tau(t) \leq \tau_1, 0 < \tau(t) \leq \tau_2$ and $0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau_1 < 1, 0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau_2 < 1$.

Next, we give the definitions of several classes of activation functions:

(i) A function $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \ldots, g_n(x_n)]$ is of class $\mathcal{L}$ if for $\forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_i \neq 0$, it satisfies $0 < g(x_i)/x_i \leq l_i$, and $g_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

A function $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \ldots, g_n(x_n)]$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ if for $\forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_i \neq 0$, it satisfies $0 \leq g(x_i)/x_i \leq l_i, l_i > 0,$ and $g_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

(ii) A function $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \ldots, g_n(x_n)]$ is of class $\mathcal{G}$ if it satisfies $0 < D^*g_i(x_i) < +\infty$, and $g_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$

A function $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \ldots, g_n(x_n)]$ is of class $\mathcal{G}^\infty$ if it satisfies $0 \leq D^*g_i(x_i) < +\infty,$ and $g_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$

(iii) A function $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), g_2(x_2), \ldots, g_n(x_n)]$ is of class $\mathcal{B}$ if it satisfies $g_i(x_i) \leq h_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Similar to Ref. 27 we also give the following definitions.

**Definition 1:** The neural network model (1) is said to be a globally point dissipative, if there exists a compact set, $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\exists T(x_0) > 0$, when $t > t_0 + T(x_0), x(t, t_0, x_0) \subseteq S$, where $x(t, t_0, x_0)$ denotes the solution of (1) from the initial state $x_0$ and initial time $t_0$. In this case, $S$ is called a globally attractive set. A set $S$ is called a positive invariant, if $\forall x_0 \notin S$ implies $x(t, t_0, x_0) \subseteq S$ for $t \geq t_0$.

**Definition 2:** Let $S$ be a globally attractive set of neural network models (1). The neural network model (1) is said to be a globally exponentially dissipative system, if there exists a compact $S^* \subset S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S^*$, there exist a constant $M(x_0)$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S^*} \|x(t, t_0, x_0) - x_0\|_r \leq M(x_0)\exp(-\alpha(t-t_0)).$$

The set $S^*$ is said to be a globally exponentially attractive.

To obtain our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1 (Ref. 30):** Given any real matrices $X, Y, P > 0$ of appropriate dimensions, the following inequality holds:

$$X^T Y + Y^T X \leq X^T P X + Y^T P^{-1} Y.$$

**Lemma 2 (Schur Complement, Ref. 32):** For a given matrix,
Consider the following equations:

\[
\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f_i(t, x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{4}
\]

where \( f_i(t, \phi) : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is continuous with respect to \((t, \phi)\). 

Lemma 4: Let \( V \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \) satisfy \( V(x) \to \infty \) as \( \|x\| \to \infty \). Assume there exists a set \( E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) \leq K\} \) for some \( K > 0 \) such that the Dini derivative \( D^*V(x) \) of \( V(x) \) along with solution \( x(t) \) of (4) satisfies \( D^*V(x)_{(4)} < 0 \) as long as \( x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E \). Then (4) is point dissipative in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Proof: Define \( E_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : V(x) < K + 1\} \). Then \( E \subset E_1 \) and \( E_1 \) is bounded. Furthermore, \( D^*V(x)_{(1)} < 0 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_1 \). We will show that for any \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there is a \( T > t_0 \) such that \( x(t, t_0, x_0) \in E_1 \) for \( t > T \) as long as \( x \) is continuous in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This is obviously true if \( x_0 \in E_1 \). So we consider the case that \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_1 \). Let \( E_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : K + 1 < V(x) \leq V(x_0)\} \). If the conclusion is not true, then \( x(t, t_0, x_0) \in E_2 \) for \( t > t_0 \). Thus along the solution, \( D^*V(x)_{(1)} < 0 \), where \( l = \max \{D^*V(x) | x \in E_2\} < 0 \). Hence \( V(x(t)) \leq l(t-t_0) \to \infty \) as \( t \to \infty \). This contradiction completes the proof.

Remark 1: The considered model (1) and the model given in Ref. 29 are the special cases of (4). The proof of Lemma 5 is a minor modification of the proof of Lemma 1.1 in Ref. 29.

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. Results using Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals

In this section, conditions are given for global point dissipativity of (1) by constructing appropriate Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals and employing different bounding techniques.

Theorem 1: Let assumption \( \Lambda \) be satisfied. Neural network model (1) is globally point dissipative, if there exists matrices \( Q_1 > 0, Q_2 > 0 \), and diagonal \( K > 0 \) such that the following linear matrix inequality (LMI) holds:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 & KB \\
B^T & -\tau_2 K
\end{bmatrix} < 0. \tag{5}
\]

Moreover,

(i) if \( f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_1 \), the set \( S_1 = \{x ||f_i(x_i)| \leq L_i |u_i| / d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) is positively invariant and globally attractive;

(ii) if \( f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \), the set \( S_2 = \{x ||f_i(x_i)| \leq L_i |u_i| / d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) where \( e > 1 \), is positively invariant and globally attractive;

(iii) if \( f(x) \in \mathcal{G}_1 \), the set \( S_1 = \{x |x_i| \leq L_i |u_i| / d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) is positively invariant and globally attractive;

(iv) if \( f(x) \in \mathcal{G}_2 \), the set \( S_2 = \{x |x_i| \leq L_i |u_i| / d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) where \( e > 1 \), is positively invariant and globally attractive.

Proof: First, denote

\[ x_i = x(t + \theta), \quad -\max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\} \leq \theta \leq 0. \]

(i) Consider the following positive radially unbounded Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate:

\[
V(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \int_0^{t_i} f_i(s) ds + \int_{t-t_1}^t f^T(x(s))Q_1 f(x(s)) ds + \tau_1^{-1} \int_{t-t_2}^t f^T(x(s))Q_2 f(x(s)) ds ds, \tag{6}
\]

where \( K = \text{diag}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \). By calculating the derivative along the positive half trajectory of (1), we have

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i f_i(x(t)) [-d_i x_i(t) + u_i] + f^T(x(t)) \times (K A + A^T K) f(x(t)) + 2 f^T(x(t)) K B f(x(t))
\]

\[ + 2 f^T(x(t)) C \int_{t-t_1}^t f(x(s)) ds + f^T(x(t)) Q_1 f(x(t))
\]

\[ - [1 - \tau_1(t)] f^T(x(t) - \tau_1(t)) Q_1 f(x(t) - \tau_1(t))
\]

\[ - \tau_2^{-1} [1 - \tau_2(t)] f^T(x(t)) Q_2 f(x(t))
\]

\[ + \tau_2^{-1} \int_{t-t_2}^t f^T(x(s)) Q_2 f(x(s)) ds ds. \tag{7}
\]

From the Jensen inequality, we have

\[ - \int_{t-t_2}^t f^T(x(s)) Q_2 f(x(s)) ds ds \]

\[ \leq - \tau_2^{-1} \left( \int_{t-t_2}^t f(x(s)) ds \right)^T Q_2 \left( \int_{t-t_2}^t f(x(s)) ds \right). \tag{8}
\]

By Lemma 1, the following inequalities hold:
Next, we consider the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional:

\begin{align}
2f^T(x(t))K \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds \\
\leq \tau_2^2 [1 - \tau_2(t)] \left( \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds \right)^T \\
\times Q_2 \left( \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds \right) \\
\times \tau_2^2 [1 - \tau_2(t)]^{-1} f^T(x(t))KQCQ_2^{-1}C^TKf(x(t)), \quad (9)
\end{align}

It follows from inequalities (8)–(10) that

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^n k_i f_i(x_i(t)) \left( -\frac{d}{\dot{l}_i} f_i(x_i(t)) + u_i \right) + f^T(x(t)) \]

\[
\times \left[ KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 + \tau_2^2(1-\eta_2)^{-1} \times KCQ_2^{-1}C^TK + (1-\eta_1)^{-1}KBQ_1^{-1}B^TK \right] f(x(t)) \\
= 2\sum_{i=1}^n k_i f_i(x_i(t)) \left( -\frac{d}{\dot{l}_i} f_i(x_i(t)) + u_i \right) + f^T(x(t)) \Omega f(x(t))
\]

\[
\Omega' = \begin{bmatrix}
-2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) PD & PA & PB & \tau_2PC \\
A^TP & KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 & KB & \tau_2KC \\
B^TP & B^TK & -(1-\eta_1)Q_1 & 0 \\
\tau_2C^TP & \tau_2C^TK & 0 & -(1-\eta_2)Q_2
\end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (13)
\]

Next, we consider the following Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional:

\[
\bar{V}(x_i) = x^T \bar{P} x + V(x_i), \quad (14)
\]

where \( V(x_i) \) is defined in (6). By calculating the derivative along the positive half trajectory of (1), we have

\[
\frac{d\bar{V}}{dt} = x^T \bar{P} \dot{x} + x^T \bar{P} \dot{x} + \frac{dV}{dt} \\
= -2x^T \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) PDx(t) + 2x^T(t)PAf(x(t)) + 2x^T(t)PBf(x(t)) + 2x^T(t)PC \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds \\
+ 2\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \dot{x}_i(t)p_i [-d\dot{x}_i(t) + eu_i] + 2\sum_{i=1}^n k_i f_i(x_i(t))[-d\dot{x}_i(t) + u_i] + f^T(x(t))(KA + A^TK)f(x(t)) \\
+ 2f^T(x(t))KBf(x(t)) + f^T(x(t))K \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds + f^T(x(t))Q_2f(x(t)) \\
- [1 - \tau_2(t)]^2 f^T(x(t))Q_1f(x(t)) - \tau_2^4 [1 - \tau_2(t)]^2 f^T(x(t))Q_1f(x(t)) + \tau_2^4 [1 - \tau_2(t)]^2 f^T(x(t))Q_2f(x(t)) \\
\leq -2x^T \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) PDx(t) + 2x^T(t)PAf(x(t)) + 2x^T(t)PBf(x(t)) + 2x^T(t)PC \int_{t-	au_2(t)}^t f(x(s))ds
\]

where \( \Omega = KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 + \tau_2^2(1-\eta_2)^{-1}KCQ_2^{-1}C^TK + (1-\eta_1)^{-1}KBQ_1^{-1}B^TK \). (11) holds because of the negative definiteness of \( \Omega \). By the Schur complement in Lemma 3, \( \Omega < 0 \) if and only if (5) holds. Thus the neural network model (1) is a globally point dissipative system, and the set \( S_1 \) is a positive invariant and globally attractive set as (5) holds.

(ii) For any \( \varepsilon > 1 \), since \( \Omega < 0 \), we can choose a sufficiently small \( P = \text{diag}(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 & KB & \tau_2KC \\
B^TK & -(1-\eta_1)Q_1 & 0 \\
\tau_2C^TK & 0 & -(1-\eta_2)Q_2
\end{bmatrix} < 0,
\]

or equivalently,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A^T & B^T \\
\tau_2C^T
\end{bmatrix} PD^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \ \tau_2C \end{bmatrix} < 0,
\]

\[
\Omega' = \begin{bmatrix}
-2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) PD & PA & PB & \tau_2PC \\
A^TP & KA + A^TK + Q_1 + Q_2 & KB & \tau_2KC \\
B^TP & B^TK & -(1-\eta_1)Q_1 & 0 \\
\tau_2C^TP & \tau_2C^TK & 0 & -(1-\eta_2)Q_2
\end{bmatrix} < 0. \quad (13)
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
+ 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} & \frac{p_{ij}}{\delta}(|x(t)| - d_i|f[x(t)]| + e|u_i|), \\
+ 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} & k_i f_1[x(t)](\frac{d_i}{\delta}|f[x(t)]| + |u_i|) + f(x(t))(KA + A^T K)f[x(t)], \\
+ 2\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} & [x(t)]KBd[x(t)] + 2\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} f(x(s))ds + f(x(t))Q_1[f(x(t))] \\
- (1 - \eta_1)f(x(t)\tau(t))Q_1[f(x(t))] \\
- \tau_2^2(1 - \eta_2) & \left(\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} f(x(s))ds\right)^T Q_2 \left(\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} f(x(s))ds\right) + f(x(t))Q_2[f(x(t)] \\
= 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} & \frac{p_{ij}}{\delta}|x(t)| - d_i|f[x(t)]| + e|u_i|) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i f_1[x(t)](\frac{d_i}{\delta}|f[x(t)]| + |u_i|) + \xi(t)\Omega^\prime \xi(t) < 0, \quad \text{when } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{S}_2,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \xi(t) = [x^T(t), f^T[x(t)], f^T[x(t) - \tau(t)]], \tau_1^2 f_1^T[x(t)] \times f^T[x(s)]ds \). Equation (15) holds because of (13). Hence, when (5) holds, the neural network model (1) is globally point dissipative, and the set \( S_2 \) is positively invariant and globally attractive.

(iii) Consider the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate as in (6). Similar to (11), we have

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i f_1[x(t)](\frac{d_i}{\delta}|f[x(t)]| + |u_i|) + f(x(t))(KA + A^T K + Q_1 + Q_2 + \tau_2^2(1 - \eta_2)^{-1}) \\
\times K C Q_2 C^T K + (1 - \eta_1)^{-1} KBQ_1^{-1}B^T K)[f(x(t))] \\
\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i f_1[x(t)](\frac{d_i}{\delta}|f[x(t)]| + |u_i|) < 0,
\]

when \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{S}_1 \).

Hence, when (5) holds, the neural network model in (1) is globally point dissipative and the set \( \tilde{S}_1 \) is positively invariant and globally attractive.

(iv) Consider the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional as in (14). Similar to (11), we have

\[
\frac{d\tilde{V}}{dt} \leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij} \delta|x(t)| - d_i|f[x(t)]| + e|u_i|) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i f_1[x(t)](\frac{d_i}{\delta}|f[x(t)]| + |u_i|) + \eta^\prime(t)\Omega^\prime \eta(t) < 0,
\]

when \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{S}_2 \),

where \( \eta^\prime(t) = [x^T(t), f^T[x(t)], f^T[x(t) - \tau(t)]], \tau_1^2 f_1^T[x(t)] \times f^T[x(s)]ds \) and \( \Omega^\prime \) is defined as (13). Inequality (17) holds due to the negative definiteness of \( \Omega^\prime \). Hence, when LMI (5) is satisfied, the neural network model in (1) is globally point dissipative, and the set \( \tilde{S}_2 \) is positively invariant and globally attractive. This completes the proof.

In the special case that \( c_{ij} = 0 \), model (2) can be written as the following neural networks with only discrete delays:

\[
\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = -d_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f_j[x_j(t)] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} f_j[x_j(t - \tau(t))] + u_i.
\]

For model (18), we have the following corollaries which can be obtained easily from Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1:** Let assumption \( \Lambda \) be satisfied with \( C = 0 \). Neural network (18) is a globally point dissipative system if there exist matrices \( Q_1 > 0 \) and diagonal \( K > 0 \) such that the following LMI holds:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
KA + A^T K + Q_1 & KB \\
B^T K & (1 - \eta_1)^{-1}
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\]

Moreover, (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) in Theorem 1 hold.

**Corollary 2:** Let assumption \( \Lambda \) be satisfied with \( \tau(t) \) being constant. Neural network (18) is a globally point dissipative system, if the following LMI holds:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A + A^T + 2I & B \\
B^T & 2I
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\]

Moreover, (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) in Theorem 1 hold.

**Remark 2:** Case (i) of Corollary 2 coincides with Theorem 3 in Ref. 27. Hence, our result improves and generalizes an earlier result.

### B. Results using Lyapunov functions

In this section, conditions are given for global point dissipativity of (1) by constructing appropriate Lyapunov functions and employing different bounding techniques.

**Theorem 2:** Let \( f(x) \in \mathbb{B} \) and Assumption \( \Lambda \) be satisfied. Neural network (1) is globally point dissipative, and the set \( \mathcal{E} = \{x|x_1 |M_1\} \), where \( M_1 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j^{-1} \left[(a_{ij} + b_{ij} + \tau_2^2 c_{ij})h_i + |u_i|_j\right] \) is positively invariant and globally attractive.

**Proof:** Construct a radially unbounded and positive definite Lyapunov function candidate as
\[ V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|x_i|^p}{r}. \]

By computing \( D^*V \) along the trajectory of (1), we have

\[ D^*V(x)(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^{-1} \sgn(x_i) \frac{dx_i}{dt} \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^{-1} \sgn(x_i) \left[ -d_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij} f_j(x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} f_j(x_j(s)) ds + u_i \right] \]

\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ -d_i |x_i| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (|a_{ij}| + |b_{ij}| + \tau_j c_{ij}) |x_j|^{-1} + |u_i| |x_i|^{-1} \right] \]

\[ = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i |x_i|^{-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (|a_{ij}| + |b_{ij}| + \tau_j c_{ij}) |x_j|^{-1} + |u_i| \right] < 0, \quad \text{(20)} \]

when \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{E} \), where

\[ \mathcal{E} = \{ x \mid x_i \leq d_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} (|a_{ij}| + |b_{ij}| + \tau_j c_{ij}) |x_j|^{-1} + |u_i| \}. \]

From Lemma 4, the neural network (1) is point dissipative. (20) implies that \( \forall x_0 \in \mathcal{E}, \ x(t, t_0, x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{E} \) holds, \( t \geq t_0 \). For \( x_0 \notin \mathcal{E} \), there exists \( T(x_0) > 0 \) such that \( x(t, t_0, x_0) \notin \mathcal{E}, \ t \geq T(x_0) + t_0 \), therefore \( \mathcal{E} \) is positively invariant and attractive. The proof is completed. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3:** Let \( f(x) \in \mathcal{B} \) and Assumption \( \Lambda \) be satisfied. Neural network (1) is globally exponentially point dissipative, and the set \( \mathcal{E}^* = \{ x \mid |x_i| \leq d_i M_i ((d_i - \varepsilon)) \} \), where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a sufficiently small constant, is positively invariant and globally exponentially attractive.

**Proof:** Obviously, \( \mathcal{E}^* \) is a positive invariant set because \( \mathcal{E}^* \subset \mathcal{E} \). Now consider a Lyapunov function candidate

\[ V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{\varepsilon t} |x_i|^p}{r}. \]

By calculating the \( D^*V \) along the solution of the model (1) and by (20), we have

\[ D^*V(x(t))(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ e^{\varepsilon t} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{|x_i|^r}{r} \right) + e^{\varepsilon t} \frac{|x_i|^r}{r} \right] \]

\[ \leq \frac{e^{\varepsilon t}}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^{-1} (|e - d_i| |x_i| + d_i M_i) \leq 0, \quad \text{(21)} \]

when \( |x_i| > d_i M_i ((d_i - \varepsilon)) \), that is, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{E}^* \). Integrating two sides of (21) from \( t_0 \) to an arbitrary \( t \geq t_0 \), we have \( V(x(t)) \leq V(x(t_0)) \). Therefore, we have

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(t)|^r \leq e^{-\varepsilon(t-t_0)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(t_0)|^r. \]

It follows that

\[ \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{E}^*} \{ \| x(t, t_0, x_0) - \bar{x} \| \} \leq \| x(t, t_0, x_0) \|_r \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(t, t_0, x_0)|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq e^{-\varepsilon(t-t_0)\beta} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i(t_0)|^r \right)^{1/r}. \]

If we take \( M(x_0) = \| x_0 \|_r \), we have

\[ \inf_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{E}^*} \{ \| x(t, t_0, x_0) - \bar{x} \| \} \leq M(x_0) e^{-\varepsilon(t-t_0)\beta}. \quad \text{(22)} \]

The inequality in (22) means that the set \( \mathcal{E}^* \) is globally exponentially attractive, that is, the models (1) or (2) are globally exponentially point dissipative. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**IV. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES**

**Example 1:** Consider the following neural network model with discrete time delay and distributed delay:

\[ \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -Dx(t) + Af[x(t)] + Bf[x(t - \tau_i(t))] \]

\[ + C \int_{t-\tau_i(t)}^{t} f(x(s)) ds + u, \quad \text{(23)} \]

where \( f_i(x_i) = \arctan(x_i) \) and
For notational and computational convenience, let \( \tau_i(t) = \tau_0(t) = 1 \), then \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 = 0 \). Take \( K = I \) and \( Q_1 = Q_2 = \frac{1}{2} I \), the matrix inequality (5) in Theorem 1 holds, that is,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A + A^T + Q_1 + Q_2 & B & C \\
B^T & -Q_1 & 0 \\
C^T & 0 & -Q_2
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\]

From Theorem 1, the model in (23) is globally point dissipative. Note that \( l_i = 1 \) and the activation functions \( f_i(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \), thus the globally attractive set is \( S_1 = \{ x | \arctan(x_i) \leq l_i | u_i | / \varepsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \); also the activation functions \( f_i(\cdot) \in G \), then the globally attractive set is \( \hat{S}_1 = \{ x | x_i \leq l_i | u_i | / d_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \). To compare the results in Ref. 27 let \( C = 0 \) in model (23), and then consider the neural network model with only discrete time delay. Take \( K = I \) and \( Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} I \), then the linear matrix inequality in Corollary 1 holds, that is,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A + A^T + Q_1 & B \\
B^T & -Q_1
\end{bmatrix} < 0.
\]

By Corollary 1, we conclude that the model with only discrete time delays is a globally point dissipative system. However,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A + A^T + 2I & B \\
B^T & -2I
\end{bmatrix} < 0
\]

is not negative definite; hence the criterion given in Ref. 27 is not applicable. This implies that the proposed results improve and generalize those in Ref. 27.

Note that \( |\arctan(x_i)| \leq \pi / 2 \), hence the activations \( f_i(x_i) = \arctan(x_i) \in B \). By virtue of Theorem 2, we have \( M_1 = 0.52 \pi + 1 \) and \( M_2 = 0.67 \pi + 1 \), the globally attractive set is \( \mathcal{E} = \{ x | x_1^2 + x_2^2 \} | x_i | \leq 0.52 \pi + 1, |x_2| \leq 0.67 \pi + 1 \} \).
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