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Tight Error Bounds for Asynchronous Multicarrier CDMA and Their Application

Kun-Wah Yip, Member, IEEE, and Tung-Sang Ng, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—Upper and lower bounds on the average bit error rate for binary phase-shift keying (BPSK), asynchronous multicarrier (MC)-CDMA communications using coherent detection are derived. The bounds can be made very tight by adjusting a parameter in the computation and this is demonstrated by numerical examples. Based on the derived bounds it is shown by numerical examples that the performance of asynchronous MC-CDMA using Zadoff-Chu sequences is better than that using Walsh codes.

Index Terms—Error analysis, multicarrier CDMA.

I. INTRODUCTION

MULTICARRIER (MC)-CDMA, which has been proposed for indoor wireless and cellular communications [1], [2], is a spread-spectrum transmission scheme that spreads the signal in the frequency domain. Many researchers have derived bit-error rate (BER) expressions for time-synchronous MC-CDMA communications [1]–[5]. However, those for asynchronous transmission have not appeared in the previous literature to the authors’ best knowledge. In this letter, we derive upper and lower bounds on the average BER for asynchronous MC-CDMA.

The derived bounds are used to compare Walsh codes and Zadoff-Chu sequences on the performance of asynchronous MC-CDMA. This comparison is motivated by the recent work of Popović [6]. In [6], numerical results have shown that Zadoff-Chu sequences have much smaller maximum spectral cross-correlation magnitude than Walsh codes, implying that Zadoff-Chu sequences yield better worst-case performance than Walsh codes in asynchronous MC-CDMA. The average performance, however, is often more useful than the worst-case performance in determining the goodness of codes (for a reason, see [7]). It is thus useful to compare Walsh and Zadoff-Chu sequences based on the average performance.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND ERROR BOUNDS

We consider a MC-CDMA system with \( K \) users asynchronously transmitting binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) signals at the same power \( P \) and at the same bit transmission rate \( 1/T_b \). Although BPSK is considered here for illustration, our approach of error-bound derivation can be easily extended to higher modulation formats. The receiver is intended to detect the \( L \)-th user signal. Each bit is transmitted on \( N \) subcarriers with adjacent subcarrier spacing \( \Delta f \), and each subcarrier is further modulated by the corresponding chip of a spreading sequence. For illustration purpose, we assume that the subcarriers are spectrally disjoint. We consider an additive white Gaussian noise channel with two-sided power spectral density \( N_0/2 \). The complex envelope of the received signal \( r(t) \) is given by

\[
  r(t) = n(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sqrt{2P} e^{j\theta_k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n^{(k)} \phi_k(t - nT_b - \tau_k) \tag{1}
\]

where \( n(t) \) is the complex Gaussian noise, \( \theta_k \) is the phase of the \( k \)-th user signal modeled by a uniform random variable over \([0, 2\pi)\), \( \phi_k(t) \in \{+1, -1\} \) is the \( n \)-th bit of the \( k \)-th user data stream, \( \tau_k \) is the delay of the \( k \)-th user signal relative to the \( L \)-th user signal, and

\[
  \phi_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \psi(t) \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} c_m^{(k)} e^{j2\pi m(\Delta f t)}. \tag{2}
\]

In (2), \( \psi(t) \) is the pulse shape satisfying \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(t)|^2 dt = T_b \) and \( c_m^{(k)} \), where \( |c_m^{(k)}| = 1 \), is the \( m \)-th chip of the \( k \)-th user spreading sequence. We model that \( \tau_L = 0 \) and that \( \tau_k \)'s, \( k \neq L \), are independent uniform random variables over \([-0.5T_b, 0.5T_b]\). The received signal is processed by a matched filter that coherently detects the \( L \)-th user signal.

Without loss of generality we consider detection of \( b_0^{(L)} \) and assume that \( b_0^{(L)} = 1 \). The detector output, \( \hat{b}_0^{(L)} \), is given by

\[
  \hat{b}_0^{(L)} = (2E_b/T_b)^{-1/2} \text{Re} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(t) e^{-j\theta_L} \phi_L^*(t) dt \right\} \tag{3}
\]

where \( E_b = PT_b \) is the bit energy. Substituting (1) into (3) yields

\[
  \hat{b}_0^{(L)} = \hat{n} + b_0^{(L)} + \sum_{k=1, k \neq L}^{K} J_k \tag{4}
\]

where \( \hat{n} \) is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with variance \( (2E_b/N_0)^{-1} \), and

\[
  J_k = \text{Re} \left\{ e^{j(\theta_k - \theta_L)} \sum_{n-n_0}^{n_0} b_n^{(k)} \bar{c}_k \frac{\bar{c}_k}{c_k} \sum_{n_0}^{n_0} b_n^{(k)} \chi_{k} L \right\} \left( nT_b + \tau_k \right) \tag{5}
\]

(\( \chi_k \) is the delay of the \( k \)-th user signal relative to the \( L \)-th user signal.)
In (5),
\[ \chi_k,L(\mu) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \epsilon_m \epsilon_l e^{-j2\pi kl \mu} \]
(6)
and \( R(\tau) = \frac{1}{T_b} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t)\psi^*(t+\tau) \, dt \)
(7)
and \( n_s \) is chosen such that \( R(n_T \pm \tau_k) \) is negligible when \( |n| > n_s \). Note that (5) is derived under the assumption that subcarriers are spectrally disjoint so that intercarrier interference need not be taken into consideration. In the presence of spectral overlapping, one may modify (5) to include intercarrier interference.

Based on the technique adapted from [8], bounds on the average bit-error rate (BER) are derived as follows. Since \( J_k \) is expressed in terms of random variables \( \theta_k \), etc., it follows that \( J_k \) is also a random variable having a probability distribution function (PDF). By dividing random variables \( \theta_k \), etc., in small step size over their ranges and by means of counting, one can obtain an approximate PDF of \( J_k \):

\[
\Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J_k < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \epsilon_m e^{j2\pi kl \mu},
\]
where \( \Delta_J \) and \( N_J \) are parameters. A good approximation is obtained if \( \Delta_J \) is sufficiently small. Let

\[
J = \sum_{k=1}^{K} J_k.
\]
(8)
The PDF of \( J \):

\[
\Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \epsilon_m e^{j2\pi kl \mu},
\]
where \( N_J = \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_J \), can be obtained by convolution. From (4), the BER conditioned on \( J \) is given by

\[
Q\left( \frac{2E_b}{N_0}(1+J) \right)
\]
where \( Q(x) \) is the standard Q function. The BER conditioned on \( (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \) is therefore upper and lower bounded by

\[
P_U(m) = Q\left( \sqrt{2E_b/N_0} (1 + (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J) \right)
\]
(9)
and

\[
P_L(m) = Q\left( \sqrt{2E_b/N_0} (1 + (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J) \right)
\]
(10)
respectively. Hence, the upper \( P_U \) and lower \( P_L \) bounds on the average BER are

\[
\{
P_U \}
= \sum_{m=-N_J}^{N_J} \Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} \times \left\{ \frac{P_U(m)}{P_L(m)} \right\}
\]
(11)
and

\[
\{
P_L \}
= \sum_{m=-N_J}^{N_J} \Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} \times \left\{ \frac{P_L(m)}{P_U(m)} \right\}
\]
(12)
It is apparent that the tightness of the bounds is determined by \( \Delta_J \). By selecting a sufficiently small \( \Delta_J \) in the computation, the bounds can be made very tight. Numerical results shown in Fig. 1 demonstrate the tightness of the bounds.

III. CODE PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

Properties and generation of Walsh codes have been well documented in the literature. Zadoff–Chu sequences, being a special case of generalized chirp-like sequences [9], have been proposed for asynchronous MC-CDMA [6] due to advantages of low crest factor and small maximum spectral crosscorrelation magnitude. A Zadoff–Chu sequence \( \{\alpha_m(r, q), m = 0, \cdots, N-1 \} \) of length \( N \) is generated by [6]

\[
\alpha_m(r, q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{j2\pi m+n+r+q} N \text{ even} \]
(12)
and

\[
\alpha_m(r, q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{j2\pi m+n+r+q} N \text{ odd}
\]
respectively. Hence, the upper \( P_U \) and lower \( P_L \) bounds on the average BER are

\[
P_U(m) = Q\left( \sqrt{2E_b/N_0} (1 + (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J) \right)
\]
and

\[
P_L(m) = Q\left( \sqrt{2E_b/N_0} (1 + (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J) \right)
\]
respectively. Hence, the upper \( P_U \) and lower \( P_L \) bounds on the average BER are

\[
\{P_U\} = \sum_{m=-N_J}^{N_J} \Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} \times \left\{ \frac{P_U(m)}{P_L(m)} \right\}
\]
and

\[
\{P_L\} = \sum_{m=-N_J}^{N_J} \Pr\{ (m - \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \leq J < (m + \frac{1}{2})\Delta_J \} \times \left\{ \frac{P_L(m)}{P_U(m)} \right\}
\]
Walsh codes is less than that of Zadoff–Chu sequences. In the comparison under the same $K/N$ condition, performance improvement of using Zadoff–Chu sequences over Walsh codes would be even greater, and same conclusions follow.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have derived upper and lower bounds on the average BER for asynchronous MC-CDMA communications using BPSK with coherent detection. The bounds can be very tight if the parameter $\Delta_f$ is chosen to be sufficiently small. Numerical results on the derived bounds have shown that using Zadoff–Chu sequences as spreading sequences in asynchronous MC-CDMA performs better than using Walsh codes.

### TABLE I

Upper ($P_U$) and Lower ($P_L$) Error Bounds for $E_b/N_0 = 15$ dB and $K = 6$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>$P_L$</th>
<th>$P_U$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zadoff–Chu $N = 31$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh $N = 32$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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