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An in situ transmission electron microscope investigation into grain growth and ordering of sputter-deposited nanocrystalline Ni$_3$Al thin films
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(Received 10 March 2002; accepted 28 May 2002)

The grain growth kinetics and ordering behavior of direct-current magnetron sputter-deposited Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% alloy films were investigated using in situ isothermal annealing in a transmission electron microscope. Both normal and abnormal grain growth modes were observed. The normal grain growth kinetics under isothermal heating from 300 to 700 °C were found to comply with the Burke law $d = Kd_{0}^{n-1}$, where $d$ is grain size and $K$ and $n$ are constants with respect to time. The grain boundary mobility parameter $K$ was found to obey an Arrhenius rate law with an apparent activation energy of 1.6 eV, and $n$ was found to increase gradually from 5.2 at 300 °C to 8.7 at 700 °C. Abnormal grain growth occurred at 500 °C or higher, and grain coalescence was identified as an important operative mechanism. It was also observed that the initially as-deposited state of the films was crystalline with a disordered face-centered-cubic structure, but ordering into the equilibrium L$_1$$_2$ intermetallic structure followed from annealing at temperatures above approximately 500 °C.

I. INTRODUCTION

Intermetallic films are being actively investigated for potential use as functional coatings for engineering applications ranging from aeroengines to microelectronic devices. Numerous studies have been dedicated to intermetallic aluminate coatings in the last few years, with substantial attention paid to the mechanical properties and high temperature oxidation resistance of Fe–Al, Ni–Al, and Ti–Al-based coatings. For instance, the present authors and Xu et al. have reported that Ni$_3$Al coatings can provide Ni-based alloys with significantly improved surface strength, as well as effective protection from thermal oxidation. Similarly, Leyens et al. showed that Ti–Al-based coatings exhibit a variety of beneficial properties on the oxidation, creep, and fatigue of TIMETAL 1100 high temperature alloys. On the other hand, the metallization of semiconductors by intermetallic aluminides like Ni–Al and Ti–Al as interconnects represents a growing field of interest in the microelectronic industry.

In a recent paper, we demonstrated that direct-current (dc) magnetron sputter-deposited Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% thin films exhibit a phenomenal electrical transition from an insulating state to a conducting state upon mild heating. The transition effect is found to be reversible with respect to temperature changes, implying that the transition happens at constant microstructure. This is to be distinguished from another effect of electrical resistance variation due to annealing of the film’s microstructures. The as-deposited state of the Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% films is known to be nanocrystalline with a typical grain size of the order of a few nanometers. Such a refined nanocrystalline configuration, together with a significant oxygen content measured in the Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% films, is believed to be a factor leading to the observed electrical transition effect.

The reversible transition is found to occur over a temperature range from room temperature to about 200 °C, within which grain growth is suppressed. One possible application of the reversible transition effect in nanocrystalline Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% thin films would be as thermal switches in microelectromechanical systems, but the applicability hinges on the thermal stability of the film with respect to grain growth and other microstructural changes. There are at least two reasons why grain growth in nanocrystalline materials can be potentially very different from the situation in conventional large grained materials. The first is the absence of dislocations in the nanocrystalline state, and hence one would not expect recovery or recrystallization to precede grain growth. This point is of crucial importance to processing routes involving ball milling in the powder metallurgy. Secondly, the physical dimension of the grain boundaries in...
a nanocrystalline material would limit the formation of conventional second phase particles in large grained materials, and hence the conventional Zener drag mechanism of grain boundary pinning would no longer be applicable. The present paper therefore represents an attempt to study the kinetics of grain growth and ordering in nanocrystalline Ni75at.%Al25at.% thin films.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The Ni–Al thin films were deposited by a dc planar magnetron sputtering device (Bal-Tec MED-020) (BAL-TEC AG, Balzers, Liechtenstein) with a water-cooled Ni–27.4% Al alloy target, which is capable of yielding the stoichiometric Ni3Al composition through controlling a number of parameters reported elsewhere. In this work, the base sputtering pressure used was 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar or better, compared to the 1 × 10⁻⁵ mbar this work, the base sputtering pressure used was 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar or better, compared to the 1 × 10⁻⁵ mbar in our previous study. Commercially pure (>99.5%) nickel substrates with a thickness of 1 mm was homogenized at 1000 °C for 24 h prior to surface treatments and deposition for all experiments involving post-deposition annealing so that any possible microstructural changes in the substrates that may influence the films could be avoided. The substrates were mechanically ground and polished down to 1 μm followed by solvent cleaning in ethyl alcohol and acetone to remove organic contaminations. The in-line target-to-substrate distance was fixed at 80 mm. To ensure the purity of the deposit, the target was presputtered for more than 30 min with the substrate protected by a shutter before actual deposition on the substrate. The sputtering gas was ultrahigh purity argon (>99.999%) at a pressure of 5 × 10⁻² mbar. All deposition was performed at a sputtering current of 90–100 mA, which is equivalent to a sputtering power of 70 W or a deposition rate of 2–3 Å/s. No intentional heating was applied to the substrates in the course of deposition, although the plasma discharge would be able to raise the substrate temperature to about 100 °C. The Ni75at.%Al25at.% films prepared for the present grain growth analysis were all 2 μm in thickness unless otherwise stated.

The film specimens for in situ cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM) were prepared using a special “C-Ring” method. In this method, nickel substrates with a semihexagonal cross-section (2 mm wide and 30 mm long) were prepared by electric discharge wire cutting and deposited with Ni75at.%Al25at.% films on their longitudinal surfaces. A pair of such coated substrates were glued together with their coated surfaces facing each other using the Aron-Alpha® instant-glue (Tokyo, Japan). Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was applied to characterize the planar surface morphology of the as-deposited Ni75at.%Al25at.% films. AFM scanning was performed in the contact mode using a Digital Instruments Nanoscope IIIA controlled microscope (Santa Barbara, CA). In situ TEM heat treatments of the specimens were carried out on an Oxford resistive hot-stage in a JOEL 2000FX TEM (Tokyo, Japan) operated at 200 kV. To study the grain growth kinetics as a function of time and temperature, dynamic microstructural observations were carried out on the XTEM specimens during a series of isothermal in situ heat treatments, each lasting for approximately 50 min and at different temperatures up to the nominal instrumental limit of 800 °C. A constant heating rate of 20 °C/min was used for all temperature increments throughout.

The determination of grain size was performed on digitized XTEM micrographs with the aid of an image analyzer software. The experimental grain size distributions were fitted with Gaussian distributions, and the Gaussian mean and standard deviation were computed. Crystalllographic information of the nanocrystalline Ni75at.%Al25at.% films were obtained by selected area diffraction (SAD). The lattice constant a is deduced from the observable diffraction rings or diffraction spots on a SAD pattern according to the relationship

\[
\frac{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}}{a} = \frac{x_{hkl}}{\Lambda},
\]
where \((hkl)\) is the diffraction plane indices, \(x_{hkl}\) the radii of the diffraction rings, \(L\) the camera length, and \(\lambda\) the electron wavelength. The values of \(x_{hkl}\) were measured using the software ProcessDiffraction\(^{16}\) (Research Institute for Technical Physics and Materials Science, Budapest, Hungary) on SAD patterns digitized at a resolution of 300 dpi, which offers an equivalent instrumental resolution of better than 85 \(\mu\)m on a photographic print.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Nanocrystalline structure and composition of as-deposited Ni\(_{75}\)at.\%Al\(_{25}\)at.\% films

The surface topography of an as-deposited Ni\(_{75}\)at.\%Al\(_{25}\)at.\% thin film deposited on nickel substrate as revealed by AFM is show in Fig. 1(a). The film appears to be composed of nanograins with grain diameters of the order of 10 nm. Figure 1(b) shows a typical XTEM micrograph of the Ni\(_{75}\)at.\%Al\(_{25}\)at.\% film near the film and substrate interface, indicating the actual size of the constituent nanocrystallites to be within 5 to 10 nm in the as-deposited state. The relative compositions of Ni and Al in the films were determined by energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis to be fluctuating about the stoichiometric ratio of 3:1 within ±0.5 at.%. A typical EDX spectrum illustrating the overall chemical composition of a Ni\(_{75}\)at.\%Al\(_{25}\)at.\% film is given in Fig. 2. In addition to the Ni and Al peaks, a prominent oxygen peak at 0.523 keV, with an intensity comparable to those of the Ni and Al counterparts in the spectrum, can be observed. Such a significant oxygen content has been consistently observed in our Ni\(_{75}\)at.\%Al\(_{25}\)at.\% films despite the use of a high base vacuum of approximately 10\(^{-6}\) mbar in conjunction with ultrahigh purity argon as the sputtering gas in our film deposition process.

#### B. Grain growth and microstructure evolution during \textit{in situ} annealing in TEM

Figure 3 shows the grain size distributions of film at different stages of isothermal heat-treatments at temperatures ranging from 300 to 700 \(^\circ\)C. The annealing time given in Fig. 3 was measured from the onset of attainment of the set-point temperature and is used here to indicate the grain growth rate after the set-point temperature was reached. In heat-treatments at high temperatures such as 700 \(^\circ\)C, significant grain growth had already occurred before the set point temperature was reached, and so the time used in Fig. 3 does not correspond to the actual time lapsed from the onset of grain growth. The grain size distributions at 300 \(^\circ\)C [Fig. 3(a)] follow a nearly symmetrical bell shape and can be satisfactorily fitted by Gaussian distributions. Normal grain growth, represented by a steady shift of the overall population to the right side of the graphs as time increases, can be seen to be the dominating growth behavior of the Ni–Al film in the lower temperature regime. However, abnormal grain growth became operative at 500 \(^\circ\)C [Fig. 3(b)], where a minor population of large grains started to emerge after 30 min of annealing. The coexistence of normal and abnormal grain growth is well demonstrated in the histograms at 700 \(^\circ\)C [Fig. 3(c)], where the distributions are clearly bimodal. The fast rate of abnormal grain growth at 700 \(^\circ\)C is evidenced by the speedy propagation of the second peak from initially at approximately 55 nm to finally at approximately 90 nm in 25 min of annealing. The normal grain growth behavior, on the other hand, showed a much milder grain size increment during a comparable period of time.

To characterize the normal grain growth behavior, the Gaussian mean grain size \(d\) and the standard deviation for grains growing normally were measured from the fitted Gaussian distributions of the grain growth histograms after the abnormal growth peaks were ignored when they occurred, and these data are summarized in Table I. The
small difference in the mean grain size between the pre-annealed state and the early stages of annealing at 300 °C (both 7.2–7.3 nm) suggests that grain growth is insignificant below and up to 300 °C. Upon heat treatment at a higher temperature, on the other hand, steady normal grain growth occurred, corresponding to a continuous increase in the mean grain size from slightly larger than 7 nm in the as-deposited state to about 19 nm towards the end of the 700 °C heat treatment.

Figure 4 illustrates the evolution of the nanocrystalline structure of the Ni75at.%Al25at.% film at different stages of the isothermal annealing process. It is evident in the figure that the overall grain size remained fairly uniformly distributed up to a temperature of 400 °C. Beyond 600 °C, abnormal grain growth became significant at a few locations where grains several times larger than the average size had developed quite suddenly out of the matrix. Examples can be found in Fig. 4(f) at locations pointed at by arrows, where several abnormal grains (over 100 nm in diameter) emerged after 25 min of annealing at 700 °C. Figure 5 illustrates the microstructure of a Ni75at.%Al25at.% film undergoing extensive, abnormal grain growth after prolonged annealing at 700 °C. A highly nonuniform grain size distribution resulted.

Figure 6 shows the microstructural evolution in the XTEM specimen after 15 and 45 min of isothermal treatment at 500 °C, during which abnormal grain growth was about to begin. A tendency can be observed in the figures for the nanograins to coalesce to form larger grains of a few tens of nanometers. Grain coalescence was indeed observed to be the main mechanism responsible for the accelerated grain growth at high temperatures. Figures 7(a)–7(l) capture the morphological changes during coalescence between a pair of adjacent nanocrystals. Interestingly, periodic and alternative changes in the image contrast were found to occur between both grains, i.e., at first the upper crystal appeared darker than the lower one in Figs. 7(b)–7(d), but then the lower one became darker than the upper one in Figs. 7(f)–7(j). The contrast variation of the grains was also accompanied by slow flickering of the corresponding diffraction patterns, which are not shown here, and this indicates rotations of crystallographic orientations of the grains within a small angular range. The final amalgamation into a single larger grain is shown in Figs. 7(k) and 7(l), in which the combined grain exhibited a well-defined border with no observable interior interface.
TABLE I. The grain size measured for a Ni_{75at.\%}Al_{25at.\%} film during a series of isothermal annealing at various temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>s.d. (nm)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>s.d. (nm)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>s.d. (nm)</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( d ) (nm)</th>
<th>s.d. (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.301</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.149</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.576</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.095</td>
<td>4.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.487</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.526</td>
<td>1.489</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.313</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17.584</td>
<td>5.565</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As-deposited mean grain size = 7.235 nm (s.d. = 0.881).

FIG. 4. XTEM micrographs showing the progressive development of the overall nanogranular structure of Ni_{75at.\%}Al_{25at.\%} films at different stages of the heat treatment process: (a) before annealing, (b) at 300 °C for 30 min, (c) at 400 °C for 35 min, (d) at 500 °C for 35 min, (e) at 600 °C for 40 min, and (f) at 700 °C for 25 min.

FIG. 5. XTEM of film microstructure after abnormal grain growth at 700 °C.

FIG. 6. XTEM micrographs showing the microstructural changes of a Ni_{75at.\%}Al_{25at.\%} film from an annealing time of (a) 15 min to (b) 45 min at 500 °C, during which coalescence of nanocrystals occurred.
C. Growth kinetics analysis

Figure 8 shows the log–log plot of the Gaussian mean grain size during normal grain growth \((d)\) versus time \((t)\) during isothermal annealing at different temperatures. It can be seen that the isothermal grain growth approximately obeys the law \(d = t^{1/n}\), where \(n\) is a constant. This relationship is consistent with the conventional grain growth law due to Burke\(^{17}\):

\[
\dot{d} = K/d^{n-1},
\]

where \(K\) is the grain-boundary mobility parameter. Integrating Eq. (2) gives \(d^n = d_o^n = Kn\), where \(d_o\) is the initial grain size, and if \(d_o^n\) is negligible compared with \(d^n\), this becomes

\[
\ln(d) = \ln(d_0) + \frac{1}{n} \ln(Kn) .
\]

Thus from the slopes and intercepts of the best straight lines in Fig. 8, the constants \(n\) and \(K\) can be obtained at each annealing temperature. The value of \(n\) is found to increase gradually from 5.2 at 300°C to 8.7 at 700°C. Burke\(^{17}\) further proposed that the constant \(K\) contains the Boltzmann factor, i.e.,

\[
K = K_o \exp \left( -\frac{Q}{kT} \right),
\]

where \(K_o\) is constant, \(Q\) is the activation energy for grain growth, and \(k\) and \(T\) have their usual meanings. In Fig. 9 are shown the Arrehnius plot of \(K\) versus \(1/T\), from which it can be seen that Eq. (4) is verified. The activation energy estimated from the slope in Fig. 8 is about 1.6 eV.

D. Ordering and crystallographic transformations

Despite the fact that the Ni\(_{75}\text{at.\%}Al_{25}\text{at.\%}\) films possess a Ni-to-Al atomic ratio that would fall within the phase field of the Ni\(_3\)Al compound in the bulk condition, previous observations have shown that the low-temperature as-deposited nanocrystalline Ni\(_{75}\text{at.\%}Al_{25}\text{at.\%}\) films have a disordered face-centered-cubic (fcc) crystal structure.
instead. The minimum temperature required to initiate ordering into the equilibrium L1₂ structure was previously found to be around 500 °C–700 °C, depending on the fabrication techniques and processing conditions. In the present work, 500 °C was found to be an adequate temperature to trigger the ordering of the Ni₀.₇₅Al₀.₂₅ nanocrystallites inside the TEM.

The crystallographic transformation of the Ni–Al film during isothermal annealing at 500 °C is indicated by the changes of the SAD patterns shown in Fig. 10. The initial crystal structure of the Ni₀.₇₅Al₀.₂₅ film is evidently disordered fcc, as suggested by the ringlike SAD pattern and the absence of superlattice reflections. By assuming a cubic crystal structure, the linear fit of
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TABLE II. Values of $x_{hkl}$ measured on photographic prints of SADP for a Ni_{75at.\%}Al_{25at.\%} film during different stages of isothermal annealing at 500 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$l$</th>
<th>$\sqrt{h^2+k^2+l^2}$</th>
<th>$x_{hkl}$/cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$t = 0 \text{ min}$</td>
<td>$t = 20 \text{ min}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.449</td>
<td>1.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.828</td>
<td>1.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.317</td>
<td>1.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.464</td>
<td>1.946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sqrt{h^2+k^2+l^2}$ against the corresponding $x_{hkl}$ values for the observable low-order reflections, as tabulated in Table II, verifies a single disordered fcc phase [Fig. 10(a)]. Ordering into the L1₂ superlattice structure is found to take place after 20 min of annealing at 500 °C. This is evidenced by the formation of an intensified (100) superlattice reflection ring, which appeared hitherto as a diffused halo in the center of the diffraction pattern at the onset of the 500 °C heat treatment, as well as the emergence of additional superlattice reflections such as (110) and (211) in the form of discrete spots [Figs. 10(b) and 10(c)]. The transformation from an initially ringed SAD pattern into a spotty appearance also suggests significant grain growth accompanying the ordering progress. Figure 10(d) shows a SAD pattern of the Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% film at $t = 165 \text{ min}$. In spite of an exceptional ambiguous spot observed between the (100) and (110) reflections, the satisfactory matching of all the other reflections into the L₁₂ structure as evidenced by the linear plot of $\sqrt{h^2+k^2+l^2}$ against $x_{hkl}$ indicates that the nanocrystals were fully ordered into the L₁₂ phase after prolonged annealing at 500 °C.

There is a clear trend of lattice expansion recorded for the Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% nanocrystallites as ordering occurs. The lattice parameter $a$ deduced from the slopes of the plots in Fig. 10 according to Eq. (1) had an initial value of 3.59 Å at the onset of the 500 °C annealing, and it eventually increased to 3.65 Å after an annealing period of 165 min after which full ordering occurred. These are in qualitative agreement with the expected lattice expansion on ordering of the nickel-rich $\gamma$ phase ($a = 3.52$ Å) into the ordered L₁₂ $\gamma'$ phase ($a = 3.57$ Å) in the bulk condition.

IV. DISCUSSION

The grain growth of the Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% nanocrystallites measured from our XTEM experiments is of a similar order of magnitude compared with those observed for ball-milled nanocrystalline metallic materials with a similar initial grain size and at comparable temperatures. Varin et al.¹⁹ reported that ball-milled L₁₂ TiAl₃ nanograins initially grew from several nanometers to 30–100 nm on annealing at 600 °C for 1 h, depending on the milling conditions. They used a fast heating rate of 200 °C/min in their annealing experiments, and so the heating time to the set-point temperature is negligible compared to the isothermal annealing time they used. The mean grain growth rate in their study can therefore be estimated to be about 30 to 100 nm/h at 600 °C. In our Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% films, because of the instrumental limitation of the heating rate to only 20 °C/min, the heating time to a set-point temperature of 700 °C, for example, was 35 min, and this is not negligible. The data given in Table I suggests that after 25 min of isothermal annealing at 700 °C, the grain size reached approximately 18 nm from an initial value of about 7 nm. The mean grain growth rate during the first hour of annealing, including the 35 min of heating-up, is thus roughly 11 nm/h. Bearing in mind that the mean growth rate during the first hour of heating calculated this way could only increase with a faster heating rate, the grain growth rate of our Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% nanocrystallites can be expected to have a similar order of magnitude as the ball-milled TiAl₃ nanograins in the study by Varin et al.¹⁹ It is also worth noting that the grain size in our Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% film, which was from about 7 nm as-deposited to a maximum value of about 19 nm after annealing at 700 °C, is far smaller than the minimum thickness of the TEM disks, which was typically 100–150 nm. The grain growth of the Ni₇₅at.\%Al₂₅at.\% nanocrystallites in our XTEM experiments can therefore be expected to be predominantly three dimensional rather than two dimensional. In other words, the surface effects typical in TEM in situ experiments are not important in the nanocrystalline situation.

The data in Table I suggest that grain growth became very sluggish at large grain sizes. The thermal stability of
large grain sizes is traditionally ascribed to grain-boundary pinning mechanisms that hinder the grain boundary mobility essential for grain growth. As proposed by Burke, stagnation of grain growth can be attributed to various drag forces acting on grain boundaries due to segregation of second phase particles, impurities, and pores. The pinning mechanism by second phase particles, however, is unlikely to be operative in the nanocrystalline state, leaving impurity or pore drag as the viable mechanisms for the growth stagnation observed in the present films. In fact, nanocrystalline metallic materials are well known to be susceptible to impurity contaminations, especially C, N, and O. The segregation of these interstitial contaminants to grain boundaries, and/or the formation of oxides or carbides, were postulated by Morris-Muñoz et al. and Lee et al. to have contributed to the grain-size stabilization observed in their nanocrystalline Fe–Al and Ni, respectively. In Fig. 2 and in a previous paper, we reported the observation of a significant amount of oxygen in our nanocrystalline Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% thin films deposited by magnetron sputtering. The absence of oxide phases observable in the Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% films during XRD and TEM characterizations suggests that the oxygen could either be trapped by means of gas-phase physical adsorption or, less likely, form amorphous oxides with the metallic species. We have insufficient information at present to interpret the mechanisms of such a significant oxygen inclusion effect. However, because it is an impurity in nature, the oxygen is likely to play an important role on grain boundary pinning, especially by impurity drag, against the grain growth during the heat treatments of the nanograins.

Impurity drag is well-known to be a grain-size dependent effect, considering the fact that the concentration of impurity segregated at the grain boundaries should increase with the reduction in overall boundary area brought about by grain growth. This implies that grain growth will be retarded as the grain size continues to increase. The kinetics for normal grain growth in our Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% films are found to be consistent with the Burke law in Eq. (2). Although the Burke law was derived based on the assumption of constant driving force, Michels et al. have recently shown that even when a linear dependence of grain-boundary pinning on grain size is taken into consideration, the resultant grain-growth curve can still be accurately described by the Burke law, albeit a constant rescaling of the boundary mobility parameter $K$ in Eq. (2). The activation energy calculated from the temperature dependence of the boundary mobility parameter using the Burke law would therefore not be affected by any grain-size dependence of boundary pinning. The activation energy for grain growth in the nanocrystalline Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% is determined to be 1.6 eV, which is in good agreement with the value obtained for bulk Ni$_3$Al. This suggests that the mechanism for normal grain growth in nanocrystalline Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% is similar to that in the bulk condition.

In addition to normal grain growth, the abnormal growth of certain Ni$_3$Al grains has also been observed at elevated annealing temperatures of 500 °C or higher. A theoretical treatment on two-dimensional abnormal grain growth performed by Rollett and Mullins yields a complex dependence of abnormal grain growth on the original grain size as well as on the competition between the grain boundary mobility and the grain-matrix interfacial energies. Yet, grain boundary mobility is regarded as the most important factor for the abnormal growth. The occurrence of abnormal grain growth at high temperatures may thus be associated with a restoration of grain-boundary mobility for certain grains. This is presumably possible if boundary-dragging species have a low thermal stability and decompose at high temperatures, a case of which has been reported previously.

On the other hand, coalescence in Fig. 7 has been observed to be an operative mechanism for abnormal grain growth. An interesting feature associated with the coalescence process is the rotation of the adjoining grains, presumably required for crystallographic alignment before the subsequent merging of the two grains. Our observation is in good agreement with the work of Haslam et al., who performed numerical simulation on the growth of nanocrystalline fcc metals and concluded that rotation coalescence is crucial to the growth of nanograins rather than the curvature-driven grain-boundary migration alone. The driving force (or torque) for the grain rotation might arise from the tendency of the grains to reduce their overall grain boundary energy due to misorientations. In Fig. 7, one cycle of the grain rotation completes in a few minutes at 800 °C (note the time scale in Fig. 7). To our knowledge, no such rapid grain rotation has ever been observed in the coalescence of large grains. The reason may be that inertia scales with the volume while the driving force for rotation scales with the surface area. Hence the speed of grain rotation should have a significant size effect; namely, rapid rotation can only occur at very small grain sizes.

Another interesting phenomenon in nanocrystalline Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% thin films is the disorderliness in the as-deposited state. De Almeida et al. have recently studied radio-frequency magnetron sputter deposited Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% thin films, which were found to be ordered into the L1$_2$ equilibrium phase even in the as-deposited state. However, using a low-power dc magnetron sputtering condition as similar to the present experiments, we have found previously that the as-deposited state of similar films is disordered, but ordering into the equilibrium L1$_2$ phase follows on annealing. The present results confirm our previous
finding that as-deposited Ni$_{75}$at.%Al$_{25}$at.% films made using low-power sputtering indeed have a disordered fcc structure, and ordering follows on heating at 500 °C or above. The lack of thermal agitation under the low-power sputtering condition is thought to be the reason for not achieving the equilibrium L$_{12}$ phase in the as-deposited state. Another plausible reason may be that the thermodynamic driving force for ordering may have a size effect; i.e., when the grain size is small enough, surface effects become increasingly important, which may reduce the overall driving force for ordering. A detailed analysis of the relative phase stability between $\gamma$ and $\gamma'$ in the nanometer scale would be required to understand this potential effect.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The normal grain growth kinetics under in situ isothermal heating from 300 to 700 °C in the TEM were found to obey the Burke growth law. The grain-boundary mobility was found to obey an Arrhenius rate law with an apparent activation energy of 1.6 eV, which agreed well with the value in bulk. In addition to the normal grain growth, abnormal grain growth was also observed. Grain coalescence was identified as a mechanism for abnormal grain growth. Rapid grain rotations to achieve crystallographic alignment were observed as a prerequisite step prior to coalescence. The initially as-deposited state of the films was crystalline with a fcc disordered structure. Ordering into the equilibrium L$_{12}$ superlattice structure followed from annealing at temperatures above approximately 500 °C.
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