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Abstract

There have been very few empirical studies investigating
the value enhancement effects of refurbishment and most
rely on cross-sectional data, which cannot show the
before and after effects conclusively because of the
heterogeneous nature of the properties. The problem of
refurbishment is more complicated in buildings or housing
estates with multiple-ownerships since refurbishment is a
collective decision, which can sometimes be difficult to
achieve. Uses panel data in Hong Kong to estimate the
impact of refurbishment on the market value of properties
in a large housing estate. The results show that the
refurbishment brought about approximately a 9 per cent
increase in the market value of the properties, which far
exceeds the cost of refurbishment. Suggests that property
owners of a housing estate will benefit if they can reach a
collective decision on renovation.
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1. Introduction

It has often been assumed theoretically that
refurbishment would enhance the market
value of aged buildings because it can restore
and improve the building conditions
physically and economically. However, the
reluctance of landlords of aged buildings to
carry out refurbishment works suggests the
contrary. There are several reasons for this.
First, if the ownership of a building is
fragmented, the negotiating cost between
owners may be so high that it prohibits a
collective decision from being made. Second,
since owners are generally not familiar with
the refurbishment process, a problem of
adverse selection may arise. The lack of cost
and quality information on contractors and
their works may deter the owners from
refurbishing their buildings. The third reason
is that they may not be able to realize whether
the benefits of refurbishment will outweigh
the costs. The net benefits, if any, should be
reflected in the market price. Focusing on the
third issue, this paper attempts to construct a
methodology to assess the value enhancement
effects of refurbishment so as to help owners
formulate their refurbishment decisions.

It is seldom empirically tested whether there
are value enhancement effects of
refurbishment, let alone the net value
enhancement (value increases minus the cost
of refurbishment). The difficulty in testing the
hypothesis rests on the absence of like-with-
like comparison. On one hand, since
refurbishment is usually undertaken for the
whole building/estate, a cross-sectional
comparison of the values of flats within the
same building/estate is not useful. The cross-
sectional comparison can be extended to
other non-refurbished buildings, but this
would inevitably introduce other sets of
heterogeneous property attributes. For
example, it is hard to distinguish the effects of
refurbishment from those of other
characteristics, such as locational difference.
On the other hand, inter-temporal
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comparison of the value of a flat before and after refurbishment does not help draw any conclusion because it is influenced by the overall property market. This paper aims to circumvent the above difficulties and exploits the panel data hedonic approach to test the value enhancement effects of refurbishment in Hong Kong. Several controls and cross-checks, such as the repeat-sales hedonic model, are provided to verify the results. The net value enhancement would also be presented for reference.

The paper is arranged into five sections. The ensuing section will conduct a literature review on both the theory and empirical test of the value enhancement of refurbishment. Then the methodology and data of our test will be discussed in Section 3. Section 4 will present the results of the test and controls. Conclusions will be provided in Section 5.

2. Literature review

It is often assumed theoretically that refurbishment would enhance the market value of a property, but there are few empirical studies on it. Theoretical models can be traced back to Sweeney (1974), Dildine and Massey (1974), and Ohls (1975). They developed models on the opposing impacts to the rate of depreciation of maintenance, on the premise that refurbishment produced net value enhancement. Arnott et al. (1983) extended the model to include rehabilitation. Elliot et al. (1985) further extended Dildine and Massey's model by assuming that maintenance effectiveness depends on neighborhood quality. Vorst (1987) developed a model of maintenance choice under uncertainty, whereas Anas and Arnott (1991) explicitly included upgrading, downgrading, and demolition choices in their dynamic model. Kuttu (1995) expanded the model to consider the inter-temporal nature of the problem, uncertainty, and expectations. However, so far, the above studies were concentrated on the development of theoretical models and no empirical test was carried out. Dubin (1998) tried to simplify the model into two discrete periods and maintenance states. The model was verified by a simulation.

Similarly, in the line of the optimization study of timing of the refurbishment cycle, the positive effects of refurbishment on value were assumed, yet few empirical studies were conducted. Wong and Norman (1994) developed the theory of optimal timing of refurbishment, but justified on a numerical simulation only. Wong (2000) addressed the issue of the lack of empirical tests on their theory, but only a case study was carried out to identify the sensitivities of values to the refurbishment cycle. The assumption of "brand new" conditions achievable by refurbishment is subject to empirical analysis.

Dunse and Jones (1998) have explicitly incorporated interactive terms between age and refurbishment in their hedonic analysis of offices in the Glasgow study. They found that the asking rent of a refurbished office exceeded that of a non-refurbished one in aged-office. However, they adopted a cross-sectional hedonic approach which did not distinguish the effects of location from refurbishment. In addition, asking rent is not a good proxy for market value.

3. Methodology and data

In this study, a cross-sectional inter-temporal (panel) data analysis is required to separate the value enhancement effects of refurbishment from other factors. This is because panel data, which involves the repeated measurement of the values of individual properties over time, can help measure the price differentials before and after refurbishment. Since refurbishment is applied to the whole building/estate, non-refurbished buildings/estates are required to act as a control to extricate the price differentials. In the following examples, we will refer to the refurbished estate as Estate A, and the controlled estate as Estate B. The hedonic pricing model is employed to estimate the implicit prices of the property attributes, including the refurbishment. This is achieved by regressing property prices on property characteristics such as building age and floor area. This method allows the heterogeneous property attributes to be standardized so that a like-with-like comparison can be made. Rosen (1974) is the classic reference on the theory of hedonic pricing model.

To operationalize a panel data hedonic pricing model, a large amount of transaction prices and property attributes are needed. In
Hong Kong, two very large and popular estates meet this stringent data requirement: Pokfulam Garden (PFL – Estate A) and Chi Fu Fa Yuen (CFFY – Estate B). They are actively transacted estates. There were 888 and 3,206 transactions in these estates during the periods July 1991-December 1997 and July 1999-March 2001, respectively. The other reason for choosing this sample selection is that they are located in close proximity to each other, and share common amenities such as bus terminals, shopping arcades, and public services. Furthermore, the two estates have highly similar physical characteristics, such as the range of floor area, number of storeys, and the age of buildings. As a result, much heterogeneity, such as locational differences and marginal price differences of attributes, can be eliminated. Table I shows the summary statistics of the data.

Estate A has undergone a comprehensive refurbishment at the end of 1998, including a complete replacement of tiles in the external facade and re-plumbing. The preparation works such as the erection of scaffolding commenced in early 1998 and the project was completed in late 1998. The cost of the refurbishment was about $50 million in 1997 for a total gross floor area of about 0.91 million square feet. The unit cost of refurbishment is therefore $55 per square foot. For example, owners of a 600-square-foot flat had to pay about $33,000 for its refurbishment. In contrast, the adjacent similar Estate B has performed routine maintenance and minor repairs only, thus serving as a control.

The hedonic pricing model
Specifically, the two estates (Estate A and B) will be estimated by the semi-logarithm hedonic pricing model of equation (1) below by the ordinary least squares (OLS) technique. The model assumes the effects of refurbishment on property value to be a step function so that significant increases in value only occur beyond a certain threshold:

$$\ln V = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 AGE + \alpha_3 AGE^2 + \alpha_4 GFA + \alpha_5 GFA^2 + \alpha_6 FLOOR + \alpha_7 FLOOR^2 + \alpha_8 FVIEW \times EAST + \alpha_9 FVIEW \times SOUTH + \alpha_{10} FVIEW \times WEST + \alpha_{11} PVVIEW \times EAST + \alpha_{12} PVVIEW \times SOUTH + \alpha_{13} PVVIEW \times WEST + \alpha_{14} PVVIEW \times NORTH + \alpha_{15} NVVIEW \times EAST + \alpha_{16} NVVIEW \times SOUTH + \alpha_{17} NVVIEW \times WEST + \beta_1 EST_A \times PRE_RF + \beta_2 EST_A \times POST_RF + \sum_{i=1}^{T} \lambda_i TIME_i + \varepsilon$$

where

- $V$ is the nominal transaction price of the property in HK dollars;
- $AGE$ is the age of the building in months, which equals the difference between the date of issue of the occupation permit and the date of the transaction;
- $GFA$ is the gross floor area of the transacted property in square feet;
- $FLOOR$ is the floor level of the transacted property;
- $FVIEW$ is a dummy variable which equals 1 when the property possesses a panoramic sea view, and zero otherwise;
- $PVVIEW$ is a dummy variable which equals 1 when the property possesses a partially obstructed sea view, and zero otherwise;
- $NVVIEW$ is a dummy variable which equals 1 when the property does not possess any sea view, and zero otherwise;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I Summary statistics of the transaction data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pokfulam Garden (PFL – Estate A)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRICE (HK$ million)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AREA (sf)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLOOR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGE (months)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chi Fu Fa Yuen (CFFY – Estate B)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRICE (HK$ million)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AREA (sf)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FLOOR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGE (months)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EAST, SOUTH, WEST, and NORTH are dummy variables which equal 1 when the property's windows face east, south, west, and north, respectively, and zero otherwise; \(EST\_A\) is a dummy variable which equals 1 when the property belongs to Estate A - Pokfulam Garden, and zero otherwise; \(PRE\_RFM\) is a dummy variable which equals 1 when the transaction was engaged before the refurbishment and zero otherwise; \(POST\_RFM\) is dummy variables which equals 1 when the transaction was engaged after the refurbishment and zero otherwise; \(TIME\_i\) is a dummy variable which equals 1 when \(i\) equals the date of transaction \((t_i)\); and zero otherwise, where \(i\) ranges from July 1991-December 1997 and July 1999-March 2001; \(\alpha_k, \beta_k\) and \(\chi_k\) are the coefficients to be estimated; and \(\varepsilon\) is the stochastic term.

While coefficient \(\alpha_1\) serves as the intercept, coefficients \(\alpha_2-\alpha_{17}\) capture the effects of structural and neighborhood attributes. Square terms are added for the structural attributes \((AGE, GFA\) and \(FLOOR\)) to cater for their non-linear marginal effects. The sign of \(AGE\) coefficient is expected to be negative in reflecting the deterioration of the premises with respect to age, but whether such effect is diminishing or increasing is uncertain. The coefficients of \(GFA\) and \(FLOOR\) are expected to show positive but diminishing effects.

The neighborhood effects come from sea view and orientations. It is expected that a full sea view is prefered to a partially obstructed sea view, which is, in turn, preferred to the absence of any sea view. Orientations are included because a flat facing west is subject to the problem of thermal transmission from the sun. Since orientations also capture the difference in sea views, the dummy variables for orientations and sea views are interacted to orthogonalize them. The \(FVIEW\times NORTH\) is missing because the site does not provide any flats facing north, which can enjoy a full sea view. The \(NVIEW\times NORTH\) is dropped as it is the base for other dummy variables. Coefficients \(\chi_i\) reflect the time effects on the property price.

The focus of this study lies on the coefficients \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\), which measure the price differentials between the two estates before and after the refurbishment. Since individual flat attributes are controlled, the difference should reflect the general quality difference between the two estates. Although the refurbishment was completed at the end of 1998, the expectation of the positive results of the refurbishment may be formed well before the works. Similarly, the outcome of the refurbishment may take time to distribute to the market after the works. Therefore, a nine-month period before and after the works (January 1998-June 1999) is truncated from the sample data. In other words, the periods to be studied are July 1991-December 1997 and July 1999-March 2001.

The repeat-sales approach

As a cross-check for the results of the hedonic pricing model, a repeat-sales pricing model is utilized to identify the price differentials from the price indexes of the building/estate before and after refurbishment. The advantage of this approach is that the unchanged property attributes can be omitted so that the problem of arbitrarily defined sea views is avoided.

Retaining the assumption that property characteristics and their implicit prices do not change between the first sale \((t_1)\) and the second sale \((t_2)\), Bailey et al. (1963) introduced the following repeat-sales model (BMN model):

\[
\ln \left( \frac{V_{i_t}}{V_{i_{t_1}}} \right) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_i D_{it} + u_{it_1t_2} \tag{2}
\]

where \(V_{it}\) denotes the sales price of house \(i\) in period \(t\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m; t = 0, \ldots, T)\); \(\alpha_i\) denotes the estimated coefficient for time dummy \(D_{it}\); and \(u_{it_1t_2}\) is the error term. The time dummies are set to take the value \(-1\) if \(t = t_1, +1\) if \(t = t_2\), and zero otherwise. Note that \(\alpha_0\) has been set to zero so as to normalize the price index at time period 0. The model can be thought of the subtraction of two hedonic regression models from the second sale to the first sale. Then the price index can be constructed by the series of \(exp(\alpha_i)\).

Results

The presentation of the results is divided into two parts. The first part presents the results of the hedonic pricing model. The gross value enhancement effects of the refurbishment will be identified first, and then the net value enhancement effects of the refurbishment will be discussed with reference to the cost of the
The hedonic pricing model

The results of the hedonic pricing model are shown in Table II. Most of the coefficients are highly significant. For the structural attributes, the coefficients of GFA and FLOOR and their squared terms are significant at the 1 per cent level with opposite sign, confirming their diminishing marginal effects. Similarly, the coefficients of AGE and its squared term are also significant at the 10 per cent level with expected sign, which reveals the diminishing marginal effects of age. The lower significance of the effects of age is possibly due to the lack of variability of age in the data set in which only two estates were used. For the neighborhood attributes, sea views and orientations appeared to have a significant effect on property values. The negative and significant coefficient of $\text{NVIEW} \times \text{WEST}$ indicates that this is the least preferred type of view as far as the two estates are concerned. The results for the time dummy variables are not shown in the tables for clarity of presentation.

Most importantly, the coefficients of $\text{EST} \_\text{PRE} \_\text{RFM}$ and $\text{EST} \_\text{POST} \_\text{RFM}$ estimated the value enhancement effects of refurbishment. It was found that before the refurbishment of Estate A, its value was about 5.45 per cent less than that of Estate B. However, after the refurbishment, the value of Estate A overtook that of Estate B, with a price difference of about 4.38 per cent. This meant that the value of a flat in Estate A was on average 9.8 per cent higher than that in Estate B (at the price level of July 1991) after the refurbishment. These findings also corresponded to the conclusion drawn from the repeat-sales model.

Making use of the average market value of the estates in July 1991, which was about $2,391 per square foot, the value enhanced is therefore $234 per square foot. Taking into account the direct cost of refurbishment ($55 per square foot at the 1997 price level, which is equivalent to $39 at the 1991 price level by assuming a 6 per cent discount rate), the net value enhancement provided is about $195 per square foot; that is, $117,000 for a 600-square-foot flat.

The repeat-sales model

The price indexes of the two estates, together with the government published property price index of selected popular estates in Hong Kong, are shown in Figure 1. First, the pattern of price change generally follows the government price index. Second, the price indexes show clearly that Estate A underperformed Estate B in market value before the refurbishment (1998), but the market values of the two estates became commensurate after the refurbishment. However, in view of the limited indexing points in the time series, especially after the refurbishment, rigorous tests on the difference cannot be performed.

Conclusions

This paper proposes a methodology to measure empirically the value enhancement effects of refurbishment in Hong Kong. Two actively transacted estates in close proximity to each other, one of which has undergone the replacement of external tiles, were studied. Panel data was collected and analyzed using a
Figure 1 Price indexes of Estate A and B and the RVD price index

hedonic approach, which was used to estimate the effect of refurbishment on property values. As a control, a repeat-sales model was further estimated to depict the price indexes of the two estates to show the price differentials of the two estates before and after the refurbishment. The data showed that refurbishment increased price levels by approximately 9.8 per cent. The increase in values of the properties far exceeded the cost of refurbishment. This meant that there is a net benefit to refurbishing a housing estate.

Despite the benefit of enhancing property values, refurbishment is obstructed by some other forces in practice. One is the indirect costs associated with disruption, loss of tenant goodwill and loss of amenity during refurbishment. The other is that this benefit can only be captured by a collective decision to refurbish the housing estate. This may not be easily achieved for various reasons. The adjacent housing estate (Chi Fu), which serves as a control in our analysis, is a good example of the difficulty of reaching a collective decision that is beneficial to all decision makers. In fact, this case is the norm rather than the exception. The externalities generated by the lack of collective decisions to maintain buildings to prevent their premature physical depreciation in Hong Kong are becoming a social problem. What seems to be a win-win situation cannot be easily achieved in practice. While the factors affecting the collective decisions of landlords with regard to refurbishment in a building or housing estate require more in-depth investigation, the results have important practical implications. First, the approach used here demonstrates a method of estimating the value enhancement effects of refurbishment, which can be adopted to evaluate the benefit of refurbishment in other cases. Second, although refurbishment may bring about a net benefit to all property owners in a multiple-ownership building or housing estate, this may not take place as the property owners may not be able to reach a collective decision. Therefore, efforts should be directed towards means of facilitating this decision-making process. Third, from the property investors’ point of view, the governance structure and decision-making process of the owners of a multiple ownership building or housing estate is also an important factor that needs to be taken into account before making investment decisions in these multiple-ownership properties.

References
