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Abstract

The workforce in the 21st century is ageing. There is increasingly larger proportion of workforce 50 years of age or older. This ageing situation is prevalent in the Hong Kong construction industry as about 41\% of total registered construction workers in Hong Kong are 50-year-old or above as at 31 Aug 2018, and the failure of the industry to attract younger workers. These situations have collectively led to serious manpower shortage. Most construction workers are experiencing physically demanding works on a daily basis. Workers are also subjected to constant psychosocial pressures including the need to face stressful environmental conditions, long and sometimes irregular work hours, unpredictable workplaces and conditions, and dis-continual employment. In addition, the organisational and institutional arrangements in Hong Kong construction industry are less supportive in providing favourable working environment for older workers. Despite these misgivings, we know very little of the conditions older construction workers experience in Hong Kong construction industry. There is therefore a need to investigate such issues and propose possible intervention to improve the working conditions of our senior workers. With these objectives, in this paper, we first report the findings of a small scale survey on the care of older workers in Hong Kong construction industry, and second propose potential intervention by combining the findings of the survey and our industry observations of the practices implemented by progressive contracting companies in Hong Kong. In developing the intervention scheme, we draw from the approach of the emerging field of integrated health and safety protection and promotion. The scheme focuses on the relationships and causal pathways of the conditions of works to workers' health and safety outcomes by taking into consideration the organisation and workforce characteristics. It is argued that the framework can potentially mitigate the risks associated with ageing workforce.
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1. Introduction

The workforce in the 21st century is ageing. There is increasingly larger proportion of workforce 50 years of age or older. A few societal and demographic trends have led to this development [1] including general population ageing, increase life expectancy, and decline in fertility rates [2,1]. Hong Kong is not immune from these trends. These trends affect the composition and the supply of workforce. The most obvious result of these situations is the large increase of older workers. This situation brings about implication on workforce productivity and by extension, the economic prosperity of business enterprises. As physical and cognitive functions decline with age [3], the older workers'
productivity suffers and susceptibility to ill-health and safety issues increase. To remain competitive or to simply keep the business in operation, employers face the challenging tasks of having to maximise the contributions of both the old and young workers while at the same time addressing the health, safety, and well-being issues of the workforce [1]. These ageing situations are prevalent in the Hong Kong construction industry considering the fact that about 41% of the total registered construction workers in Hong Kong are 50-year-old or above (as at 31 Aug 2018 [4]), and the failure of the industry to attract younger workers, which have together led to serious manpower shortage [5,6,7].

As with most countries and regions, construction workers in Hong Kong experience physically demanding works on a daily basis. Workers are also subjected to constant psychosocial pressures including the need to face stressful environmental conditions, long and sometimes irregular work hours, unpredictable workplaces and conditions, and dis-continual employment [8]. In addition, the organisational and institutional arrangements in Hong Kong construction industry are less supportive in providing favourable working environment for older workers [9]. These conditions have collectively taken a toll on the safety, health, and well-being of construction workforce in general and older workers in particular. Despite these misgivings, we know very little of the conditions older construction workers experience in Hong Kong construction industry. There is therefore a need to investigate such issues and propose possible intervention to improve the working conditions of our senior workers. For these purposes, in this paper, we first report the findings of a small scale survey on the care of older workers in Hong Kong construction industry and second, propose potential intervention by combining the findings of the survey and our industry observations of the practices implemented by progressive contracting companies in Hong Kong.

1.1. Hong Kong construction general workforce health, safety, and well-being

Some works and research studies conducted in the past several years in Hong Kong construction industry have led to the revelation of insights on the general workforce health, safety, and well-being although these studies were not solely targeted at older workers. Notable among these studies were the four waves of health promotion and medical examination exercises conducted during the years 2013 to 2018 by staff members of two local universities – Rowlinson et al. [10], Tin et al. [7], Yi and Chan [11], and the currently ongoing Chan’s [12] construction workers’ health profiling project. The results [except Chan [12] the results of which are pending] of these studies converge to indicate that the local workforce sustain poor health conditions. There is a high prevalence of hypertension (22.6%), overweight (71.7%), undesirably low level high-density lipoprotein (HDL) (41.6%), and diabetic levels of non-fasting blood glucose (4.3%) among the workforce, and 48.7% of the workforce are involved in high risk smoking [7]. In terms of well-being, Rowlinson et al. [10] report that with the long work hours (80.9% of the workers sampled work more than 9 hours a day, 6 days a week), the work-interference with family is more likely than family-interference with work. These long work hours lead to fatigue, less satisfaction in work-life balance, and compromised safety performance.

In addition to these studies, the findings of a small-scale single-organisation construction workforce health survey collaborate the above observations. A large contracting firm - a main contractor - in Hong Kong had conducted a general health survey for both their own labourers and sub-contractors workers in 2017. The number of workers surveyed was around 3,400. The findings indicate that among all the workers that sustained abnormal blood pressure, around 60% of the workers aged 51 or above; for workers with abnormal blood sugar level, over 55% aged 51 or above; and for abnormal total cholesterol, the percentage was 40% [13]. Taken together, these studies indicate that the construction workforce is sustaining compromised physical health that might lead to significant social and economic consequences [7]. In this workforce, workers 50 years of age or order sustain an acutely impaired health conditions.

1.2. Integrated health and safety protection and promotion for construction workforce

We have learnt of construction workers’ (ill)health conditions from preceding paragraph. Because improving the health status, workability, limitations, and barriers in employment arrangements of older workers is important for the workplace health and safety and critical for (older) workers, their families, employers, and the industry at large, there is a need to investigate potential interventions that can be implemented to mitigate the risks associated with ageing
workforce. The development of a more holistic approach to improve (older) worker health protection and promotion is needed. In this approach, two factors – worker’s personal health and personal safety – are combined to increase the impact on worker’s overall health and productivity. The underlying rationale is that when health protection and promotion are integrated and deployed in a systemic manner, the workforce would become healthier and safer. Hymel et al. [14, p.695] has described workplace health protection and promotion as “the strategic and systematic integration of distinct environmental, health, and safety policies and programmes into a continuum of activities that enhances the overall health and well-being of the workforce and prevents work-related injuries and illnesses.” The approach involves essential elements that prioritise a hazard-reduced work environment and recognises the role of job-related factors that affect workers’ health and well-being [15]. There is an emphasis on the importance of improvements in working conditions that assess and address stressors in the workplace. The approach encompasses workforce participation, job demands, control, worker support, relationships, roles, and organisational change [16].

Sorensen et al. [17] have proposed a conceptual model for the integration of workplace health protection and promotion. The model is shown in Fig. 1. The model specifies the causal pathways upstream phenomena influence downstream worker safety and health and is built on the premise that improvements in safety and health outcomes can only be achieved through addressing multiple pathways in an integrated manner. “Conditions of work” is placed in the centre of the model as factors determining the safety and health outcomes of workers and as mediators affecting the safety and health behaviours of the workers. But at the same time, conditions of work are also affected by upstream organisational and workers characteristics, and organisational policies, programmes, and practices. In addition, although not shown in the figure, the model operates within the context of labour market, economic, legal, and political forces and social norms and influences. It is in this systemic manner that the concept contributes to the organisational and individual outcomes. The model highlights the complex interplay of individual workers and their immediate work environment which are collectively embedded in the larger context that affects the outcomes of the workers (e.g., health and safety behaviours) and where improvements are likely to be made [17].

![Fig. 1. Sorensen et al.’s [17] integrated model of workplace health protection and promotion.](image)

2. The small scale survey on older workers care

The third author of the paper had conducted a small scale survey in December 2017 to investigate the situations on the care of older workers in Hong Kong construction industry. The survey was conducted within a client-based benchmarking group in Hong Kong and aimed to explore the arrangements that had been (or had not been) put in place for the care of older workers in the industry. Thirty-nine main contractors and two client organisations participated in the survey. The survey investigated the extent of measures implemented by these organisations for the care of older construction workers. These measures include the implementation of (a) specific written policy for the care of older workers, (b) age definition of older worker, (c) health check arrangements, (d) specific site arrangements for elderly workers, and (e) promotional activities.

The 39 main contractors were classified as large-size contractor in Hong Kong. These contractors are capable of handling contract values that exceed HK$300 million (Euro1: HK$9 as at April 2019). Middle to senior personnel of these organisations responded to the survey including project director, project manager, site agent, project engineer,
The findings of the survey is presented in Fig. 2. In the figure, the sequence of the charts corresponds to the measures being investigated in the survey and the number in the chart represents the number of respondents (i.e. organisations) that implement the measures being asked.

In Fig. 2, as shown in chart (a), 29 respondents (71%) indicated that no specific written policy was put in place for the care of older workers whereas 12 respondents (29%) had determined specific age defining arrangements. This observation has led to the finding in chart (b) whereby the overall majority of respondents indicated that there was no age categorisation for older workers and a ten year variation is noted with other organisations who indeed define “older workers” with the age ranges from “55 and above” to “65 and above”. For health check arrangements, as presented in chart (c), there is a wide variation on arrangements being adopted. Of particular note is 1 contractor who provides six-monthly hypertension and blood pressure tests for those over sixty years of age. At the other end of the “care” scale 4 respondents placed responsibility on older workers to provide proof of fitness to work on sites. And finally in chart (d) where greatest consistency is shown in that, in most cases, no specific arrangements apply at site related to the care of older workers. Majority of those surveyed (30 organisations, 73%) responded in this way.

As for question (e), a range of health-related promotional activities were reported in the survey. Several respondents – 9 organisations – placed importance on educational briefing related to healthy lifestyle issues (e.g., diet modification); 3 respondents placed importance on blood pressure / vascular screening and had measures in place to escalate “care” if negative results were noted; 1 respondent was in the process of setting up a specific site medical centre; 1 respondent mentioned of the duty of the site nurse to look out for the welfare of older workers; and 1 respondent mentioned morning exercise as a control related to older workers.

In addition to the survey, anecdotal evidence from our observation of the construction industry in Hong Kong reveals that a myriad of health measures have been implemented by several more progressive contractors. These measures range from basic regular health checks, personalised health advice, lifestyle review, medical counselling; to the integrated health diagnostics, centralised health record, analyses, and company-wide health and work design (cf. [13]).
Although these health measures are put in place for the benefits of workers of all ages, older workers may benefit more as they are the group with higher proportion of the workforce that sustains impaired health conditions than their younger counterparts.

3. Discussion

The findings point to a general situation of under-appreciation of the ageing workforce issues in the Hong Kong construction industry. This general lack of appreciation and understanding are manifested in the findings that majority of the main contractors have not specified the age limit for workers to be considered “older” and consequently have not implemented specific work practices and site arrangements for this group of workforce. For a few contractors that specified the age limit (from 55- to 65-year-old) and those that have put in place some arrangements – additional supervisory attention, pre-work risk assessment in relation to workers’ capacity, and age-based task limitation – there appears to be a need to re-examine the attitudes and assumptions of contractors with regard to the suitability of the older workers for construction operations. In terms of attitude, traditionally, contractors are concerned with additional financial cost in implementing specific work and organisational arrangements to cater for the older workforce and this is within the broader context of construction workforce employment arrangement, client responsibilities, and workers’ pay structures [9] and that, contractors will be unable to recoup the financial outlay in a competitive industry [18]. However, along with this seemingly backward attitude, at play is also a situation of the understanding of works that is based on a set of uninformed assumptions, the assumption that older workers are associated with reduced productivity and that, they are more susceptible to health and safety problems. Despite this attitude, employers are still expected to ensure that workers are physically able to perform their works in safe and effective manner. In this respect, it is possible for employers to implement interventions that minimise biological and physical ageing by matching the task to the capability of workers. For construction operations, assigning physically demanding tasks to capable younger workers [3] would benefit a group of workers as the capacity of the older workers to tolerate peak loads decreases with age. Along this arrangement, it is also possible to introduce flexibility to modulate work intensity by encouraging work group self-regulation [c.f. 19]. And as usual, the introduction of ergonomically friendly work environment can reduce the risk of injury in older workers [1]. It is hence possible to maintain older workers’ capacity or even get more out of the workforce by modifying existing practices and work conditions without incurring huge financial outlay.

In fact, the focus of construction firms should be to modify the work environment to reduce physical demands, providing alternative work arrangements, and facilitate improvements in workers physical capacity [19, 20]. These notions essentially call for a combined health and work conditions intervention. Our industry observations of a few progressive contractors in Hong Kong has revealed of the implementation of an integrated health protection and promotion model as shown in Fig. 3. The measures in the model include improvements of work environment (e.g., providing age-friendly work tools) and work arrangements (e.g., additional risk assessments based on role and capability in relation to workers age, additional health surveillance). As workers age, chronic diseases and functional impairment limit the ability for them to work. Mental health problems (e.g., depression and anxiety) have also been reported as common causes of work disability [21]. As shown in the model, the combination of the measures essentially introduces a preventive approach that is not only beneficial for workers of all ages but is potentially effective in mitigating the risks associated with ageing workforce in construction.

The model in Fig. 3 shows that company policies influence worker health and safety outcome through a few pathways. These influences are mainly mediated through the “Conditions of Work”. Company’s policies directly affect physical work environment through for example safety and health practices. These practices impact physical demands on older workers in relation to biomechanical sources of strain [17]. The work environment may also support healthy behaviours among workers for example through exercise (see “Leisure physical activity” under Worker Proximal Outcomes). Organisation of work, with the listed activities, will influence worker health and safety outcomes. For example, the implementation of heat stroke prevention measures is likely to reduce the workforce heat stress / stroke incidents. Psychosocial factors deal with job strain, psychological demands, social support, and peer influence. In this regard, initiatives of workplace stress management and rapport building that support health and safety behaviours are likely to
lead to improved health behaviours [22]. In addition, positive workplace psychosocial conditions also reduce the risk of musculoskeletal disorder (MSD) [23]. Essentially, the model and its component measures propagate of a transformational change in the organisation toward a culture of workplace safety and health that are supportive of workers total well-being.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 3.** The application of integrated model of workplace health protection and promotion in construction firms [adapted from 13 and 17].

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we set out to explore the care measures for older workers and potential interventions implemented by some progressive contractors in Hong Kong. Given the economic pressures of keeping older workers in the construction working population and the need to understand the role of age in the development of disease and the impact on occupational injury and safety, our exploration has shed some lights on the attitude of the contracting community in treating older construction workforce which at best can be described as lukewarm (and at worst ignorantly minimalist and shortsighted). But at the same time, our industry observation of a few progressive contractors has revealed of a range of interventions that are in line with the emerging concept of worker health (and safety) protection and promotion – an integrated scheme for workplace safety, health, and well-being – that can potentially bring about positive change in the industry. As shown in Fig. 3, the implementation of such an integrated scheme can help companies to understand the relationships between working conditions and workers safety and health outcomes. This in turn informs the company on priority setting and more importantly, identify the impact of health and safety on business related outcome. The implementation of such an integrated scheme of workplace health and safety protection and promotion appears to hold promise in the management of ageing workforce. The implementation of such good practices, if done correctly and consistently across the construction industry, will bring the industry forward in terms of its management practices and its reputation. Such good practices will help to ensure that our workplaces are able to meet the senior workers’ needs and capacities.
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