A Stochastic-Process-Based Method for Assessing Frequency Regulation Ability of Power Systems with Wind Power Fluctuations
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ABSTRACT. The increasing penetration of wind power raises the problem of maintaining grid frequency stability. The purpose of this paper is to quantitatively describe the relationship between wind power fluctuations and grid frequency deviation. The fluctuation characteristics of wind power are analyzed in multi-time scales by wavelet methods. Then, a mathematical model representing wind power fluctuations is established. Using this model and the frequency response transfer function of power system, the frequency deviation can be obtained. A coefficient to measure the frequency regulation ability (FRA) of power system is defined as the ratio of wind power fluctuations to frequency deviations. Based on a series of calculation results of FRA in a two-area power system with large-scale wind power integration, a strategy for deploying appropriate thermal units participating in frequency regulation is proposed. In this strategy, the future frequency deviation of the system can be assessed, which helps operators to adjust the deployment of thermal units reasonably. Case studies show that this strategy can be widely applied in decreasing grid frequency deviation caused by wind power fluctuations.
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1. Introduction

As a renewable and environmentally friendly energy source, wind generation has recently witnessed accelerated expansion throughout the world (Yao et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2016; Ji et al., 2016). However, due to the stochastic nature of wind, the resulting fluctuations in intermittent wind energy substantially handicap large-scale integration of wind power into regional power grids (Tan et al., 2013; Suo et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014). In order to maintain stable operations, electric power utilities need some technical support to suppress the fluctuations of wind power (Bouffard and Galiana, 2008; Luickx et al., 2009; Al-Awami and El-Sharkawi, 2011).

Wind power fluctuations would cause frequency deviation from the standard. Excessive grid frequency deviation could affect normal system operations and, may even cause power system splitting. In this case, it is important to find the quantitative relationship between wind power fluctuations and grid frequency deviation. By analyzing this relationship, strategies for wind power smoothing can be made and the risk of excessive frequency deviation can be avoided.

To figure out the relationship, the primary concern is the modeling of wind power fluctuation characteristic. Many approaches have been proposed to quantify wind power fluctuations in published studies, such as the probabilistic forecasting method (Boutsika and Santos, 2012; Khosravi et al., 2013; Can et al., 2014), and the frequency and time domain analysis method (Sorensen et al., 2007; Nazir and Bouffard, 2012). In this paper, the characteristics of wind power fluctuations are analyzed by the wavelet methods in multi-time scales. Figure 1 describes three wavelet components of wind power. Figure 1a presents the low frequency component of wind power. Figure 1b and Figure 1c present minute time-scale and second time-scale fluctuating component respectively. As shown in Figure 1, there is a significant relationship between low frequency component and fluctuating components (Kisi et al., 2013). Based on this relationship, a wind power fluctuation model is constructed.

In terms of assessing grid frequency deviation, the methodology used in (C. Luo, 2006; C. Luo, 2007; H. Banakar, 2008) consisted of modeling a test power system in a simulation software. The wind farm output power fluctuation is represented by a sinusoidal time varying signal. Conclusion regarding robustness against wind power fluctuation in (Luo and Ooi, 2006; Luo et al., 2007; Banakar et al., 2008) is formed until wind penetration reaches 27.6%. Such conclusions are drawn only based on the analysis of deterministic wind power fluctuations. However, the stochastic power fluctuation of the wind farm is not included (Luo and Ooi, 2006; Luo et al., 2007; Banakar et al., 2008). In Lin et al. (2012), a method based on “Time-Frequency Transformation” is presented, where the stochastic wind power fluctuation is taken into full account. In this
paper, a stochastic analytical approach is applied for analyzing the relationship between the stochastic wind power and grid frequency deviation. Then, a new coefficient to measure the frequency regulation ability of power system is defined.

Figure 1. Modulation effect between 15-minute moving average wind power $\bar{P}_w(t)$ and fluctuations component $\sigma(t)$, $\sigma(t)$. (a) low frequency component of wind power; (b) minute time-scale fluctuating component of wind power; (c) second time-scale fluctuating component of wind power.

To reduce the risk of excessive frequency deviation, reasonable power system operating strategies should be formulated. Many studies have shown that wind power fluctuations can be smoothed by appropriate control and deployment of conventional thermal units. The effect of fluctuating wind power on frequency deviation is studied in (Sorensen et al., 2007) and operation reserve is suggested to increase with the increase of wind power scale. In (Ortega-Vazquez and Kirschen, 2009; Xia et al., 2013), methods about how to determine and deploy the system operation reserve to compensate the fluctuation of wind power are presented. In addition, system operators should dictate the dynamic requirements of the scheduled reserve capacity for conducting the primary and secondary frequency regulation tasks at the system level (Galiana et al., 2005; Bouffard and Ortega-Vazquez, 2011). In general, most thermal units are participating in primary frequency regulation and only a few AGC units would participate in secondary frequency regulation. In order to avoid excessive grid frequency deviation, both system operation reserve and the deployment of different kinds of thermal units should be taken into consideration. In this paper, a FRA based strategy for appropriately deploying thermal units participating in frequency regulation is proposed. Case studies are conducted to show how this strategy is implemented to reduce excessive frequency deviation caused by wind power fluctuations.

The main contributions of this paper are:

1) a novel multi-timescales wind power fluctuation model based on wavelet multi-resolution signal decomposition and reconstruction method is proposed;

2) a method to analyze the relationship between the stochastic wind power and grid frequency deviation using the stochastic analytical approach is proposed. A new coefficient to measure the FRA of power system is defined;

3) a strategy for thermal units deployment to avoid excessive frequency deviation caused by wind power fluctuations is conducted.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a time domain model of wind power fluctuation is presented. The theoretical foundation and procedure for calculating FRA are shown in detail in Section 3. FRA under different conditions is calculated and a strategy for deploying thermal units participating in frequency regulation is presented in Section 4. Case studies are conducted in Section 5. Conclusions are finally drawn in Section 6.

2. Modeling of the Wind Power Fluctuations

2.1. Modulation Effect of Wind Power Low Frequency Component

In this paper, the characteristic of wind power fluctuations mainly refers to the fluctuation magnitude in different time scales. The analysis is based on the actual wind power data sampled every 5-second. Measured wind power time series $P_w(t)$ are decomposed into three parts by 8-level discrete wavelet decomposition and reconstruction methods (Rahmani, 2015). The three parts of $P_w(t)$ are low frequency component $\bar{P}_w(t)$, minute time-scale wind power fluctuation component $P_{m}(t)$ and second time-scale wind power fluctuation component $P_{s}(t)$.

As shown in Figure 1, there is a significant relationship between the low frequency component $\bar{P}_w(t)$ and the fluctuation components $P_d(t) = P_{md}(t) + P_{ms}(t)$. The amplitude of $P_{md}(t)$ and $P_{ms}(t)$ are large when the amplitude of $\bar{P}_w(t)$ is large. When the amplitude of $\bar{P}_w(t)$ is nearly zero, the amplitudes of $P_{md}(t)$ and $P_{ms}(t)$ are also very small.

To analyze the relationship between each component in more detail, standard deviations of $P_d(t)$, $P_{md}(t)$ and $P_{ms}(t)$ are marked as $\sigma(t)$, $\sigma_{md}(t)$ and $\sigma_{ms}(t)$. Then, $\bar{P}_w(t)$ and its corresponding $\sigma(t)$, $\sigma_{md}(t)$ and $\sigma_{ms}(t)$ are sorted by amplitude. The curve fitting result of $\bar{P}_w(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$ is shown in Figure 2.

As shown in Figure 2, the relation between $\sigma(t)$ and $\bar{P}_w(t)$ can be described by a power exponential function. It can be inferred that the amplitude of $P_d(t)$ is modulated by $\bar{P}_w(t)$.

The functional relation between $\sigma(t)$ and $\bar{P}_w(t)$ can be obtained by fitting the empirical data:

$$\sigma = a \cdot \bar{P}_w^b + c$$  (1)
2.2. Minute-scale Wind Power Fluctuation Model

The relative variation between \( \sigma_m(t) \) and \( \bar{P}_w(t) \), which is defined as \( \sigma_m(t) \), can be calculated by the ratio of \( \sigma_m(t) \) to \( \bar{P}_w(t) \). The correspondence between \( \sigma_m(t) \) and \( \bar{P}_w(t) \) in per unit value is shown in Figure 3.

\[
\sigma_m = \sigma \sqrt{\bar{P}_w} = a_m \bar{P}_w^{b_m} + c_m
\]  

(2)

where \( a_m, b_m, \) and \( c_m \) are fitting coefficients.

In order to compare the fluctuation characteristics of wind power from different wind farms, the wind power data from northeast and northwest of China have been analyzed. The curve fitting results are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence number of wind farm</th>
<th>Curve fitting results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0.0086\bar{P}_w^{0.5927} + 0.0076 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0.0123\bar{P}_w^{0.7526} + 0.0043 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0.0115\bar{P}_w^{0.4665} + 0.0129 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0.0201\bar{P}_w^{0.6752} + 0.0274 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( \sigma_m = 0.0251\bar{P}_w^{0.5307} + 0.0108 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 1, the values of \( a_m, b_m, \) and \( c_m \) vary from different wind farm locations and topographies. The maximum values of \( a_m \) and \( c_m \) are 3 and 6 times larger than their minimum values respectively. The variations of \( b_m \) have significant influence on \( \sigma_m \) only when \( \bar{P}_w \) is small.

From Equation (2), the standard deviation of minute time-scale wind power fluctuations can be forecasted. In power systems, secondary frequency regulation is mainly responsible for frequency deviation in minute time-scale. Thus, this model is suitable for analyzing the influence of wind power fluctuation on secondary frequency regulation.

2.3. Second-scale Wind Power Fluctuation Model

The relationship between \( \sigma_s(t) \) and \( \bar{P}_w(t) \) can be seen from Figure 4 using above method.

\[
\sigma_s = \sigma \sqrt{\bar{P}_w} = a_s \bar{P}_w^{b_s} + c_s
\]  

(3)

The relationship between \( \sigma_s(t) \) and \( \bar{P}_w(t) \) can be expressed as an approximate power function:
\[ \sigma_y = \frac{\sigma_x}{\bar{P}_w} = a_x \cdot \bar{P}_w^2 + c \]  

(3)

where \(a_x, b_x,\) and \(c\) are fitting coefficients.

The curve fitting results are shown in Table 2 using the same data from five wind farms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence number of wind farm</th>
<th>Curve fitting results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\sigma_{s1} = 0.0042\bar{P}_w^{0.5409} + 0.0022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\sigma_{s2} = 0.0059\bar{P}_w^{0.3462} + 0.0037)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\sigma_{s3} = 0.0054\bar{P}_w^{0.4188} + 0.0085)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\sigma_{s4} = 0.0069\bar{P}_w^{0.7408} + 0.0234)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\sigma_{s5} = 0.0102\bar{P}_w^{0.312} + 0.0062)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Curve Fitting Results of Different Wind Farms

Results in Figures 3 and 4 show that the method above is useful in modeling wind power fluctuations. The approximate power function universally applicable to describe wind power fluctuations from different wind farms in various time-scales. The coefficients in the functions can be obtained by processing the historical data from different wind farms.

3. Method for Assessing System Frequency Regulation Ability Consider Wind Power Fluctuations

After analyzing the fluctuation characteristic of wind power, this section outlines the method for assessing frequency regulation ability of power systems with wind power fluctuations.

3.1. Basic Mathematical Theories for Stochastic Process Analysis

In stochastic system analysis, the stochastic time series input signal \(x(t)\) is divided into segments \(x_k(t)\) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), with equal time duration. Each segment undergoes Fast Fourier transform (FFT) to form frequency domain inputs \(X(f)\). In a stochastic system, the relation \(Y(f) = H(f)X(f)\) cannot be used. Instead, a similar relation exists based on the concept of power spectrum density (PSD) theory. The PSD \(S_y(f)\) is determined as (Sorensen et al., 2007):

\[ S_y(f) \Delta f = E \left( X(f) \cdot X^*(f) \right) \]  

(4)

where \(\Delta f\) is the sample frequency, \(X(f)\) represents a vector consisting of \(X_k(f)\), the \(*\) operator denotes the complex conjugate of \(X(f)\) and \(E(\cdot)\) represents ensemble average. \(E(\cdot)\) is calculated as the average of the product of \(X_k(f)\) and \(X_k(f)\) in frequency domain as:

\[ E \left( X_k(f) \cdot X^*_k(f) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_k(f) \cdot X^*_k(f) \]  

(5)

For an input PSD, \(S_x(f)\), the output PSD, \(S_y(f)\) is obtainable from the following formula:

\[ S_y(f) = |H(f)|^2 S_x(f) \]  

(6)

The input signal \(x(t)\) is assumed to be a zero-mean random stationary process. As a stationary process, the variance of output \(y(t)\) is obtainable from Equation (7).

\[ \sigma_y^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_y(f) df \]  

(7)

3.2. Definition of Primary Frequency Regulation Ability

Primary frequency regulation in a power system is a dynamic stochastic process that can automatically respond to frequency deviation within second time-scale (Daren and Yufeng, 2004). The variance of a stochastic variable is an illustration of the amplitude of variation. Then, a coefficient called primary frequency regulation ability (PFRA) is introduced as follows:

\[ D_{PFRA} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{variance of wind power fluctuation (seconds)}}{\text{variance of grid frequency deviation}}} \]  

(8)

This coefficient represents the influence of second time-scale wind power fluctuations on system frequency deviation.

The frequency response \(|H(f)|\) of the power system is constructed by transfer functions of many components. \(D_{PFRA}\) is affected by transfer function of each component. Since the goal of frequency regulation is to maintain system frequency deviation within a certain range \(\pm f_r\), Equation (8) can be transformed into Equation (9):

\[ \sigma_{f_r} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{variance of wind power fluctuation (seconds)}}{\text{variance of grid frequency deviation}}} \]  

(9)

where \(\sigma_{f_r}(t)\) is the frequency deviation caused by second time-scale wind power fluctuation. \(\sigma_{f_r}(\sigma_{f_r} = 3f_r)\) is the threshold value of frequency deviation.

3.3. Definition of Secondary Frequency Regulation Ability

Secondary frequency regulation in a power system mainly deals with minute time-scale wind power fluctuations. Similarly, a coefficient named secondary frequency regulation ability (SFRA) is defined as follows:

\[ D_{SFRA} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{variance of wind power fluctuation (minute)}}{\text{variance of grid frequency deviation}}} \]  

(10)
DSFRA represents the ratio of variance of minute time-scale wind power fluctuation and variance of frequency deviation during a period of time only with secondary frequency regulation effect. Equation (10) can be transformed into Equation (11) with the above method:

\[ \sigma_{f2} = \sqrt{\text{variance of wind power fluctuation (minute)}} \]

\[ DSFRA \]

where \( \sigma_{f2} \) is the frequency deviation caused by minute time-scale wind power fluctuation.

Based on the above analysis, a method for assessing frequency regulation ability of power systems with wind power fluctuations is illustrated in Figure 5.

Three major steps of the method are outlined as follows:
1. The minute and second time-scale wind power fluctuation time series \( x_m(t) = \sigma_m(t) \) and \( x_s(t) = \sigma_s(t) \) are predicted from Equations (2) and (3). Then, \( X_m(f) \) and \( X_s(f) \) are obtained via FFT. (2) By substituting \( X_m(f) \) and \( X_s(f) \) into Equations (4) ~ (7) respectively, the variance of grid frequency deviation caused by minute and second time-scale wind power fluctuation are obtained. (3) Finally, the DPFRA and DSFRA are calculated to quantify frequency regulation ability of power systems with wind power fluctuations in different time-scales.

4. System Frequency Regulation Ability Calculation in a Case Study

A two-area power system for case study is presented in Figure 6 (Elgerd and Fosha, 1970).

Figure 5. Overview of the calculation process of DPFRA and DSFRA.

This paper focuses on the influence of wind power fluctuations on system frequency. Thus, the load stochastic fluctuation and faults of power system are not considered. Area A comprises of a wind farm and thermal plants, whereas area B comprises only of thermal plants. The two areas are connected by a tie-line. Data used for analysis is a one-month record of the actual wind power data sampled every 5 seconds from a wind farm located in China. This section is organized as follows:

1) Analytical expressions of DPFRA and DSFRA in a two-area power system are given and calculated.
2) The influence of different factors on frequency regulation ability of power systems are given and calculated.
4.1. Derivation of $D_{PFRA}$ and $D_{SFRA}$

**Step I:** The first step is to obtain the variance of stochastic wind power fluctuation.

The 15-minute moving average wind power time series $\bar{P}_w(t)$ is taken as the input of Equations (2) and (3). The minute and second time-scale wind power fluctuation components are predicted from Equations (2) and (3). In this case, the coefficient values are $a_m = 0.0115$, $b_m = -0.4665$, $c_m = 0.0129$, $a_s = 0.0054$, $b_s = -0.4188$, $c_s = 0.0085$.

The minute time-scale fluctuation component $\sigma_m(t)$ is split into 5-minute segments and second time-scale fluctuation component $\sigma_s(t)$ is divided into 30-second segments. Each segment undergoes FFT to yield $\lambda(f)$ in frequency domain. The period of each segment is $T_{seg}$. Thus, the corresponding frequency $\Delta f_s$ in (4) is $1/T_{seg}$. Using Equations (4) and (5), PSD of wind power fluctuations $S_{sw}(f)$ are computed.

By substituting $S_{sw}(f)$ into Equations (7), the variance of wind power fluctuations can be obtained by:

$$\sigma_{wu}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{sw}(f) \, df$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

**Step II:** This step is to obtain the variance of frequency deviation in area $A$ caused by wind power fluctuations and the frequency response transfer function $[H_p(f)]$.

Since the focus is the impact of wind power fluctuations on system frequency deviation. The load fluctuation is assumed to be zero in Figure 6.

1) Primary frequency regulation: In this subsection, only the primary frequency regulation effect is taken into consideration. The frequency deviation $\chi_{fail}(s)$ in area $A$ caused by second time-scale wind power fluctuation $\chi_{pw\, s}(s)$ can be expressed as:

$$\chi_{fail}(s) = -\frac{1}{T_{\sigma_s}s^2 + \beta_s + G_s(s)} \chi_{pw\, s}(s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and $G_s(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i \beta_i G_i(s)$.

The primary frequency response transfer function $H_p(s) = \chi_{fail}(s)/\chi_{pw\, s}(s)$ has been obtained through Equation (13).

$$H_p(s) = \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_s}s^2 + \beta_s + G_s(s)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where $S_{sw}(f)$ is the PSD of second time-scale wind power fluctuation. By substituting $S_{sw}(f)$ and Equation (14) into Equation (6), $S_{sw}(f)$, the PSD of grid frequency deviation caused by second time-scale wind power fluctuation is predicted.

$$S_{sw}(f) = \left| \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_s}s^2 + \beta_s + G_s(s)} \right|^2 \cdot S_{sw}(f)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\chi_{fail}$ is a deviation variable with a mean value of 0. By substituting Equation (15) into Equation (7), the variance of $\chi_{fail}(s)$ is computed as:

$$\sigma_{\chi_{fail}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \chi_{fail}(f) \right|^2 df$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_s}s^2 + \beta_s + G_s(s)} \right|^2 \cdot S_{sw}(f) df$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

2) Secondary frequency regulation: In this subsection, only the secondary frequency regulation effect is taken into consideration. The frequency deviation in area $A$ caused by minute time-scale wind power fluctuation $\chi_{pw\, m}(s)$ can be expressed in the same form as Equation (13), where $G_m(s)$ is changed.

The secondary frequency response transfer function $H_s(s) = \chi_{fail}(s)/\chi_{pw\, m}(s)$ can be expressed as:

$$H_s(s) = \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_m}s + \beta_m + G_m(s)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Where $S_{mw\, m}(f)$ is the PSD of minute time-scale wind power fluctuation. By substituting $S_{mw\, m}(f)$ and Equation (17) into Equation (6), $S_{mw\, m}(f)$, the PSD of grid frequency deviation caused by minute time-scale wind power fluctuation can be predicted:

$$S_{mw\, m}(f) = \left| \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_m}s + \beta_m + G_m(s)} \right|^2 \cdot S_{mw\, m}(f)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The variance of $\chi_{fail}(s)$ is computed based on Equation (7):

$$\sigma_{\chi_{fail}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \chi_{fail}(f) \right|^2 df$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{T_{\sigma_m}s + \beta_m + G_m(s)} \right|^2 \cdot S_{mw\, m}(f) df$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

**Step III:** The last step is to obtain the analytical expression of $D_{PFRA}$ and $D_{SFRA}$ defined in Equations (8) and (10).
By substituting Equations (12) and (16) into Equation (8), the primary frequency regulation ability can be expressed as:

\[
DPFRA = \sqrt{\sigma^2_{\alpha} / \sigma^2_{\beta}} = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\alpha}(f) \, df \right] / \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\beta}(f) \, df \right]
\]

(20)

where \( \alpha_{D} \) and \( \delta_{A} \) are the two main factors which influence the value of \( D_{PFRA} \).

By substituting Equations (12) and (19) into Equation (10), the secondary frequency regulation ability can be expressed as:

\[
DSFRA = \sqrt{\sigma^2_{\alpha_{D}} / \sigma^2_{\beta}} = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\alpha_{D}}(f) \, df \right] / \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\beta}(f) \, df \right]
\]

(21)

where \( G_{s}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}(s) G_{i}(s), S_{\alpha_{D}}(f) \) is the PSD of minute time-scale wind power fluctuation; \( \alpha_{D} \) and \( K_{A} \) are the two main factors which influence the value of \( D_{SFRA} \).

4.2. Calculation of \( D_{PFRA} \) and \( D_{SFRA} \) of a Two-Area Power System with Wind Power Fluctuations

In this section, \( D_{PFRA} \) and \( D_{SFRA} \) of a two-area power system are calculated. The system consists of two areas connected by a tie. Area A consists of four thermal units and a wind farm. The overall capacity of Area A and the wind farm is 3,000 and 600 MW respectively. Area B consists of four thermal units and the overall capacity is 2,000 MW. Condensation steam turbine generator is utilized in this case study. The transfer function of generator \( i \) is \( G_{i}(s) = 1/(T_{i} s + 1) \), where \( T_{i} \) is the time constant for hydraulic servomotor, \( T_{s} \) is the time constant for high pressure cylinder volume. The values of these coefficients are \( T_{i} = 0.2 \) s, \( T_{s} = 0.2 \) s, \( \delta_{A} = \delta_{B} = 0.05 \), \( K_{A} = K_{B} = 0.25 \).

(1) Calculation of \( D_{PFRA} \): \( \alpha_{D} \) and \( \delta_{A} \) are the two main factors that influence the primary frequency regulation ability. By applying the variable control method, the impacts of each factor on \( D_{PFRA} \) are analyzed. \( D_{PFRA} \) under different values of \( \delta_{A} \) and \( \alpha_{D} \) can be calculated using Matlab. The calculation results are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha_{D} ) (%)</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.03 )</td>
<td>3.3155</td>
<td>2.4854</td>
<td>1.9881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.04 )</td>
<td>6.6310</td>
<td>4.9709</td>
<td>3.9761</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.05 )</td>
<td>9.9466</td>
<td>7.4563</td>
<td>5.9642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.06 )</td>
<td>13.2621</td>
<td>9.9418</td>
<td>7.9523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.07 )</td>
<td>16.5776</td>
<td>12.4272</td>
<td>9.9403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.08 )</td>
<td>19.8836</td>
<td>14.9127</td>
<td>11.9284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.09 )</td>
<td>23.2086</td>
<td>17.3981</td>
<td>13.9164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta_{A} = 0.1 )</td>
<td>26.5242</td>
<td>19.8836</td>
<td>15.9045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) \( D_{PFRA} \) is in direct proportion to \( \alpha_{D} \) when \( \delta_{A} \) stays invariant. When the proportion of primary frequency regulation units \( \alpha_{D} \) changes from \( p \) to \( q \% \), \( D_{PFRA} \) changes from the original value \( x \) to \( (q/p) \times x \).

b) When \( \alpha_{D} \) has an invariant value of \( w \), the relationship between \( D_{PFRA} \) and \( \delta_{A} \) can be described as:

\[
D_{PFRA} = w / \delta_{A}
\]

(22)

(2) Calculation of \( D_{SFRA} \): Proportion of thermal units involved in secondary frequency regulation and \( K_{A} \) are two main factors affect the secondary frequency regulation. The effects of each factor on \( D_{SFRA} \) are studied using the variable control method. \( D_{SFRA} \) under different values of \( \alpha_{D} \) and \( K_{A} \) are calculated which is shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( K_{A} )</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_{D} ) (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.9818</td>
<td>15.0133</td>
<td>23.8114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.9636</td>
<td>30.0267</td>
<td>47.6227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.9455</td>
<td>45.0459</td>
<td>73.4341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.9273</td>
<td>60.0533</td>
<td>95.2454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.9091</td>
<td>75.0667</td>
<td>119.0568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.8909</td>
<td>90.0800</td>
<td>146.8642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.8727</td>
<td>105.0933</td>
<td>166.6795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47.8545</td>
<td>120.1067</td>
<td>190.4909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 4, \( D_{SFRA} \) of area A increases as \( \alpha_{D} \) increases. Concrete analysis results are as follows:

a) \( D_{SFRA} \) is in direct proportion to \( \alpha_{D} \) when \( K_{A} \) stays invariant. When the proportion of primary frequency regulation units \( \alpha_{D} \) changes from \( p \) to \( q \% \), \( D_{SFRA} \) changes from the original value \( x \) to \( (q/p) \times x \).

b) When \( \alpha_{D} \) is invariant, \( D_{SFRA} \) increases as \( K_{A} \) increases. When \( K_{A} \) increases from 0.25 to 0.5, \( D_{SFRA} \) increases to 2.5 times of the previous value. When \( K_{A} \) increases from 0.25 to 0.75, \( D_{SFRA} \) increases to nearly 4 times of the previous value.

Grid operators mainly concerned about the risk of exceeding the frequency deviation limits due to wind power fluctuations for the next dispatch cycle. \( D_{PFRA} \) and \( D_{SFRA} \) are two practical indexes to evaluate the grid’s ability of smoothing out wind power fluctuations.
5. Applications of DPFRA and DSFRA on Power System Operation

This section outlines how DPFRA and DSFRA are utilized in power system operation. Figure 7 illustrated the major steps of the method based on the test system of Figure 6.

As shown in Figure 7, after wind power fluctuations are predicted by Equations (2) and (3), DPFRA and DSFRA can be computed by Equations (20) and (21). System frequency deviation due to different time-scale wind power fluctuations can be obtained from Equations (9) and (11). If the frequency deviations exceed the threshold values, the operator can adjust the proportion of units participating in frequency regulation in the following dispatch cycle until an acceptable frequency deviation is obtained.

Four case studies based on the two-area system in Figure 6 are presented:

Initial operation condition of the system: the units participating in primary frequency regulation in area A and B account for 10 and 20% respectively, the units participating in secondary frequency regulation in area A and B account for 10 and 20% respectively. $\delta_i = 0.05$, $K_A = 0.25$. Acceptable grid frequency deviation is limited to ±0.02 Hz.

Based on the method in Figure 7, four cases study are presented to decrease frequency deviation. The operation conditions of each case are shown as follows:

Operation condition of Case I: $\alpha_w = 20\%$; $\alpha_{pfr}$ increases from 10 to 20%; $\sum_{i=1}^{M_1} \alpha_{i_{A}}$ increases from 10 to 20%.

Operation condition of Case II: $\alpha_w = 20\%$; $\sum_{i=1}^{M_1} \alpha_{i_{A}}$ increases from 10 to 20%; $K_A$ increases from 0.25 to 0.5.

Operation condition of Case III: $\alpha_w = 20\%$; $\sum_{i=1}^{M_2} \alpha_{i_{A}}$ increases from 10 to 20%; $\delta_i$ decreases from 0.05 to 0.03.

Operation condition of Case IV: $\alpha_w = 20\%$; $K_A$ increases from 0.25 to 0.5; $\delta_i$ decreases from 0.05 to 0.03.

Simulations are performed using MATLAB under different operating conditions. Corresponding frequency deviation curves are shown in Figure 8. Figure 8a shows the frequency deviation under the initial operation condition. Figure 8b ~ 8e show the frequency deviations under operation conditions of each case respectively. As seen from Figure 8a, grid frequency deviation cannot be maintained within a stable range of ±0.02 Hz when wind power accounts for 20% in grid.
15.0133. Under operation condition of Case III, $D_{PFRA}$ increases from 1.9881 to 3.3155 and $DS_{FRA}$ increases from 5.9818 to 11.9636. Under operation condition of Case IV, $D_{PFRA}$ increases from 1.9881 to 3.3155 and $DS_{FRA}$ increases from 5.9818 to 15.0133.

$D_{FRA}$ and $DS_{FRA}$ increase from the initial value in Case I – Case IV. The standard deviations of system frequency deviation under each case are shown in Figure 8. The standard deviation of system frequency deviation reduces from 0.00060035 to 0.00030733, 0.00029944, 0.00029641 and 0.00029335, respectively.

6. Conclusions

This work presents a novel method for assessing the influence of stochastic wind power fluctuations on system frequency deviation. This research has the following advantages:

1) Wind power fluctuation model. Stochastic wind power fluctuations are fully analyzed in multi-time scales by the wavelet methods. A power-law model with three parameters is presented. The model is available for predicting both second and minute time-scale wind power fluctuations in time domain.

2) Quantitative frequency deviation assessment. The relationship between the stochastic wind power and grid frequency deviation is analyzed. Two practical indexes are defined to evaluate the grid’s ability of smoothing out wind power fluctuations. The evaluation results help to verify whether the performance of power system satisfies grid codes.

3) Wide adaptability. Based on the online calculation results of $D_{PFRA}$ and $DS_{FRA}$, a strategy for deploying appropriate thermal units participating in frequency regulation is presented. Cases study show that this strategy is adaptable for decreasing grid frequency deviation.

In summary, this method is particularly valuable and practical for interconnected grids with a large amount of wind power integration.
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Nomenclature

$M_1$ Number of thermal units that participating in primary frequency regulation in area $A$.
$M_2$ Number of thermal units that participating in secondary frequency regulation in area $A$.
$N_1$ Number of thermal units that participating in secondary frequency regulation in area $B$.
$N_2$ Number of thermal units that participating in secondary frequency regulation in area $B$.
$\delta_{i1}$ Speed droop of generator $i$ in area $A$.
$\alpha_{i1}$ Ratio of installed capacity of generator $i$ to installed capacity of area $A$.
$R_i$ Coefficient of power budget of the units that participating in secondary frequency regulation.
$\alpha_{pfr}$ Proportion of thermal units participating in primary frequency regulation, $\alpha_{pfr} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_1} \alpha_{i1}$.
$\alpha_{sfr}$ Proportion of thermal units participating in secondary frequency regulation, $\alpha_{sfr} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_2} \alpha_{i1}$.
$G(s)$ Transfer function of generator $i$.
$T_{a}$ Time constant of rotor $i$, in s.
$T_{a2}$ Inertia time constant of electric power system.
$T_{d1}$ Synchronizing torque coefficient.
$\beta$ Coefficient of load-frequency characteristic.
$K_i$ Integrator gain in AGC control of area $A$.
$B_A$ Frequency bias factor in area $A$.
$F_L(s)$ Variation of system load in the frequency domain.
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