

TECHNICAL NOTE

16GT: a fast and sensitive variant caller using a 16-genotype probabilistic model

Ruibang Luo^{1,2,*}, Michael C. Schatz^{1,2} and Steven L. Salzberg^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Computer Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA, ²Center for Computational Biology, McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA and ³Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

*Correspondence address: Center for Computational Biology, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, 1900 E. Monument St. Rm 101B, Baltimore, MD 21205. Tel: 667-234-9641; E-mail: rluo5@jhu.edu

Abstract

16GT is a variant caller for Illumina whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing data. It uses a new 16-genotype probabilistic model to unify single nucleotide polymorphism and insertion and deletion calling in a single variant calling algorithm. In benchmark comparisons with 5 other widely used variant callers on a modern 36-core server, 16GT demonstrated improved sensitivity in calling single nucleotide polymorphisms, and it provided comparable sensitivity and accuracy for calling insertions and deletions as compared to the GATK HaplotypeCaller. 16GT is available at <https://github.com/aquaskyline/16GT>.

Keywords: variant calling; Bayesian model; SNP calling; indel calling

Background

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions and deletions (indels) that occur at a specific genome position are interdependent; i.e., evidence that elevates the probability of 1 variant type should decrease the probability of other possible variant types, and the probability of all possible alleles should sum to 1. However, widely used tools such as GATK's UnifiedGenotyper [1] and SAMtools [2] use separate models for SNP and indel detection. The model for SNP calling in these 2 tools is nearly identical: both assume all variants are biallelic (i.e., exactly 2 haplotypes are present) and use a probabilistic model allowing for 10 genotypes: AA, AC, AG, AT, CC, CG, CT, GG, GT, TT. For indel calling, the GATK UnifiedGenotyper uses a model from

the Dindel's variant caller [3], while SAMtools' model is from BAQ [4].

Findings

In order to detect SNPs and indels with a unified approach, we developed a new 16-genotype probabilistic model and its implementation, named 16GT. Building on an idea first introduced in Luo et al. [5], 16GT uses an empirically improved model and is the first publicly available implementation. Using X and Y to denote the indels with the highest (X) and second highest (Y) support, we add 6 new genotypes (AX, CX, GX, TX, XX, and XY) to the traditional 10-genotype probabilistic model. The 6 new

Received: 25 April 2017; Revised: 22 May 2017; Accepted: 13 June 2017

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

genotypes include: (i) 1 homozygous indel (XX); (ii) 1 reference allele plus 1 heterozygous indel (AX, CX, GX, TX); (iii) 1 heterozygous SNP plus 1 heterozygous indel (AX, CX, GX, TX, reusing the genotypes in ii); and (iv) 2 heterozygous indels (XY). We exclude the 5 possible combinations AY, CY, GY, TY, and YY because X has higher support than Y. By unifying SNP and indel calling in a single variant calling algorithm, 16GT not only runs 4 times faster, but also demonstrates improved sensitivity in calling SNPs and comparable sensitivity in calling indels to the GATK Haplotype-Caller.

Posterior probabilities of these 16 genotypes are calculated using a Bayesian model $P(L|F) \propto P(F|L)P(L)$, where L is an assumed genotype. F refers to the observation of the 6 alleles (A, C, G, T, X, Y) at a given genome position. $P(L)$ is the prior probability of the genotype, $P(F|L)$ is the likelihood of the observed genotype, and $P(L|F)$ is the posterior probability of the genotype. The resulting genotype L_{max} is assigned to the genotype with the highest posterior probability. The distance between the highest posterior probability and the second highest posterior probability is used as a quality metric in 16GT, along with some other metrics introduced by GATK (GATK,RRID:SCR.001876) [1].

Calculating the probability of an observation F given the genotype L

To test how well an observation fits the expectation of different genotypes, we use a 2-tailed Fisher exact test P and use the resulting P -value as the goodness of fit. When calculating the likelihood of a homozygous genotype, ideally we expect 100% single allele support from the observation. For example, consider genotype "AA":

$$P(F|AA) = P_{hom}(F_A) \times P_e(F_C, F_G, F_T, F_X, F_Y),$$

where P_e is the probability of an erroneous base call.

For a heterozygous genotype, 50% support is expected for each allele in the genotype; e.g., consider "CG":

$$P(F|CG) = P_{het}(F_C, F_G) \times P_e(F_A, F_T, F_X, F_Y),$$

where

$$P_{hom}(F_A) = P \begin{pmatrix} F_A & F \\ (1 - P_{err}) & F \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{het}(F_C, F_G) = \sqrt{\prod_{i=C,G} P \begin{pmatrix} F_i & F \\ (0.5 - P_{err}) & F \end{pmatrix}}$$

$$P_e(F_A, F_T, F_X, F_Y) = P \begin{pmatrix} F_A + F_T + F_X + F_Y & F \\ P_{err} \times F & F \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F_s = \sum_{i=1}^n f(Q_i, M_i, s) \quad s \in \{A, C, G, T, X, Y\},$$

where s is the allele type, n is the number of reads supporting allele s , Q_i is the base quality, and M_i is the mapping quality. f is a function describing how s , Q_i , and M_i change the

observation:

$$f(Q_i, M_i, s) = \alpha \times \beta \times \gamma \begin{cases} \alpha = 0 & \text{if } M_i = 0 \\ \alpha = 1 & \text{if } M_i \neq 0 \\ \beta = 0 & \text{if } Q_i < 10 \\ \beta = 1 & \text{if } 10 \leq Q_i < 13 \\ \beta = 2 & \text{if } 13 \leq Q_i < 17 \\ \beta = 3 & \text{if } 17 \leq Q_i < 20 \\ \beta = 4 & \text{if } Q_i \geq 20 \\ \gamma = 1 & \text{if } s \in \{A, C, G, T\} \\ \gamma = 1.375 & \text{if } s \in \{X, Y\} \end{cases}$$

The possible reasons for an observation that does not match the reference genome are (i) a true variant; (ii) an error generated in library construction; (iii) a base calling error; (iv) a mapping error; and (v) an error in the reference genome. Reasons (iii) and (iv) are explicitly captured in our model. For reasons (ii) and (v), we include 2 error probabilities, P_s for SNP error and P_d for indel error. We define P_{err} as $P_s + P_d$, where P_s and P_d are set to 0.01 and 0.005, respectively. These 2 values were set empirically based on the observation that SNP errors are more common than indel errors in library construction and in the reference genome.

In addition, most short read aligners use a dynamic programming algorithm to enable gapped alignment, using a scoring scheme that usually penalizes gap opening and extension more than mismatch. Consequently, authentic gaps that occur at an end of a read are more likely to be substituted by a set of false SNPs or alternatively to get trimmed or clipped. Thus, we applied a coefficient γ to weight indel observations more than SNPs in order to increase the sensitivity on indels.

Calculating the probability of the genotype L

Given (i) a known rate of single nucleotide differences between 2 unrelated haplotypes; (ii) a known rate of single indel differences between 2 unrelated haplotypes; and (iii) a known Transitions to Transversions ratio (Ti/Tv), the 16GT model's prior probabilities are calculated as shown in Table 1.

Given (i) a known rate θ of single nucleotide differences between 2 unrelated haplotypes; (ii) a known rate ω of single indel differences between 2 unrelated haplotypes; and (iii) a known Ti/Tv ε , transition is expected to occur more frequently than transversion under selective pressure. The default known rates for human genome are $\theta = 0.001$, $\omega = 0.0001$, $\varepsilon = 2.1$, where ε is set to the value for human and needs to be changed for other species.

Results

We benchmarked 16GT with GATK UnifiedGenotyper, GATK HaplotypeCaller (GATK, RRID:SCR.001876) [1], FreeBayes (FreeBayes, RRID:SCR.010761) [6], Fermikit [7], ISAAC (Isaac, RRID:SCR.012772) [8], and VarScan2 [9] using a set of very high-confidence variants developed by the Genome in a Bottle project for genome NA12878 (Coriell Cat# GM12878, RRID:CVCL.7526; version 2.19) (Additional File 1: Supplementary Note) [10]. The results are shown in Table 2 and as receiver operating characteristic curves in Supplementary Fig. S1.

For SNPs, 16GT produced the most true positive calls and the fewest false negative calls; i.e., it has the highest

Table 1: P(L), Genotype prior probabilities for a reference allele “A”.

L	Zygoty	Number of SNPs	Number of indels	Number of transversions	Prior probability P(L)
AA	Hom.	–	–	0	1
GG	Hom.	1	0	2	$\theta/2 * \epsilon * \epsilon$
CC, TT	Hom.	1	0	0	$\theta/2$
AG	Het.	1	0	1	$\theta * \epsilon$
AC, AT	Het.	1	0	0	θ
CG, GT	Het.	2	0	1	$\theta * \theta/2 * \epsilon$
CT	Het.	2	0	0	$\theta * \theta/2$
AX	Het.	0	1	0	ω
GX	Het.	1	1	1	$\omega * \theta/2 * \epsilon$
CX, TX	Het.	1	1	0	$\omega * \theta/2$
XX	Hom.	0	1	0	$\omega/2$
XY	Het.	0	2	0	$\omega * \omega/2$

Hom.: homozygous; Het.: heterozygous.

Table 2: Benchmark comparisons between 16GT and 5 other variant callers on a dataset from the Genome in a Bottle project consisting of 787M read pairs (53-fold) from genome NA12878.

Caller	Time (minutes w/36 cores)	SNP						Indel				
		TP	Total	FP			FN	TP	Total	FP		FN
				dbSNP 138	dbSNP 138%	TP in Omni 2.5				dbSNP 138	dbSNP 138%	
16GT	121	2 663 179	5346	4220	79%	20/20	918	167 549	1462	944	65%	3180
UG	29	2 655 608	1639	563	34%	15/15	8489	163 839	624	546	88%	6890
HC	539	2 653 684	419	143	34%	4/4	10 413	168 444	1232	726	59%	2285
Freebayes	52	2 655 513	724	353	49%	11/14	8584	162 505	559	0	0%	8224
Fermikit	45	2 567 672	2036	509	25%	9/9	96 425	161 916	1996	1076	54%	8813
ISAAC	63	2 659 438	1115	586	53%	15/15	4659	158 642	1239	710	57%	12 087
VarScan2	136	2 658 358	1680	718	43%	10/10	5739	158 906	574	481	84%	11 823

FP: false positive; FN: false negative; HC: GATK HaplotypeCaller; UG: GATK UnifiedGenotyper.

sensitivity and specificity among all tools. dbSNP version 138 also reported 79% of 16GT’s false positive calls, which is the highest among other callers. However, we should point out that the GIAB variant set is biased toward GATK because it was primarily derived from GATK-based analyses, as reported previously [11]. As an orthogonal test, we further assessed the false positive calls against a set of unbiased calls made by the Illumina Omni 2.5 SNP array (Additional File 1: Supplementary Note). Among the 5346 false positive calls for 16GT, 20 were covered by the Omni array, and all 20 (100%) had the correct genotype. Although limited by the small number of measurable alleles in the Illumina Omni 2.5 SNP array, only allowing us to reassess 20 “false positive” calls as true positives, the observation that all 20 genotypes out of the 20 covered alleles are correct suggests that a number of the remaining “false positive” calls are actually correct.

For indels, 16GT produced slightly fewer true positive calls and slightly more false negative calls than HaplotypeCaller, but less than half as many false negative calls as UnifiedGenotyper. dbSNP version 138 covered 65% of 16GT’s false positive indels. Further investigation into the 1462 false positive indels shows that 981 (67%) of them meet all 3 of the following criteria: (i) at least 3 reads supporting the variant; (ii) at least 1 read supporting both the positive and negative strands; and (iii) in over 80% of the reads that support the variant, there exists no other variant in its flanking 10 bp. This suggests that some of these “false pos-

itives” might be correct, although further experimental validation would be required to confirm this suggestion. Supplementary Fig. S2 shows 3 examples where the putative false positive from 16GT is likely to be correct.

Conclusions

16GT is the firstly publicly available implementation using a 16-genotype probabilistic model for variant calling. Compared with local assembly based variant callers, 16GT provides better sensitivity in SNP calling and comparable sensitivity in indel calling. In the current implementation, 16GT can only be applied to germline variant detection. In the future, we will enhance 16GT to support multi-sample variant calling and GVCF output and to support somatic variant detection and extend the model to support variant calling in species with more than 2 haplotypes.

Additional files

Additional File 1.docx

Abbreviations

indel: insertions and deletions; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; Ti/Tv: Transitions to Transversions.

Acknowledgements

We thank United Electronics Co. Limited for providing code samples for the bam2snapshot function.

Funding

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health under grants R01-HL129239 and R01-HG006677.

Availability of source code and requirements

Project name: 16GT

Project homepage: <https://github.com/aquaskyline/16GT>

Archived version: <https://github.com/aquaskyline/16GT/releases/tag/1.0>

Operating system: Platform independent

Programming language: C++ and Perl

Other requirements: See GitHub page

License: GPLv3

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: None

Availability of supporting data and materials

Snapshots of the code and data are available in the GigaScience repository, GigaDB [12], and are also available via the Code Ocean reproducibility platform [13].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

R.L., M.C.S., and S.L.S. conceived the study. R.L. developed and implemented the 16GT algorithm and benchmarked 16GT with other variant callers. R.L., M.C.S., and S.L.S. wrote the paper. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* 2010;**20**:1297–303.
2. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009;**25**:2078–9.
3. Albers CA, Lunter G, MacArthur DG et al. Dindel: accurate indel calls from short-read data. *Genome Res* 2011;**21**:961–73.
4. Li H. Improving SNP discovery by base alignment quality. *Bioinformatics* 2011;**27**:1157–8.
5. Luo R, Wong YL, Law WC et al. BALS: integrated secondary analysis for whole-genome and whole-exome sequencing, accelerated by GPU. *Peer J* 2014;**2**:e421.
6. Garrison E, Marth G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. 2012, preprint (arXiv:12073907).
7. Li H. FermiKit: assembly-based variant calling for Illumina resequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 2015;**31**(22):3694–6.
8. Raczy C, Petrovski R, Saunders CT et al. Isaac: ultra-fast whole-genome secondary analysis on Illumina sequencing platforms. *Bioinformatics* 2013;**29**(16):2041–3.
9. Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE et al. VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res* 2012;**22**:568–76.
10. Zook JM, Chapman B, Wang J et al. Integrating human sequence data sets provides a resource of benchmark SNP and indel genotype calls. *Nat Biotechnol* 2014;**32**:246–51.
11. Chiang C, Layer RM, Faust GG et al. SpeedSeq: ultra-fast personal genome analysis and interpretation. *Nat Methods* 2015;**12**:966–8.
12. Luo R, Schatz MC, Salzberg SL. Supporting data for “16GT: a fast and sensitive variant caller using a 16-genotype probabilistic model” GigaScience Database 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100316>.
13. Luo R. 16GT: a fast and sensitive variant caller using a 16-genotype probabilistic model [Source Code]. Code Ocean 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24433/CO.0a812d9b-0ff3-4eb7-825f-76d3cd049a43>.