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Entanglement dynamics of Nitrogen-vacancy centers spin ensembles coupled to a superconducting resonator

Yimin Liu1, Jiabin You2 & Qizhe Hou3

Exploration of macroscopic quantum entanglement is of great interest in both fundamental science and practical application. We investigate a hybrid quantum system that consists of two nitrogen-vacancy centers ensembles (NVE) coupled to a superconducting coplanar waveguide resonator (CPWR). The collective magnetic coupling between the NVE and the CPWR is employed to generate macroscopic entanglement between the NVEs, where the CPWR acts as the quantum bus. We find that, this NVE-CPWR hybrid system behaves as a system of three coupled harmonic oscillators, and the excitation prepared initially in the CPWR can be distributed into these two NVEs. In the nondissipative case, the entanglement of NVEs oscillates periodically and the maximal entanglement always keeps unity if the CPWR is initially prepared in the odd coherent state. Considering the dissipative effect from the CPWR and NVEs, the amount of entanglement between these two NVEs strongly depends on the initial state of the CPWR, and the maximal entanglement can be tuned by adjusting the initial states of the total system. The experimental feasibility and challenge with currently available technology are discussed.

Recently, significant progress has been made in the quantum hybrid system consisting of a variety of physical systems, which combines the merits of two or more physical systems and mitigates their individual weaknesses. Especially, the hybrid quantum model including solid-state spin systems and superconducting coplanar waveguide resonator (CPWR) systems1–11, provides a promising platform to study the intriguing quantum optic phenomena as well as the fundamental quantum information (QI) science. Especially, spin-qubit in the solid-state system attract considerable interest because they can be used to store and transfer the QI12. Additionally, the coherence times of isolated or peculiar spins are usually long due to their weak interaction with the environment. For instance, the diamond nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers, which are formed by nitrogen atoms substituting for carbon atoms and adjacent vacancies in a diamond, feature long coherence times of electron (nuclear) spin with about one ms (one sec) in a wide temperature range13–27. More importantly, the NV centers have the ability to coherently couple to various external fields simultaneously, such as both optical and microwave fields28,29. However, induced by the vacuum fluctuations of the photons, individual NV center couples to the CPWR with a very weak strength far below the linewidth of CPWR with dozens of kHz30,31, which is unfavorable for the coherent exchange of QI. Whereas, the collective coupling strength between a NV centers spin ensemble (NVE) and the CPWR will be enhanced by the value of \(\sqrt{N_0}\) with \(N_0\) the total number of NV centers in the spin ensembles2,3,32. More importantly, compared to the conventional electric-dipole couplings mechanism, the employment of collective magnetic coupling for manipulating spin ensembles brings vital advantages12,14,32–34. To date, series of experimental demonstrations of strong magnetic couplings between NVE and CPWR1–5 have attracted considerable interest in the potential applications35–41.

On the other hand, due to the fragile nature of single-particle suffering severely from decoherence, it is desired to explore various channels to effectively construct highly entangled states in larger quantum systems, which is one of the central ingredients for large-scale quantum computation42. Recently, much particular attention has been paid to quantum entanglement of macroscopic samples43–46 owing to their unique quantum
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characteristics, such as robustness to single-particle decoherence and relatively simple experimental realization. Consequently, developing experiments and theories for the useful interfacing of disparate macroscopic quantum systems like NVs is increasingly important and interesting. Lately, many efforts have been devoted to the achievement of entanglement between separate macroscopic atomic ensembles, polar molecule ensembles, and electronic spin ensembles using different methods, including projective measurements, quantum reservoir engineering, spontaneous/stimulated Raman scattering, adiabatic quantum feedback, intracavity electromagnetically induced transparency, and so on.

In this work, we investigate a hybrid quantum system that consists of two separated NVs coupled to a common CPWR, where each pair of NVE-CPWR interaction actually is a coherent coupling between two harmonic oscillators or bosonic fields with a collectively enhanced strength proportional to $\sqrt{N}$/2. In our case, the collective NVE-CPWR magnetic coupling is used to generate entanglement between the NVs, and decoherence effects from both the CPWR and the NVs on the entanglement dynamics of NVs have also been studied by employing the quantum trajectory method. More importantly, we propose a practical scalable and tunable architecture in this model for investigating quantum dynamics of the NVs and realizing entangled states between the NVs. Furthermore, the present method provides us the potential feasibility of generating multi-NVE entanglement, which is a crucial element in the NVE-based quantum network. We find that, this NVE-CPWR hybrid system behaves as a system of three coupled harmonic oscillators, and the excitation prepared initially in the CPWR can be transferred and distributed in these two NVs. In the nondissipative case, the entanglement of NVs oscillates periodically and the maximal entanglement always keeps unity if the CPWR is initially prepared in the odd coherent state, and the situation becomes different in the case of even coherent state. Considering the dissipative effect from the CPWR and NVs, the amount of entanglement between the two NVs strongly depends on the initial state of the CPWR, and the maximal entanglement can be tuned by adjusting the initial states of system. Our further study reveals that the maximal entanglement between the NVs could be achieved through accurately adjusting the tunable parameters, such as the initial states of the resonator field as well as the coupling rates. Our detailed analysis could find a way to extract the optimal experimental parameters for maximal entanglement using the increasingly-developed nanoscale solid-state technology, even in the presence of dissipative effects of the spin ensemble and superconducting resonator.

Results System and Model. The system under consideration is illustrated in Fig. 1, the device we study is a combined NVE-CPWR system governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{tot}} = H_{\text{C}} + H_E + H_{\text{GE}}.$$  

(1)

The microwave-driven CPWR (with the length $L$, the capacitance $C_c$, and the inductance $F_c$) consists of a narrow center conductor and two nearby lateral ground planes, whose Hamiltonian has the following form (in units of $\hbar = 1$) $H_{\text{C}} = \omega \alpha a^\dagger a$, where $a$ ($a^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of the full-wave mode, and $\omega = 2\pi/(\sqrt{F_cC_c})$ is the corresponding eigenfrequency. The distributions of current and voltage inside the CPWR have the expression $I_{crw}(x) = -i\sqrt{\omega_c/F_c}(a - a^\dagger)\sin(2\pi/Lx)$ and $V_{crw}(x) = \sqrt{\omega_c/C_c} (a^\dagger + a)\cos(2\pi/Lx)$.

The Hamiltonian of a NVE containing $N_0$ NV centers reads $H_E = (\omega_{\nu}^\dagger/2) S_{\nu}^z$, where $S_{\nu}^\dagger = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^\dagger (\nu = z, \pm)$ is the collective spin operator for the spin ensemble with $\sigma_{j}^\dagger = |e\rangle\langle g|, |g\rangle\langle e|, |e\rangle$ and $|g\rangle$. For clarity of our discussion, two symmetric Dicke excitation states $|n\rangle_{N_0} = (1/\sqrt{N_0})\sum_{j} |j\rangle_{N_0}$, with $n = 0$ and 1 are introduced as $|0\rangle_{N_0} = |g\rangle_{N_0} g_{-N_0}$ and $|1\rangle_{N_0} = S^{+}|0\rangle_{N_0} = (1/\sqrt{N_0})\sum_{j} |g\rangle_{N_0}$, which could be encoded as the qubit of NVE. Through the collective magnetic-dipole coupling, the NVE-CPWR interaction Hamiltonian can be
described by $H_{CE} = g(S^+ a + S^- a^\dagger)$ with $g$ being the single NV vacuum Rabi frequency. Due to the fact that the mode wavelength of CPWR is larger than the spatial dimension of the NVE when the spin ensemble is placed near the field antinode, all the NV spins in ensemble interact symmetrically with a single mode of electromagnetic field. Using the Holstein-Primakoff (HP) transformation\cite{60,61}, the spin operators can be mapped into the boson operators as follows: $\sum_{m=1}^{N_0} \sigma^+_{m,i} = i \sqrt{N_0} c_i c_j^\dagger \approx \sqrt{N_0} c_i^\dagger c_j$, and $\sum_{m=1}^{N_0} \sigma^-_{m,i} = c_i^\dagger N_0 - c_j c_j \approx \sqrt{N_0} c_i^\dagger c_j$, where the operators $c_i^\dagger$ and $c_j^\dagger$ obey the standard boson commutator $[c_j^\dagger, c_j] \approx 1$ in the case of weak excitation.

So the total Hamiltonian of the NVE-CPWR coupling system is given by

$$H_T = \omega_a a^\dagger a + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \left[ \omega_{Gk} c_k^\dagger c_k + G_k(c_k^\dagger a + c_k a^\dagger) \right],$$

where $G_k = \sqrt{N_0} G_k$ represents the collective coupling strength between the $k$-th NVE and CPWR with $k = 1, 2$. Meeting the condition $\omega_a = \omega_{Gk}$, we can obtain the following Hamiltonian $H_{int} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} G_k(c_k^\dagger a + c_k a^\dagger)$. One can find that the interactions between the two NVs and the CPWR could be reduced to the coupling of three bosonic fields or harmonic oscillators. Taking the dissipative effects from the NVs and the CPWR into account, the dissipative dynamics of the total system can be effectively described by employing the quantum trajectory method\cite{56,57} with the conditional Hamiltonian\cite{58}

$$H_{eff} = H_{int} - \frac{\kappa_k}{2} a - \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{\kappa_k}{2} c_k^\dagger c_k,$$

where $\kappa_k$ and $\kappa'$ are the decay rates of the $k$-th NVs and the CPWR, respectively. This is a reasonable assumption for the region of interest, where the decay rates are not dominant, and the CPWR has a very small probability to be detected with a photon. For simplicity, here we have assumed $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa$ in our model.

**Entanglement dynamics of Nitrogen-vacancy centers spin ensembles.** In this section we will focus on the Entanglement dynamics of Nitrogen-vacancy centers spin ensembles. According to the Heisenberg motion equations, we can obtain the differential equations of the operators $a$ and $c_k$ as

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = -i \left( \omega - i\kappa' \right) a - i \sum_{k=1}^{2} G_k c_k,$$

$$\frac{\partial c_k}{\partial t} = -i \left( \omega - i\kappa \right) c_k - i G_k a.$$

Considering the initial conditions $\{a(0), \ c_1(0), \ c_2(0)\}$, we obtain the following analytical solution

$$a(t) = X_1 a(0) + X_2 c_2(0) + X_3 c_3(0),$$

$$c_1(t) = Y_1 a(0) + Y_2 c_2(0) + Y_3 c_3(0),$$

$$c_2(t) = Z_1 a(0) + Z_2 c_2(0) + Z_3 c_3(0),$$

where the expression of coefficients $X_i$, $Y_i$, and $Z_i$ are given by $X_i = q + i(m-n) + [p-i(m-n)] \exp(iGpt/2)/p$, $X_3 = -2q \exp(iGpt/2) - [p-i(m-n)] \exp(iGpt/2)/p$, $Y_1 = 2h_1(h_2 - h_1)/p$, $Y_2 = h_1^2 [2ph_2 + [p-i(m-n)] \exp(iGpt/2)/p]$, and $Z_1 = Y_1$, $Z_2 = Y_2$, $Z_3 = Y_3$.

Suppose that the CPWR is initially prepared in an arbitrary normalized superposition of the coherent state $\gamma |\alpha_1\rangle + \delta |\alpha_2\rangle$\cite{62,63} and the NVs are prepared in their vacuum states $|0\rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The total system is described by the wave function $|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t)|\psi(0)\rangle$, where $\gamma = C/\sqrt{T}$, $\delta = D/\sqrt{T}$, and $T = |C|^2 + |D|^2 + C^{\dagger}D \exp(-\frac{1}{2} |\alpha_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_1\alpha_2|^2) + C^{\dagger}D \exp(-\frac{1}{2} |\alpha_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_1\alpha_2|^2)$ are the normalized coefficients with $C(D)$ arbitrary complex numbers and $*^{\dagger} = \text{ conjugation}$. Under these initial conditions, the time-dependent wave function of the total system $|\psi(t)\rangle$ can be expressed as $|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t)|\psi(0)\rangle = U(t)(\gamma |\alpha_1\rangle + \delta |\alpha_2\rangle) |0\rangle_2$ with the time evolution operator $U(t) = \exp(-iH_{eff}t/H)$. A straightforward calculation yields

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = |\alpha_1 X_1\rangle \otimes |\alpha_2 X_2\rangle \otimes |\alpha_3 X_3\rangle + \delta |\alpha_1 X_2\rangle \otimes |\alpha_2 X_1\rangle \otimes |\alpha_3 X_3\rangle,$$

where we have used the relationships $U^\dagger(t)OU(t) = O(t)$ and $U(t)|0\rangle_2 = |0\rangle_2$. To investigate the entanglement dynamics between the NVs, we need to trace over the degree of freedom of the CPWR as $\rho(t) = Tr(|\psi(t)\rangle \langle \psi(t)|)$, which yields

$$\rho(t) = \left[ \gamma^2 M \left( |\alpha_1 X_2\rangle \langle \alpha_2 X_2| \right) \otimes \left( |\alpha_2 X_1\rangle \langle \alpha_1 X_1| \right) + h. c. \right] + \left[ |\alpha_1 X_2\rangle \langle \alpha_1 X_2| \right] + \left( |\alpha_1 X_3\rangle \langle \alpha_3 X_2| \right),$$

and

$$\rho(t) = \left[ \gamma^2 M \left( |\alpha_2 X_2\rangle \langle \alpha_2 X_2| \right) \otimes \left( |\alpha_2 X_1\rangle \langle \alpha_1 X_1| \right) + h. c. \right] + \left( |\alpha_1 X_2\rangle \langle \alpha_1 X_2| \right) + \left( |\alpha_2 X_3\rangle \langle \alpha_2 X_3| \right).$$
where $h.c.$ denotes Hermitian conjugate and $|\alpha\alpha\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} - \alpha \rangle \\ \alpha \rangle \end{bmatrix}$ is the inner product of the two coherent states $|\alpha_1\alpha_2\rangle$.

Through the calculation, the concurrence of two NVEs has the form

$$C = |\langle N | \rangle | \langle \gamma | M \rangle - |\langle \gamma | M \rangle|, \tag{8}$$

Here we have employed the relations $N_{\alpha} = N_\alpha - N_{\alpha} - \alpha$, and the even coherent state $|\alpha\rangle = N_{\alpha} + N_{\alpha} - \alpha$ with normalization constants $N_{\alpha} = \gamma = 2 \exp \left(-2 |\alpha| \right)^{-1/2}$ and $N_{\alpha} = 2 \exp \left(-2 |\alpha| \right)^{-1/2}$, respectively. So we can obtain $M = \exp \left(-2 |\alpha| \right)^{-1/2}$. As a result, the concurrence of NVEs and the average phonon number of CPWR can be expressed by

$$C_\alpha = |1 - \exp(-4 |\alpha| \right)^{-1/2} \exp(-2 |\alpha| \right)^{-1/2} / \zeta_+,$$

$$\bar{n}_\alpha = |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} \left[1 + \exp(-2 |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} / \zeta_+,$$

$$C_\alpha = |1 - \exp(-4 |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} \exp(-2 |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} / \zeta_-,$$

$$\bar{n}_\alpha = |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} \left[1 - \exp(-2 |\alpha^2| \right)^{-1/2} / \zeta_-,$$

where $\zeta_\pm = 1 \pm \exp(-2 |\alpha^2|)$.

The entanglement dynamics of NVEs is plotted in Fig. 2 as functions of time and parameter $|\alpha^2|$ in the nondissipative/dissipative case. In the present system, the collective magnetic coupling between the NVE and the CPWR is employed to generate macroscopic entanglement between the spin ensembles, where the CPWR acts as the common quantum bus. One can find that, in the nondissipative case, the concurrence oscillates periodically and the maximal value of the concurrence $C_{\text{max}}$ always keeps unity for any values of $|\alpha^2|$ in the case of odd coherent
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Figure 2. The concurrence as a function of $Gt$ and $|\alpha^2|$ when the CPWR is initially prepared in (a,b) odd coherent state $|\psi\rangle_o$ and (c,d) even coherent state $|\psi\rangle_e$, respectively. The left and right panels denote the nondissipative case ($\kappa = \kappa' = 0$), and the dissipative case ($\kappa = 0.5, \kappa' = 10^{-3}$), respectively.
In the above discussion, the detrimental influence from the nuclear spin, such as $^{13}$C defects in the spin ensemble have been ignored, nevertheless, this problem could be alleviated by isotopically purified $^{12}$C diamond.
through the purification technique\(^6\),\(^7\),\(^8\). Note that the present method provides us the potential feasibility of generating multi-NVE entanglement, which is a crucial element in the NVE-based scalable quantum network. We emphasize that the multi-NVE dynamics itself is more complicated and could exhibit richer dynamical behavior than the two-qubit case\(^6\),\(^9\)–\(^7\),\(^1\). Therefore, it is desirable to investigate the quantum dynamics of NVEs in a scalable way, and to develop efficient methods for controlling the entanglement dynamics of many NVEs in a common resonator. However, this issue goes beyond the scope of the present paper. Noticeably, the multi-NVE dynamics in different model have been studied for large-scale arrays\(^7\),\(^2\).

In the following we provide the reason that we can use the quantum jump model (Eq. (3)) to study the dephasing effect. In this work we give a phenomenological model for deeply understanding the decay of NVE collective excitation induced by the dephasing effects, which is mainly from the inhomogeneous broadening. In other word the dephasing time of the NVE is around \(\frac{1}{\kappa}\). Because of the local environment difference, the frequencies of the electron spins in NVE are not identical, and the mean frequency is denoted as \(\omega_{\text{NVE}}\). For single excitation of NVE, if the initial state is \(\Psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|gg\cdots g\rangle + |gg\cdots g\rangle + \cdots + |gg\cdots g\rangle)\), we will lose the information of the collective excitation due to the inhomogeneous broadening. Therefore, after dephasing time \(\frac{1}{\kappa}\), the NVE will reach the final state \(\rho_{f1} = \frac{1}{2} (|gg\cdots g\rangle\langle gg\cdots g| + |gg\cdots g\rangle\langle gg\cdots g| + \cdots + |gg\cdots g\rangle\langle gg\cdots g|)\), which is completely mixed state with excitation number 1. We can easily to verify that the overlap between initial and the final state \(\rho_{f1}\) approaches to zero. Therefore, the initial and final state can be viewed as two orthogonal states. Besides, we find that the final mixed state \(\rho_{f1}\) is nearly decoupled with the resonator mode, as there is no collective coupling enhancement for the mixed state. The single excitation decay equation can be written as \(\rho_{f1}(t) = e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{2} t} |\Psi_0\rangle\langle \Psi_0| + (1 - e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{2} t}) \rho_{f1}\). For the higher excitation states (Dicke states) with low excitation number \(m \ll N\), the similar results are held too. The initial collective state \(|\Psi_{0m}\rangle\) will decay to the final mixed state \(\rho_{mf}\), because of dephasing, and the excitation number \(m\) is fixed during the processing. The initial and the final states for the \(m\)-th excitation states are also orthogonal. The evolution equation of it is \(\rho_{mf}(t) = e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{2} t} |\Psi_{0m}\rangle\langle \Psi_{0m}| + (1 - e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{2} t}) \rho_{mf}\), Therefore, we find that the pure dephasing induces the amplitude decay of the collective excitation of NVE. The decay rate is equal to the dephasing rate of the NVE.

In order to measure the macroscopic entanglement in realistic experiment, we need to transfer the state from the NVEs to the states of two additional small flux qubits, each of which is attached on a NVE. So the task of entanglement detection can be performed by the direct measurement on the states of additional flux qubits, and implementation of transferring the state from NVEs to flux qubits could be realized by using the SWAP gate between the \(j\)-th NVE and the \(j\)-th flux qubits, like the method in\(^4\). We should note that, in order to guarantee

---

**Figure 4.** The concurrence as a function of \(Gt\) and \(|\alpha_2|\) when the CPWR is initially prepared in the coherent superposition state (a, b) \(|\psi\rangle\) and (c, d) \(|\psi\rangle\), respectively, where \(\alpha_1 = 1\). The left and right panels denote the nondissipative case \((\kappa = \kappa' = 0)\), and the dissipative case \((\kappa = 0.5, \kappa' = 10^{-3})\), respectively.
the collective mode detected by the small qubit is the same mode prepared by the resonator, the coupling strength between the each NV center to the resonator mode should be proportional to that to the small qubit.

In summary, we have presented a study on the dynamics of entanglement between spin ensembles via the collective coupling between the CPWR and NVs in such a hybrid system composed by a CPWR and two NVs. This NVE-CPWR hybrid system behaves as a system of coupled harmonic oscillators, and the excitation prepared initially in the CPWR can be transferred and distributed in these two NVs, where the CPWR plays the role of common databus. The decoherence effects from the CPWR and NVs on the quantum dynamics of the entanglement between spin ensembles have also been studied. Therefore, the present system provides a platform to generate quantum entanglement between two or more NVs embedded in the same resonator, which may be another route toward building a distributed QIP architecture and future NVE-based quantum network.

Method
Calculations of concurrence. Before using the concept of concurrence for bipartite entangled nonorthogonal states to measure entanglement between the NVs, we transform the nonorthonormal form in Eq. (7) into an orthogonal form by rebuilding two orthogonal and normalized states as basis of the two-dimensional Hilbert space. Using the Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization process, we can define

\[ \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 |) \rho(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 |) + h. c. \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ + |(N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |) (N_2(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |) + (N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |) + (N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 |) + (N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |) \]

\[ + |(N_1(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 0 | N_2(t) | 1 \rangle \langle 1 |) \right) \right) \]

Therefore the elements of the orthogonal form \( \rho \) are

\[ \rho_{11} = |\gamma|^2 + \gamma^2 MP_{1}P_{2} + |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 + \gamma^2 MP_{1}P_{2}, \]

\[ \rho_{12} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 + \gamma^2 MP_{1}P_{2}, \]

\[ \rho_{13} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 + \gamma^2 MP_{1}P_{2}, \]

\[ \rho_{14} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 + \gamma^2 MP_{1}P_{2}, \]

\[ \rho_{21} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 + |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{22} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{23} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{24} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{31} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{32} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{33} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{34} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{41} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{42} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{43} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2, \]

\[ \rho_{44} = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2. \]

It is easy to obtain the square roots of eigenvalues of the matrix \( \rho(t) \) in Eq. (11) as \( \lambda_1 = |\gamma|^2 |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 |1 + |M||, \)

\( \lambda_2 = |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 |1 + |M||, \) and \( \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0. \) As a result, the concurrence of two NVs has the form

\[ C = |\gamma|^2 |\delta|^2 |P_{1}|^2 |P_{2}|^2 |1 + |M|| \]
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