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Abstract

This study examines the cellular automata traffic flow model, which considers the heterogeneity of vehicle acceleration and the delay probability of vehicles. Computer simulations are used to identify three typical phases in the model: free-flow, synchronized flow, and wide moving traffic jam. In the synchronized flow region of the fundamental diagram, the low and high velocity vehicles compete with each other and play an important role in the evolution of the system. The analysis shows that there are two types of bistable phases. However, in the original Nagel and Schreckenberg cellular automata traffic model, there are only two kinds of traffic conditions, namely, free-flow and traffic jams. The synchronized flow phase and bistable phase have not been found.
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1. Introduction

Traffic science examines the fundamental laws and properties of transportation systems. The traffic dynamic behavior of vehicular traffic is complex and
has diverse, interesting, non-equilibrium features such as collective behavior, self-organization, coexisting phases, etc. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7].

Freeway traffic flow is a very complex spatiotemporal nonlinear dynamic process [1]. For this reason, empirical traffic pattern features are not fully understood. The three-phase traffic flow theory can explain empirical spatiotemporal traffic patterns better than earlier traffic flow theories [8, 9, 10]. Previous experimental studies have shown that the complexity in traffic flow is linked to diverse space-time transitions between three basically different kinds of traffic: free traffic flow, synchronized traffic flow, and traffic jams [11]. Many existing cellular automata traffic flow models are based on Kerner's three-phase traffic flow theory [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

The cellular automata (CA) model is useful for simulating large systems and a variety of CA models have been proposed [3, 30, 32, 33, 29, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Nagel and Schreckenberg (NS) [36] proposed a model of gradually increasing velocity, in which a vehicle may increase by only one unit per time step. In the Fukui-Ishibashi (FI) model [37], vehicles can move only \( m \) sites in one time step, and only if they are not blocked by vehicles in front. The notation \( m \), which denotes the maximum velocity of vehicles, must be a positive integer. It is evident that these two popular one-dimensional traffic flow models are based on different vehicle accelerations. In real traffic, vehicle acceleration depends on their own current velocity, vehicle performance, driving habits, and so on; therefore, real acceleration must be a random number somewhere between 0 and the maximum velocity of vehicles.

The randomization of update rules of the NS and FI models [36, 37] can provide the key to modeling the formation of spontaneously emerging traffic jams and natural speed fluctuations caused by human behavior or varying external conditions. In the NS model, if the velocities of vehicles are greater than zero, all vehicles have the same delay probability [36]. The FI model [37] has similar results if the velocities are equal to 5. However, Brilon and Wu argued that this rule has no theoretical basis and is in fact heuristic [38]. Randomization occurs inevitably in actual traffic and it is evident that different drivers have different
delay probabilities under various velocities, external conditions, etc.

The heterogeneity of vehicular traffic is an important feature in traffic flow studies. Different types of driver's individual property and/or vehicles (e.g., vehicles with different maximal velocity, length, and so on) have been considered in some studies \[39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 49\].

Unlike these studies, this study establishes a new cellular automata traffic flow model that considers the heterogeneity in the acceleration and delay probability of vehicles. Our model can reproduce some common characteristics of the real traffic, such as the start-and-stop waves, and present the synchronized flow phase and bistable phase. The fundamental diagram of the model shows quantitative coincidence of maximum flow with values taken from real traffic measurements.

2. Definition of the CA traffic model

For the sake of completeness, we now briefly define the NS model. In the NS model, a road is composed of \( L \) cells of equal size, and every single cell can be empty or occupied by a single vehicle. The velocity of each vehicle can be one of the \( v_{\text{max}} + 1 \) allowed integer values, i.e., \( v = 0, 1, \ldots, v_{\text{max}} \). Here, \( v_{\text{max}} \) (the notation \( v_{\text{max}} \) is a positive integer) denotes the maximum velocities of vehicles. Let \( v_{\text{max}} \) be 5 in this study. Below, the periodic boundary conditions are adopted and no vehicle is allowed to overtake on the road.

As cellular automata are dynamic systems that are discrete in nature, the acceleration of each vehicle must be a random integer. However, the acceleration values of vehicles can be no larger than their maximum velocities. Thus, the acceleration of each vehicle is also one of the \( v_{\text{max}} + 1 \) allowed integer values, \( v = 0, 1, \ldots, v_{\text{max}} \).

As mentioned above, we only consider the effect of velocity on the delay probability of vehicles. The delay probability of each vehicle exists when the value of its possible velocity equals the value of its corresponding gap, and the probability varies with the size of the corresponding gap. We assume that the delay probability of each vehicle increases linearly with its velocity. As Table 1
shows, \( p(v) = 0 \) where \( v(t) = 1 \). In other words, the vehicle does not need to slow down if \( v(t) = \text{gap} = 1 \), which is similar to the conditions in [30].

The state of the road at time \( t + 1 \) can be obtained from time step \( t \) by simultaneously applying the following rules to all vehicles (parallel dynamics): (i) the randomization parameter of acceleration \( a \) is determined by \( a = \text{rand}()/(v_{\text{max}} + 1) \), where \( \text{rand}() \) function is a random function that can generate random numbers. (ii) acceleration, velocity is \( v_i(t + 1) \rightarrow \min(v_i(t) + a, V_{\text{max}}) \); (iii) deceleration due to other vehicles, velocity is \( v_i(t + 1) \rightarrow \min(v_i(t + 1), \text{gap}) \), where \( \text{gap} \) is the number of empty cells in front of the \( i \)th vehicle; (iv) the parameter of the delay probability is determined by \( p = p(v) \); (v) randomization with probability \( p \) if \( v_i(t + 1) = \text{gap} \), \( v_i(t + 1) \rightarrow \max(v_i(t + 1) - 1, 0) \); and (vi) vehicle movement is \( x_i(t + 1) \rightarrow x_i(t) + v_i(t + 1) \), where \( v_i(t) \) and \( x_i(t) \) are the velocity and the position of the \( i \)th vehicle at the current \( t \) time step, respectively.

In computer simulations, the length of each cell is set to 7.5 m. System size \( L \) is assumed to be 2000, which corresponds to an actual road length of around 15 km. One time step approximately corresponds to 1 s in real time. Thus, the maximum velocity \( v_{\text{max}} = 5 \) corresponds to 135 km/h in real traffic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( v(t) = \text{gap} )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>\cdots</th>
<th>Linear function expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p(v) )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>\cdots</td>
<td>( p(v) =</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Rich behavior of the present model

The fundamental diagrams (FD) of the present model and the NS model are presented in Figure 1(a). There are only two kinds of traffic conditions, namely, free-flow and traffic jam in the NS model [33]. However, in the present model, there are three phases: (i) free-flow, (ii) synchronized flow, and (iii) wide moving jam. Moreover, from Figure 1(a), the maximum flow of the present model is
0.675, which is closer to the measurement value of 0.69, in comparison with 0.32 of the NS model. Compared with the NS model, the system of the present model reaches the maximum flow under a higher density, and then this system still maintains a higher flow within a wider density range. Based on these results, the transit capacity of the present model is more realistic which is superior to the NS model. In the following sections, we will discuss the specific feature of three phases for the present model.

In the free-flow region ($0 < d < d_{FSF}$), shown in Fig. 1(b), the delay probability does not work for all vehicles. Vehicles in the system move at the maximum velocity because they can self-organize, and $\text{gap} > v_{\text{max}}$ holds for all vehicles. Let us look at the structure of the free flow in the present model. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the trajectory of each vehicle must be a straight line (red line) in the time and space plots.

The jamming region ($d_{SJB} < d < 1$) in Fig. 1(b), presented in detail in Fig. 2(b), shows the typical spatiotemporal structure of a wide moving jam (the black band). This black band grows wider as traffic density increases and finally becomes a completely compact jam when density $d = 1$. In the black band internal, the wide moving jam is completely compact and the density of vehicles is 1. All of the vehicles are at a standstill, bumper to bumper, until the
Figure 2: The space-time plots of the present model, where (a) $d = 0.12$ and (b) $d = 0.40$. The vehicles are moving from the left to the right, and the vertical direction (down) is (increasing) time, as shown by the two black arrows.
downstream front of the jam moves forward.

The synchronized flow region ($d_{FSB} < d < d_{SJF}$) of Fig. 1(b), presented in detail in Fig. 3, shows the typical spatiotemporal distribution plots of velocity. Black pixel points indicate that the vehicles have velocities equal to zero. There are no black pixel points in Fig. 3, indicating that all of these vehicles are moving forward. Vehicles with the same velocity gather together and form many small teams. These features reflect the characteristics of a synchronous flow. Moreover, Fig. 3 shows that with an increase in density, there are fewer and fewer blue pixel points (a blue pixel point indicates a vehicle with a velocity equal to 5), and more red pixel points (a red pixel point indicates a vehicle with a velocity equal to 1). The red pixel points gather together and form many small teams. There are similar examples of other color pixel points such as yellow,
green, and so on, because the average temporal headway \((\text{gap})\) shortens with an increase in density, leading to more low-velocity vehicles.

Fig. 3 only presents one sample with a given density, and other cases are not discussed. Fig. 4 shows the statistical distributions of velocity; and all of the values are an average of 50 samples. As in Fig. 4, there are no vehicles with a velocity equal to zero. The blue bars in the histograms indicate that the percentage of vehicles in the system with velocity 5 decreases quickly from 75% to 21% with increasing density, as shown in Fig. 4. However, the percentage of vehicles traveling at other velocities increases with density. The percentage of vehicles traveling at velocity 1 increases from 12% to 45%. No matter whether density is high or low, vehicles with velocities 1 and 5 always have a strong effect on the flow.
on synchronized flow. Moreover, for $v(t) = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$, the number of vehicles for
the case of $v(t) = \text{gap}$ is greater than for $v(t) < \text{gap}$. However, this is reversed
for $v(t) = 4 \text{ or } 5$. Furthermore, there is a typical “stop-and-go” phenomenon,
as shown in Fig. 5, which is obviously induced by vehicles with velocities equal
to 1.

Fig. 6 shows that there are two different types of bistable phases: FS and SJ.
For the bistable state phase FS ($d_{FSF} \leq d \leq d_{FSB}$), after a sufficiently long pe-
riod of time, the system evolves into a free flow under some initial configurations,
but under other initial configurations, the system evolves into a synchronized
flow. In the bistable state phase SJ ($d_{SJF} \leq d \leq d_{SJB}$), the system evolves into
a synchronized flow under some initial configurations, but under other initial
configurations, the system evolves into a wide moving jam. Changes in system
size do not affect the bistability.
Figure 6: (a) Magnified sections near $d_{FSF}$ and $d_{FSB}$ given in Fig. 1(b), (b) Magnified sections near $d_{SJF}$ and $d_{SJB}$ given in Fig. 1(b).

Figure 7: (a) Fundamental diagram of the present model in Table 2. (b) Magnified sections of the FD given in Fig. 7(a)
Table 2: Values of the delay probability depend on the velocities of vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( v(t) = \text{gap} )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>\cdots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p(v) )</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>\cdots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linear function expression: \( p(v) = \frac{v(t)}{2v_{max}} \)

4. Conclusions and Discussions

To summarize, this study examines the heterogeneity of acceleration and delay probability of vehicles in the cellular automata traffic flow model. For all vehicles, if their velocity is the same as the velocity of the corresponding gaps, a random delay is possible, and the value of the delay probability increases linearly with velocity according to the equation \( p(v) = \frac{v(t) - 1}{2v_{max}} \). The acceleration of each vehicle is a random integer between zero and the maximum velocity. The computer simulations identify three typical phases in the fundamental diagram of the present model: free flow, synchronized flow, and wide moving jam. In the synchronized flow region, vehicles with low and high velocities play an important role. As density increases, the number of low velocity vehicles increases, and the number of high velocity vehicles decreases. Furthermore, there are two types of bistable phases depending on the initial distribution of the vehicles. The system eventually evolves into one of two configurations after a sufficient period of time.

Moreover, as Table 2 shows, if we set \( p(v) = 0.1 \), where \( v(t) = 1 \), then, as shown in Fig. 7(a), there are only two typical phases: free flow and traffic jams. It is evident that the delay probability does not exist for vehicles with a velocity of 1 and this is the key factor in the synchronized flow region in the FD of the present model. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 7(b), we have identified a new type of bistable phase, FJ. Under some initial configurations, the system will evolve into free flow; In other initial configurations, the system will evolve into a traffic jam.

In real traffic, the delay probability of each vehicle is influenced by many factors such as driver’s individual aspects, vehicle type, etc. This study only considers the delay probability of each vehicle that depends on its velocity in
the linear functional form. In our future work, the delay probability of vehicles with nonlinear functional form, and the consideration of other relevant factors will be further investigated.
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