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Abstract

BACKGROUND CONTEXT: Growing rods are commonly used for surgical treatment of skeletally immature patients with scoliosis, but require repeated surgeries for distractions and are fraught with complications. As an alternative, the use of magnetically controlled growing rods (MCGR) allows for more frequent non-invasive distractions to mimic normal growth. However, more plain radiographs are needed to monitor increased distraction frequency, thereby increasing ionizing radiation exposure to the developing child. The use of ultrasound, which emits no radiation, has been found in a cross-sectional study to be reliable in measuring MCGR distractions.

PURPOSE: The study aims to address the prospective clinical utility of ultrasound compared with plain radiographs for assessing MCGR distractions.

STUDY DESIGN: This is a prospective study.

PATIENT SAMPLE: The study includes patients with early-onset scoliosis undergoing distractions after MCGR implant.

OUTCOME MEASURES: The distraction length on plain radiographs and ultrasound was measured.

METHODS: This is a prospective study of patients treated with MCGR. Patients with both single- and dual-rod systems were included. Outpatient distractions were performed at monthly intervals, targeting 2 mm of distraction on each occasion. Assessment of distraction length was monitored by ultrasound at each visit; plain radiographs were taken every 6 months and were compared with ultrasound measurements.

RESULTS: Nine patients (5 female, 4 male), with a mean of 29 distractions (standard deviation [SD] ±14.3), were recruited. The mean distracted length per 6 months was 5.7 mm (SD ±3.6 mm) on plain radiographs and 5.2 mm (SD ±3.5 mm) on ultrasound for the concave rod, and 6.1 mm (SD ±3.6 mm) on plain radiographs and 5.9 mm (SD ±3.8 mm) on ultrasound for the convex rod. Excellent inter- and intra-rater reliabilities were observed for radiographic and ultrasound measurements. An excellent correlation was noted between the two imaging modalities (r=0.93; p<.0001).

FDA device/drug status: Approved (Magnetically controlled Growing Rod).
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**Introduction**

Scoliosis deformity in young children is particularly difficult to manage. If left untreated, these deformities are at risk of rapid progression, cosmetic disfigurement, and pulmonary insufficiency [1–8]. By addressing the need to control these deformities while allowing for physiological spine growth, distractible spinal implants or growing rods were developed [9–11]. Patients are recommended to receive open distraction surgeries using these traditional growing rods (TGRs) every 6 months to effectively control progression of spinal deformity, gradually straighten the spine, and mimic spinal growth [9,10,12–16]. However, this method of treatment has significant limitations, including the need for repeated surgeries, and increased risk for anesthetic and wound complications [1,2]. Repeated admissions for surgery also add further psychological distress to both the child and the family. Furthermore, TGR surgery has increased cost implications [17], and hence creates a substantial burden on health care.

In response to the limitations of TGR, a remotely distractible, magnetically controlled growing rod (MCGR) system has been developed to allow for gradual lengthening on an outpatient basis [18,19]. This allows for safe spinal lengthening with continuous neurologic monitoring and real-time feedback by the patient. Moreover, the rods can be retracted if any pain is experienced during the distraction. Preliminary studies have shown its clinical [18,20–22] and cost [17] effectiveness, as well as its safety in the gradual correction of severe deformities [23]. The MCGR may also potentially mimic normal physiological growth more closely as smaller and more frequent distractions can be performed without invasive surgery [18,21].

However, with increased distraction intervals, the requirement for plain radiographs to confirm and monitor distractions is increased. Unfortunately, the health risks of ionizing radiation exposure increase with each x-ray exposure in the developing child. This is a valid concern as ionizing radiation exposure to children has been linked to breast cancer and subsequent mortality [24–26]. Other effects of ionizing radiation exposure also include the development of sarcomas and heart disease, among other conditions [27–30]. “Ultrasoundography” is a non-invasive, non-ionizing imaging modality that has been shown to be feasible in the assessment of distractions [31]. In the authors’ practice, ultrasound has been incorporated into a routine measurement tool for distraction lengths since 2013. As such, the present study aimed to address the prospective clinical utility of ultrasound compared with plain radiographs for assessing MCGR distractions.

**Materials and methods**

This was a prospective study of patients treated with MCGR for early-onset scoliosis at a single institute. All patients had preoperative Cobb angle of >30° and were skeletally immature (premenarche status for female patients, open phalangeal physis, Risser 0). Ethics approval was obtained from the local institutional review board. The Scoliosis Research Society definition of early-onset scoliosis (spine deformity diagnosed before the ages of 8–10) was adopted. Patients with early-onset scoliosis were included only if they were skeletally immature (ie, premenarche status for female patients, open phalangeal physis, Risser 0) at the time of surgery. All patients were consecutively recruited from April 2013 to March 2015.

All patients had MCGR inserted as previously described [18]. Either hooks or screws were used as fixation anchors at the upper and lower instrumented vertebra. Only one set of cross-links was used for dual-rod systems, which was placed near the lower instrumented vertebra. Outpatient distractions were performed at monthly intervals with expected 2-mm distraction on each occasion. Ultrasound assessment (Fig. 1) was performed at each follow-up pre- and post-distraction to confirm the distraction length according to previously described methods [31]. Distraction length was measured at the extended portion of the rod between the end of the housing unit and the reference point at the neck of the rod. Anteroposterior standing plain radiographs were obtained at each six monthly follow-up to measure the radiographic parameters. Distraction length was directly measured on plain radiographs (Fig. 2) from the housing unit. Measurements were made on the digital image using the Centricity Enterprise Web V3.0 (GE Medical Systems, St. Louis, MO, USA, 2006). All radiographic measurements were calibrated and corrected for magnification using the diameter of the housing unit (9.02 mm). Both measurements on ultrasound and plain radiograph were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm. Independent observers measured the ultrasound (CB) and the plain radiographs (JPYC). Both observers were blinded to the other observer’s measurements, and statistical analysis was performed blindly to

**CONCLUSIONS:** This is the first prospective study to validate that ultrasound assessment of MCGR distraction lengths was highly comparable with that of plain radiographs. The present study has verified that ultrasound can be used to document length changes by distraction over time and that it had high clinical utility. Ultrasound can be a reliable alternative to plain radiographs, thereby avoiding radiation exposure and its potential detrimental sequelae in the developing child. © 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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the patient’s identity. Both observers performed inter- and intra-rater reliabilities for radiograph and ultrasound measurements independently, and these were not assessed on the same day. Neither observer was trained as an ultrasonographer, and only one to two sessions of technical guidance were provided before the present study was initiated. As the plain radiographs were performed at 6-month intervals, the corresponding ultrasound measurements taken at the same follow-up visit were used for comparison. Both imaging modalities were compared to assess the correlation between the measured distractions. We have previously established the protocol and reliability of ultrasound assessment [31] and are not the focus of the present study.

Statistical analysis

All ultrasound and radiographic data were coded and entered on separate spreadsheets (Microsoft Excel, Redmond, Washington, USA, 2013) until the analysis was performed. SPSS version 20 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. Descriptive and frequency
statistics were performed of the data. Mean and standard deviations (SDs) were obtained where appropriate. Reliability assessment was based on intraclass correlation, which had been shown to be an appropriate statistical tool for this analysis [32]. The intraclass correlation could be interpreted based on the following alpha values: 0 to 0.29 indicated poor agreement, 0.30 to 0.49 indicated fair agreement, 0.50 to 0.69 indicated moderate agreement, 0.70 to 0.80 indicated good agreement, and >0.80 indicated excellent agreement [33,34]. Pearson correlation analyses were used to determine the correlation between ultrasound and radiographic measurements. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant, and a correlation coefficient (r) greater than 0.9 was considered an excellent correlation.

**Results**

A total of nine patients (6 female, 3 male) with a mean age of 9.2 years (SD ±4.0) at rod implant were assessed. Diagnoses of patients included CHARGE syndrome (n=1), congenital scoliosis (n=1), Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (n=1), juvenile idiopathic scoliosis (n=2), neurofibromatosis (n=2), Noonan syndrome (n=1), and Sotos syndrome (n=1). Table 1 listed the details of each patient. There was a mean follow-up of 42.6 months (SD ±18.0), with a mean of 29 distractions (SD ±14.3). The patients with Ehlers-Danlos syndrome and Noonan syndrome, one with juvenile idiopathic scoliosis, and one with neurofibromatosis had single rods inserted due to their small size. The patients with Ehlers-Danlos syndrome and neurofibromatosis nevertheless had conversion to dual rods 3 years and 1 year after implant, respectively. The patient with CHARGE syndrome was also a conversion case (ie, TGR to MCGR).

A total of 34 sets of plain radiographs were taken. From these, 38 sets of data points were used for correlation analysis. The mean distracted length per 6 months was 5.7 mm (SD ±3.6 mm) on plain radiographs and 5.2 mm (SD ±3.9 mm) on ultrasound for the concave rod, and 6.1 mm (SD ±3.6 mm) on plain radiographs and 5.9 mm (SD ±3.8 mm) on ultrasound for the convex rod. Excellent correlation (Fig. 3) was noted between the two imaging modalities (r=0.93; p<0.0001). The mean measurement difference between the two imaging modalities was 0.3 mm (SD ±1.4 mm, 95% confidence interval: 0.19–0.75, p=0.20). Excellent reliability was obtained for radiograph and ultrasound measurements (Table 2).

**Discussion**

Our study is the first prospective study to illustrate that the ultrasound can reliably document rod distractions with radiographic measurements. One element to note in our analysis is that the ultrasound measurement is not identical to the radiographic measurements as the two imaging modalities used different reference points for measurements. Ultrasound mea-

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at implant (years)</th>
<th>Rod constructs</th>
<th>Number of distractions</th>
<th>Incidents that may have affected the distraction lengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHARGE syndrome</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Conversion from TGR to MCGR stopped distractions on the concave rod at ~2 years after implant for gradual curve correction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital scoliosis</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Single converted to dual</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Conversion from single rod to dual rod 3 years after initial implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile idiopathic scoliosis</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile idiopathic scoliosis</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Concave rod slippage 2.5 years after implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromatosis</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromatosis</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Single converted to dual</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Conversion from single rod to dual rod 1 year after initial implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noonan syndrome</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Single-rod insertion with slippage of rod at the end of each distraction starting 3 months after implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotos syndrome</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; TGR, traditional growing rod; MCGR, magnetically controlled growing rod.
sures the distance of the extended portion of the rod between the end of the housing unit and the neck of the rod, whereas plain radiograph measures the expanded housing unit. Nevertheless, the measured changes in rod length between the two imaging modalities are highly correlated. Thus, this correlation study confirms our hypothesis that ultrasonography is at least as accurate as radiographs in measuring changes in rod length.

By demonstrating good correlation, a significant reduction in the number of radiographs can be adopted in these distraction clinics. Because distractions can be closely monitored by a non-invasive imaging modality without radiation, radiographs are only required every 6 months or even annually for assessment of balance and curve control, which has significant implications on our patients. Assuming a protocol that demands monthly distractions, and pre- and post-distraction plain radiographs were taken to confirm distraction on site, a patient with MCGR inserted at the age of 8 with skeletal maturity at the age of 13 may require up to 120 whole spine radiographs for monitoring. Using our adopted protocol, the number of radiographs can be dropped to 10 (six monthly radiographs) or 5 (annual radiographs).

Besides the issue with radiation, there are some other perceived advantages of ultrasound for follow-up assessments with MCGR. For radiographs, the image of the housing unit may be skewed if the patient is lurched forward or backward for an anteroposterior view, and tilted to the side for a lateral view. Without standing upright, the housing unit may appear to be shortened, leading to a misinterpretation of loss of distraction. As the ultrasound examines the patient in a prone position, measuring directly over the extended portion of the rod, the issue with patient positioning can be avoided. This discrepancy can also explain the differences noted in one of the two negative data points in the correlation analyses. This suggests that ultrasound is slightly more accurate in this regard.

Ultrasound is a real-time assessment and can potentially monitor any structural problems with the rod during or immediately after any patient discomfort, failure of distraction, or rod slippage. As with MCGR, the application of the ultrasound is still relatively new and further analysis is warranted. Future studies should include real-time visualization of the rod slippage phenomenon at the housing unit, whether loss or failure of distraction occurs, as well as observation of the effects of increasing distraction forces on the anchor points at the upper and lower instrumented vertebra. Studies on the learning curve required to master this technique should also be performed.

The present study has inherent limitations, including the relatively small sample size and the short follow-up. However, the aim of the present study is to assess the correlation of measurements made on the ultrasound and on the plain radiographs. Thus, there are sufficient data points from the nine patients to support the conclusion that the ultrasound measurements are at least equal to the radiographic measurements. Despite being able to reduce the number of radiographs required during interval follow-up, radiographs are still needed every 6 or 12 months. These routine radiographs are important to assess the patient’s overall balance, curve magnitude, and any complications that may arise from distractions, such as proximal junctional kyphosis or failure, and rod fracture.

Conclusions

This is the first prospective study between ultrasound and radiograph measurements of MCGR distraction. The results show that ultrasound assessment of MCGR distraction lengths has excellent correlation with plain radiographs. The present study has verified that ultrasound can be used to document length changed by distraction over time. Although ultrasound can never fully replace radiographs, it is a valuable adjunct in routine assessment. With the ultrasound, the detrimental sequelae associated with ionizing radiation exposure in these young patients undergoing surgical management with MCGR can be avoided.
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