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PCA-SIR: A New Nonlinear Supervised Dimension Reduction Method with Application to Pain Prediction from EEG

Yiheng Tu, Student Member, IEEE, Yeung Sam Hung, Senior Member, IEEE, Li Hu, and Zhiguo Zhang, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Dimension reduction is critical in identifying a small set of discriminative features that are predictive of behavior or cognition from high-dimensional neuroimaging data, such as EEG and fMRI. In the present study, we proposed a novel nonlinear supervised dimension reduction technique, named PCA-SIR (Principal Component Analysis and Sliced Inverse Regression), for analyzing high-dimensional EEG time-course data. Compared with conventional dimension reduction methods used for EEG, such as PCA and partial least-squares (PLS), the PCA-SIR method can make use of nonlinear relationship between class labels (i.e., behavioral or cognitive parameters) and predictors (i.e., EEG samples) to achieve the effective dimension reduction (e.d.r.) directions. We applied the new PCA-SIR method to predict the subjective pain perception (at a level ranging from 0 to 10) from single-trial laser-evoked EEG time courses. Experimental results on 96 subjects showed that reduced features by PCA-SIR can lead to significantly higher prediction accuracy than those by PCA and PLS. Therefore, PCA-SIR could be a promising supervised dimension reduction technique for multivariate pattern analysis of high-dimensional neuroimaging data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pattern recognition techniques are increasingly used to extract relevant features from neuroimaging data, such as electroencephalography (EEG) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), for the inference of internal states of neural systems. Multivariate pattern analysis (MVPA), which uses pattern classifiers to identify brain activation patterns corresponding to external stimuli or behavioral responses, has recently been extensively used in the analyses of neuroimaging data and has yielded many important results [1]. Most of neuroimaging data are naturally high-dimensional spanning multiple feature spaces such as time, frequency, and space, but the number of samples (i.e., subjects and experimental trials) is normally limited, which greatly hampers the performance of pattern recognition techniques [2]. Therefore, dimension reduction is critical in identifying a small set of discriminative features from high-dimensional neuroimaging data for higher prediction accuracy and better model interpretability.
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Conventional dimension reduction techniques used for neuroimaging data largely rely on unsupervised methods (such as principal component analysis [PCA]) [3] that work on unlabeled data only. Supervised dimension reduction methods (such as partial least squares [PLS]) [4] have been proved to outperform unsupervised methods, but most of them only consider a linear relationship between neuroimaging data and their class labels. However, in many neuroimaging studies, predictors (i.e., neuroimaging data) and their labels cannot be always fitted with a linear model. For example, amplitudes of laser evoked potentials (LEPs), which are important indicators of subjective pain perception, are nonlinearly associated with human subjective pain perception [5]. As a consequence, linear model based dimension reduction techniques cannot reduce the dimensionality along the most effective direction, which could heavily degrade subsequent prediction performance. Although some nonlinear supervised dimension reduction techniques, such as support vector machine (SVM) with recursive feature elimination (RFE) [6] and Gaussian process latent variable model [7], have been proposed for the analysis of genetic data or images, they are seldom used in neuroimaging study because of their high computational complexity or incapability to handle ultra-high dimensionality (much higher than the number of samples).

This paper is aimed to develop a novel nonlinear supervised dimension reduction technique, namely principle component analysis - sliced inverse regression (PCA-SIR), to deal with the nonlinear relationship between predictors and their labels. The PCA-SIR method is a cascade of an unsupervised PCA and a nonlinear supervised method SIR. SIR is capable of estimating an effective dimension reduction (e.d.r.) direction, along which the reduced data can well explain labels under the nonlinear relationship. SIR was proposed in [8] and since then it has found numerous successful applications, for example, in bioinformatics [9]. But SIR is not able to deal with the situations where the number of predictors is much larger than the number of samples, which is very normal in neuroimaging studies [2]. To address this problem, PCA is used prior to SIR to reduce the number of predictors so that the number of PCA-reduced predictors is smaller than the number of samples.

In this paper, the proposed PCA-SIR method is applied on single-trial EEG time courses for predicting the level of subjective pain perception (ranging from 0 to 10). PCA was first applied to extract principle components (PCs) accounting for the larger variance of predictors (single-trial EEG time courses after the onset of painful laser stimulus). Subsequently, SIR was performed on PCs to find the e.d.r. direction and obtain low-dimensional feature sets which contained the most predictive information. The level of subjective pain perception was then predicted using multivariate regression.
from SIR-derived feature sets. The performance of PCA-SIR was evaluated with mean absolute error between true values and predicted values, and the results show that the proposed PCA-SIR can achieve significantly higher prediction than conventional dimension reduction techniques including PCA and PLS.

II. METHODS

A. Sliced Inverse Regression

For a regression problem, a general nonlinear model can summarize the relationship between observation \( y_{nx1} \) (a vector of \( n \) samples of behavioral or cognitive parameters in this study) and predictors \( x_{nxp} \) (a matrix of EEG time-course data with \( p \) time points for each observed sample) as:

\[
y = f(x \beta_1, x \beta_2, ..., x \beta_k, \varepsilon).
\]

where \( \beta_s, s = 1, \ldots, k \), are unknown vectors denoting the contribution of each predictors in \( x \), \( k < p \) is the dimension we aim to reduce \( x \) to, \( f(\cdot) \) is an unknown nonlinear function of \( k \) inputs, and \( \varepsilon \) denotes zero-mean random noise independent to \( x \). The general assumption of this model is the response \( y \) only depends on \( x \) via \( k \) linear combinations of predictors:

\[
y = x | P_S, S = \text{span}(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k).
\]

where \( P_S \) denotes the projection operator onto the \( k \)-dimensional subspace \( S \). Therefore, we only need to estimate \( S \) generated by \( \beta_i \) to effectively reduce dimensionality.

The e.d.r. space for (1) can be estimated by the SIR method by finding an inverse regression curve \( E(x | y) \). It was proved in [8] that if \( x \) has been standardized to have zero mean and identity covariance, the inverse regression curve will fall into the e.d.r. space. SIR can estimate the inverse regression curve \( E(x | y) \) as the sliced mean value of \( x \), which is obtained by slicing \( y \) into several groups and partitioning \( x \) into several slices according to values of \( y \). Then, PCA is applied to sliced mean values of \( x \) to locate the most important \( k \)-dimensional subspace for tracking the inverse regression curve. The SIR algorithm is detailed below.

1. Standardize \( x \) and estimate the sample mean

\[
\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
\]

and the sample covariance matrix

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^T (x_i - \bar{x})
\]

2. Bin \( y \) into \( m \) slices, \( G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m} \), and calculate the proportion of \( y_i \) that falls into slice \( G_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots, m \), as \( \hat{\beta}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_j(y_i) \), where \( \xi_j(y_i) \) equals 1 or 0 depending on whether \( y_i \) falls into the \( j \)th slice or not.

3. For each slice, calculate the sliced mean

\[
\bar{x}_j = \frac{1}{\hat{p}_j} \sum_{i \in G_j} x_i,
\]

and weighted covariance

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_w = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(n-1)\hat{p}_j} (\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})^T (\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})
\]

for the sliced means.

4. Conduct a weighted PCA for \( \hat{\Sigma}_x \) and \( \hat{\Sigma}_w \) in the following way: \( \hat{\Sigma}_w \hat{\beta}_j = \hat{\lambda}_j \hat{\mu}_j \hat{\beta}_j \), where \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k \). By solving this generalized eigen-decomposition problem, SIR directions \( \hat{\beta}_i \) can be estimated.

In Step 2 and 3, we can obtain the estimates of standardized inverse regression curve \( E(x | y) \). It should be noticed that we only need to transform sliced \( G_j \) to \( \bar{x}_j \) before PCA, rather than to transform all \( x_i \). In [8], it was shown that the number of slices normally would not significantly affect the output estimates. For a classification problem, it is also suggested that the number of slices, be equal to the number of classes.

B. PCA-SIR

SIR requires the number of observations \( n \) to be greater than the number of predictors \( p \), which heavily limits its applicability in the analyses of high-dimensional neuroimaging data. When \( n < p \), \( \hat{\Sigma}_x \) is singular, and therefore the solution to the eigen-decomposition is not unique [8]. To address this problem, we proposed to use PCA for an unsupervised dimension reduction before SIR.

In PCA-SIR, PCA first pre-processes standardized \( x \) to find \( l \) principle components (PCs), which account for the majority of the variance of \( x \). The concatenation of \( l \) PCs is denoted as \( L_{nxl} \) (of which each column is a PC), and the covariance matrix \( L_{nxl}, \Sigma_L^{-1} \), is a full-rank matrix. SIR then is performed on \( L \) to find the e.d.r. direction, and projects \( l \)-dimensional PCs to \( k \)-dimensional subspace. Consequently, the resultant PCA-SIR method can handle classification and regression with \( n < p \), and can also be effective for highly correlated predictors.

C. Reconstruction of Regression Coefficients

The SIR-derived feature set, \( S_{nx1} \) is used in the multivariate regression model, and results regression coefficients \( \hat{d}_{nx1} \). To reconstruct the regression coefficients to the original domain (before SIR and PCA),

1. The regression coefficients for \( L_{nxl} \), is obtained by \( \hat{d}_{nx1} \). Then fixed to \( \hat{d}_{nx1} \). Then fixed to \( \hat{d}_{nx1} \).

2. \( L_{nxl} \) is obtained by singular value decomposition (SVD), \( x = U \Sigma V^T \), where \( U_{nxn} \) is a unitary matrix, \( \Sigma_{nxl} \) is a diagonal matrix with non-negative real

\[
1005
\]
numbers on the diagonal, and $V_{px}$ is a unitary matrix. Here $L_{px} = U \Sigma V$.

3. Regression coefficients for $x_{nxp}$ is obtained by

$$y_{px1} = V_{px} \theta_{1 \times 1}.$$ 

III. EEG EXPERIMENTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

In this study, we applied PCA-SIR onto EEG data, which are high-dimensional (with 500 data samples) in the time domain, to perform a regression task. The proposed method reduced the dimensionality of input predictors (EEG samples) and the new feature space was used predict subjective pain perception. The prediction performance was compared between PCA-SIR and two other methods, PCA and PLS, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

A. Experiments

The analyses of this study were performed on the dataset collected for a previous study [10]. Ninety-six subjects (51 females, aged 21.6 ± 1.7 years) participated in the experiment. All subjects gave written consent forms and committed by local ethics committee. A total of 40 laser pulses, 10 for each of stimulus energies (E1: 2.5J; E2: 3J; E3: 3.5J; E4: 4J), were delivered in a pseudorandom order on the dorsum of left hand. The interval between two consecutive trials varied from 10 to 15 s. Subjects were prompted to rate the intensity of pain perception (rating) using a visual analog scale (VAS) from 0 (no pain) to 10 (pain as bad as it could be). More experimental details can be found in [10].

EEG data were continuously recorded using 64 channels according to the international 10-20 system with a sampling rate of 1 kHz, using the nose as a common reference (Brain Products GmbH, Munich, Germany). To monitor the eye blinks and ocular movements, electrooculographic signals were also recorded.

B. EEG Data Analysis

EEG data were bandpass filtered (1 – 30 Hz) and trials with artifacts >100 µV were removed. Continuous data were segmented into 1000 ms epochs (with a length of 500 ms and 500 ms for pre- and post-stimulus interval respectively) and baseline corrected using pre-stimulus interval (-500 – 0 ms). An infomax Independent Component Analysis was used to correct trials contaminated by eye-blinks and movements.

C. PCA-SIR Analyses

The relationship between EEG time courses and subjective pain perception is described by the model (1). More precisely, the predictors $x$ are LEPs (EEG time courses between 0 – 500 ms), the responses $y$ is the subjective pain reports, and $\theta$ is the model coefficient of the LEPs at each time point. Since LEP showed maximal effects at the central somatosensory region, EEG at the Cz electrode was used for the prediction task.

We first performed PCA on $x$ and found $l$ components accounting for 90% variance $x$. SIR was then applied to the principle component matrix $L$ to find the e.d.r. directions along which were the linear combinations of PCs with most predictive power to $Y$. The number of slices used in SIR was selected as $4$.

D. Pain Prediction

In this study, we tested the performance of PCA-SIR by using the reduced features from PCA-SIR to quantitatively predict subjective pain perception. After using PCA-SIR to project $L$ to the new e.d.r. space, new features were retained to predict subjective pain perception using a multivariate regression model. For each subject, leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) strategy was used to estimate the prediction accuracy. More precisely, e.d.r. directions were first trained with LEPs and corresponding subjective pain ratings in training trials and then applied to the test trial to predict subjective pain perception. The prediction performances were measured by mean absolute error (MAE):

$$MAE = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} |R_t - \hat{R}_t|.$$ (3)

where $R_t$ and $\hat{R}_t$ are the real and predicted intensity of pain perception for $t$th trial and $T$ is the number of trials of each subject.

We compared the performance of PCA-SIR with PCA and PLS. For PCA, principle component matrix $L$ was selected as features for multivariate regression. PLS extracted the maximal covariance between the predictors (LEPs) and responses (subjective pain reports) by a small number of uncorrelated latent components. The number of latent components was estimated using the coefficient of determination [12], and latent components were used to predict pain perception using multivariate regression. The prediction performance among different dimension reduction techniques was compared using a one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). When the main effect was significant, post hoc pairwise t-test was performed.
IV. RESULTS

A. Behavior

Fig 1 (left) showed the group-level average LEP waveforms at Cz for each level of pain perception intensity (I1: 0 < rating ≤ 2.5; I2: 2.5 < rating ≤ 5; I3: 5 < rating ≤ 7.5; I4: 7.5 < rating ≤ 10). Significant difference in LEPs was observed between different intensities of pain perception, indicating LEPs were strongly modulated by intensities of pain perception. As seen from Fig. 1 (right), N2 and P2 amplitudes were nonlinearly correlated with pain perception.

B. Pain Prediction

Fig. 2 (left panel) showed group averaged regression weights for different methods. PCA-SIR obtained smooth results and captured the larger contributions of N2 and P2 components. Regression weights in time range that was not significantly correlated with pain perception (e.g. 0 – 100 ms) were close to zero. In contrast, PCA and PLS cannot obtain very satisfactory regression weights. For example, although both of them captured the contributions of N2 and P2 components, regression weights in other time ranges (e.g. 0 – 100 ms) were different from zero, which would cause bias in the prediction.

Fig. 2 (right panel) summarized the prediction performance (measured by MAE) after dimension reduction by three methods: PCA, PLS, and PCA-SIR. PCA, PLS and PCA-SIR achieved prediction performance with MAE (mean ± STD) of 1.57 ± 0.69, 1.38 ± 0.41 and 1.18 ± 0.32, respectively. One-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed significant difference in MAE using three methods (F(2,54) = 25.00, p < 0.001). Post hoc pairwise t-test showed, our proposed method, PCA-SIR, provided the best prediction performance, which is significantly better than those of PCA and PLS (p < 0.001 for both comparisons). In addition, as a supervised linear dimension reduction method, PCA achieved significantly better results than PCA (p < 0.001).

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Dimension reduction is of great importance to extract meaningful neural features and to predict mental states or behavior responses from high-dimensional neuroimaging data. Effective dimension reduction can remove redundant predictors as well as noise, and thus enhances the prediction performance. In the present study, we proposed a new supervised nonlinear dimension reduction approach, namely PCA-SIR. By incorporating PCA with SIR, the proposed method can deal with the high dimensional neuroimaging data based on nonlinear model. Experimental results on 96 subjects showed that the PCA-SIR provided significantly improved performance in pain prediction from EEG time-course data than unsupervised dimension reduction method (PCA) and supervised linear dimension reduction method (PLS). Besides, PCA-SIR had smooth and accurate estimates of regression weights for predictors, which indicated its superior capability to extract predictive neural activities. Therefore, PCA-SIR could be a promising dimension reduction technique for MVPA of neuroimaging signals.
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