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Abstract

PURPOSE Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is a common spinal cord disorder in the elderly. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has been shown to be of great value for evaluating the microstructure of nerve tracts in the spinal cord. Currently, the quantitative assessment of the degeneration on the specific tracts in CSM is still rare. The aim of the present study was to use tractography-based quantification to investigate the column-specific degeneration in CSM.

METHODS A total of 43 volunteers were recruited with written informed consent, including 20 healthy subjects and 23 CSM patients. Diffusion MRI was taken by 3T MRI scanner. Fiber tractography was performed using TrackVis to reconstruct the white matter tracts of the anterior, lateral and posterior column on the bilateral sides. The DTI metrics acquired from tractography, including fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD), were compared between healthy subjects and CSM patients.

RESULTS Compared to healthy subjects, FA was found significantly lower in the lateral (Healthy 0.64±0.07 vs. CSM 0.53±0.08) and posterior column (Healthy 0.67±0.08 vs. CSM 0.47±0.08) (p<0.001), while MD, AD and RD were significantly higher in the anterior, lateral and posterior column in CSM (p<0.05).

CONCLUSION Loss of microstructural integrity was detected in the lateral and posterior column in CSM. Tractography-based quantification was capable of evaluating the subtle pathological insult within white matter on a column-specific basis, which exhibited potential clinical value for in vivo evaluation of the severity of CSM.
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Introduction

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is a common type of spinal disorder associated with chronic spinal cord compression in a canal narrowed by degenerative disc and spondylosis [1, 2]. The symptoms and signs of CSM vary, such as abnormal gait, weakness or stiffness of legs, numb and clumsy hands, neck stiffness, stabbing pain in the arms [3]. Damage to specific white matter tracts within the spinal cord can often result in the particular neurological deficits and symptoms. However, the neurological examination may vary among different clinicians and is difficult to quantitatively evaluate the neurological deficit objectively. Therefore, to quantify the pathological impairment in each column of white matter exhibits great clinical value in supplementing the shortage of neurological evaluation. Moreover, a better understanding of column-specific white matter damage could enable us to further reveal the underlying pathomechanism of CSM.

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is an in vivo imaging tool for evaluating the microstructural changes in the chronic compressive spinal cord [4]. Derived from the diffusion matrix, three eigenvalues and three eigenvectors represent the strength and orientation of the diffusion respectively. DTI metrics fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD) are generated from eigenvalues to quantify the microstructural integrity [5]. In the previous studies, due to the limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and cross-sectional resolution of spinal cord DTI, the dominant approach for quantifying DTI metrics in CSM was to manually draw regions of interest (ROIs) on the sagittal section or the whole cord of axial section [6-12]. ROIs included both gray and white matter, which led to the loss of regional details. In addition, hand-drawn ROI method is highly time-consuming and user-dependent [4]. Especially in CSM, The deformation and degeneration of the cord makes difficulties for users to define ROIs and may result in operator bias. Till now, the quantification of DTI metrics on the specific tracts in CSM was still
Recently, there are growing interests in tractography-based quantification of DTI metrics in the spinal cord. Tractography-based quantification consists of first reconstructing the underlying fiber bundles via tractography algorithms. Once the tracts are reconstructed, the DTI metrics within the tracts can be quantified [4, 13]. Tractography-based quantification outperforms hand-drawn ROI in terms of the following two aspects: 1) it is possible to pre-define seed points in various regions of the spinal cord for selective tract generation, and so quantify metrics in specific nerve tracts; 2) it is a semi-automatic procedure and it has relatively low operator bias. In the present study, we aim to employ diffusion tensor tractography to detect the column-specific degeneration in CSM.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects**

The institutional review board of research ethics approved all experimental procedures in this study. All volunteers were screened to confirm their eligibility. The inclusion criteria for healthy subjects were those having intact sensory and motor function evaluated, and negative Hoffman’s sign under physical examination. Subjects having any neurological signs and symptoms or any past history of neurological injury, disease, or operations were excluded. CSM patients were recruited in author’s institute. Experienced spine surgeons made a clinical diagnosis of CSM based on the patients’ symptoms and signs as well as radiological findings. The neurological function of CSM patients were systemically evaluated using Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) scoring system [14], which indicates the overall functional assessment covering motor, sensory and sphincter functions. Ten second test was conducted as myelopathic hand signs to evaluate the degree of dysfunction of hand in CSM [15].
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MRI Scanning

All images were taken via 3T MRI scanner (Philips Achieva, the Netherland). During the acquisition process, the subject was placed supine with head & neck coil enclosing the cervical region. The subject was then scanned with the anatomical T1-weighted (T1W), T2-weighted (T2W) images and DTI.

Sagittal and axial T1W and T2W images were acquired for each subject using fast spin echo sequence. For sagittal imaging, the imaging parameters were as follows: Field of view (FOV) = 250×250 mm, voxel size = 0.49×0.49 mm² in-plane, slice thickness = 3 mm, slice gap = 0.3 mm, fold-over direction = Feet/Head (FH), Number of excitation (NEX) = 2, Time of echo (TE) / Time of Repetition (TR) = 7.2 / 530 ms (T1W) and 120 / 3314 ms (T2W). A total of 11 sagittal images covering the whole cervical spinal cord were acquired. For axial imaging, a total of 12 transverse images covering the cervical spinal cord from C1 to C7 were acquired. The imaging parameters were as follows: FOV = 80×80 mm, voxel size = 0.56×0.56 mm² (T1W) and 0.63×0.63 mm² (T2W) in-plane, slice thickness = 7 mm, fold-over direction = anterior/posterior (AP), NEX = 3, TE / TR = 8 / 1000 ms (T1W) and 120 / 4000 ms (T2W).

Diffusion MRI images were acquired using the sequence: single-shot spin-echo echo-planar imaging (SE-EPI). Diffusion encoding was in 15 non-collinear and non-coplanar diffusion directions with b-value = 600 s/mm². Spectral presaturation with inversion recovery was applied to avoid water-fat-shift artifacts. Cardiac vectorcardiogram triggering was applied to minimize the pulsation artifact from cerebrospinal fluid [16]. The imaging parameters were as follows: FOV = 80×36 mm, acquisition matrix = 80×28, reconstructed resolution = 0.63×0.63, slice thickness = 7 mm, fold-over direction = AP, NEX = 3, EPI factor = 35, TE / TR = 60 ms / 5 heartbeats. The image slice planning was the same as the anatomical axial T2W images, with 12 slices covering the cervical spinal cord from C1 to C7. The mean duration of DTI was...
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24 minutes per subject with an average heart rate of 60 beats per minute.

112 Post-processing of Diffusion Tensor Fiber Tractography

Tensor reconstruction and fiber tractography were employed via Diffusion Toolkit v0.5. The maps of FA, three eigenvalues ($\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$) and corresponding eigenvectors were acquired. Tract visualization and DTI metrics quantification were performed using TrackVis v0.6 (www.trackvis.org, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA). Fiber assignment by continuous tracking (FACT) was performed as propagation algorithm and diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) mask was automatically applied to filter the tracking [17, 18]. The maximum turning angle threshold was set as 35 degrees, in line with the previous studies [19]. Seeding masks was manually drawn by expert radiologists on FA map at the level of C2 (Fig. 1) in line with the previous study, including the whole cord and anterior, lateral and posterior column of white matter on the bilateral sides. The mean value of FA, MD, AD, and RD was acquired. After the measurement of track to voxel count via TrackVis, the density of tracked fibers was calculated as the ratio of the track to voxel count [13].

126 Statistical Analysis

The comparisons of fiber density, FA, MD, AD and RD were performed between healthy subjects and CSM patients using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test. All values were reported as mean±SD and MD, AD and RD value were in $1\times10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s. The level of significance was set at $p<0.05$. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

133 Results

A total of 43 volunteers, including 20 adult healthy subjects (46±11 years old) and 23 CSM patients (59±12 years old), met with the inclusive criteria were enrolled in this study. CSM
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patients showed lower JOA scores (CSM: 11 ± 3 vs. full score: 17). The clinical details of included CSM patients were exhibited in Table 1. Diffusion MR images were successfully acquired in all healthy subjects and CSM patients. As shown in Fig. 2, butterfly-shaped gray matter was clearly seen in the FA image of healthy cord. In the contrary, it was very difficult to differentiate the gray matter from white matter in the degenerative cord.

Fiber tractography has been successfully performed in all volunteers to quantify the DTI metrics. As shown in Fig. 3, the fiber density in the whole cord and anterior column of healthy subjects was significantly higher than that in CSM (whole cord: Healthy 0.32 ± 0.03 vs. CSM 0.29 ± 0.04, p < 0.05; anterior column: Healthy 0.34 ± 0.04 vs. CSM 0.28 ± 0.06, p < 0.001), but no significant difference in fiber density was observed between healthy and myelopathic cord in the lateral (Healthy 0.36 ± 0.07 vs. CSM 0.34 ± 0.07, p > 0.05) and posterior column (Healthy 0.37 ± 0.05 vs. CSM 0.37 ± 0.06, p > 0.05).

The FA value significantly decreased in CSM in comparison to healthy subjects in the whole cord (Healthy 0.62 ± 0.07 vs. CSM 0.54 ± 0.08, p < 0.01), lateral column (Healthy 0.64 ± 0.07 vs. CSM 0.53 ± 0.08, p < 0.001) and posterior column (Healthy 0.67 ± 0.07 vs. CSM 0.47 ± 0.08, p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in the anterior column between healthy subjects (0.58 ± 0.10) and CSM (0.57 ± 0.07, p > 0.05). It was indicated that CSM exhibited significant higher MD (whole cord: Healthy 1.15 ± 0.30 vs. CSM 1.49 ± 0.37, p < 0.001; anterior column: Healthy 1.22 ± 0.31 vs. CSM 1.47 ± 0.37, p < 0.001; lateral column: Healthy 1.16 ± 0.28 vs. CSM 1.53 ± 0.36, p < 0.001; posterior column: Healthy 1.06 ± 0.31 vs. CSM 1.49 ± 0.37, p < 0.001), AD (whole cord: Healthy 2.11 ± 0.33 vs. CSM 2.53 ± 0.48, p < 0.01; anterior column: Healthy 2.14 ± 0.39 vs. CSM 2.54 ± 0.49, p < 0.001; lateral column: Healthy 2.14 ± 0.38 vs. CSM 2.62 ± 0.48, p < 0.001; posterior column: Healthy 2.10 ± 0.35 vs. CSM 2.63 ± 0.51, p < 0.001) and RD value (whole cord: Healthy 0.67 ± 0.31 vs. CSM 0.96 ± 0.33,
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p<0.01; anterior column: Healthy 0.77±0.33 vs. CSM 0.94±0.34, p<0.05; lateral column:
Healthy 0.67±0.31 vs. CSM 0.98±0.33, p<0.001; posterior column: Healthy 0.55±0.32 vs.
CSM 0.92±0.34, p<0.001) than healthy subjects.

Discussion

This study employed diffusion tensor tractography to measure column-specific diffusion
property in CSM. Under the chronic compression, the degree of microarchitectural integrity in
CSM significantly decreased in the lateral and posterior column of white matter in
comparison to healthy subjects, while there was no significant difference in the anterior
column. It was the first investigation, to our best knowledge, of the degeneration of specific
tracts in CSM via tractography-based DTI metrics quantification.

FA is the most commonly used DTI parameters to indicate the microstructural integrity [19].
It reflects the degree of diffusion anisotropic in one particular voxel, mainly indicating
structural characteristics of white matter (e.g., axonal diameter, fiber density, and myelination)
[20]. As shown in the present study, the change of the FA and MD value in the whole spinal
cord in CSM compared to healthy subjects were consistent with the previous findings [8, 21],
i.e. decrease in FA and increase in MD. In case that the cord compression mainly locates
unilaterally, the degeneration of white matter tracts may distribute disproportionately between
two sides of the cord. The DTI metrics changes of localized degeneration within one or
several tracts on the compromised side may not be sensitive enough to distinguish the
existence and magnitude of abnormality with the whole cord analysis. Thereby, upon the most
previous CSM studies that the FA value was only obtained in the whole cord, the FA value in
each white matter tract was also measured in current study, which could particularly quantify
the tract-specific degeneration. For instance, as shown in Fig. 4, it was the sagittal T2W and
axial FA image of case 15, who was a 46-year-old male and presented numbness and
clumsiness of left hand as well as spasticity of left lower limb. The overall neurologic
dysfunction was evaluated with the JOA score (11.5/17) and 10 second test showed significant
deterioration in left hand rather than the right (4 versus 24). The axial image showed the cord
compression at level C45 was mainly on the left side. With DTI analysis, we found the FA
value of the whole cord is 0.57. Compared to the mean FA value of healthy cord 0.62±0.07,
the FA value of whole cord on the compression level of this case was not significantly lower.
The FA value measured in the anterior, lateral and posterior column of white matter was 0.51,
0.48, 0.46 on the left side and 0.62, 0.63, 0.65 on the right side respectively. It revealed that
the FA value on the left side was much lower than that on the right side. The low FA value of
the lateral and posterior column on the left side corresponded with the clumsiness and
numbness of the limbs on the same side. It indicated that DTI was capable of providing the
quantitative evaluation of the subtle pathological insult within white matter on a
column-specific basis, complementary to neurological diagnosis.

The degeneration of the sensory tracts in the posterior columns revealed by DTI may explain
the clinical manifestation in the majority of CSM patients, i.e. sensory disturbance of four
limbs and gait disturbance. The degeneration of corticospinal tract in the lateral column of
white matter may account for intrinsic hand muscle wasting and disability of hands and
fingers. In our result, the significant decreased value of FA, which suggested the loss of
microstructural integrity after chronic compression, was notable in the posterior and lateral
column. This result is corresponding with the deficit of JOA score and 10 second test in this
cohort of patients. Furthermore, the decrease of FA in the anterior column of white matter was
not significant. The finding was also in line with the limited clinical autopsy data showing
that the lateral corticospinal tracts and posterior column were commonly affected by the
anterior compression with relatively sparing anterior column [22, 23].
There were several limitations in this study. First, CSM is a result of age-related degeneration of the cervical spine. However, for the small number of the subjects recruited in this study, the age of healthy subjects (approximately 46 years) was younger than that in the patient group (approximately 59 years). This potentially confounding factor should be controlled in a future large-scale population study. Second, the 7mm thickness of axial slice resulted in a higher degree of isotropic of image voxel comparing with the previous studies (3 or 5mm thickness). It should also be noted that there was only a single orientation for one voxel in fiber tractography. As a result, the highly isotropic voxel may lead to the loss of orientational information along the cord. Third, partial volume effect may also exist under the 0.63×0.63 mm² in-plane resolution, which resulted in the positive false for tractography. Therefore, the tracked fiber bundles were double checked by the expert radiologists and orthopedic surgeons.

In addition, this study focused on the fiber tracts along the cord but missed the segmental measurements. In future, application of this column-specific measurement for the level diagnosis in CSM was highly appreciated.

In summary, tractography-based DTI measurements could quantify the degeneration of the specific tracts in CSM. Loss of microstructural integrity was detected in the lateral and posterior column of white matter. The quantification of column-specific degeneration based on fiber tractography might be a precision tool for in-vivo evaluation of the severity of CSM and monitoring the disease progression.
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Figures Legends

**Fig. 1** The representative image demonstrated the column-specific tractography. The whole cord tractography was performed by seeding at C2 level (A). The red arrow indicated the compressive site. The ROIs were drawn on the normal-appearing cord of C2 level to select the anterior, lateral and posterior column of white matter (B). The fiber bundles of each column were selected (C). The enlarged image showed that the fiber bundles passed through the compressive cord (D). The projection of the tracts on the axial map indicated the location of each column in the cross-section (E). A: anterior; P: posterior; L: left; R: right

**Fig. 2** The representative images showed the C34 level of the healthy cord (upper row) and the myelopathic cord (lower row) in the sagittal T2W image (A, D), axial T2W image (B, E) and axial FA image (C, F). In the healthy cord, the butterfly-shaped gray matter could be clearly observed in FA image (C). The gray matter was difficult to be differentiated in the degenerative cord under compression (F). A: anterior; P: posterior; R: right; L: left

**Fig. 3** Comparison of DTI metrics between the healthy and myelopathic spinal cord (Mean±SD). FD: fiber density (tract/voxel), MD, AD and RD value are in 1×10^{-3} mm²/s. (Significant differences are indicated by *p<0.05, ** p<0.01 and *** p<0.001)

**Fig. 4** A 46-year-old male (patient number 15) with JOA score of 11.5. Sagittal T2W imaging demonstrated the compression at the intervertebral level C45 (A). The axial FA image at level C45 showed that the compression was mainly on the left side (B). A: anterior; P: posterior; R: right; L: left
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age(years)</th>
<th>JOA</th>
<th>10sec(L)</th>
<th>10sec(R)</th>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Symptom Duration</th>
<th>Level of compression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gait disturbance</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Clumsiness in both hands</td>
<td>2m</td>
<td>C34,C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Numbness and clumsiness in both hands</td>
<td>5m</td>
<td>C34,C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Numbness of the hands and lower limbs</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clumsiness in both hands</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td>C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Numbness of bilat hands and lower limbs</td>
<td>4-5y</td>
<td>C56,C67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Gait disturbance</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td>C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Numbness and clumsiness in both hands</td>
<td>1m</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Weakness in left upper limb</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td>C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Numbness in both hands</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>C34,C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gait disturbance</td>
<td>4m</td>
<td>C34,C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Left hands numbness and gait disturbance</td>
<td>7m</td>
<td>C56,C67,C71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hands numbness and gait disturbance</td>
<td>4m</td>
<td>C34,C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Numbness in both hands</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>C34,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Left hand clumsiness</td>
<td>7m</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hands numbness and gait disturbance</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Neck pain and numbness in both arms and legs</td>
<td>5m</td>
<td>C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bilateral upper limb clumsiness and unsteadiness of gait</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Neck pain and persistent bilateral lower limb weakness and clumsiness</td>
<td>7y</td>
<td>C34,C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bilateral hands and toes numbness</td>
<td>12y</td>
<td>C34,C45,C56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bilateral hands numbness and weakness</td>
<td>10m</td>
<td>C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Unsteady gait, bilateral hand clumsiness and numbness</td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>C34,C45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Bilateral leg and trunk numbness, difficulty in balancing</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>C34,C45,C56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>