<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>The Association Between Clinical Parameters And Glaucoma-specific Quality Of Life In Chinese Primary Open-angle Glaucoma Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Lee, JYW; Chan, CWS; Chan, JCH; Li, Q; Lai, JSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>Hong Kong Medical Journal, 2014, v. 20 n. 4, p. 274-278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued Date</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/205571">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/205571</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>Hong Kong Medical Journal. Copyright © Hong Kong Academy of Medicine Press.; This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The association between clinical parameters and glaucoma-specific quality of life in Chinese primary open-angle glaucoma patients

Jacky WY Lee *, Catherine WS Chan, Jonathan CH Chan, Q Li, Jimmy SM Lai

A B S T R A C T

Objective: To investigate the association between clinical measurements and glaucoma-specific quality of life in Chinese glaucoma patients.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: An academic hospital in Hong Kong.

Patients: A Chinese translation of the Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 questionnaire was completed by 51 consecutive patients with bilateral primary open-angle glaucoma. The binocular means of several clinical measurements were correlated with Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 findings using Pearson's correlation coefficient and linear regression. The measurements were the visual field index and pattern standard deviation from the Humphrey Field Analyzer, Snellen best-corrected visual acuity, presenting intra-ocular pressure, current intra-ocular pressure, average retinal nerve fibre layer thickness via optical coherence tomography, and the number of topical anti-glaucoma medications being used.

Results: In these patients, there was a significant correlation and linear relationship between a poorer Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 score and a lower visual field index ($r=0.3$, $r^2=0.1$, $P=0.01$) and visual acuity ($r=0.3$, $r^2=0.1$, $P=0.03$). A thinner retinal nerve fibre layer also correlated with a poorer Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 score, but did not attain statistical significance ($r=0.3$, $P=0.07$). There were no statistically significant correlations for the other clinical parameters with the Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 scores (all $P$ values being >0.7). The three most problematic activities affecting quality of life were “adjusting to bright lights”, “going from a light to a dark room or vice versa”, and “seeing at night”.

Conclusion: For Chinese primary open-angle glaucoma patients, binocular visual field index and visual acuity correlated linearly with glaucoma-specific quality of life, and activities involving dark adaptation were the most problematic.

Hong Kong Med J 2014;20:274–8
DOI: 10.12809/hkmj134062

New knowledge added by this study

- A lower visual field index and poorer visual acuity correlated with a poorer glaucoma-specific quality of life in Chinese primary open-angle glaucoma patients.
- The most problematic activities affecting quality of life in glaucoma patients were “adjusting to bright lights”, “going from a light to a dark room or vice versa”, and “seeing at night”.

Implications for clinical practice or policy

- In busy clinical settings, the visual field index serves as a quick reference for glaucoma-specific quality of life, and can identify patients who may warrant more formal assessment for psychosocial support.
- Lifestyle modifications for glaucoma patients can include more light in dark areas and adjusting curtains and mirrors to reduce glare, so as to make the transition from different lighting conditions more acceptable.

Introduction

In clinical practice, much time is spent on measuring the clinical parameters of glaucoma including the intraocular pressure (IOP), visual acuity (VA), visual field, and retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) thickness. What is often neglected is the quality of life (QOL) of patients and how well they live with their disease on a day-to-day basis. Glaucoma affects 80 million people worldwide.1 It is a chronic and irreversible disease with a heavy burden on visual function and vision, besides being one of the most important constituents affecting QOL.2-4

Recourse to QOL questionnaires in glaucoma can be broadly divided into general health–related, vision-specific, or glaucoma-specific.5 Quality-of-life assessment in glaucoma patients is as important...
as the clinical parameters used to measure glaucoma progression, because it reflects the impact of the ocular disease on the patient as a whole and may also be an indicator of whether the disease is advancing.6-9

Using generic QOL assessments, glaucoma was found to have deleterious impact as other systemic chronic diseases like osteoporosis, diabetes, or dementia.10 However, such generic tests do not address the end points of glaucoma, such as visual impairment and visual field constriction, for which reason their robustness and specificity are limited.10 There are approximately 18 different patient-reported QOL assessments specific to glaucoma. Among these, the Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 Questionnaire (GQL-15) and the Vision and Quality of Life Index have been found most satisfactory in terms of content, validity, and reliability.11 Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the correlations between clinical parameters and glaucoma-specific QOL in Chinese patients with bilateral primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).

Methods
For this cross-sectional study, consecutive patients with bilateral POAG were recruited from an academic hospital in Hong Kong. The diagnosis of POAG was based on an open angle on gonioscopy, a presenting IOP of $\geq 21$ mm Hg, and either a glaucomatous visual field loss or RNFL thinning on Spectralis Optical Coherence Tomography (Heidelberg Engineering, Carlsbad [CA], US). Patients were excluded if they had unilateral disease, concomitant ocular diseases that significantly affected their vision (amblyopia, mature cataract affecting the accuracy of glaucoma investigations). Patients were also excluded if they had other corneal or retinal pathologies, or if they had other systemic chronic diseases like osteoporosis, diabetes, or dementia.10 However, such generic tests do not address the end points of glaucoma, such as visual impairment and visual field constriction, for which reason their robustness and specificity are limited.10

Patients were excluded if they had other corneal or retinal pathologies, or if they had other systemic chronic diseases like osteoporosis, diabetes, or dementia.10 However, such generic tests do not address the end points of glaucoma, such as visual impairment and visual field constriction, for which reason their robustness and specificity are limited.10

There are approximately 18 different patient-reported QOL assessments specific to glaucoma. Among these, the Glaucoma Quality of Life–15 Questionnaire (GQL-15) and the Vision and Quality of Life Index have been found most satisfactory in terms of content, validity, and reliability.11 Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the correlations between clinical parameters and glaucoma-specific QOL in Chinese patients with bilateral primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG).

For this cross-sectional study, consecutive patients with bilateral POAG were recruited from an academic hospital in Hong Kong. The diagnosis of POAG was based on an open angle on gonioscopy, a presenting IOP of $\geq 21$ mm Hg, and either a glaucomatous visual field loss or RNFL thinning on Spectralis Optical Coherence Tomography (Heidelberg Engineering, Carlsbad [CA], US). Patients were excluded if they had unilateral disease, concomitant ocular diseases that significantly affected their vision (amblyopia, mature cataract affecting the accuracy of glaucoma investigations). Patients were also excluded if they had other corneal or retinal pathologies, or if they were unable to yield reliable visual field results. Their IOPs were determined using Goldmann applanation tonometry.

The GQL-15 questionnaire is glaucoma-specific, and assesses patient-perceived visual disability in 15 daily tasks responded to in writing. The tasks addressed four aspects of visual disability: (1) central and near vision; (2) peripheral vision; (3) dark adaptation and glare; and (4) outdoor mobility. A 5-point rating scale for the level of difficulty of each task can yield a total score of 0 to 75. Higher scores signify a lower QOL. The GQL-15 was translated into traditional Chinese text and distributed to participating patients. For illiterate patients, the items were read out to them in Cantonese dialect. The questionnaire was translated from English to Chinese by an investigator who was fluent in both English and Chinese. The translated questionnaire was checked for discrepancies by a second investigator and a consensus was reached to develop a draft Chinese questionnaire. A third investigator then back-translated the draft Chinese questionnaire into English; the back-translated draft and the original version were then compared. Discrepancies were amended and gave rise to the final Chinese version. The questionnaire was then tested on five POAG patients of varying gender and age. Patients were asked to complete the questionnaire, and offer their own interpretation of its contents and whether any alternative wording should be used.

The D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus test was used to test for normality. Nearly half of the parameters passed the normality testing. The means of several clinical parameters were calculated for the two eyes and correlated with the GQL-15 using Pearson’s correlation coefficient and linear regression analysis. The selected parameters were the visual field index (VFI) and pattern standard deviation (PSD) from the Humphrey Field Analyzer, the Snellen best-corrected VA, the presenting IOP, current IOP, average RNFL thickness via optical coherence tomography, as well as the number of topical anti-glaucoma medications being used. $t$ Tests were used to test for differences...
between the mean GQL-15 scores between males and females. Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Any P value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Our institutional review board granted ethics approval for the study and informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to the start of the study.

**Results**

Fifty-one patients with bilateral POAG were recruited, all of whom were Chinese. Their mean (± SD) age was 65.8 ± 12.1 years and the male-to-female ratio was 1.1:1.

The means of their clinical parameters for both eyes are shown in the Table. Their mean GQL-15 score was 26.0 ± 11.6 (out of 75). The three most problematic activities reported for all patients belonged to: item 4 “adjusting to bright lights” (mean score, 2.3 ± 1.3); item 6 “going from a light to a dark room or vice versa” (mean score, 2.3 ± 1.3); and item 2 “seeing at night” (mean score, 2.2 ± 1.2).

There was a moderately significant correlation between a lower VFI and a poorer GQL-15 score ($r=0.3$, $P=0.01$; Fig 1). Likewise, a poorer VA correlated significantly with a poorer GQL-15 score ($r=0.3$, $P=0.03$; Fig 2). These two correlations seemed to follow a linear pattern such that linear regression analysis showed a weak linear relationship between a poorer GQL-15 score and a lower VFI ($r^2=0.1$, $P=0.01$) and a poorer VA ($r^2=0.1$, $P=0.03$).

A thinner RNFL appeared to be associated with a poorer GQL-15 score but the correlation did not attain statistical significance ($r=0.3$, $P=0.07$). In terms of pressure control, a higher presenting IOP showed a trend towards correlation with a poorer GQL-15 score ($r=0.2$) as did a lower current IOP ($r=0.2$) and a greater number of anti-glaucoma eye drops used ($r=0.1$). However, none of these correlations reached statistical significance (all $P>0.7$). On comparing GQL-15 scores between male and female glaucoma patients, no significant difference was found ($P=0.3$, t test).

**Discussion**

Various studies have associated QOL with visual field impairment.$^8,12$ Odberg et al$^{13}$ simply categorised visual field defects into "normal," "having a restricted scotoma," or "having a field defect large enough to be of visual significance," and found a weak-to-moderate correlation between such visual field defects and subjective visual disabilities. The Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study later found that at the time of diagnosis, patients’ visual fields correlated only modestly with a health-related QOL questionnaire and that of VFIs; mean deviation (MD) showed better correlation with QOL than PSD, corrected pattern SD, or short-term fluctuation.$^{14}$ Nelson et al$^4$ found that the GQL-15 scores, and especially the subsets pertaining to glare, correlated significantly with MD, even for patients with mild disease. Furthermore, those with moderate and severe visual field loss had

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical parameter</th>
<th>Data*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual field index (%)</td>
<td>66.1 ± 27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern standard deviation (dB)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal nerve fibre layer (μm)</td>
<td>64.3 ± 17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting intra-ocular pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>24.1 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current intra-ocular pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>16.3 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snellen visual acuity</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of anti-glaucoma eye drops used</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation
similar GQL-15 scores, suggesting a threshold for disability may be reached up to a certain level of glaucoma severity or represent adaptation to loss of visual function. Similarly, Goldberg et al have found that the GQL-15 scores correlated with VA, MD, the number of binocular points of <10 dB, and that QOL tended to decrease with disease severity. Whilst MD is commonly correlated with QOL in glaucoma patients, it has the drawback of not being specific enough to represent the limitations caused by glaucoma alone, since it may also be affected by global defects like cataract. On the other hand, using PSD eliminates the factor of global defects, though it is not sensitive in advanced glaucoma, where the entire field is globally depressed.

Thus in this study, we utilised the VFI, which is a percentage summarising the overall visual field status compared to age-adjusted visual fields. The VFI emphasises the importance of the central field. It is less affected by media opacities (cataracts), and is more accurate than MD for monitoring glaucoma progression. Few studies have used VFI to correlate with QOL in glaucoma. Sawada et al reported that VFI correlated with QOL via the 25-item National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI VFQ-25) and that the correlation was better than with MD. Our study found a statistically significant correlation between the reduction in mean binocular VFI and a poorer GQL-15 score and that VFI was a better indicator of glaucoma-specific QOL than RNFL thickness, IOP, or PSD on visual field. We chose to use PSD rather than MD in our analysis because the latter could be affected by any global obstruction to vision like cataract, whereas PSD is more specific for inter-field variability. However, the two clinical parameters that achieved a significant correlation with the GQL-15 score were binocular VFI and VA, and both parameters were also associated with the GQL-15 score in a linear manner.

Intra-ocular pressure control did not correlate significantly with QOL although a higher IOP on presentation seemed to produce a lower QOL score, and interestingly a lower current IOP seemed to correlate with a poorer QOL. This unique finding may indicate that those with a lower current IOP have had glaucoma for longer or have more advanced disease warranting more aggressive pressure reduction. Furthermore, those using more anti-glaucoma eye drops seemed to have a lower QOL score, but these correlations were weak and did not reach statistical significance.

Patient perceptions of disease and methods of coping are heavily influenced by culture and ethnicity. Thus, Singapore Chinese glaucoma patients were more accepting of their daily disabilities than corresponding American Caucasians. Literature pertaining to Chinese glaucoma patients is sparse. Wu et al found that Chinese glaucoma patients were particularly concerned about the uncertainties of treatment, the prognosis, and passing on of the disease to family members. Lin and Yang reported a correlation with MD and the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36 Health Survey and the NEI VFQ-25. Whilst clinical data provide evidence of structural and functional damage of the optic nerve, they do not address the impact of disease on patients. The correlation of objective clinical measurements to QOL is particularly useful, because it gives ophthalmologists in a busy clinical setting an overall impression of glaucoma-specific QOL. This can enable them to recommend environmental and lifestyle modifications to minimise obstacles and maximise the period of independence.

Our study found that in Chinese glaucoma patients, the most problematic aspects of coping were “adjusting to bright lights”, “going from a light to a dark room or vice versa”, and “seeing at night”. Interestingly, all these activities belong to the realm of dark adaptation. Hence, environmental modifications can potentially help to reduce glare. Furthermore, an estimation of QOL from clinical parameters can allow ophthalmologists to more readily identify patients with a poorer QOL needing more psychosocial support. Interestingly, it has been reported that POAG itself is associated with anxiety, depression, and hypochondriasis and a low GQL-15 score has also been identified as a predictor for depression.

One limitation of our study was that it was cross-sectional and looked at POAG patients with varying degrees of severity. A longitudinal study would have provided additional information about the changes in QOL throughout different stages of the disease. A second limitation was that the population received heterogeneous treatments (lasers and surgeries). However, as the aim of this study did not involve evaluating the side-effects of glaucoma treatments and since the GQL-15 too did not target treatment side-effects, we did not consider it necessary to exclude those who had undergone such treatments previously. Rather, we opted to include a more heterogeneous POAG population to make the results more generalisable and representative. A third limitation was that no single test is perfect; the GQL-15 mainly focuses on visual activities, which is only one aspect of QOL. Conceivably, such a questionnaire only reflects patient confidence to perform certain tasks rather than the actual difficulties experienced. Nevertheless, it has been shown that patients’ loss of confidence often precedes their perceptions of difficulty to the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few studies reporting a significant correlation and a linear relationship between VFI and the glaucoma-specific GQL-15 score in the Chinese POAG patients. This study also identified dark adaptation as the most challenging visual issue pertinent to
Chinese POAG patients.
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