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Abstract

A visible light-driven CdS-ZnS photocatalyst in the form of nanoparticles with a heterogeneous structure was synthesized using the stepped microemulsion method. The composite CdS-ZnS was capable of simultaneous photocatalytic hydrogen production and organic degradation under visible light. The ZnS deposition on CdS helped suppress the recombination of electron/hole pairs generated on the more reactive CdS, leading to faster hydrogen production and improved stability of the CdS-ZnS in comparison to the bare CdS catalyst. Deposition of Ru on the catalyst surface further increased its photo-reactivity by about 4 times for hydrogen production. The heterostructured nanoparticles were effective in photocatalytic hydrogen production together with the degradation of model organic substances, including formic acid, methanol, and ethanol. The highest hydrogen production
rate was achieved by the (CdS-ZnS)/Ru catalyst at 266 mmol/m²-h in the formic acid solution with an energy conversion efficiency of 3.05% in visible light, and the corresponding organic degradation rate in terms of the removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD) was estimated at 4272 mg COD/m²-h.
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**Introduction**

Hydrogen is one of the most promising clean and renewable energy carriers. It has a high combustion value and a near-zero level of pollutant and greenhouse gas emissions. Photocatalytic hydrogen generation from water is an attractive and environmentally-friendly method to harvest the solar energy [1]. However, while visible light (λ>420 nm) covers a large portion of the solar spectrum, most photocatalysts, such as TiO₂, function only under the energy-intensive UV light. Efforts have been made to develop novel photocatalysts, such as metal oxides (e.g. ZnO) and metal sulfides (e.g. CdS), that respond to both UV and visible lights for water photolysis and hydrogen production [2, 3]. However, the solar energy conversion efficiency of these photocatalysts for hydrogen generation is still rather low due to mainly the recombination of photo-generated electron/hole pairs [4]. Moreover, an increase in reactivity of the photocatalyst would often result in a decrease in stability of the catalyst, leading to a rapid loss of its catalytic capability to photo-corrosion [5].

A well-structured co-catalyst that integrates the functions from two or more catalyst materials may offer solutions to the above problems. A highly photo-sensitive material with a narrow band gap, such as CdS, will provide a great photo-reactivity for H₂ generation, while the use of a relatively less active material with a wider band gap, such as ZnS, can effectively
reduce the electron/hole recombination and thereby protect the more active catalyst during the photocatalytic process [6]. In addition to hydrogen evolution (H\textsuperscript{+} reduction), the photocatalytic reactions in water also render a strong oxidation power that may be utilized for wastewater treatment. In fact, photocatalytic oxidation has been developed as an advanced oxidation technology for treatment and pre-treatment of various pollutants in wastewater [7]. During the photocatalysis under solar light, model organic pollutants such as alcohols can function as electron donors for hydrogen evolution, whilst the organics are degraded [8, 9]. In such a photocatalytic application, both the purposes of hydrogen production and wastewater treatment can be achieved using the solar energy [5, 7].

CdS and ZnS are known as photocatalysts owing to their high photo-sensitivities [10, 11]. In addition to a sole catalyst material, research has been carried out to integrate CdS and ZnS or with other co-catalysts to increase the photo-reactivity of the catalysts [12-16]. However, most of the material integration was achieved in the form of a homogeneous system, e.g., a solid solution, which would only tailor the band gaps of the two catalyst materials [12-16]. In the present study, a composite CdS-ZnS catalyst with a heterogeneous structure was synthesized. The heterostructured catalyst was shown as a visible light-driven photocatalyst with a much improved photo-reactivity and photo-stability. A number of model organic substances were tested as electron donors for hydrogen production. The aim of the study was to achieve both photocatalytic hydrogen generation and organic wastewater treatment under visible light.

**Materials and Methods**

**Synthesis of the CdS-ZnS catalysts**

The stepped microemulsion technique was used to synthesize CdS-ZnS photocatalyst
nanoparticles with a heterogeneous structure. The synthesis was conducted in a water/Triton X-100/1-butanol/n-hexane system, with cadmium nitrate (Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and zinc nitrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) used as the Cd and Zn precursors, respectively, and sodium sulfide (Na$_2$S·xH$_2$O, Sigma-Aldrich) as the S$^{2-}$ source. To form the CdS nanoparticles, 40 ml of 0.1 M Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$ was placed in the microemulsion (W/O) solution and 50 ml of 0.1 M Na$_2$S was added dropwise under a vigorous stirring condition. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and 10 ml 0.1 M Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ was then added to react with excess S$^{2-}$ to form ZnS that deposited on CdS particles. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. A Cd to Zn precursor ratio of 0.8 to 0.2 was used for the microemulsion process to form (CdS)$_{0.8}$(ZnS)$_{0.2}$. The CdS-ZnS solid precipitates formed in the solution were centrifuged and washed with DI water and alcohol. For comparison, a homogeneous solid solution of Cd$_x$Zn$_{1-x}$S with a Cd to Zn ratio of 0.8:0.2 was also prepared using the one-step microemulsion method. A pre-determined amount of 0.1 M Cd(NO$_3$)$_2$ and a pre-determined amount of 0.1 M Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ were mixed together and placed in the microemulsion (W/O) solution. An excessive amount of 0.1 M Na$_2$S was added drop-wise to the W/O liquor to form the precipitates of Cd$_{0.8}$Zn$_{0.2}$S under a vigorous stirring condition. Similarly, the solid particles were recovered and washed. The dry catalyst powder obtained from each batch was annealed at 723 K in a furnace (LHT 02/16 LBR, Nabertherm) supplied with pure nitrogen for 2 hr. Afterward, the powder was grounded by ball mill for 5 min and then stored in dark before use.

Ruthenium (Ru) was deposited on the surface of the catalyst using *in-situ* photo-deposition in an acetic acid solution of RuCl$_3$ (Aldrich). Photo-deposition was carried out by illuminating ($\lambda$>420 nm, 300 W Xe lamp) the CdS-ZnS catalyst particles suspended in the RuCl$_3$ solution for 20 min. The load of Ru coating was around 5% of the CdS-ZnS content, and the resulting composite catalyst was denoted as (CdS-ZnS)/Ru.
Characterization of the photocatalysts

The size distribution of the catalyst particles was measured by a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (Delsa™ Nano, Beckman Coulter). The BET surface area of the photocatalyst was determined by a surface area analyzer (SA3100, Beckman Coulter). The diffuse reflection spectrum (DRS) of the photocatalyst was obtained using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (Lambda 25, Perkin Elmer) that was converted from the reflection function to the absorbance function following the Kubelka-Munk method [17]. The crystalline phases and structural features of the catalysts were analyzed by an X-ray diffraction (XRD) system (D8 Advance, Burker AXS) with the Cu Kα irradiation from 10 to 90 degrees. The morphology of the catalyst particles was examined and their selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern was obtained using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Tecnai G2 20 S-TWIN, Philips FEI). In addition, the TEM equipped with an energy-dispersion spectroscopy (EDS) was employed to obtain the element mapping distribution for the photocatalyst.

Photocatalytic H₂ production in different model organic solutions under visible light

The photocatalytic hydrogen production experiments were conducted in a circular photo cell made of Pyrex class with a quartz window on the top. A 300 W Xe lamp (wavelength 250-750 nm) was used in a light source setup (PLS-SXE, Trustech) to simulate the solar light. A cutoff (λ < 420 nm) filter was installed to yield only visible light (light intensity ~ 70 mW/cm² measured by a light power meter, I400, Trustech) over a lighting area of 33 cm² from the top of the photo cell. A certain amount of the photocatalyst, i.e. 0.15 g of the CdS-ZnS or (CdS-ZnS)/Ru powder, was suspended in 150 mL of water or an organic solution.
Different model organic compounds, including formic acid, methanol and ethanol, were tested as electron donors for photocatalytic hydrogen production. The solution had an organic content of 10% and was kept at pH~7. The gas produced during the photo-tests was collected, and the H₂ and CO₂ contents were analyzed by a gas chromatograph (GC HP5890 Series II, Hewlett Packard). Each run of the photo-test lasted for around 4 hrs. The H₂ production rate for a test was calculated from the slope of the linear regression of the accumulated hydrogen production vs. time. Each test was repeated at least once, and the average results are reported for the duplicate. The reactivity of the photocatalyst was evaluated in terms of the specific hydrogen production rate (R) and the energy conversion efficiency (η), as described by the following equations:

\[ R_A = \frac{\Delta m_{H_2}}{A \Delta t}, \text{ or} \]

\[ R_W = \frac{\Delta m_{H_2}}{W \Delta t}, \text{ and} \]

\[ \eta = \frac{R \Delta H_c}{I} \]

where \( R_A \) and \( R_W \) are the area-based and weight-based specific hydrogen production rates, respectively, \( \Delta m_{H_2} \) is the moles of H₂ production measured, \( \Delta t \) the duration of the photocatalytic reaction, \( A \) the irradiation area (33 cm²), \( W \) is the amount (weight) of the catalyst in the photocatalytic cell, \( \Delta H_c \) is the combustion value of H₂ (286 kJ/mol) and \( I \) the light density. The hydrogen production rates reported below were normally obtained from the first 2 or 3 test runs for the newly prepared photocatalysts.

The quantum yield (QY) of the photocatalytic reaction was also calculated as follows, which has been used to evaluate the reactivity of a photocatalyst for H₂ production under light irradiation over a broad band of wavelength,
\[ QY = \frac{m_{re}}{m_{ip}} = \frac{2m_{H_2}}{2m_{ip}} \]  

where \( m_{re} \) is the amount of reacted electrons which is two times of the moles of \( H_2 \) produced \((m_{H_2})\), and \( m_{ip} \) is the amount of total incident photons for the catalyst in the photo cell. The amount of incident photons for the setup of the photocatalytic tests was determined by the method of ferrioxalate actinometer employing potassium ferrioxalate \((K_3[Fe(C_2O_4)_3])\) [18].

To evaluate the reproducibility of the experiment and the stability of the catalyst, the photocatalytic hydrogen generation test was repeated for 10 times for each type of the catalysts. In addition, the amount of \( Cd^{2+} \) leaching into the solution during the photocatalytic experiments was measured by an atomic absorption spectrometer (AAnalyst 300, Perkin Elmer).

### Results and Discussion

#### Characterization of the photocatalysts

The CdS-ZnS catalysts are nanoparticles that ranged from 40 to 340 nm with a number-based mean size of around 100 nm according to the particle size analysis. The TEM images show that the catalysts had primary particles of about 60 nm (Figure 1). Moreover, rather than a homogeneous solid solution, the CdS-ZnS catalysts synthesized by the two-step microemulsion process appeared to be of a heterogeneous structure with ZnS deposited on the surface of the CdS crystals (Figure 1A-C). According to the TEM-EDS mapping result, the composite catalysts were Cd-based nanoparticles with Zn distributing mostly on the particle surface (Figure 1D-F). Based on the SAED patterns given in Figure 2, the comparison between pure CdS and CdS-ZnS confirmed the presence of cubic phase ZnS (d-Spacing = 0.320 nm (111), 0.195 nm (220), 0.165 nm (222)) in the composite catalyst [19].
A good crystal phase of CdS can be found in the CdS-ZnS catalyst according to its XRD pattern (Figure 3). More specifically, the crystal structure of CdS-ZnS is dominated by the hexagonal CdS phase. Due to likely the low ZnS content in the CdS-ZnS particles, the peak of ZnS could not be clearly identified in the XRD spectra. A similar result has been previously reported for the CdS-ZnS catalyst by Soltani et al. [20]. For the solid solution of Cd$_{0.8}$Zn$_{0.2}$S, the diffraction peaks were found to shift to higher angles in comparison to CdS, which is consistent with previous findings [21]. In contrast, the heterostructured CdS-ZnS did not show such a shift of the diffraction peaks from those of CdS.

The diffuse reflection spectra of CdS-ZnS and its base materials (CdS and ZnS) are presented in Figure 4 to show the sensitivity of the photocatalysts to visible light. As expected, ZnS did not respond to visible light, while CdS had an intensive absorption band in the visible region with a band gap of 2.23 eV. CdS-ZnS also responded well to visible light with a band gap of 2.31 eV, although it blue shifted slightly, which is consistent with the results of Soltani et al. [20]. The similar reflection spectra between CdS and CdS-ZnS indicate that the photo-reactivity of CdS was well remained in the composite CdS-ZnS catalyst.

**Photocatalytic hydrogen production by the CdS-ZnS catalyst under visible light**

The CdS-ZnS nanoparticles were capable of photocatalytic hydrogen generation together with organic degradation under visible light (Figure 5A). Bare CdS had a hydrogen production rate of only 13.7±1.2 µmol/h in the formic acid solution, while ZnS was not able to produce hydrogen under visible light. In comparison, CdS-ZnS was shown as a much effective visible light-driven photocatalyst that could produce hydrogen at a rate of 189.5±3.5 µmol/h. Coating of ZnS on the CdS surface formed a heterogeneous nanoparticle structure, resulting in a significant increase of the hydrogen production activity of the photocatalyst. In
comparison, simply incorporating Zn into the CdS structure was not effective to enhance the photo-activity of the catalyst. The H₂ production rate by the structured CdS-ZnS ((CdS)₀.₈/(ZnS)₀.₂) was found to be about 10 times of that by the Cdₐ₀.₈Zn₀.₂S solid solution (180 vs. 18 mmol/m²-h) in the S²⁻/SO₃²⁻ solution under the same photocatalytic conditions.

The composite CdS-ZnS heterostructure apparently brought about a synergetic function of the catalyst materials. The use of the more photo-sensitive CdS ensured the reactivity of the photocatalyst [3], while the ZnS functioned to suppress the recombination of electron/hole pairs formed on CdS, making the electrons more available for H⁺ reduction and H₂ evolution [8, 9]. Moreover, the use of the outer ZnS could help increase the stability of the CdS-based photocatalyst. After 10 runs of the photocatalytic tests, the CdS-ZnS catalyst still maintained 86% of its hydrogen productivity at a level of 166.0 μmol/h, whilst the bare CdS had only 46% of its reactivity remaining for hydrogen production at a low rate of 6.3 μmol/h (Figure 5B).

The ZnS coating could also minimize the leaching of Cd²⁺ from the catalyst nanoparticles during the photocatalytic process. Between test runs 2 and 5, the CdS-ZnS catalyst had an average Cd²⁺ leaching rate of 68.5 μg/h, while the pure CdS particles had a high Cd²⁺ leaching rate of 334.6 μg/h. Between runs 6 and 10, the leaching of Cd²⁺ from the CdS-ZnS catalyst decreased to a much lower level at 9.5 μg/h, in comparison to bare CdS that had a Cd²⁺ leaching rate of 103.2 μg/h. Coating of ZnS could effectively protect the more reactive CdS catalyst and hence greatly improved its stability against photo-corrosion.

Photocatalytic hydrogen generation together with organic degradation by the CdS-ZnS catalysts

Deposition of ruthenium on the CdS-ZnS surface further enhanced the hydrogen productivity of the photocatalyst under visible light (Figure 6). With the Ru deposition on the
catalyst surface, the photocatalytic hydrogen production rate increased about 4 times. For both CdS-ZnS and (CdS-ZnS)/Ru in pure water, no hydrogen was produced in the absence of the model organics. The presence of organic enabled the catalyst to effect photocatalytic H₂ generation, as the model organics functioned as electron donors for the reduction of H⁺ ions to realize hydrogen evolution.

The highest H₂ production rate was achieved with the (CdS-ZnS)/Ru catalyst at 266±4 mmol/m²-h in formic acid with an overall energy conversion efficiency of 3.05±0.05 % and a quantum yield of around 20%. In water pollution control, the chemical oxygen demand (COD) is commonly used to measure the concentration of organic matter in water. In theory, COD is the amount of oxygen that would be consumed for organic oxidation. During the organic oxidation, electrons donate electrons that are accepted by oxygen, and every four moles of electrons donated by the organic matter correspond to one mole of oxygen consumed. During the photocatalytic process, oxidation (organic oxidation) and reduction (H⁺ reduction) also occur simultaneously. The amount of electrons provided by the organic would be equal to the amount of electrons received by H⁺ for H₂ evolution. Thus, theoretically, the amount of organic degradation in terms of COD removal can be estimated as follows in relation to the amount of H₂ production,

\[
COD \text{ removal} = \frac{m_\text{H}_2 \cdot M_{O_2}}{2}
\]

where \( M_{O_2} \) is the molar mass of O₂ (32 g/mol). The theoretical photocatalytic COD reduction rate for formic acid was 14.1 mg/h by the (CdS-ZnS)/Ru catalyst (Figure 7A), corresponding to an area-based specific rate of 4272 mg COD/m²-h under visible light. Meanwhile, CO₂ was produced at a rate of 226 mmol/m²-h in the gaseous phase during the photocatalytic test, which agrees well with the theoretical COD reduction rate. The molar ratio of hydrogen to carbon dioxide productions was approximately 1:1, suggesting a complete decomposition of
formic acid [22].

However, CO₂ production was not detected during the photocatalytic H₂ generation in both methanol and ethanol solutions. It is likely that the photocatalytic reactions resulted in organic degradation and intermediate formation other than complete organic mineralization [8]. When the CdS-ZnS catalyst was excited by visible light, the photo-generated holes would attack methanol or ethanol, leading to the following organic degradations [8, 23],

\[
\begin{align*}
CH_3OH + h^+ & \rightarrow HCHO + H^+; \\
CH_3CH_2OH + h^+ & \rightarrow CH_2CHO + H^+.
\end{align*}
\]

The photocatalytic hydrogen production rates increased as the model organic concentration in solution increased (Figure 5B). For formic acid in the concentration range of 0–10%, the H₂ production rate by CdS-ZnS increased linearly (r = 0.98) with the formic acid concentration. Beyond the range (>10%), the H₂ production rate did not show a significant increase with the formic acid concentration. For both methanol and ethanol, the photocatalytic hydrogen production rate also increased nearly linearly with the organic concentration. As the initial concentration decreased to a low level of 500 mg/L, hydrogen still could be produced from methanol and ethanol at 12.3±2.5 and 9.6±2.0 mmol/m²-h, respectively. The specific hydrogen production rates based on the irradiation area or the amount of catalyst were summarized in Figure 6. The hydrogen production rates in the methanol and ethanol solutions were 220.9±5.2 mmol/m²-h and 122.1±3.0 mmol/m²-h, respectively, which are more than 2.5 times higher than that reported by Zhang and Zhang [7] for the Ru/CdS/Al-HMS catalyst under similar conditions (350 W Xe lamp). The quantum efficiency of (CdS-ZnS)/Ru (20%) is more than an order of magnitude higher than that of Ru/CdS/Al-HMS (1.2%) [7]. Hydrogen production in 10% methanol achieved a production rate of 4.8±0.3 mmol/g-h for visible light irradiation, which is ten times of the specific
hydrogen production rate reported for the CuO/Al₂O₃/TiO₂ catalyst in 10% methanol under solar irradiation [24]. The higher hydrogen generation and organic degradation efficiency suggests the advantage of the heterostructure of the photocatalyst. Although ZnS cannot be excited directly by visible irradiation, the photo-sensitive CdS can be readily excited by visible light. With its excitation, CdS would function as a photo-sensitizer to induce the excitation of ZnS. The more negative conduction band (-1.4 V) of ZnS allows photo-excited electrons to drop to the conduction band of CdS (-0.3 V) [24] and the electron flow from ZnS to CdS supplies more electrons to the conduction band of CdS for transfer to the aqueous phase for H⁺ reduction. Meanwhile, the holes left at the valance band of ZnS would attract electrons from the chemical solution, resulting in organic oxidation and degradation.

Conclusions

The composite CdS-ZnS nanoparticles with a heterogeneous structure were synthesized as a visible light-driven catalyst capable of both photocatalytic hydrogen production and organic degradation. The ZnS deposited on CdS would suppress the recombination of electron/hole pairs formed on CdS, leading to a faster hydrogen generation rate in comparison to bare CdS. The ZnS coating also helped protect the more sensitive CdS and hence greatly improve its stability against photo-corrosion. The presence of model organic substances, including formic acid, methanol and ethanol, enabled photocatalytic hydrogen production under visible light. The highest specific hydrogen production rate was achieved by the (CdS-ZnS)/Ru catalyst at 266±4 mmol/m²-h in the formic acid solution with a photo energy conversion efficiency of 3.05±0.05%. In relation to the hydrogen production, the corresponding photocatalytic organic degradation rate was 4272±67 mg COD/m²-h.
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Figure captions:

Figure 1. TEM examination and EDS element mapping result of the CdS-ZnS catalyst: (A)- (C) TEM images showing the heterostructure of the catalyst particles, (D) TEM image for the EDS mapping of (E) Cd distribution and (F) Zn distribution.

Figure 2. The SAED pattern of (A) pure CdS and (B) the structured CdS-ZnS.

Figure 3. XRD spectra of the catalyst materials: the structured CdS-ZnS composite and pure CdS and ZnS.

Figure 4. Diffuse reflection spectra of the photocatalysts: the structured CdS-ZnS composite in comparison with pure CdS and ZnS.

Figure 5. (A) Hydrogen production in the formic acid solution (10%) by the composite CdS-ZnS, bare CdS and bare ZnS, under visible light; and (B) stability of CdS-ZnS and bare CdS in terms of the hydrogen production rate and leaching of Cd$^{2+}$ from the catalysts during the repeated photocatalytic tests (at least 4 hr for each test cycle).

Figure 6. (A) The area-based and (B) weight-based specific hydrogen production rates of the photocatalysts of CdS-ZnS and (CdS-ZnS)/Ru in different model organic solutions under visible light.

Figure 7. (A) The theoretical COD removal rate by the CdS-ZnS and (CdS-ZnS)/Ru photocatalysts for different organic solutions under visible light; and (B) the effect of the initial organic concentration on the rate of photocatalytic hydrogen production by CdS-ZnS under visible light.
Figure 1. TEM examination and EDS element mapping result of the CdS-ZnS catalyst: (A)-(C) TEM images showing the heterostructure of the catalyst particles, (D) TEM image for the EDS mapping of (E) Cd distribution and (F) Zn distribution.
Figure 2. The SAED pattern of (A) pure CdS and (B) the structured CdS-ZnS.
Figure 2. XRD spectra of the catalyst materials: the structured CdS-ZnS composite and pure CdS and ZnS.
Figure 4. Diffuse reflection spectra of the photocatalysts: the structured CdS-ZnS composite in comparison with pure CdS and ZnS.
Figure 5. (A) Hydrogen production in the formic acid solution (10%) by the composite CdS-ZnS, bare CdS and bare ZnS, under visible light; and (B) stability of CdS-ZnS and bare CdS in terms of the hydrogen production rate and leaching of Cd$^{2+}$ from the catalysts during the repeated photocatalytic tests (at least 4 hr for each test cycle).
Figure 6. (A) The area-based and (B) weight-based specific hydrogen production rates of the photocatalysts of CdS-ZnS and (CdS-ZnS)/Ru in different model organic solutions (10%) under visible light.
Figure 7. (A) The theoretical COD removal rate by the CdS-ZnS and (CdS-ZnS)/Ru photocatalysts for different organic solutions under visible light; and (B) the effect of the initial organic concentration on the rate of photocatalytic hydrogen production by CdS-ZnS under visible light.