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ABSTRACT

Lumbar vertebra motion analysis provides objective measurement of lumbar disorder. The automatic tracking algorithm has been applied to Digitalized Video Fluoroscopy (DVF) sequence. This paper proposes a new Auto-Tracking System (ATS) with a guide device and a motion analysis to automatically measure human lumbar motion. Digitalized Video Fluoroscopy (DVF) sequence was obtained during flexion-extension lumbar movement under guide device. An extraction of human vertebral body and its motion tracking were developed by particle filter. The results showed a good repeatability, reliability and robustness. In model test, the maximum fiducial error is 3.7% and the repeatability error is 1.2% in translation and the maximal repeatability error is 2.6% in rotation angle. In this simulation study, we employed a lumbar model to simulate the motion of lumbar flexion-extension with the stepping translation of 1.3 mm and rotation angle of 1°. Results showed that the fiducial error was measured as 1.0%, while the repeatability error was 0.7%. The sequence can be detected even noise contamination as more as 0.5 of the density. The result demonstrates that the data from the auto-tracking algorithm shows a strong correlation with the actual measurement and that the Vertebral Auto-Tracking System (VATS) is highly repetitive. In the human lumbar spine evaluation, the study not only shows the reliability of Auto-Tracking Analysis System (ATAS), but also reveals that it is robust and variable in vivo. The VATS is evaluated by the model, the simulated sequence and the human subject. It could be concluded that the developed system could provide a reliable and robust system to detect spinal motion in future medical application.
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1. Introduction

The lumbar spine instability is an ill-defined clinical entity and most likely is related to the huge number of patients with chronic low back pain. Low back pain is one of the most common disorders associated with absence from work and need for social benefit in modern society. It affects 40% - 85% of adult population in Hong Kong and in industrial countries [1].

Current definitions of spinal instability are based on “a loss of stiffness” [2]. Thus, in an unstable condition a small load results in a large displacement. There has been difficulty in translating this definition into criteria that can be applied to clinical diagnosis and consequent choice of treatment [3]. Clinically, physical signs such as a visible slip, catch, click, or shaking of the section during motion are commonly used for diagnosing spinal instability [1].

The diagnosis of lumbar instability commonly depends on the chief complaints by patients and plain X-ray radiography in two dimensions [4]. Radiographs were taken at several different poses, taking full extension and full flexion as an example, which is a definite and convenient way to obtain some information of the spine motion but not reflects the continuous process of vertebrae.

Due to the application and expansion of medical technology [5-7], digitalized video fluoroscopy (DVF) sequence [8-13] was recommended to image spine motion for kinematic data acquisition. DVF was proposed to investigate spine in kinematics by Breen et al. in 1989 [13]. The advantages are of low level of intervention, low-dose X-ray, and continuously imaging for moving vertebrae. The special imaging technology laid the
groundwork to record the spine motion in vivo. On the other hand, many biomedical engineering researchers in [9,12,14-20] have analyzed spine biomechanics and presented avenues of identification and mark of vertebral corners as well as tracking algorithm for the vertebrae. Therefore, this new system, named as Auto-Tracking Analysis System (ATAS), was designed to mainly study the lumbar vertebrae’s movement in model and in vivo. The results from the ATAS provide the objective basis for the diagnosis in lumbar disorder.

In this study, an attempt was made to gain the motion trajectories of lumbar vertebrae in model to test the robustness and the reliability of the ATAS. Thereafter, the practicability is illustrated by importing a healthy human DVF sequence to the auto-tracking system.

2. Methods

2.1. Image Preprocessing

The DVF image sequence is contaminated by noises generated from the DVF system. On the other hand, due to low X-ray dose imaging mode is employed in our setup, the contrast between vertebra and surrounding tissue is degraded. In order to enhance the image quality to facilitate automated with regarding to the operation of the software, Landmarking the vertebrae in a DVF sequence is the basis of kinematic analysis whether in automatic or manual tracking. In this paper, the ‘Manual’ panel locating algorithm in the GUI is not used, which will be useful for poor DVF sequence images. When the image is poor and cannot be automatically tracked, the markers are placed on the vertebrae’s four corners (two dorsal corners and two ventral corners) on each vertebra body in every frame of the DVF sequence. We can put landmark manually but with time-consuming and error-prone. Nevertheless, the manual tracking is also an important part in the ATAS because the vertebrae images of patients’ DVF sequences are usually presented without satisfactory quality. As well, Trajectory analysis is of great value to the original results once the tracking process finished. The “LPR-RICI Analysis” processes the original data by local polynomial regression analysis to gain a smooth curve graph. We can obtain the translation and angle of the rotation in a curve graph from “Trajectory Analysis” and “LPR-RICI Analysis”. At last, the data can be output to Excel for further flexible analysis. For more information about operating procedures, please view “Help” on the interface.

2.2. Automated Tracking

In the present study, we modify the well validated automated vertebra tracking algorithm using particle filter proposed by Lam et al. [16].

The acquired DVF sequence is passed to the automated tracking module through the GUI software to estimate the position and orientation of the vertebrae in each frame of the sequence once the vertebrae of interest have been manually identified in the first frame as shown in Figure 1. The locations of the control points are stored in a control vector 0. This initialization step defines the vertebra boundary as a close contour for matching with the same vertebra in subsequent frames using the observation model described in Lam et al. [16].

In summary, the posterior distribution of the x- and y-displacement (ηX, ηY) and the orientation variation (ηθ) of the vertebra from frame t to t+1 were detected by particle filter, which are formulated in a state vector as

\[ X_t = [\eta X_t, \eta Y_t, \eta \theta_t]^T. \]  (1)

The particles are then resampled and the state estimate \( \hat{X}_t \) is approximated from the posterior distribution by a set of particles \( \{X^{(n)}_t, w^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^N \) weight with \( w^{(n)} \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} = 1 \). Sequential Importance Resampling (SIR) was applied to each time step to prevent the degeneracy problem, and then the weight of each particle n can be calculated as

\[ w^{(n)} < p(Z_t | X^{(n)}_t), \]  (2)

and

\[ p(X_t | Z_t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} \delta(X_t - X^{(n)}_t), \]  (3)

where \( \delta() \) is a Dirac delta function. The kinematic parameters in state vector \( \hat{X}_t \) at frame t are computed using the minimum mean square error (MMSE) estimate

\[ \hat{X}_t \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} X^{(n)}_t. \]  (4)

The control vector for frame t, \( C_t \), is obtained from the one at \( t-1 \), \( C_{t-1} \), and \( \hat{X}_t \), after which \( C_t \) and \( \hat{X}_t \) are passed back to the particle filter for the next iteration.

3. Anatomical Lambo-sacral Model Extraction and Performance Assessment

The DVF of model was obtained by the previous introduced medical system (45 kV, 80 mA, Exposure time: 3ms, Protocol Name: 25 Pediatric < 4y). During the DVF collecting process, the L1-L5 region was maintained within the field of view. For a sharp boundary and preventing image from “white-out” in movement, a metal harness was placed on the edge of each vertebra. The assisting device also pulled and pushed the true-to-life model of lumbar vertebral column (Anatomical lambo-sacral model, Ortholink LLC, CA 90212, USA) to
perform sagittal cycling flexion-extension motion. The continuous dynamic lumbar sequence of the model is assessed by the medical system 10 times, which includes 2 integral cycles each time. When the collection was finished, each vertebra trajectory was recorded by a real-time depiction of the vertebral body with rigid fixation pens.

About 27 control points were marked on the first frame of each DVF sequence. The more precise the mark is, the more accurate the results will be. An example of locating the control points is shown in Figure 1. Each vertebra used 2000 particles, and \( \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x^2, \Delta y^2, \Delta \Theta^2 \end{bmatrix} \) was set as \([15,23]\).

Due to have no elasticity of the discs’ material of the lumbar model, we select the first four moving cycles of DVF sequences to compute the fiducial error and repeatability error and analyze Test-Retest Reliability. Figure 2 arrays partly frames from the DVF sequence of the tracking process. The maximum of the fiducial error is 3.7% in x-translation. In the 20 integral cycles, the average ICC of the x- and y-translations are 0.99 (Std 0.009), 0.99 (Std 0.005) \((p < 0.05)\) respectively between the auto-tracking and actual measurement.

Owing to the same reason to calculate the error, the Root Mean Square differences (RMS) and the Standard Error of the Measurement (SEM) of rotation angle \([16]\), as well as the x- and y-translation of the center among first 4 cycles, calculated to test the variability and robustness of the VATS. The average RMS differences of the x-translation, y-translation and angle of rotation are 0.69 (Std 0.4) mm, 0.64 (Std 0.3) mm, and 0.9° (Std 0.3°)

while SEM is 0.47, 0.42, and 0.57° \((p < 0.05)\).

4. Discussion

The using of particle filter can track the simulated lumbar model and to detect the motion in various noising situation. Breen et al. \([18]\), who introduced DVF to investigate spine kinematics firstly, succeeded in using DVF to acquire and analyze lumbar spine motion. Okawa et al. \([21]\) used a sandwich stand to assist in video fluoroscopy acquisition from subjects with and without back pain. Teyhen et al. \([9]\) proposed methods for video fluoroscopy image enhancement and distortion compensated roentgen analysis as well as showing the reliability of their methods and demonstrating an improvement in video fluoroscopy image measurement. However, the main drawback is that the vertebral motions can only be recorded at certain fixed frames or time intervals. Lee et al. \([8]\) evaluated the inter-vertebral motion at certain fixed anatomic ranges of motion of the lumbar spine, which was not a time-dependent parameter. The VATS did not show faults.

The translation and angle are accurate in a certain range. The effect of out-of-plane is decreased but there are still minor changes observed in the human DVF sequence. Eventually, other kinematical parameters such as intervertebral angle and translation can be measured to provide a more comprehensive evaluation.

The model and simulation study focus mainly on the reliability and robustness of the Vertebral Auto-Tracking System for the lumbar spine motion. In model test (unit: millimeter in translation and degree in angle), the maximum fiducial error is 3.7% in translation. The maximal repeatability error is 1.2% in translation and 2.6% in rotation angle. The result presented the repeatability error with 0.5%, 0.5%, 0.7% in x- and y-translation and rotation angle respectively. It proved VATS measurement system with highly repetitive. This simulation study evaluated the VATS under noise contamination with various noise densities, results from VATS proved the robust of the detection until noise density \(\leq 0.5\).
5. Conclusion

The proposed Vertebral Auto-Tracking System used particle filter to detect lumbar motion, which can provide a useful tool for medical diagnosis. This study proved the reliability and robustness by a simulation lumbar model. The VATS is evaluated by the model and the simulated sequence. The satisfactory of results proposed the potential value in the future clinical application.
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