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A Novel Wideband Bandpass Power Divider With Harmonic-Suppressed Ring Resonator
Shan Shan Gao, Student Member, IEEE, Sheng Sun, Senior Member, IEEE, and Shaoqiu Xiao, Member, IEEE

Abstract—A simple stub-loaded ring resonator is presented as a novel wideband bandpass power divider with good in-band responses and out-of-band harmonic suppression. The first two resonances of the ring resonator are excited and employed to construct a wideband passband. By adjusting the length of loaded open stubs, four transmission zeros can be generated in the lower and upper stopbands. After installing three coupled-line sections at one input port and two output ports along the ring, additional two in-band poles and one upper-stopband transmission zero are further introduced. Subsequently, total five transmission zeros are utilized to improve the passband selectivity and suppress the high-order harmonic. In addition, a single resistor is properly placed between two output ports to ensure the isolation. Finally, a prototype power divider is fabricated and verified experimentally with attractive bandpass features.

Index Terms—Bandpass power divider, harmonic suppression, open stub, ring resonator, transmission zero.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a fundamental passive component, the power divider has been widely used in microwave and millimeter communication systems such as antenna feed networks, phase shifters, and power amplifiers. Up to date, the Wilkinson power divider is the most popular one, which has a simple layout and excellent isolation between two output ports [1]. However, the major drawbacks of the conventional Wilkinson power divider are the narrow fractional bandwidth and the presence of harmonics due to the adoption of the quarter-wavelength transmission lines. With the rapid growth of wideband communications, there has been a sustained increase in the demand of the wideband power divider design. A few types of power divider have been proposed to extend the bandwidth [2]–[4]. A wideband response can be achieved by cascading multi-section matching networks at two output ports of a single-stage power divider [2]. However, this straightforward method increases the total size of the circuit and requires more resistors for isolation. Based on tight parallel-coupled lines [3] or wideband microstrip-to-slotline transition [4], the ultra-wideband (UWB) power dividers were implemented. Unfortunately, the upper stopband bandwidth is relatively narrow. On the other hand, a low-pass power divider with wide upper stopband was realized by installing shunt stubs along branch-line sections and two extended lines between two output ports [5]. However, good isolation can be only achieved at the resonance around the cut-off frequency of low-pass response.

In this paper, a wideband bandpass power divider using a simple harmonic-suppressed ring resonator is presented. By arranging two open-circuited stubs and three coupled-line sections around the ring resonator, the first four resonances can be moved to lower frequencies and utilized together to form a wide passband along two signal paths simultaneously. Meanwhile, total five transmission zeros can be introduced at dc and upper stopband. Then, the first spurious harmonic near the wide passband can be fully suppressed, thus widening the upper stopband bandwidth. To verify our proposal, a prototype bandpass power divider is finally designed, fabricated and measured to show the good bandpass responses and wide upper stopband.

II. BANDPASS POWER DIVIDER DESIGN

Fig. 1 shows the schematic of the proposed bandpass power divider. It consists of a two-way symmetry ring resonator with four branch-line sections, two open-circuited stubs, and a single resistor (R). \( Z_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) represents the characteristic impedances of each transmission line section, whereas \( y_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \)) indicates the corresponding electrical lengths. When a signal arrives at one input port (Port 1), its power can be divided into two parts and reaches in-phase at two output ports (Port 2 and Port 3) over the operation band. Based on the even- and odd-mode analysis, two of half circuit models can be formed with ideal open- and short-circuited ends along the horizontally symmetrical plane, as shown in Fig. 2. Then, the theoretical three-port scattering parameters can be easily obtained as [6]

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{11}(f) &= S_{31}(f), \\
S_{21}(f) &= S_{31}(f) = \frac{S_{12}(f)}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
S_{23}(f) &= \frac{(S_{21}(f) - S_{22}(f))}{2}, \\
S_{22}(f) &= S_{33}(f) = \frac{(S_{22}(f) + S_{22}(f))}{2}
\end{align*}
\]

which can be analytically derived from the ABCD-matrix for even- and odd-mode circuit models. It is important to notice that the transmission coefficients in each transmission path, i.e., \( S_{21} \) and \( S_{31} \), are only related to the even-mode scattering parameters. Thus, the transmission poles can be determined from the
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Fig. 1. Schematic of the proposed wideband bandpass power divider.
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Fig. 2. (a) Even-mode circuit model. (b) Odd-mode circuit model.
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Fig. 3. Frequency locations of transmission poles and zeros versus electrical lengths of two open-circuited stubs. (a) When $\theta_3 = 68.7^\circ$ at 3 GHz. (b) When $\theta_4 = 176^\circ$ at 3 GHz. ($Z_1 = 91.4$ $\Omega$, $Z_2 = Z_3 = 115.1$ $\Omega$, and $Z_4 = 135.4$ $\Omega$).
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resonant condition of the equivalent even-mode circuit model in Fig. 2(a), which is given by

$$Z_L^e + Z_R^e = 0$$

where

$$Z_L^e = \frac{Z_1(2Z_3 - Z_1 \tan \theta_1 \tan \theta_3)}{j(Z_1 \tan \theta_3 + 2Z_3 \tan \theta_1)}$$

and

$$Z_R^e = \frac{Z_3(2Z_4 - Z_3 \tan \theta_2 \tan \theta_4)}{j(Z_2 \tan \theta_4 + 2Z_4 \tan \theta_2)}$$

are the input impedances looking into left- and right-hand side from the reference plane.

On the other hand, the transmission zeros can be found by letting the input impedances of the two open-circuited stubs equal to zero, as follows:

$$\frac{Z_2(2Z_4 - Z_2 \tan \theta_2 \tan \theta_4)}{j(Z_2 \tan \theta_4 + 2Z_4 \tan \theta_2)} = 0 \quad (\text{for } f_{z1}, f_{z2}, \text{ and } f_{z3})$$

and

$$\frac{2Z_3}{j \tan \theta_3} = 0 \quad (\text{for } f_{z4}).$$

In this design, $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are both chosen as $90^\circ$ ($\theta_1 = \theta_2$).

Fig. 3 shows the obtained frequency distributions of the three transmission poles ($f_{p1}, f_{p2}$, and $f_{p3}$) and four transmission zeros ($f_{z1}, f_{z2}, f_{z3}$, and $f_{z4}$) versus the electrical length $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$, which are equivalently measured at 3 GHz. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the first three resonances of the ring resonator at $f_{p1}, f_{p2}$, and $f_{p3}$ are shifted to lower frequencies as $\theta_4$ increases.

Fig. 4. Proposed power divider with coupled-line sections. (a) Schematic. (b) Frequency responses of S-magnitudes under the weak coupling.
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Fig. 5. Maximum reflections and isolations versus impedances ($Z_1$) and loaded resistor ($R$).
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Here, the first two resonances would be utilized to form a wide passband with a center operating frequency at the middle of two resonances. Here, \( \theta_3 \) is set to around half-wavelength, when the two transmission zeros \( (f_{z1} \text{ and } f_{z2}) \) can be well allocated at two sides of the passband. On the other hand, the three transmission zeros \( (f_{z1}, f_{z2}, \text{ and } f_{z3}) \) obtained from (5) are controlled by the electrical length \( \theta_4 \), while another transmission zero \( (f_{z4}) \) obtained from (6) can be almost independently controlled by the electrical length of \( \theta_3 \), which could be utilized to suppress the third resonance at \( f_{z3} \), as shown in Fig. 3(b) at point-A. Thus, \( \theta_3 \) is set to 68.7° for harmonic-suppression, while the center frequency \( (f_0) \) of the passband is allocated at 61.2°. It should be noted that \( \theta_1 \) is no longer 90° at the center operating frequency, which implies a size reduction of the proposed power divider in comparison with the traditional Wilkinson power divider with \( \theta_1 = 90° \).

In order to improve the dc and upper stopband rejections and in-band performance, three coupled-line sections are further installed at one input port and two output ports, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the corresponding schematic and S-magnitudes of the proposed power divider under the weak coupling. We can notice that the two higher resonances at \( f_{z3} \) and \( f_{z4} \) can also be shifted to lower frequencies and utilized together to form a four-pole passband after installing the coupled-line sections. Meanwhile, a higher-order harmonic around 3.9 GHz is fully suppressed by the transmission zero at \( f_{z4} \), which is similar as the case without coupled-line sections as shown in Fig. 4(b). Moreover, two transmission zeros are introduced at dc and the upper stopband \( (f_{z5}) \) with the installation of coupled-line section.

Since \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are no longer 90°, it is difficult to achieve the perfect impedance matching over the wide passband. Thus, further optimization is still preferred to obtain a good wideband reflection and isolation levels. Fig. 5 shows the maximum in-band reflections \( (\left| S_{11}\right|_{\text{max}}, \text{ and } \left| S_{22}\right|_{\text{max}}) \) and isolation \( (\left| S_{23}\right|_{\text{max}}) \) versus impedances \( (Z_i) \) and loaded resistor \( (R) \), respectively. As a design example, \( Z_1 = 91.4 \Omega, Z_2 = Z_1 = 115.1 \Omega, \text{ and } Z_4 = 135.4 \Omega, R = 56 \Omega \) are finally selected in this work.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify our proposal, a prototype wideband bandpass power divider is designed and implemented on a substrate with a dielectric constant of 4.8 and a substrate thickness of 0.8 mm. Fig. 6 shows the simulated and measured frequency responses and phase difference of the proposed power divider. Good agreement between them has been achieved. The measured \( \left| S_{11}\right| \) is greater than 10.14 dB over the frequency range from 1.41 to 2.68 GHz, with 62% fractional bandwidth at the center frequency of 2.05 GHz. With the help of the four transmission zeros in the upper stopband, the measured upper-strobband rejection is better than 15.81 dB from 2.98 to 4.93 GHz. In addition, the measured phase difference of ±2.5° between the output ports is observed in the entire passband. Table I compares measured in-band performance and upper stopband bandwidths for published and our proposed bandpass power divider. In addition, the loss just above transmission band-edge is primarily due to the conductor and radiation losses, which can be further improved after packaging and thicker substrate with wide conductor width is used.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a novel wideband bandpass power divider with harmonic-suppression has been proposed using a simple stub-loaded ring-type resonator. Multiple in-band transmission poles and out-of-band transmission zeros have been achieved to ensure good passband and wide upper stopband performance.

TABLE I

| Techniques | In-band \( \left| S_{11}\right| \) | Upper Stopband Bandwidth |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| UWB [3]    | -1.9-3 dB        | 24% @ -9.7 dB           |
| Multilayer [4] | -1.9-3 dB   | 26.4% @ -17 dB          |
| Proposed   | -1.4-3 dB        | 49.4% @ -15.8 dB        |
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