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Chinese Herbal Medicine for Infertility with Anovulation: A Systematic Review

Li Tan, MMed1,2 Yao Tong, PhD2 Stephen Cho Wing Sze, PhD2 Mei Xu, MMed(cand)1 Yang Shi, MMed(cand)1 Xin-yang Song, MMed3 and Ting-ting Zhang, PhD1

Abstract

The aim of this systematic review is to assess the effectiveness and safety of Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) in treatment of anovulation and infertility in women. Eight (8) databases were extensively retrieved. The Chinese electronic databases included VIP Information, CMCC, and CNKI. The English electronic databases included AMED, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Embase, and MEDLINE6. Randomized controlled trials using CHM as intervention were included in the study selection. The quality of studies was assessed by the Jadad scale and the criteria referred to Cochrane reviewers’ handbook. The efficacy of CHM treatment for infertility with anovulation was evaluated by meta-analysis. There were 692 articles retrieved according to the search strategy, and 1659 participants were involved in the 15 studies that satisfied the selection criteria. All the included trials were done in China. Meta-analysis indicated that CHM significantly increased the pregnancy rate (odds ratio [OR] 3.12, 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.50–3.88) and reduced the miscarriage rate (OR 0.2, 95% CI 0.10–0.41) compared to clomiphene. In addition, CHM also increased the ovulation rate (OR 1.55, 95% CI 1.06–2.25) and improved the cervical mucus score (OR 3.82, 95% CI 1.78–8.21) compared to clomiphene, while there were no significant difference between CHM and clomiphene combined with other medicine. CHM is effective in treating infertility with anovulation. Also, no significant adverse effects were identified for the use of CHM from the studies included in this review. However, owing to the low quality of the studies investigated, more randomized controlled trials are needed before evidence-based recommendation regarding the effectiveness and safety of CHM in the management of infertility with anovulation can be provided.

Introduction

Infertility is defined as failure to achieve pregnancy during 1 year of frequent, unprotected intercourse.1 Infertility affects about 10%–20% of couples trying to achieve pregnancy in many industrialized countries,2 and there is an increasing number of couples seeking medical treatment.3 A study estimates that in 2002, more than 186 million ever-married women of reproductive age in developing countries were infertile.4

It is known that about 40% of infertility cases are due to female factors. Ovulatory dysfunction will be identified in approximately 15% of all infertile couples and accounts for up to 40% of infertility in women.1 Ovulatory dysfunction is defined as abnormal, irregular, or absent ovulation. Approximately 15%–25% of patients with ovulatory dysfunction are anovulatory,6 which can be attributed to an imbalance of luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone, an injury to the hypothalamus or pituitary gland, pituitary tumors, or too low or too high a body weight.7

Methods for evaluating ovulatory function may include: menstrual history, basal body temperature (BBT), serum progesterone, urinary luteinizing hormone, endometrial biopsy, histologic evaluation, and serial transvaginal ultrasound.8–11

Hull reported that the most successful treatment is for women with clearly defined ovulatory disorders.12 In most women with ovulatory dysfunction without an evident cause or that is not otherwise correctable, ovarian stimulation is the primary treatment.13 Fertility drugs are often used to induce ovulation. If they fail as the sole therapy, they may be used with assisted reproductive procedures, such as in vitro fertilization.14
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Clomiphene citrate has been used as the first-line treatment for inducing ovulation in anovulatory women.\textsuperscript{15,16} In most women who fail to ovulate or conceive with clomiphene citrate, gonadotrophins bring about ovulation.\textsuperscript{17,18} Recent studies have reported that the aromatase inhibitor, letrozole, is an attractive option.\textsuperscript{19} Because the cloud of imputed teratogenesis is difficult to dispel with finality, aromatase inhibitors at present remain second-line agents.\textsuperscript{20}

Ovulation-inducing agents have their own specific adverse effects. Some, in particular those effects associated with gonadotrophins, may be life threatening.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, induction of ovulation has been shown to raise the risk of miscarriage.\textsuperscript{22} With regard to the long-term safety of these medications, the relationship between fertility drugs and epithelial ovarian cancer is controversial.\textsuperscript{23–29} Assisted reproductive technology is highly effective when it comes to increasing conception, but most people cannot afford the cost. It is often associated with many physical and emotional side-effects, such as ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS), multiple births, and birth defects.\textsuperscript{30–33}

For this reason, more couples are turning to alternative medicine, especially Chinese herbal medicine (CHM).\textsuperscript{34–36} The use of herbal medicinal products is rapidly increasing. Virtually all survey data agree that users of herbal medicine products are predominantly female.\textsuperscript{37–39}

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is the world’s oldest continuous surviving tradition, a 3000-year-old holistic system for both treatment and prevention of disease. Records indicate that Chinese medicinal herbs have a long history of use in treating male and female infertility for more than 2000 years. Chinese medicine practitioners believe that anovulation is mostly caused by deficiency of Kidney, Stasis of Liver Qi (keep in mind that in Chinese Medicine, there is more to an “organ” than its Western anatomical counterpart), Phlegm Dampness, and Blood Stasis (they are a syndrome identified by Chinese Medicine).\textsuperscript{40–42} In these cases, the aims of TCM therapy are tonifying and replenishing Kidney Qi, enriching and tonifying Kidney Yin, warming and tonifying Kidney Yang, soothing the Liver and releasing depression, drying Dampness, and resolving Phlegm, activating Blood, and resolving Stasis.\textsuperscript{41–43}

Herbs such as \textit{Radix rhemanniae}, \textit{Radix Dipsaci}, semen cuscutae, \textit{Cistanches}, \textit{Fructus Lycii}, \textit{Radix Morindae Officinalis}, \textit{Epimedi}, \textit{Canna Cervi Degelatinatum}, and \textit{Placenta Hominis} are used to tonify the Kidney; \textit{Radix Bupleuri}, \textit{Radix Paoniae Alba}, \textit{Fructus Auranti}, \textit{Rhizoma Cyperi}, and \textit{Radix Curcumae} are used to soothe the Liver and release depression; \textit{Rhizoma Atractylodis}, \textit{Rhizoma Acori Tatarinovii}, \textit{Pericarpium Citi Reticulatum}, \textit{Rhizoma Pinelliae}, and \textit{Poria} are used to dry Dampness and resolve Phlegm; some herbs frequently used to activate Blood and resolve Stasis are \textit{Radix Angelicae Sinensis}, \textit{Rhizoma Chuanxiong}, \textit{Radix Paoniae Rubra}, \textit{Semen Persicae}, \textit{Flor Carthami}, \textit{Radix Cyathulae}, and \textit{Sanguis Draconis}.\textsuperscript{44}

TCM is traditionally regarded as having few side-effects. It is therefore becoming increasingly popular with the public. Recently, many clinical trials reported that CHM had a positive effect in treating infertile women with ovulatory dysfunction.\textsuperscript{45–50} However, most of the clinical trials were based on a small sample size. As there is currently insufficient evidence about the safety and efficacy of CHM for the management of infertility with anovulation, a systematic review in this area is warranted.

Methods

Articles were retrieved from databases by electronic search. Only articles that satisfied the selection criteria were included in the meta-analysis. The articles were reviewed independently by 2 reviewers. After selection, the data were extracted by the first reviewer and verified by the second reviewer. Discrepancies were rectified while referring to the original articles.

Criteria for Considering Studies for This Review

Types of studies

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were included. Quasi-RCTs, non-RCTs, or randomized trials with false methods for random allocation of participants were excluded. Articles were written in either English or Chinese language.

Types of participants

Female patients, of any age or ethnic origin, who were incapable of conceiving for at least 1 year (includes primary and secondary subfertility) as a result of anovulation, were included in the study. Luteal phase defect, tubal disease, immune infertility, and unexplained infertility were excluded. Sperm analysis of the partner should be normal. The diagnostic criteria of anovulation employed in the present review were based on the American Society of Reproductive Medicine report,\textsuperscript{51} the standard drafted by the Obstetrics and Gynecology Committee of The China Association of Integrative Medicine,\textsuperscript{52} and the guiding principle issued by the Ministry of Health of the People’s Republic of China.\textsuperscript{53}

Anovulation was diagnosed if an individual had two or more of the following six criteria: (1) Monophasic BBT recordings lasted for more than 3 months, (2) Serum or urine progesterone levels that fell below the normal values during the midluteal phase, (3) Endometrial biopsy and histologic evaluation that demonstrated no secretory endometrial development during the late luteal phase (6 days before menstruation), (4) Transvaginal ultrasound reveal no evidence of ovulation, (5) Vaginal cytology smears showing no cyclical changes, or (6) Cervical mucus crystallization that occurred without ellipsoid.

Ideally, the diagnostic criteria of anovulation should be stated and described in the trial that was searched for this review. If the criteria were not employed in the searched trials, the stated diagnostic criteria in each individual study were evaluated by the review authors to confirm whether it met above the criteria. Trials with inconsistent diagnostic criteria were excluded. If the diagnostic criteria were not clearly stated in the trial, the primary authors were contacted for clarification. In case clarification was unavailable, these trials were also excluded.

Types of Interventions

Experimental interventions encompassed Chinese patent herbal medicine, extracts of a single herb or compound of herbs, or other individualized herbal remedies. Control interventions comprised placebo, no treatment, Western medicine, and laparoscopic surgery.
Types of Outcome Measures

Primary outcomes include live birth rate, pregnancy rate, and ovulation rate. Secondary outcomes include the change of BBT, cervical mucus score, endometrial thickness, follicle growth, miscarriage, ectopic pregnancy and adverse events (including OHSS, luteinized unruptured follicle syndrome (LUFS), multiple pregnancy, gastrointestinal reactions, headaches, general malaise, etc.).

Search Strategy

The terms retrieved in databases were infertile, infertility, sterile, sterility, anovulation, anovulatory, ovulatory dysfunction, ovulatory disorders, ovulation failure, ovarian Stimulation, ovarian Induction, Chinese traditional, Chinese medicine, alternative medicine, Complementary Therap$; chinese herbal, Plants, plant extract$, herb$. The combined search was (infertile OR infertility OR steril OR sterility) AND (anovulation OR anovulatory OR ovulatory dysfunction OR ovulatory disorders OR ovulation failure OR ovarian Stimulation OR Ovarian Induction) AND (Chinese traditional OR Chinese medicine OR alternative medicine OR Complementary Therap$ OR chinese herbal OR Plants OR plant extract$ OR herb$). Chinese language databases were retrieved with a similar search strategy.

Databases


Data Analysis

The Review Manager 4.2 software developed at the Nordic Cochrane Centre was employed for data analysis. Dichotomous data were presented as odds ratio (OR) and continuous outcomes as mean difference, both with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Differences were considered statistically significant, and $p$-value was <0.05.

Results

Study selection

The initial searches identified 692 articles on treatment with TCM for infertile women with ovulatory dysfunction. On reading titles and abstracts, it was found that 626 of these articles were excluded because they were duplicates, non-clinical studies, case reports, or had study objectives different from this review. A total of 66 articles published in Chinese were retrieved for further assessment. Of these, 51 articles were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria of this review. In total, 15 articles that satisfied the selection criteria were included.54–68

Methodological quality of included studies

There were 1659 participants who enrolled in 15 studies. Sample size ranged from 46 to 335. Nine hundred and eighty-two (982) were allocated to the treatment group and 677 were allocated to the control group. All participants were women of reproductive age, but with infertility and anovulation. All clinical studies were conducted in China and reported in the Chinese language. Though the clinical trials claimed randomization in their studies, all of these trials were marked as high-risk bias due to the unclear allocation concealment, blindness, and withdrawal/dropout. All studies were classified according to the Jadad scale,69 as shown in Table 1.

Table characteristics

Periodic therapies by using different CHM were applied in four studies. Chinese patent drugs were used in six studies.
Chinese herbal formulas were used in five studies. Details are listed in Table 2.

There were 13 studies used clomiphene only as the control; 4 of them used clomiphene from 50 mg/d to 100 mg/d or 150 mg/d when no ovulation occurred in the first two cycles. For the other two studies, Qiu Ming-yi et al. (2004) used clomiphene combined with diethylstilbestrol and medroxyprogesterone as the controls, and Fu (2007) selected clomiphene combined with human chorionic gonadotrophin as the controls.

The duration of trials selected in this study varied from 3 months to 6 months, yet the duration recorded in one article was 1 month while another article did not report the trial duration. All articles have reported the diagnostic criteria used, with all participants diagnosed with infertility and anovulation in these studies.

Pregnancy rate (per woman) was reported in all studies. Ovulation rate (per woman) was reported in 13 studies. The change of cervical mucus score was reported in two studies. Change of endometrial thickness was reported in three studies. Follicle growth was reported in six studies. Adverse events were reported in four studies. Live birth rate (per woman) was not measured by any included studies (Table 3).

Effects and adverse events of interventions

There were 13 trials (1545 women) that compared one of the commonly used CHM with clomiphene, and two trials (114 women) that compared CHM with combination therapy. Subgroups were set up for statistical analysis based on the type of CHM used and different combination therapy as control.

Table 2. Contents of the Formulations Used in Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Formula Type</th>
<th>Basic Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cui et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of zhu yun pill No. 1, which was produced by their hospital’s Preparation room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of an kun zhong zi pill, which was produced by their hospital’s Preparation room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (2010)</td>
<td>Periodic therapies</td>
<td>1. Basic formula of cu pai luan decoction No. 1 for late follicular phase from the 5th to 12th day of menstrual cycle; 2. Basic formula of cu pai luan decoction No. 2 for ovulation phase and luteinizing phase from the 13th to 22nd day of menstrual cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiu Fen-lian et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of tiao jing cu yun pill, which had national drug production batch number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Basic formula of bu shen zong zi pill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiu Ming-ying et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of gui lu bu shen pill, which had national drug production batch number.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Periodic therapies</td>
<td>1. Basic formula of tiao jing decoction No. 1 for follicular phase; 2. Basic formula of tiao jing decoction No. 2 for ovulation phase; 3. Basic formula of tiao jing decoction No. 3 for luteinizing phase; 4. Basic formula of tiao jing decoction No. 4 for menstrual phase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chu et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of er zi capsule, which was produced by their hospital’s Preparation room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xia et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Basic formula of wo zhen yun yu decoction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu (2007)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Basic formula of zhu yun pill, which was produced by their hospital’s Preparation room.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Characteristics of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Controlled intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Outcome measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cui et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, BBT, adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Homemade Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, BBT, cervical mucus score, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (2010)</td>
<td>Periodic therapies</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang (2007)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, BBT, cervical mucus score, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiu Chen-lian et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50–100 mg/d</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pang et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu (2009)</td>
<td>Periodic therapies</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>3–6 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, endometrial thickness, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu (2010)</td>
<td>Periodic therapies</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, BBT, adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pang et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate, BBT, follicle growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fu (2007)</td>
<td>Chinese patent drug</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50 mg/d</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, BBT, cervical mucus score, endometrial thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang (2002)</td>
<td>Herbal formula</td>
<td>Clomiphene 50–100 mg/d</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Pregnancy rate, ovulation rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BBT, basal body temperature; HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin.

### ANALYSIS 1.1
Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 1: pregnancy rate (per woman).
Ovulation rate

CHM versus clomiphene (see Analysis 1.2). There were 11 trials (1365 women) that compared CHM with clomiphene. Four trials (615 women) reported no statistically significant difference between periodic therapies and clomiphene (73.20% versus 62.45%; OR 1.7, 95% CI 0.87–3.32). Four (4) trials (501 women) reported no statistically significant difference between Chinese patent drugs and clomiphene (79.32% versus 69.90%; OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.72–3.26). The other three trials (249 women) reported no statistically significant difference between Chinese herbal formulas and clomiphene (84.71% versus 81.52%; OR 1.32, 95% CI 0.64–2.71).

Overall, meta-analysis of 11 trials (1365 women) showed that there was a statistically significant difference between CHM and clomiphene (78.81% versus 69.69%; OR 1.55, 95% CI 1.06–2.25).

CHM versus clomiphene combination therapy (see Analysis 2.2). One (1) trial (60 women) compared CHM to clomiphene combined with diethylstilbestrol and medroxy-
progesterone. The difference shown was not statistically significant (70% versus 73.33%; OR 0.85, 95% CI 0.28–2.61).

**BBT becoming biphasic**

CHM versus clomiphene (see Analysis 1.3). Three (3) trials (596 women) reported this outcome, one as typical biphasic BBT$^{62}$ and another two as atypical and typical biphasic BBT$^{55,63}$ We used the typical biphasic BBT as outcome for final comparison.

Meta-analysis of three trials (596 women) showed that there was no statistically significant difference between CHM and clomiphene (65.78% versus 64.59%; OR 1.06, 95% CI 0.73–1.53).

---

**ANALYSIS 1.3.** Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 3: basal body temperature becoming biphasic.

CHM versus clomiphene combination therapy (see Analysis 2.3). One (1) trial (54 women) compared CHM to clomiphene combined with chorionic gonadotrophin. The difference showed there was not a statistically significant difference (55.88% versus 45%; OR 1.55, 95% CI 0.51–4.70).

Improvement of cervical mucus score

CHM versus clomiphene (see Analysis 1.4). One (1) trial (130 women) compared CHM against clomiphene.55 Results showed that CHM was significantly more effective for improving cervical mucus score than clomiphene was (68.60% versus 36.36%; OR 3.82, 95% CI 1.78–8.21).

CHM versus clomiphene combination therapy (see Analysis 2.4). One (1) trial (54 women) compared CHM against combination therapy.67 Results showed that CHM was not significantly more effective for improving cervical mucus score than clomiphene combined with human chorionic gonadotrophin (weighted mean difference [WMD] 0.70, 95% CI 0.59–1.99).

Change of endometrial thickness

CHM versus clomiphene. One (1) trial,66 which only reported the average thickness of two groups (no standard deviation), showed a significant increase in thickness after treatment in the treated group, but no significant difference in the control group.

CHM versus clomiphene combination therapy (see Analysis 2.5). There were two trials (114 women) that reported no statistically significant difference between CHM and combination therapy (WMD 0.39, 95% CI −0.15–0.94).

Follicle growth

CHM versus clomiphene (see Analysis 1.6). There were five trials that compared CHM with clomiphene. One (1) trial66 only reported the average size of two groups. There were no standard deviations provided, so it was not included in meta-analysis.

Four trials (523 women) reported that this outcome was included in meta-analysis, one trial (160 women) used periodic therapies,64 another two trials (255 women) used Chinese patent drugs,53,63 and another trial (108 women) used Chinese herbal formulas.64

Meta-analysis of four trials (523 women) showed that there was no statistically significant difference between CHM and clomiphene (WMD −0.37 95% CI −2.12–1.38).

CHM versus clomiphene combination therapy (see Analysis 2.6). One (1) trial (60 women) compared CHM to clomiphene combined with diethylstilbestrol and medroxyprogesterone. The difference showed no statistically significant difference (WMD −0.75 95% CI −1.66–0.16).

Miscarriage rate (see Analysis 1.7)

There were three trials (938 women in trial, 307 women were pregnant) that reported a statistically significant difference between CHM and clomiphene (6.01% versus 27.47%; OR 0.2, 95% CI 0.10–0.41). This meta-analysis included one trial (170 women were pregnant) that compared
periodic therapies to clomiphene (9.91% versus 32.2%; OR 0.23, 95% CI 0.10–0.53); one trial (100 women were pregnant) compared Chinese patent drugs to clomiphene (0% versus 20%; OR 0.02, 95% CI 0.00–0.44); the other trial (37 women were pregnant) compared Chinese herbal formulas to clomiphene (8% versus 16.67%; OR 0.43, 95% CI 0.05–3.44).

**Ectopic pregnancy (see Analysis 1.8)**

One (1) trial (335 women in trial, 170 women were pregnant) reported no statistically significant difference between CHM (periodic therapies) and clomiphene (1.8% versus 8.47%; OR 0.2, 95% CI 0.4–1.05).

**Adverse events**

**OHSS (see Analysis 1.9).** Only one study (335 women) reported OHSS. There was no statistically significant difference between CHM (periodic therapies) and clomiphene for OHSS (0% versus 6.54%; OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.01–6.89).64

**LUFS (see Analysis 1.10).** Only one study (335 women) reported LUFS. There was a statistically significant difference
### ANALYSIS 1.7
Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 7: miscarriage rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CHM</th>
<th>Clomiphene</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>11/111</td>
<td>19/59</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo 2007</td>
<td>11/111</td>
<td>19/59</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td>69.98</td>
<td>0.23 (0.10, 0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ANALYSIS 1.8
Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 8: ectopic pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CHM</th>
<th>Clomiphene</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>2/125</td>
<td>2/125</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo 2004</td>
<td>2/125</td>
<td>2/125</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.29 (0.10, 1.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ANALYSIS 1.9
Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 9: adverse events, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS Chinese herbal medicine).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CHM</th>
<th>Clomiphene</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0/182</td>
<td>5/183</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo 2007</td>
<td>0/182</td>
<td>5/183</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>(0.01, 6.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ANALYSIS 1.10
Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 10: adverse events, luteinized unruptured follicle syndrome (LUFS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CHM</th>
<th>Clomiphene</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>OR (fixed)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>12/182</td>
<td>30/182</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo 2007</td>
<td>12/182</td>
<td>30/182</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (95% CI)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>(0.14, 0.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between CHM (periodic therapies) and clomiphene for LUFS (6.59% versus 19.61%; OR 0.29, 95% CI 0.14–0.59).64

Multiple pregnancy (see Analysis 1.11). Only one study
(200 women in trial, 100 women were pregnant) reported
multiple pregnancy. There was no statistically significant
difference between CHM (periodic therapies) and clomi-
phene for multiple pregnancy (0% versus 4%; OR 0.08, 95%
CI 0.00–2.06).54

Other no serious adverse events (see Analysis
1.12). Two (2) trials (166 women) reported no serious ad-
verse events (including tiredness, dizziness, headache, pal-
pitations, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, dry mouth,
drowsiness, abnormal uterine bleeding, urticaria, atopic
dermatitis, breast pain, and weight gain) in either the treated
or control groups. Meta-analysis of two trials (166 women)
showed that there was no statistically significant difference
between CHM (Chinese patent drugs) and clomiphene
(4.71% versus 29.63%; OR 0.09, 95% CI 0.00–1.67).58,63

Live birth rate
This outcome index was not reported in any of the in-
cluded studies.

Discussion
The aim of this review was to assess the effectiveness
of CHM and its safety for the treatment of anovulation and
infertility in women. CHM has been used to treat conditions
in female patients for more than 2000 years. However, there
is a dearth data on the administration of CHM in a rigor-
ous scientific context.

The review included 15 trials, which furnished promising
evidence in the form of RCTs for the use of CHM in in-
creasing pregnancy rate and ovulation rate, improving cer-
vical mucus score, reducing miscarriage rate in the treatment
of infertility with anovulation, but did not present other
evidence of any other effects. Live birth rate, as the most
important outcome for infertile patients, is unclear for CHM
in treating women with anovulation and infertility. No sig-
nificant adverse effects were identified for the use of CHM
from the included studies in this review.

Impact of Individualized Approaches of CHM
Traditional treatment with CHM needs to be tailored ac-
cording to different patterns, according to a description of
symptoms and signs attributed to different syndromes.
Moreover, a pattern has individual variations and changes
over time with different stages of disease. In CHM, treatment
differs according to the identified and diagnosed syndrome.

Six of 15 included trials considered an inclusion criterion
in relation to the TCM diagnostic syndrome (all were the
syndrome of deficiency of the Kidney), and another 9 trials
considered only Western diagnostic criteria. In other words,
the minority of included trials paid special attention to the
role of differentiated syndrome defined in TCM diagnosis for
infertility, because the syndrome of infertility in TCM in-
forms the selection of treatment formulation. The syndrome
of Deficiency of the Kidney was the common diagnostic
classification of infertility in TCM diagnosis.70–73 This was in
agreement with the majority of published diagnostic proto-
cols in TCM. The selection of CHM used in the trials in
this review was mostly influenced by the TCM diagnostic
process.


Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1. Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) versus clomiphene, outcome 12 adverse events: other not serious adverse events.
Some trials used periodic therapies in accordance with the individual syndromes and different stages. Some trials used Chinese patent drugs and Chinese herbal formulas across the whole period of clinical intervention, either because one diagnostic syndrome of infertility was selected for this specific patent drug or formula, or simply because no consideration was given to the possible variation of diagnostic syndromes. Therefore, this review was unable to provide an explicit answer as to whether periodic therapies were more beneficial than Chinese patent drugs or Chinese herbal formulas in the treatment of infertility with anovulation.

Adverse Effects

Although one study reported a statistically significant difference between CHM and clomiphene for LUFS, the safety of CHM in clinical practice was not addressed adequately in the reviewed trials. The measurement and report of adverse effects were poor; most trials neglected the fact that herbs are not risk free. Only three trials mentioned some adverse effects and detailed the number of incidents, not only in the treated groups but also in the control groups. This allowed appropriate data to be extracted for meta-analysis. Generally, the reviewed trials reported that use of CHM for treatment of infertility with anovulation was safe when compared with ovulation-induction agents (clomiphene). Adverse events including LUFS, OHSS and multiple pregnancy have been reported in only one study, but without reporting of the severity. Other adverse events occasionally induced by CHM are not reported comprehensively, such as impairment of liver and kidney, and allergy. More trials that monitor possible adverse effects are needed.

Quality of the Evidence

Jadad scores of the 15 RCTs were 1–2, which means that the methodological quality of these RCTs was of a poor caliber. Except for randomization methods, no trial clearly described their methods of allocation concealment, blindness, or withdrawal/dropout.

Another weakness was the small sample size. Half of the trials had less than 100 participants. The small size reduces the likelihood of detecting the effect of an intervention in a single study, but given the similarities between studies, it makes meta-analysis of the data particularly valuable.

The lack of placebo trials affects the results, since typically a medicine’s efficacy is established with placebo trials before comparisons are made with other drugs. In addition, the lack of blinding in these trials might affect the results as it may give skewed results, if participants were aware of their treatment.

A possible publication bias has not been excluded in this review, because the majority of trials reported positive effects of CHM in the treatment of infertility. Funnel plots were used to investigate the possibility of publication bias, for the trials comparing CHM with clomiphene. For the outcomes of pregnancy rate, the funnel plots appeared roughly symmetrical, indicating less chances of publication bias in this group of trials (Fig. 1).

Overall, this review has found that an attempt toward evidence-based TCM practice has been made. However, good design of multicentered, randomized, parallel-controlled and blinding trials is needed before undertaking further studies, with the aim of providing better evidence-based medicine evidence.
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