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Abstract

Sickness refers to a set of coordinated physiological and behavioral changes in response to systemic inflammation. It is characterized by fever, malaise, social withdrawal, fatigue, and anorexia. While these responses collectively represent a protective mechanism against infection and injury, increasing lines of evidence indicate that over-exaggerated or persistent sickness can damage the brain, and could possibly raise the risk to developing delirium. Therefore, a clear understanding in sickness will be beneficial. It has long been believed that sickness results from increased systemic cytokines occurring during systemic inflammation. However, in recent years more and more conflicting data have suggested that development of sickness following peripheral immune challenge could be independent of cytokines. Hence, it is confusing as to whether cytokines really do act as primary mediators of sickness, or if they are secondary to alternative inducing factor(s). In this review, we will (1) introduce the relationships between systemic inflammation, cytokines, sickness, and delirium, and (2) attempt to interpret the recent controversies.
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1. Introduction

We all prefer to live healthily and to be free of sickness. Getting sick because of infection (Campisi et al., 2003; Dantzer et al., 2008; Hart, 1988) or injury (Liu et al., 2008; Swain and Le, 1998) is discomforting and interferes greatly on our daily lives. While sickness was traditionally thought as a malfunction due to systemic inflammatory events, it is in fact an adaptive mechanism to facilitate recovery. This is mediated by a series highly coordinated physiological and behavioral changes, including fever, pain, fatigue, cognitive loss, anorexia, anhedonia, and social withdrawal (Dantzer, 2009; Dantzer et al., 2008; Hart, 1988), which will be referred to as sickness or sickness responses throughout this review. There is now ample evidence to show that these alterations can indeed help to fight infection (Hart, 1988). For example, an elevation in body temperature during fever is not only unfavorable to the growth of some pathogens, but also stimulates the activation and proliferation of immune cells. A reduction of appetite leads to a lowered intake of iron, which is important for the growth and replication of many pathogens. Nevertheless, while these responses are useful if well controlled, over-exaggerated sickness can be damaging. For instance, a persistent increase of brain temperature during fever might enhance neuronal excitotoxicity (Suehiro et al., 1999) and lead to abnormalities of
blood-brain-barrier permeability (Sharma and Hoopes, 2003). A lowered food intake and greater thermogenesis would cause weight loss in a long run. Moreover, there is now evidence to suggest that dysregulated sickness responses, together with other risk factors such as aging and pre-existing dementia, could lead to delirium (de Rooij et 2007; Holmes et al., 2011). Hence, it will be advantageous if we can have a detailed understanding on the mechanisms by which sickness develops, and to implement suitable approaches to maximize their benefits without causing severe side effects.

Given that individual symptoms of sickness are regulated by specific regions in the brain, and that they are natural responses to systemic inflammation, it is to think that there are some biological signals relating the inflammatory events occurring at the periphery to the brain changes required for sickness responses. Over the last two decades, cytokines have emerged to be these linking signals. Accordingly, systemic inflammation triggers drastic releases of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α, all of which can act on the brain, despite the blood-brain barrier, and lead to sickness. A number of neural and humoural routes are involved. Nevertheless, an increasing number of reports have shown that under some occasions, sickness following peripheral immune challenge could be independent of central and peripheral cytokine increases (Campisi et al., 2003; Murray et al., 2011; Teeling et al., 2010; Teeling et al., 2007). Therefore, we question the importance of
cytokines, arising both systemically and within the brain, as mediators of sickness.

the induction of cytokines alone be sufficient to cause sickness? Or are they simply
secondary to alternative triggers of sickness?

Here, we summarize the relationships between systemic inflammation, cytokines,
and sickness. Based on this background, we try to interpret the emerging controversial
issues, and point out the gaps of knowledge. Moreover, since delirium may result
over-exaggerated sickness (de Rooij et al., 2007; Holmes et al., 2011), we will also try
to suggest possible roles of cytokines in delirum.

2. Cytokines are induced centrally and peripherally during systemic
inflammation

Inflammation describes a cascade of vascular changes (e.g. vasodilation and
increased capillary permeability) and cellular changes (e.g. recruitment and activation
of immune cells) in response to infection and tissue injury. These physiological
responses are orchestrated by increased levels of cytokines produced mainly by
activated immune cells at the site of inflammation. Once produced, cytokines act both
locally in autocrine and paracrine manners, and systemically at distant organs
2009; Kelso, 1998). For example, IFN-γ stimulates tissue macrophages to up-regulate
inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression, leading to nitric oxide release
(Blanchette et al., 2003). Nitric oxide in turn exerts antimicrobial (Mehta et al., 2012)
and vasodilatory effects (Engelberger et al., 2011) in the microenvironment.
Systemically, pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β and IL-6 produced at the
inflammatory site are circulated to the liver, where they synergistically increase
production of serum amyloid-A, which is involved in the complement cascade (Betts
al., 1993). Yet, if we take a step further, we should ask an important question: How do
immune cells sense invading pathogens and injured tissues and mount the cytokine
responses for inflammation?

In respect to systemic infection, this is accomplished via the interactions between
pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and pathogen recognition receptors
(PRRs) (Bianchi, 2007; Kawai and Akira, 2009; Lee and Kim, 2007). PAMPs are
conserved molecular motifs present in pathogens but are absent in the host, and can
be distinguished as non-self by the immune system. PRRs are membrane bound or
secretory receptors specific for these PAMPs, and they are expressed by many
cell types such as monocyte/macrophage, natural killer cells, neutrophils, and
cells. Binding of a PAMP to the corresponding PRR activates classical NF-κB,
and IRF3 signaling pathways, leading to increased expression of pro-inflammatory
cytokines such as IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, and interferons (Kawai and Akira, 2009).
several classes of PRRs have been identified, including the Toll-like receptors (TLRs) (Hedayat et al., 2011; Kawai and Akira, 2008), the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs) (Saleh, 2011), the retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs) (Kawai and Akira, 2008; Liu and Gu, 2011), and the C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) (Osorio and Reis e Sousa, 2011), and they are summarized in Table 1. For instance, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is a component of the outer membrane of gram negative bacteria and a commonly used immunostimulant in experimental setting, is a ligand for TLR4 and signals via a dependent manner (Medvedev et al., 2007).

Although tissue injury is often associated with a pathogenic stimulus, i.e. when one cuts his finger and exposes the underlying tissues to the limitless bacteria and viruses in the environment, injury alone can also trigger inflammation, a process as “sterile inflammation” (Chen and Nunez, 2010). An illustration of this is that after a person puts his finger on a hot stove, swelling and redness develop at the site. The mechanisms by which injury induces inflammation are less clear. It is that necrotic cells release endogenous danger signals known as alarmins, which act on innate immune cells to activate similar receptors (e.g. TLRs) and signaling pathways (e.g. NF-κB pathway) to those used by PPRs/PAMPs, leading to cytokine production (Bianchi, 2007; Rosin and Okusa, 2011). Some examples of alarmins and their
receptors are listed in Table 2. Interestingly, IL-1α, a cytokine present normally as
cytosolic or membrane bound forms, was found to be released from necrotic cell
extracts, causing neutrophil recruitment *in vivo* and isolated mesothelial cells to
produce CXCL1 and IL-6 (Eigenbrod et al., 2008).

Systemic inflammation not only induces cytokines systemically, but also within
the brain. A good example is that systemic LPS challenge causes the brain
(Quan et al., 1998; Singh and Jiang, 2004) and macrophage-like cells (Buttini et al.,
1996; Eriksson et al., 2000; van Dam et al., 1992) to produce IL-1, which can diffuse
the brain parenchyma (Vitkovic et al., 2000). Therefore, the brain can respond to
PAMPs and alter its own cytokine profile.

In addition to the generation of cytokines to trigger inflammation, infections and
injuries can induce sickness. This leads us to the next step: Do cytokines also regulate
the development of sickness?

### 3. The discovery of cytokines as mediators of sickness

Following the development of recombinant cytokines in the 1980s, it was
observed that patients and animals given an exogenous source of cytokines often
developed flu-like symptoms and neuropsychiatric complications. For example, in a
group of gastrointestinal cancer patients, intravenous injection of recombinant IL-1β resulted in fever, headache, rigors, nausea, and vomiting (Crown et al., 1991). Moreover, around 20% of patients receiving long term IFN-α therapy for viral displayed neuropsychiatric symptoms like depression and altered consciousness (Renault et al., 1987). In animals, administration of IL-1β and TNF-α lead to a wide range of sickness responses, including fever, suppressed feeding, social withdrawal, immobility, and weight loss (Bluthe et al., 2000a; Dantzer et al., 2008; Kent et al., Palin et al., 2008; Stefferl et al., 1996). In contrast, injection of IL-6 alone into the induces a partial spectrum of sickness only, i.e. fever and reduced voluntary activity, but it could act synergistically with a sub-threshold dose of IL-1β to trigger anorexia and weight loss (Harden et al., 2008). Interestingly, similar sickness responses were observed when animals were given either central or systemic injections of bacterial LPS, which is an inducer of cytokines including IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α in the brain and systemically (Bluthe et al., 1992; Henry et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2008). Taken together, these findings were suggestive for the idea that sickness induced by LPS resulted from increased systemic cytokines. However, this was not initially accepted because of the enormously high doses of cytokines used in the respective studies.

To confirm the effect of endogenous cytokines in mediating sickness, were done to verify whether deficiency of a single cytokine or its actions would blunt
sickness responses to LPS. The involvement of endogenous IL-1 is quite clear, both systemic (Bluthe et al., 1992) and central (Laye et al., 2000) injections of IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) abrogated the suppressive effects of intraperitoneally injected LPS on social and/or feeding behaviors. Likewise, when compared to wild-type mice, IL-6 deficient mice exhibited less responsiveness to intraperitoneally and intracerebroventricularly injected LPS and IL-1β (Bluthe et al., 2000b), and in wild-type rats a pre-treatment with anti-IL-6 antibody abolished the effects of subcutaneously injected LPS on fever, voluntary running and food intake (Harden et al., 2006). More importantly, by blocking the actions of TNF-α within the brain via an intracerebroventricular injection of a fragment of the TNF soluble receptor, IL-1R1 deficient mice developed less severe sickness responses toward intraperitoneal injection of LPS (Bluthe et al., 2000a). This indicated that under physiological conditions, cytokines do not work independently, but rather functionally interact with each other in the form of cytokine networks to trigger different symptoms of sickness (Dantzer, 2009).

Thus, cytokines arising from inside and outside the brain modulate sickness. For cytokines arising systemically, they should signal back to the brain to take effects. However, since cytokines are hydrophilic molecules, they cannot freely pass through the blood-brain-barrier to enter the brain. This leads us to the next question: How do
systemic cytokines affect the brain in the presence of a BBB?

4. Systemic cytokines act on the brain via multiple routes

There are many routes by which systemic cytokines act on the brain, and they be grossly classified into either being humoural dependent or neural dependent. Regarding the major humoural pathways, circulating cytokines can activate macrophage-like cells lining the circumventricular organs (CVO) (Dantzer, 2001, Dantzer et al., 2008; Schiltz and Sawchenko, 2002). These are brain regions that lack functional BBB, including the median eminence (ME), organum vasculosum of the laminae terminalis (OVLT), area postrema (AP), and the suprafornical organ (SFO). Upon activation, these macrophage-like cells locally secrete high levels of cytokines, which can then enter the brain by volume diffusion (Vitkovic et al., 2000). Increasing lines of evidence indicate that certain cytokines such as IL-1 (Banks et al., 2001; Banks et al., 1991), IL-1Ra (Gutierrez et al., 1994), TNF (Osburg et al., 2002), and IL-6 (Banks et al., 1994) can be selectively transported across the BBB in a blood-to-brain direction, mostly via saturable transport mechanisms. Circulating cytokines may also act on the brain vascular endothelium to trigger release of (An et al., 2011; Fabry et al., 1993; Thornton et al., 2010) and prostaglandin E2
(Cao et al., 2001; Cao et al., 1996; Konsman et al., 2004). The latter binds to neuronal PGE$_2$ receptor 3 (EP3) and 4 (EP4) in the brainstem and hypothalamus, and regulates hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) axis activation and fever (Lazarus, 2006).

Evidence for the involvement of neural pathways in cytokine-mediated sickness was based on several major findings. To begin with, c-Fos is an immediate early gene and its expression has been commonly used as a marker of neuronal activation. An intraperitoneal injection of LPS, which potently stimulates cytokine production, leads to increased c-Fos immunopositive neurons at the primary (e.g. the nucleus tractus solitarius) and secondary projection areas (e.g. the supraoptic nucleus and paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus) of the vagus nerves (Dantzer, 2001; Dantzer et al., 2008). As the vagus nerve represents the major afferent pathway from the abdominal region to the brain, subdiaphragmatic vagotomy experiments were performed to see if this would reduce LPS-induced c-Fos expression. As expected, subdiaphragmatic vagotomy not only blocked LPS-induced brain c-Fos upregulation (Konsman et al., 2000; Wan et al., 1994), but also abolished LPS-induced brain IL-1 mRNA at the hippocampus and hypothalamus (Laye et al., 1995) and the decrease in social behavior (Bluthe et al., 1994; Konsman et al., 2000). Similarly, subdiaphragmatic vagotomy reduced social withdrawal and brain IL-1β mRNA expression following intraperitoneal IL-1β challenge (Hansen et al., 1998). Later, it
was shown that an intraperitoneal LPS challenge up-regulated IL-1β immunoreactivity in immune cells associated with the abdominal vagus nerve (Goehler et al., 1999), vagus nerve sensory neurons express IL-1RI and intravenously injected IL-1β could stimulate vagus sensory activity (Ek et al., 1998), and that electrical stimulation of the vagus nerve elevated both brain IL-1β mRNA and protein levels and activated the HPA axis (Hosoi et al., 2000). Taken together, it would be reasonable to deduce that inflammation increases levels of IL-1β, which then stimulates the vagus nerve to fire electrical signals back to the brain. These signals in turn up-regulate brain IL-1β expression, and could possibly modulate specific brain regions that control social behavior. However, subdiaphragmatic vagotomy did not reverse social withdrawal when IL-1β was injected via other administrative routes (Bluthe et al., 1996a, b). This indicated that the vagus nerve is likely to be only responsible for triggering sickness responses when inflammation takes place at the abdominal region but not at other peripheral sites.

The presence of both humoural dependent and neural dependent routes implies that the onset of sickness is regulated by several routes, and that different symptoms of sickness are also possibly controlled by separate routes. For example, subdiaphragmatic vagotomy prevented social withdrawal but not fever in response to LPS or IL-1β (Konsman et al., 2000). Also, it is obvious that cytokine messages can
be conveyed more quickly to the brain through the neural dependent routes than through the humoural dependent routes. As suggested by Dantzer, systemic inflammation may first activate the fast neural routes, which sensitizes the brain to the subsequent actions from the slow humoural routes (Dantzer, 2001, 2009).

5. Cytokine-induced sickness: Can we extend our perspectives to look at cytokines in delirium?

Apart from mediating sickness, cytokines may also regulate delirium. Delirium, also commonly referred to as acute confusional state, is characterized by inattention, altered consciousness, cognitive deficits, hallucinations, and disorientation (Dasgupta and Hillier, 2010). It is often precipitated by systemic inflammation, and it occurs much more frequently in aged and dementia patients than in young and non-dementia individuals (Murray et al., 2012). More importantly, delirium is strongly associated with prolonged hospitalization time and costs, greater subsequent cognitive decline, and increased mortality (Fong et al., 2009).

There is evidence to suggest that delirium may result from over-exaggerated sickness, and because cytokines are sickness mediators they may also participate in delirium (de Rooij et al., 2007; Holmes et al., 2011). Firstly, cytokines are increased
in delirious patients, and in particular blood IL-6 and IL-8 (de Rooij et al., 2007; van Munster et al., 2010; van Munster et al., 2008), CSF IL-8 (Hall et al., 2011), and brain IL-6 immunoreactivity (Munster et al., 2011) were found to be significantly higher in delirious patients than in non-delirious patients. Secondly, both sickness and delirium can be triggered by systemic inflammation, and they share several overlapping symptoms, including cognitive disturbances, decreased concentration, and apathy (Dasgupta and Hillier, 2010; Holmes et al., 2011). Thirdly, delirium can result from long-term IFN-α therapy in patients with chronic viral hepatitis (Renault et al., 1987), and that IL-1 suppresses cholinergic pathways (Li et al., 2000), which are also dysfunctional in delirium (Flacker and Lipsitz, 1999). Fourthly, while systemic inflammation leads to sickness in normal individuals, it frequently precipitates delirium in Alzheimer’s disease patients (Holmes et al., 2011). This is thought to be because neurodegeneration in Alzheimer’s disease causes “priming” of brain microglia, which would synthesize much more cytokines (e.g. IL-1β) upon systemic inflammatory insults (Chang et al., 2009; Cunningham et al., 2009; Field et al., 2010; Perry, 2010).

Owing to the lack of well established animal models of delirium, the possible links between cytokines and delirium is in fact still at its infancy. Until recently, the ME7 prion diseased mice have been suggested to be a suitable animal model for
delirium (Murray et al., 2012). At present stage, the urgent question we should ask is how we could extend our knowledge from cytokine-induced sickness to the relationships between cytokines and delirium, as summarized in Figure 1, and if this could provide insights on the prevention, treatment, and evaluation of recovery for delirium. For example, if cytokines are involved in delirium, then which cytokines can be biomarkers to predict whether a patient is likely to develop delirium, and which cytokines can be used to evaluate patients’ recovery after delirium? There is already a report suggesting that peak levels of blood IL-8 and IL-6 occur before and during delirium respectively in elderly patients with hip fractures (van Munster et al., 2010; van Munster et al., 2008). Furthermore, low insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and high initial IFN-γ levels in blood are correlated with the incidence and recovery of delirium, respectively (Adamis et al., 2007). These studies are certainly in the correct direction, and we will need more cross-sectional analyses to test whether these cytokines are also associated with delirium under other inflammatory causes. On the other hand, it was found that dexamethasone could not reduce LPS-induced sickness despite blocking blood cytokine increases (Murray et al., 2011; Teeling et al., 2010). This suggests that targeting circulating cytokines levels may be ineffective against delirium, although data on manipulation of other key cytokines such as IL-8 still lacking.
In the next section, we will highlight several interesting examples in which cytokines may appear to be disconnected to sickness development. Our purpose is not to against the model of cytokine-induced sickness, but rather we would like to stimulate our readers to not only redefine the importance of cytokines in mediating sickness, but also on top of that to explore the significance of cytokines in delirium.

6. Cytokines: Can they explain everything in sickness?

In previous sections, we have provided multiple lines of evidence to support the model of cytokine-induced sickness. Firstly, systemic inflammation, either because of infection or tissue injury, causes heightened levels of cytokines in the brain and at the periphery. Secondly, both exogenously administered and endogenously produced cytokines are involved in the induction and resolution of sickness responses, e.g. fever, social withdrawal, suppressed feeding. Thirdly, systemic cytokines communicate with the brain through a number of fast neural and slow humoural routes to trigger neuronal activation, increased expression of mRNA for brain cytokines, and production of PGE$_2$. What remains mostly unknown is how cytokines bring about sickness responses at cellular and at molecular levels within the brain, but this would not rule out their roles in regulating sickness. The big question we should ask is how
important cytokines are as mediators of sickness.

In order to answer this question, it would be easier if we first think about it from another perspective: can we get sick without the effects of cytokines? In fact, from the literature there are several reports suggesting that sickness may develop independently of cytokines (Campisi et al., 2003; Murray et al., 2011; Teeling et al., 2010; Teeling et al., 2007). These surprising findings have enlightened us to rethink about the current model of cytokine-induced sickness, and led us to share our opinions on the importance of cytokines in mediating sickness in this review.

While most studies have reported that the elevations of cytokines in blood and the brain occur before or at the same time as the onset of sickness responses, this is not mandatory in all cases. For example, in one study a subcutaneous injection of *E.coli* in rats caused fever and reduced activity starting from the 4th hour, before the increases of cytokines in the brain and plasma beginning at the 6th hour, and initial rise of circulating endotoxins at the 18th hour (Campisi et al., 2003). Hence, both blood-borne cytokines and endotoxins were not involved in initiating sickness in this study. However, there was increased local cytokine synthesis at the injection site as early as 2nd hour. Hence, prior to spilling over to the bloodstream and to be carried to the brain, these cytokines could have directly activated neighboring nerve terminals of afferent nerves, which immediately transmitted signals back to the brain to stimulate
the neurons controlling fever and activity, before brain cytokines were up-regulated.

In support of this, the vagus (Bluthe et al., 1996a, b) and the glosopharyngeal nerves (Romeo et al., 2001) are respectively responsible for communicating inflammatory signals from the abdominal and oral cavities to the brain. It would be expectable that other afferent nerve(s) would also be involved in communicating subcutaneous inflammatory signals back to the brain. Furthermore, even though blood-borne cytokines were not required for initiating sickness, activation of the fast neural routes may sensitize the brain to the effects of the slow humoural routes (Dantzer, 2001, 2009). Importantly, since fever and reduced activity persisted as long as brain and plasma cytokines were still elevated, cytokines were still likely to be required to sustain sickness responses during an infection. Therefore, it should be noted that the induction of circulating and brain cytokines do not necessarily have to occur before the onset of sickness responses, even though cytokines do mediate sickness.

Another striking observation is that sickness responses can be effectively reduced without suppressing central and/or peripheral cytokine levels. In studies performed by Teeling et al., pretreatment of mice with indomethacin, which is a non-selective COX inhibitor and inhibits prostaglandins production, abrogated the depressive effect of an intraperitoneal injection of a subpyrogenic dose of LPS on burrowing activity (Teeling et al., 2007). Likewise, in another study pretreatment of
mice with a selective COX-1 inhibitor piroxicam, but not a selective COX-2 inhibitor nimesulide, attenuated the reductions of burrowing and open-field activity in response to a high dose of LPS injected intraperitoneally (Teeling et al., 2010). Since in both studies administration of COX inhibitors were able to down-regulate LPS-mediated sickness behaviors without causing significant differences in plasma and brain cytokine responses, they highlight the pivotal roles of COX in controlling sickness. Nevertheless, we cannot conclude that cytokines are not important mediators of sickness. The induction of cytokines and COX-dependent products could act together at the brain to achieve a full spectrum of sickness responses (Blatteis et al., 2005; Pecchi et al., 2006).

The last intriguing observation is that blocking increase of plasma cytokines or their systemic actions is not necessarily sufficient to suppress all symptoms of sickness. For instance, pretreatment with dexamethasone-21-phosphate or dexamethasone prevented peripheral LPS-induced hypothermia and the elevations of circulating cytokines, but it could not inhibit the decrements in burrowing, rearing, and open field activity (Murray et al., 2011; Teeling et al., 2010). Similarly, systemic injection of neutralizing antibodies against IL-1β, IL-6, or TNF-α before LPS treatment did not reverse the drop in burrowing or attenuate any increase of brain cytokine mRNA (Teeling et al., 2007), although in another study a pretreatment with
anti-IL-6 but not anti-IL-1β antisera suppressed LPS-induced fever, anorexia, and the reduction in voluntary wheel running (Harden et al., 2006). Hence, solely managing plasma cytokines would not be a good therapeutic strategy to reduce sickness, especially if only one cytokine is reduced because cytokines share many redundant effects.

Therefore, these studies are not only supportive for cytokine-induced sickness, but they also highlighted several key aspects of this model that are often neglected. Firstly, while most studies have measured cytokines in blood and at the brain, it is worth mentioning that cytokines arising at the inflammatory site could already signal to the brain via the fast neural routes and possibly be sufficient to initiate sickness (Campisi et al., 2003). This former event precedes the increases of plasma and brain cytokines, and probably also sensitizes the brain to the effects of the latter (Dantzer, 2001, 2009). Secondly, although cytokines do regulate sickness, they are not the only factor governing sickness development. Other factors such as PGE₂ could alone or synergistically act with cytokines to elicit a full-blown sickness (Lazarus, 2006; Teeling et al., 2010; Teeling et al., 2007). Thirdly, drugs that primarily target at the plasma cytokine profile are not ideal to control sickness severity, as demonstrated in the example of dexamethasone or dexamethasone-21 treatment towards LPS-induced sickness (Murray et al., 2011; Teeling et al., 2010).
The model of cytokine-induced sickness has been well established. Our next step should characterize how important cytokines are in mediating sickness under real life infections and injuries. Can pathological levels of cytokines alone be sufficient to initiate some, if not all, symptoms of sickness? To date, numerous animal experiments have studied the behavior and biochemical effects of either individual exogenous cytokines at doses that cannot be reached by the body, or high amounts of purified PAMPs, e.g. LPS, which elicit many non-cytokine effects in animals. Their findings are certainly essential to our current understanding of cytokine-induced sickness, but they are not necessarily translatable to real life situations. Given that \textit{in vivo} cytokines exert their effects at picomolar to nanomolar concentrations, and that multiple cytokines are simultaneously up-regulated and functionally interact to form cytokine networks, we suggest that our research should not just focus at the effects of mega doses of one single cytokine at a time, but instead should move to administering lower and physiologically reachable doses of multiple cytokines to see their combined effects. Hopefully, this will also shed light on unraveling the importance of cytokines in delirium.

7. Conclusion
Cytokine-induced sickness is not new. For over two decades, we have built substantial knowledge in how systemic inflammation up-regulates cytokine levels, the effects of cytokines on behavior and fever, and the mechanisms by which systemic cytokines act at the brain. What we still need to clarify is how cytokines influence the brain at cellular and molecular levels, and the relative importance of cytokines, as compared to other mediators, in sickness during real life infections and injuries. Moreover, delirium can be regarded as an extremity of sickness. While systemic inflammation causes sickness in young and non-dementia individuals, it precipitates delirium in the aged and dementia patients. Whether elevated cytokines during systemic inflammation contribute significantly to delirium is still unclear, and this should also be our focus in the future.
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Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Summary diagram illustrating the relationships between systemic inflammation, cytokines, sickness, and delirium. Starting from the top, systemic infection and tissue injury respectively give rise to pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and alarmins, which both act on innate immune cells to trigger production of cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α. These cytokines not only orchestrate local and distant inflammatory changes, but can also communicate with the brain via the fast neural and the slow humoral routes to induce sickness. It is believed that activation of the fast neural routes sensitizes the brain to the effects of the slow humoral routes, thereby amplifying sickness responses. Alternatively, infections and/or injury can generate non-cytokine mediators of sickness, including circulating prostaglandin E2 and LPS, which can both directly take effects in the brain and cause sickness. Although sickness is an adaptive response to facilitate recovery, in extreme cases it may manifest as delirium if uncontrolled. In young and healthy individuals, elevated cytokine levels by systemic inflammatory events lead to sickness. However, in the presence of other risk factors such as having an old age and/or dementia (e.g. Alzheimer’s disease, AD), the same cytokines increases could possibly precipitate delirium.
Table 1. Pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs), their respective pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and pathogens, are summarized. Note that TLR1 and TLR2 form heterodimers with TLR2 and TLR6 respectively. Abbreviations: CLRs, C-type lectin receptors; MDA5, melanoma-differentiation-associated gene 5; NALP1 & 3, NACHT, LRR and PYD domains-containing protein 1 & 3; NLRs, NOD-like receptors; NOD1 & 2, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 1 & 2; RIG-I, retinoic-acid-inducible protein I; RLRs, RIG-I-like receptors; TLRs, toll-like receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRR(s)</th>
<th>PAMP</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLRs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR1-TLR2</td>
<td>Diacyl-lipopeptides</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2-TLR6</td>
<td>Zymosan</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR2</td>
<td>Peptidoglycan</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR3</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td>Lipopolysaccharide</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR5</td>
<td>Flagellin</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR7</td>
<td>ssRNA</td>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR8</td>
<td>ssRNA</td>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR9</td>
<td>Unmethylated CpG DNA</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD1</td>
<td>g-D-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelic acid</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD2</td>
<td>Muramyl dipeptide</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NALP1</td>
<td>Anthrax toxin</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NALP3</td>
<td>Muramyl dipeptide</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLRs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIG-I</td>
<td>5’-PPP ssRNA, short ssRNA</td>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDAP5</td>
<td>dsRNA</td>
<td>Viruses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLRs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dectin-I</td>
<td>β-Glucan</td>
<td>Fungus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose receptor</td>
<td>Mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarmin</td>
<td>Putative receptor(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mobility group box 1</td>
<td>TLR2, TLR4, TLR9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100 proteins</td>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat shock proteins</td>
<td>TLR2, TLR4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>TLR2, TLR4, NALP3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-amyloid</td>
<td>NALP3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathelicidins</td>
<td>TLR7, TLR9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defensins</td>
<td>TLR4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α</td>
<td>IL-1R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-33</td>
<td>IL-1R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Examples of alarmins and their putative receptors. Abbreviations: HMGB1, High mobility group box 1; NALP3, NACHT, LRR and PYD domains-containing protein 3; TLR, toll-like receptor
Non-cytokine mediators:
- Prostaglandins
- LPS

Systemic cytokines:
(e.g. IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α)

Systemic infection
- PAMPs (e.g. LPS, dsRNA)

Systemic inflammation:
- Vasodilation
- Leukocyte infiltration
- Acute phase response

Tissue injury
- Alarmins (e.g. HSPs, IL-1α)

Fast neural routes

Slow humoral routes

Sickness:
- Fever
- Anorexia
- Anhedonia

Delirium:
- Inattention
- Hallucinations
- Disorientation

Other risk factors?
- Aging
- Dementia (e.g. AD)