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Effects of Magnetic Coupling of Nonadjacent Resonators on Wireless Power Domino-Resonator Systems

Chi Kwan Lee, Member, IEEE, W. X. Zhong, and S. Y. R. Hui, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—In this paper, the effects of the magnetic coupling of nonadjacent resonators on the optimal frequency of wireless power transfer are addressed. A power analysis has been carried out to identify the adjacent and nonadjacent power flow components. It is found that such cross-coupling effects of nonadjacent resonators would cause the maximum efficiency operation to slightly shift away from the resonance frequency of the resonators. Theoretical reasons for such phenomena are provided and experimentally confirmed with practical measurements in a wireless power transfer system comprising several magnetically coupled resonators arranged in a straight domino form.

Index Terms—Electromagnetic coupling, magnetic induction, wireless power transfer.

I. INTRODUCTION

MAGNETICALLY coupled resonators, each comprising a coil in series with a capacitor, were used for wireless power transfer by Tesla a century ago. Tesla has demonstrated that, for a pair of magnetically coupled resonators with one used as a transmitting unit and the other as receiving unit, optimal wireless power transfer could occur at the resonance frequency of the resonators [1]. In fact, short-range wireless energy transfer via magnetically coupled coils has been widely used in electric machines since the dc and ac electric machines were invented [2], [3]. For low-power applications, wireless power transfer has found applications in battery charging for portable electronic products such as (fixed-positioning charging) electric toothbrush and medical equipment [4], [5] and (free-positioning) Qi-compatible wireless charging system for portable electronic products such as mobile phones [6]–[12]. Medium- and high-power wireless power transfer also resurfaced since 1990 when inductive power transfer techniques were investigated for industrial robots and electric vehicles [13]–[21], [32].

Before the recent use of a pair of Tesla’s magnetically coupled resonators in [22], [23] for mid-range wireless power transfer, a series of coupled resonators operating at hundreds of Mega-Hertz have been studied for waveguide applications [24], [25]. Recently, an extra relay resonator has been inserted between the transmitting coil and the receiving coil in order to increase the energy efficiency in the wireless power transmission [26]. It has been previously pointed out [27], [28] that the energy efficiency of wireless power transfer will decrease rapidly as the transmission distance increases. For power transfer by induction, high operating frequency will increase the ac winding resistance and, therefore, decrease the energy efficiency of the resonator system. Such high-frequency winding loss is particularly relevant when high-power applications, such as induction heating, are involved [29], [30]. In order to reduce the cost of the high-frequency voltage source and reduce the ac winding resistance, sub-Mega-Hertz operation is chosen in this study for achieving high quality factor (which affects the mutual coupling) and energy efficiency. The use of the relay resonator serves the purpose of utilizing an operating point of high energy efficiency as indicated in Fig. 1.
Since the Tesla’s work, these wireless power transfer studies utilize the resonance frequency of the resonators. In this paper, we present an analysis on a wireless power system comprising multiple relay resonators between the transmitting and receiving units. We show that, once relay resonators are introduced, the magnetic coupling of nonadjacent resonators would shift the optimal operating point for maximum energy efficiency away from the resonance frequency of the resonators. Theoretical results highlighting this phenomenon will first be provided. Then practical measurements are included to verify this theoretical finding.

II. BASIC CIRCUIT MODEL AND OPTIMIZATION METHOD

Modeling of the domino-resonator system is not new and can be done by using standard coupled circuit theory commonly employed in electric machines [2], [3] and magneto-inductive resonator system [23], [24]. For a domino-resonator system consisting of \( n \) coupled resonators shown in Fig. 2, the general circuit equations can be expressed by (1), as shown at the bottom of the next page, where \( M_{ij} = k_{ij} \sqrt{L_i L_j} \) \( (i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n; i \neq j) \) is the mutual inductance between winding\( -i \) and winding\( -j \), \( k_{ij} \) is magnetic coupling coefficient between winding\( -i \) and winding\( -j \), \( R_L \) is the load resistance which is connected to winding\( -n \), \( C_{ne} \) is the equivalent capacitance of the load and the compensating capacitor of winding\( -n \) which could be connected in series or parallel with the load.

\[
I_n = I_s \sin(\omega t) \tag{2}
\]

where \( I_s \) is an ac excitation current source.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  j\omega M_{12} & R_2 + j\left(\frac{\omega L_2 - 1}{\omega C_2}\right) & j\omega M_{23} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & j\omega M_{2n} \\
  j\omega M_{13} & j\omega M_{23} & R_3 + j\left(\frac{\omega L_3 - 1}{\omega C_3}\right) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  j\omega M_{1(n-1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & R_{n-1} + j\left(\frac{\omega L_{n-1} - 1}{\omega C_{n-1}}\right) & j\omega M_{(n-1)n} \\
  j\omega M_{1n} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & j\omega M_{(n-1)n} & R_n + R_L + j\left(\frac{\omega L_n - 1}{\omega C_n}\right)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
I_1 \\
I_2 \\
\vdots \\
I_{n-1} \\
I_n
\end{bmatrix} = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Other variables are

- \( I_i \): Current in winding\( -i \).
- \( L_i \): Self-inductance of winding\( -i \).
- \( C_i \): Compensating capacitance of winding\( -i \), which is the sum of the parasitic capacitance of the winding and the externally added capacitor (if any).
- \( R_i \): Resistance in resonator \( -i \) (sum of the resistance of winding\( -i \) and the equivalent series resistance of the capacitor \( C_i \)).
- \( \omega \): Angular frequency.

It should be noted that the model equation (1) represents a general system that is not restricted to resonance-frequency operation. The efficiency of the \( n \)-winding system can be expressed in (3), as shown at the bottom of the page.

All the terms \( I_m / I_n \) \( (m = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1) \) in (3) can be obtained from (1) and \( I_1 = I_s \sin(\omega t) \). With the parameters of the resonators given, i.e., \( L_i, C_i, \) and \( R_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \), the efficiency can be further expressed by a function

\[
\eta = f(\omega, M_{12}, M_{13}, \ldots, M_{(n-1)n}, R_L). \tag{4}
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{I_s^2 R_L}{I_1^2 R_1 + I_2^2 R_2 + \cdots + I_n^2 (R_n + R_L)}
= \frac{R_L}{\left(\frac{I_1}{I_n}\right)^2 R_1 + \left(\frac{I_2}{I_n}\right)^2 R_2 + \cdots + \left(\frac{I_{n-1}}{I_n}\right)^2 R_{n-1} + R_n + R_L}. \tag{3}
\]
In a straight domino resonator system, the mutual inductances between every two windings could be worked out with the distances between the windings provided that the geometries of the windings are given. Thus, we can obtain the expression of the energy efficiency as a function of the operation frequency, the distances of every two adjacent windings and the load as

$$\eta = f(\omega, d_{12}, d_{23}, \ldots, d_{(n-1)n}, R_L)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Then, optimization can be carried out based on (5). The constraint needed is the total transmission distance $d_{\text{total}}$

$$d_{12} + d_{23} + \cdots + d_{(n-1)n} = d_{\text{total}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

In this study, we use circular windings. For two coaxial circular filamentary current loops, Maxwell [31] has derived a well-known equation to calculate the mutual inductance:

$$M = \mu_0 \sqrt{r_1 r_2} \left[ \left( 2 - g^2 \right) K(g) - 2 E(g) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $K(g)$ and $E(g)$ are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively, and

$$g = \sqrt{\frac{4 r_1 r_2}{d^2 + (r_1 + r_2)^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $r_1$, $r_2$, and $d$ are the radius of loop-1, loop-2, and the distance between them, respectively.

For two coaxial circular thin-wall windings, the mutual inductance can be calculated by

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} M_{ij}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

### III. Power Flow Analysis Based on Practical Resonators

Since the use of relay resonators in the short-range regime could increase the overall wireless power transmission efficiency, the effects of the mutual coupling of nonadjacent resonators cannot be ignored. In this section, we present a power flow analysis that identifies the power flow paths and their equations with such cross-coupling effects included. This analysis technique provides a tool for us to study the effects of the cross-coupling of the nonadjacent resonators in any wireless power domino-resonator systems.

Practical resonators have been built for various experiments. Theoretical studies have been conducted based on the parameters of the practical resonators, which are listed in Table I. It should be noted that in the following analysis and experiments, the compensating capacitor of the last resonator is connected in series with the load; however, the parallel compensation is also common in practice. The transformation between parallel compensation and series compensation can be worked out using the following equation:

$$\frac{R_{Lp}/(j\omega C_p)}{R_{Lp} + 1/(j\omega C_p)} = \frac{R_{Ls} + 1}{(j\omega C_s)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I Parameters of the Practical Resonators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radii of the winding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the winding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of the wire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sum of the external capacitor and the parasitic capacitance of the winding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 3. A 3-resonator system with total transmission distance of 0.6 m. [Note: Resonator-1 is the transmitter unit and resonator-3 the receiver unit.]](image)

where $R_{Lp}$ and $C_p$ are the load resistance and the parallel connected capacitor values, respectively, when the last resonator is parallel compensated; $R_{Ls}$ and $C_s$ are the load resistance and the series-connected capacitor values, respectively, when the last resonator is series-compensated.

#### A. Methodology for Power-Flow Analysis

The methodology of the power-flow analysis is demonstrated with the use of a 3-resonator system (with one relay resonator inserted between the transmitting and receiving units), as shown in Fig. 3. In this example, there are two power paths in the 3-resonator system. The first path involves adjacent resonators 1 and 2 and adjacent resonators 2 and 3 (1-2-3). The other path involves nonadjacent resonators 1 and 3 (1-3), i.e., the cross-coupling path.

The power flow in each path can be worked out in order to understand how the power flows will change under frequency variation. First, the relationships between the winding currents can be determined by solving (1) for the 3-resonator system as

$$\frac{I_2}{I_1} = \frac{-\omega M_{12} R_{out} + j(\omega^2 M_{13} M_{23} - \omega M_{12} X_3)}{R_2 X_3 + R_{out} X_2 + j(2 X_2 X_3 - R_3 R_{out} - \omega^2 M^2_{23})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

$$\frac{I_3}{I_1} = \frac{-\omega M_{13} R_2 + j(\omega^2 M_{13} M_{23} - \omega M_{13} X_2)}{R_2 X_3 + R_{out} X_2 + j(2 X_2 X_3 - R_3 R_{out} - \omega^2 M^2_{23})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

where $X_2 = \omega L_2 - 1/(\omega C_2)$; $X_3 = \omega L_3 - 1/(\omega C_3)$; $R_{out} = R_3 + R_L$.

Now assuming the input current is 1A, with the help of (11) and (12), the complex power flows can be expressed as
(13)–(15) as shown at the bottom of this page.

\[ S_3 = P_3 + jQ_3 = |I_3|^2 (R_{out} + jX_3) \]

\[
= \frac{\omega^2 M_{13}^2 R_{out}^2 + (\omega^2 M_{12} M_{23} - \omega M_{13} X_2)^2}{(R_2 X_3 + R_{out} X_2)^2 + (X_2 X_3 - R_2 R_{out} - \omega^2 M_{23}^2)^2}
\times (R_{out} + jX_3)
\]

\[ = S_{23} + S_{13} \tag{16} \]

where \( S_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2, 3) \) represents the complex power generated in resonator-\( j \) due to the current in resonator-\( i \) and \( S_3 \) represents the total complex power in resonator-3.

**B. Effects of Mutual Coupling of Nonadjacent Resonators in an Equally Spaced 3-Resonator System**

In our initial study, the three resonators are equally spaced with total transmission distance of 0.6 m and load resistance is 10 Ω. The details of the resonators are given in Table I. In order to highlight the effects of the cross-coupling of the nonadjacent resonators, the individual power-flow curves and the overall energy efficiency without and with the inclusion of the mutual magnetic couplings of the 3-resonator system are plotted as a function of operating frequency in Fig. 4(a) and (b), respectively. The natural resonance frequency of the resonator (i.e., 519.2 kHz) is chosen as the base value for the operating frequency. It can be seen that

1) Without considering the cross-coupling of nonadjacent resonators-1 and 3, the power flow curves of \( P_{12} \) and \( P_{23} \) are near symmetrical around the resonance frequency and the maximum efficiency occurs at the resonance frequency of the resonator [Fig. 4(a)]. (This is in line with Tesla’s observation on 2-resonator systems when the resonators-1 and 3 were kept far apart.)

2) With the cross-coupling of nonadjacent resonators included, it can be seen from Fig. 4(b) that the power flow curves of \( P_{12} \), \( P_{23} \), and \( P_{13} \) are no longer symmetrical around the resonance frequency. \( P_{13} \) is close to zero at resonance frequency, positive below the resonance frequency and negative above the resonance frequency. The maximum points of \( P_{12} \) and \( P_{23} \) occur below the resonance frequency.

3) The consequence of the inclusion of the cross-coupling of nonadjacent resonators-1 and 3 is that the maximum efficiency operation occurs slightly below the resonance frequency in this 3-resonator system.

The analysis provides some physical insights into these observations. At the resonance frequency, the cross-coupled power flow \( P_{13} \) in the path 1-3 approaches zero. The reason can be deduced from the following equations of the system:

\[ j\omega M_{12} I_1 + R_2 I_2 + j\omega M_{23} I_3 = 0 \tag{17} \]

\[ j\omega M_{13} I_1 + j\omega M_{23} I_2 + (R_3 + R_L) I_3 = 0. \tag{18} \]

From (17) and (18),

\[ I_3 = -\frac{\omega^2 M_{12} M_{23} + j\omega M_{13} R_2}{\omega^2 M_{23}^2 + R_2 (R_3 + R_L)} I_1. \tag{19} \]
Fig. 4. (a) Variations of power flow and energy efficiency with operating frequency of an equally spaced 3-resonator system in a straight domino arrangement, excluding the effects of mutual coupling of nonadjacent resonators. [Note: Frequency is normalized by the resonance frequency.] (b) Variations of power flow and energy efficiency with operating frequency of an equally spaced 3-resonator system in a straight domino arrangement, including the effects of mutual coupling of nonadjacent resonators.

In the equally spaced 3-resonator system described above, $M_{12} = M_{23} = 2.857 \times 10^{-6} \text{H}$, $M_{13} = 5.326 \times 10^{-7} \text{H}$, thereby,

$$\frac{\omega^2 M_{12} M_{23}}{\omega M_{13} R_2} = 55.55. \quad (20)$$

Since $\omega^2 M_{12} M_{23} \gg \omega M_{13} R_2$

$$I_3 \approx -\frac{\omega^2 M^2}{\omega^2 M^2 + (R_3 + R_L)R_2} I_1. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, the currents in resonator-3 and 1 are essentially out of phase and, therefore, the complex power $(j M_{13} I_1 \cdot I_3^*)$ delivered from resonator-1 to resonator-3 directly contains reactive power only. This deduction is valid for the systems in which the distance between nonadjacent resonators is relatively large (e.g., larger than twice the diameter of the resonator coil).

The maximum efficiency of the system will be achieved only when both of the power flow paths (i.e., the path via adjacent resonators and the path via nonadjacent resonators) are optimally utilized. In Fig. 4(b), the four vertical dotted lines indicate four special frequencies which are, from right to left, the resonant frequency and the frequencies at which the maximum values of $\eta$, $P_{23}$, and $P_{13}$, respectively, occur. Theoretical values at these four frequencies are tabulated in Table II. At the resonance frequency, the power flow via nonadjacent resonators ($P_{13}$) is virtually zero, meaning that all the power must flow through the relay resonator (i.e., the adjacent resonator path). Therefore, it is not the most efficient operation because the cross-coupling path via the nonadjacent resonators is not utilized. This situation is in some way analogous to power flow through two parallel resistors. No matter how large one of the resistors is, it will always reduce the overall resistance. In this case, the nonadjacent resonator path 1-3 has relatively large impedance due to the small mutual inductance. Nevertheless, it still provides positive power flow slightly below the resonance frequency to increase the overall performance of the system.

The overall energy efficiency of the system also can be expressed as

$$\eta = \frac{P_3}{P_{3,\text{in}} \eta_3} = \frac{P_3}{P_3 + P_{\text{Loss},12}} \cdot \frac{R_L}{R_3 + R_L.} \quad (22)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>$\eta$ (Purple curve)</th>
<th>$P_{23}$ (W)</th>
<th>$P_{13}$ (W)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{Loss},12}$ (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9864</td>
<td>73.99</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>1.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9906</td>
<td>75.70</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>1.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9937</td>
<td>75.99</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>9.936</td>
<td>0.8421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.23</td>
<td>9.794</td>
<td>8.766</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_3$ (%)</td>
<td>84.54</td>
<td>86.83</td>
<td>88.16</td>
<td>89.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Power Flow of the 3-Resonator System Under Different Frequencies

Fig. 5. Total power delivered to the receiving resonator ($P_3$) and the power losses on resonator-1 and 2 of the equally spaced 3-resonator system as a function of frequency.
where $P_3$ is the total power delivered to the receiving resonator, $P_{in}$ is the input power, $\eta_3$ is the energy efficiency of resonator-3 and $P_{\text{Loss12}}$ is the total power loss on resonator-1 and 2. It is clear that $\eta_3$ is constant for a given receiving resonator and a load resistor. For a given set of $R_L$ and $R_3$, the energy efficiency of the system is decided by $P_3$ and $P_{\text{Loss12}}$. Fig. 5 shows the variations of $P_3$ and the energy efficiency $\eta$. $P_{\text{Loss1}}$ and $P_{\text{Loss2}}$ are the power losses on resonator-1 and 2, respectively. At the frequency ($f/f_{\text{res}} = 0.9898$) where $P_3$ reaches its peak, $P_3$ is 11.71 W and $P_{\text{Loss12}}$ is 2.517 W ($P_{\text{Loss12}}/P_3 = 2.517/11.71 = 0.215$). While at optimum frequency ($f/f_{\text{res}} = 0.9937$), $P_3$ is 10.78 W and $P_{\text{Loss12}}$ is 2.234 W ($P_{\text{Loss12}}/P_3 = 2.234/10.78 = 0.207$).

Fig. 6 shows the optimum frequency (normalized by the resonant frequency and optimized for maximum energy efficiency operation) and the energy efficiency of the system under a wide range of resistive load values in the case study of the 3-resonator system. The optimum frequency is slightly higher than resonance frequency when $R_L$ is very small (heavy load) and it will change sharply to be lower than the resonance frequency when the load changes from 2.3 $\Omega$ to 2.4 $\Omega$. Beyond 2.4 $\Omega$, the optimum frequency will increase gradually to a constant which is about 0.9973 times the resonant frequency.

Generally, the efficiency improvements using the optimum-frequency operation over the resonance-frequency operation are more apparent when $R_L$ is small and the optimum frequencies have relatively large differences from the resonance frequency. The difference of energy efficiency between the optimum-frequency and the resonance-frequency operation in this 3-resonator system is quite small because the effects arising from the cross-coupling between nonadjacent resonators is fairly small in this case. However, when the number of resonators increases and the total transmission distance decreases, such cross-coupling effects will become more obvious as will be demonstrated later.

C. Effects of Mutual Coupling of Nonadjacent Resonators in an Optimally Spaced 3-Resonator System

In order to find out the optimal operation condition for a given domino system, the spacing between the resonators should also be considered. Based on an optimization algorithm, the spacing of the resonators for a given overall transmission distance can be determined if the objective of the optimization is to maximize the energy efficiency [18]. Fig. 7 shows the efficiency curve of the 3-resonator system with both the operating frequency and the spacing optimized. The efficiency curve at the resonance frequency is also included in Fig. 7 for comparison. It can be observed that the energy efficiency can be improved over a wider load range if both of the

![Fig. 6. Optimum frequency and efficiency curves of the equally spaced 3-resonator system with a wide range of load values. $\eta_{\text{opt}}(f)$ and $\eta_{\text{res}}$ represent the efficiency curve with the operation frequencies optimized and the resonant frequency, respectively. $f_{\text{opt}}/f_{\text{res}}$ represent the curve of the optimum frequency normalized by the resonant frequency.](image6.png)

![Fig. 7. Optimal frequency and efficiency curves of the 3-resonator system with optimized distances and a wide range of load values. $\eta_{\text{opt}}(f, d)$ represents the efficiency curve with the operating frequency and the spacing optimized, and $\eta_{\text{res}}$ represents the efficiency curve under the resonant frequency. $f_{\text{opt}}/f_{\text{res}}$ represents the curve of the optimal frequency normalized by the resonant frequency.](image7.png)

![Fig. 8. Variation of the optimal distance between the 1st and 2nd resonators ($d_{12}$) of a 3-resonator system (with an overall transmission of 0.6 m) for a wide range of load values at the optimized frequencies.](image8.png)
operating frequency and spacing are optimized. The normalized optimal frequency curve \(f_{\text{opt}}/f_{\text{res}}\) is also plotted in Fig. 7. It is noted that the optimal frequency can be higher or lower than the resonance frequency depending on the load and that a slight shift from the resonance frequency could affect the efficiency significantly.

For this 3-resonator system with an overall transmission distance of 0.6 m, the variation of the optimal spacing between the first and the second resonators with a range of resistive load is plotted in Fig. 8. If maximum energy efficiency is set as the objective, it is noted that the relay resonator (i.e., resonator-2) should be placed closer to resonator-1 for a heavy load (i.e., small \(R_L\)) and closer to resonator-3 when the load is light (i.e., large \(R_L\)).

From the above analysis of the 3-resonator system with total transmission distance of 0.6 m, we get a better understanding of how the three parameters \((f, d_{12}, R_L)\) affect the power transmission efficiency of the system simultaneously. In this particular case, the optimal operation point for maximum efficiency could be found at the conditions of \((f/f_{\text{res}}, d_{12}, R_L) = (0.9949, 0.306\,\text{m}, 14.07\,\Omega)\). However, the system model presented in Section II can be used for other objective functions such as maximization of power transfer.

D. Effects of Mutual Coupling of Nonadjacent Resonators in Optimally Spaced n-Resonator Systems

The general model in Section II can be used to optimize the domino systems with more resonators, as shown in Fig. 9. In this part of the analysis, the investigation is extended to an \(n\)-resonator domino system. Two conditions are considered here:

1) Based on a fixed “average distance” \(d_e\) between two adjacent resonators, the performance of the straight domino-resonator systems as a function of the number of resonators is observed. For a straight \(n\)-resonator system, the total transmission distance \(d_{\text{total}}\) equals to \((n - 1)d_e\). For example, \(d_{\text{total}} = 2d_e\) for a 3-resonator system.

2) Based on a fixed number of resonators, the performance of the \(n\)-resonator system as a function of the total transmission distance \(d_{\text{total}}\) is investigated.

In both conditions, the equally and optimally spaced systems are studied and compared. For the equally spaced systems, the objective is to maximize the efficiency function, with the load as an optimized variable, subject to the constraints of equally spaced resonators and resonance frequency operation as follows:

\[
\text{Max: } \eta(R_L)
\]

With: \(d_{12} = d_{23} = \ldots = d_{(n-1)n} = d_e = d_{\text{total}}/(n - 1)\)

\(f = f_0\)
where $d_{\text{total}}$ is the total transmission distance, $d_e$ is the average distance, and $f_0$ is the resonance frequency of the resonators.

For the optimally spaced systems, the objective is to maximize the energy efficiency, with the operating frequency, the spacing between adjacent resonators and the load as optimized variables, subject to a certain overall transmission distance as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Maximize:} & \quad \eta(R_L, f, d_{12}, d_{23}, \ldots, d_{(n-1)n}) \\
\text{Subject to:} & \quad d_{12} + d_{23} + \cdots + d_{(n-1)n} = d_{\text{total}}.
\end{align*}
\]

1) Fixed Average Distance: The systems with average distances of 0.1 m, 0.2 m, 0.3 m, and 0.4 m are examined for straight domino resonators with the number of resonators ranging from 3 to 8. Fig. 10 shows the comparison of the energy efficiency curves based on the two sets of optimization criteria for these four averaged distances. Two important points can be observed:

1) As expected, the energy efficiency is higher when the averaged distance and hence the overall transmission distance is smaller.

2) Regardless of the overall transmission distance and the number of resonators, the systems with the operating frequency, spacing and load optimized consistently outperform those with only load optimized at resonance frequency operation in terms of energy efficiency. That is, $\eta_{\text{opt}}(R_L, f, d)$ is higher than $\eta_{\text{opt}}(R_L)$ in all cases. This observation indicates that equally spaced systems operated at the resonance frequency are not the optimal systems in terms of maximizing efficiency when relay resonators are used. The shift of the operating frequency at which...
maximum efficiency occurs can be explained from the cross-coupling effect as explained previously.

The variations of the four optimal frequency curves as a function of the number of resonators are plotted in Fig. 11. It is important to note that the optimal frequencies occur below the resonance frequency for their respective optimized loads. It is also
noted that the deviation from the resonance frequency increases as the averaged distance between resonators decrease and the number of resonators increases. With a smaller overall distance and a larger number of resonators, the cross-coupling paths between nonadjacent resonators become more effective and so the optimal frequency shift further away from the resonance frequency. For example, if eight optimally spaced resonators are used for an overall distance of 0.7 m, the optimal frequency \( f_{\text{opt}, 0.7} \) is about 0.89 times the resonance frequency. On the other hand, if three optimally spaced resonators are used for an overall distance of 0.8 m, the optimal frequency \( f_{\text{opt}, 0.8} \) approaches the resonance frequency. The corresponding variations of the optimized load resistance are included in Fig. 12.

If the overall distance \( d_{\text{total}} \) between the transmitter resonator (labeled as \( T \)) and receiving resonator (labeled as \( R \)) is normalized as one, the relative positions of the equally spaced and optimally spaced relay resonators for the cases of using three to eight resonators are plotted in Fig. 13(a) to (f). It is noted that the optimum spacing starts to share a similar pattern when the average distances are large enough which makes the effect of the width of the coils small. One obvious feature of the patterns is that distance between resonator-\((n - 1)\) and resonator-\(n\) is smaller than the distance between resonator-1 and resonator-2 (i.e., \( d_{(n-1)0} < d_{12} \)), and both of them are smaller than average distances (i.e., \( d_{12} < d_c \) and \( d_{(n-1)n} < d_c \)).

2) Fixed Number of Resonators: The results for the study based on fixed number of resonators are recorded in Figs. 14–17. In Fig. 14, the efficiency curves are plotted against the total transmission distance for the domino systems with 3-, 4-, and 5-resonators. It can be seen that, for a given transmission distance, the use of more relay resonators can increase the efficiency. Also the optimally spaced resonator systems offer higher efficiency than equally spaced resonator systems.

For the scheme that allows the operating frequency to be optimized, the normalized optimal frequency curves for the 3-, 4-, and 5-resonator systems under consideration are plotted in Fig. 15. Similar conclusion can be drawn from Fig. 15 as from Fig. 11, that is, the optimal frequency of the system with a higher number of resonators and a shorter total transmission distance deviates more from the resonance frequency. The corresponding optimal load values for the scheme with the load, frequency, and spacing optimized are included in Fig. 16, while those for the scheme operated at the resonance frequency with only the load optimized are shown in Fig. 17.

IV. PRACTICAL VERIFICATION

Experiments have been carried out to verify the analysis using a few straight domino-resonator systems. A practical setup of 3-resonator domino system is shown in Fig. 18. Pure resistive loads are used to do the measurements. In order to check the validity of the model and the analysis, the measured resonator currents of this 3-resonator system are captured and compared with the simulated results. The measured and theoretical resonator currents for the equally spaced 3-resonator system operating at the resonance frequency 519.2 kHz (resonance frequency of the resonators) are shown in Fig. 19. The total transmission distance \( d_{\text{total}} \) is 0.6 m and \( d_{12} \) is 0.3 m. The theoretical results agree very well with the measurements. In particular, it is noted that \( I_3 \) is 180° out of phase with \( I_1 \) as predicted in (21). The measured and theoretical current waveforms obtained at the optimal frequency of 516.7 kHz (which is lower than the resonance frequency) are shown in Fig. 20. Again, they agree well and \( I_1 \) and \( I_3 \) have a phase shift of about 150° against a theoretical phase shift of 153°. The measured efficiency at 519.2 kHz is 75.17% while that at 516.7 kHz is 75.74%.

More relay resonators can be added to increase the overall transmission distance. Fig. 21 shows a photograph of an
The theoretical energy efficiency curves and their practical measurements for the 5-resonator system are shown in Fig. 22. It can be seen that the theoretical predictions are highly consistent with the practical measurements. Higher energy efficiency can be achieved by the optimally spaced system and the maximum efficiency occurs at a frequency (513.5 kHz), which is slightly below the resonance frequency of 519.2 kHz. Similar set of theoretical and practical results are included in Fig. 23 for a 6-resonator system. The operating frequency of the maximum efficiency of the optimally spaced scheme now occurs at 513 kHz. The shift of the optimal operating frequency from the resonance frequency due to the mutual magnetic coupling of the nonadjacent resonators is confirmed by these experiments.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we use the well-established magnetic coupled circuit model to investigate the effects of the magnetic coupling of nonadjacent resonators for wireless power transfer applications. As verified by the analysis and practical measurements, the cross-coupling effects of the nonadjacent resonators cause the optimal frequencies for both the equally spaced and the optimally spaced resonator systems to shift away slightly from the resonance frequency of the resonators. The efficiency improvements by using the optimally spaced systems operating at the optimal frequencies could be more than 3% in the 5-resonator and 6-resonator systems when compared with equally spaced systems operated at the resonance frequency. The cross-coupling effects of the nonadjacent resonators increase with increasing number of resonators and decreasing spacing between adjacent resonators. The analytical approach presented here has been favorably verified by practical measurements. It can be used to study wireless power transfer systems based on the use of resonators arranged in domino forms.
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