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A Novel Single-Layer Winding Array and Receiver Coil Structure for Contactless Battery Charging Systems With Free-Positioning and Localized Charging Features

W. X. Zhong, Xun Liu, Member, IEEE, and S. Y. Ron Hui, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—The planar contactless battery charging system is an emerging technology that can be applied to a wide range of portable consumer electronic products. Beginning with a brief historical background, this paper presents a new single-layer winding array and receiver coil structure with cylindrical ferrite cores for planar contactless battery charging systems. Complying with the “Qi” standard, this design enables multiple devices to be placed and charged simultaneously on the wireless charging pad in a free-positioning manner. The charging flux is totally localized within the covered area between the selected primary winding and the secondary winding inside the load. The electromagnetic characteristics of such winding design are studied in finite-element analysis and confirmed by practical implementation.

Index Terms—Contactless battery charging, Qi wireless power standard, wireless power transfer.

I. INTRODUCTION

ELECTRONIC waste caused by the large variety of battery chargers for portable consumer electronic products has become an increasing global problem. One promising way to reduce such electronic waste is the wireless charging technology which has the potential of unifying the charging protocol for a wide range of portable electronic products. The formation of the Wireless Power Consortium (WPC) in November 2008 aims at setting a new common platform for wireless power transfer [1]. In April 2010, the WPC endorsed Part 1 of the world’s first international standard on wireless power transfer. The version 1.0 of this standard is set for wireless charging systems with planar charging surfaces. That is, the wireless charging system should have a designated planar area within which a load or several loads can be placed and charged. The new standard is called “Qi” which stands for invisible force in Chinese. Part 1 of the Qi standard is designed primarily for portable electronic devices such as mobile phones and Bluetooth devices with a charging power of up to 5 W. According to the WPC Web site [1], the Qi standard includes three wireless charging approaches:

1) guided positioning charging based on magnetic attraction without a movable mechanical part [see Fig. 1(a)];
2) free-positioning charging for a single device using a movable primary coil underneath the charging surface to locate the device [see Fig. 1(b)];
3) free position for charging single or multiple devices using a winding array without movable mechanical parts [see Fig. 1(c)].
Approach 1 is a standard fixed-positioning method that has been used in some existing electric products such as electric toothbrushes. In such applications, the loads are guided into a fixed location by magnetic attraction. In the Qi standard, this approach requires the load to be placed in a fixed location. It features “one-to-one” and “fixed-positioning” charging, i.e., one primary coil transfers to only one secondary coil (housed inside the load) in a fixed position. If the load is not placed directly and precisely on top of the primary coil, the mutual coupling and energy transfer efficiency can deteriorate with the misalignment of the transmitter and receiver coils. Since it is essential to ensure that the primary coil of the charging pad and the secondary coil of the load are directly overlapped for maximum mutual coupling, some products based on this approach use magnets and visible marks on the charging pad and a piece of metal (magnetic attractor) inside the load for magnetic attraction in order to keep the load in the right location on the charging pad [see Fig. 1(a)]. The advantage of this “guided-positioning” approach is its simplicity. However, the use of the magnet and, hence, the fixed-positioning requirement makes this approach relatively less user friendly. The requirement of a piece of metallic magnetic attractor in the device implies some extra space requirement and induced eddy-current-related power loss (and thus temperature rise) in the magnetic attractor.

Approach 2 is a one-to-one charging method that relies on a mechanically movable primary coil underneath the charging surface as shown in Fig. 1(b). This approach involves a search for the load position (i.e., the secondary coil in the load), either by inductive or capacitive means. The two motors underneath the charging surface will move the primary coil underneath the secondary coil of the load. From the consumers’ point of view, this method is a “free-positioning” one because a device can be placed anywhere on the charging surface and the primary coil will be moved below the detected device by the electric motors. In an electric sense, this is equivalent to the “one-to-one” and “fixed-positioning” approach as described for Approach 1. This approach is simple if the charging pad is designed for only one device (i.e., single-device charging). For multiple-load charging, the motor control for the primary coils could be very complex and costly. In addition, systems with movable mechanical parts tend to be less reliable.

Approach 3 adopted in the Qi standard allows the users to place one or more portable electronic devices on the charging surface regardless of their positions and orientations. Instead of the parallel-flux approach [19], [20], the “vertical-flux” approach [20]–[24] is endorsed in the Qi version 1.0 standard. The vertical-flux method can utilize the entire charging surface for energy transfer and has no restriction on the orientation of the receiver coil. Originated from the coreless planar transformer technology [2]–[7], [17], [20] in which energy is transferred from the planar primary winding printed on one side of the printed circuit board (PCB) “vertically” to the secondary winding printed on the other side of the PCB, the vertical-flux idea has been successfully demonstrated in an isolated gate-drive circuit [4], [5] and later implemented in integrated circuits [11], [12] and planar power converters [6], wirelessly powering a lighting device by Philips Research [10] and charging a mobile phone in a “fixed-positioning” manner [7]. By extending the planar winding into a multilayer winding array structure [8], [23] and selectively activating the appropriate coils for localized charging [9], [24], Approach 3 [see Fig. 1(c)] offers “multiple” and “free-positioning” wireless charging. Compared with Approaches 1 and 2, Approach 3 offers more user-friendliness at the expense of relatively more complex winding structure and control electronics.

From the viewpoint of user convenience and safety, free-positioning and localized charging are attractive and user-friendly features. In this paper, a single-layer winding array with ferrite cores [24] is proposed for a free-positioning charging platform with a localized charging feature. This paper is an extended and improved version of [30]. Free positioning utilizes the mathematical packing theory to ensure that the receiver (secondary coil) can be placed freely above the charging platform and yet with sufficiently high energy efficiency. Localized charging means that only the charging area underneath the load (i.e., area enclosed by the receiver coil) on the charging platform is energized. This important feature is essential to the safety requirement of the Qi standard because any noncompliant object, such as a cigarette lighter, when placed on the charging surface must not be affected. The important parameters of the winding array are studied with finite-element analysis (FEA) software and circuit analysis in order to obtain an optimal design for power transfer. Practical measurements are included for evaluation.

II. WINDING ARRAY STRUCTURE BASED ON MATHEMATICAL PACKING THEORY

A. Winding Dimensional Relationship Based on Packing Theory

The novelty in this proposal is that the dimensional relationship of the transmitter coil and the receiver coil must be that the receiver coil must be able to fully enclose at least one primary coil [24] regardless of where the receiver coil is placed. The three necessary conditions proposed for the winding areas are as follows: 1) The receiver winding should be larger than the transmitter windings; 2) the receiver winding must fully cover at least one transmitter winding wherever the electronic load is placed on the charging surface of the charging pad; and 3) one transmitter winding is sufficient to provide enough power transfer for the electronic loads under consideration for the charging pad. In this illustration, we assume that the receiver winding is circular. However, it must be pointed out that the receiver winding can be of any polygonal shape such as oval, rectangular, or hexagonal shape.

Fig. 2 shows the typical examples of receiver windings of rectangular and circular shapes. The receiver winding is embedded inside the electronic load for mutual coupling with the transmitter winding. In other words, the transmitter winding is equivalent to the primary winding of a transformer, and the receiver winding is equivalent to the secondary winding.

Let us first consider using circular spiral windings arranged in square packing [26], as shown in Fig. 3. The diameter of the transmitter winding is denoted as \( d \), and that of the receiver winding is denoted as \( D \). The arrangement shown in Fig. 3 is such that a slight movement of the large receiver winding in
any direction will always enclose or cover at least one small
transmitter winding. As shown in Fig. 3, \( x \) has the relation-
ship with \( d \), as given by
\[
x = \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{d}{2}.
\]
(1)

Then, the diameter of the receiver winding \( D \) can be
expressed by
\[
D = \frac{d}{2} + x + x + \frac{d}{2} = (1 + \sqrt{2})d.
\]
(2)

The area ratio between one receiver winding and a trans-
mitter winding is
\[
\frac{A_{\text{receiver}}}{A_{\text{transmitter}}} = \frac{\pi (D/2)^2}{\pi (d/2)^2} = \frac{D^2}{d^2} = (1 + \sqrt{2})^2 \approx 5.8284.
\]
(3)

Using the same argument, other transmitter windings with
other shapes and packing methods can be considered. For ex-
ample, Fig. 4 shows a hexagonal packing of hexagonal spiral
transmitter windings. Again, any slight movement of the large
circular receiver winding in any direction will ensure that
at least one transmitter winding is enclosed by the receiver
winding. The relationship between \( D \) and \( d \) is
\[
D = 2d.
\]
(4)

The area ratio between one receiver winding and a trans-
mitter winding is
\[
\frac{A_{\text{receiver}}}{A_{\text{transmitter}}} = \frac{\pi (D/4)^2}{\pi (d/2)^2} = \frac{D^2}{d^2} = 2\pi \left( \frac{D}{d} \right)^2 \approx 4.8368.
\]
(5)

Fig. 5 shows a hexagonal packing of circular transmitter
solenoids, which is the winding structure studied in this paper.
Again, the relationship between \( D \) and \( d \) is
\[
D = \left( 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) d.
\]
(6)

The area ratio between one receiver winding and a trans-
mitter winding is
\[
\frac{A_{\text{receiver}}}{A_{\text{transmitter}}} = \frac{D^2}{d^2} = (1 + 2/\sqrt{3})^2 \approx 4.6427.
\]
(7)

Equation (7) shows that the winding structure in Fig. 5 is the
best in the three structures shown in Figs. 3–5 because its area
ratio is the lowest.
B. Practical Design Example of Single-Layer Primary Winding Array Structure

Fig. 6 shows the transmitter (primary) winding array structure of the proposed charging platform. Fig. 7 shows a 3-D model of one charging unit. The charging platform shown in Fig. 7 contains a transmitter ferrite plate (bottom), a transmitter core, a transmitter winding, a receiver winding, and a receiver ferrite plate (top), where $H_T$ is the height of the transmitter core and winding, $H_R$ is the height of the receiver winding, and $T_A$ is the thickness of the airgap between two windings. Fig. 8 shows the dimensional relationship between the transmitter and receiver windings. It is guaranteed that the inner edge of the receiver winding is able to cover three transmitter cores. Therefore, the relationship of the parameters shown in Fig. 8 can be given by

$$R_R = \frac{(2 + \sqrt{3})R_{TC} + 2T_T + D}{\sqrt{3}}$$  (8)

where $R_{TC}$ is the radius of the transmitter core; $T_T$ is the thickness of the transmitter winding; $R_R$ is the inner radius of the receiver winding; $T_R$ is the thickness of the receiver winding; and $D$ is the distance between two transmitter windings.

III. FEA AND CIRCUIT MODEL

A. FEA Models

The mutual inductance between two parallel planar coils will change with their relative position [29]. Likewise, the mutual coupling of the receiver coil and the transmitter coil in the proposed charging platform will change with their relative position. In general, the mutual inductance is highest when the transmitter and receiver coils share the same center. Figs. 9 and 10 show the best and worst coupling position schematics, respectively. Magnetostatic analyses are applied to a small model and a large model, respectively, and the simulation results are compared to check if a small model is accurate enough to represent the whole platform. Figs. 11(a) and 12(a) show the small models of the best and worst positions, respectively. Figs. 11(b) and 12(b) show the large models for the best coupling position and the worst coupling position, respectively.

The parameters used in the simulations are based on the practical sizes of the ferrite rods wounded with litz wire. The parameters of the charging platform are listed in Table I. The simulation results are included in Table II, where $L_T$ and $R_T$ are the inductance and ac resistance of the transmitter winding, respectively; $L_R$ and $R_R$ are the inductance and...
ac resistance of the receiver winding, respectively; $k$ is the coupling coefficient of the two windings; $R_m$ is the mutual resistance of the two windings defined in [27] to account for the eddy-current losses in the windings caused by the coupled ac magnetic field.

Here, litz wire is used in the coils, and thus, the method reported in [28] can be used for calculating the ac resistance with the aid of finite-element magnetostatic analysis. The main concept in this method is that the ac resistance is only decided by the B-field and the operating frequency as long as the wire is a litz wire or the wire cross-sectional diameter is small enough when compared with the skin depth so that the current density of the winding region can be considered as constant. The simulation results in Table II show that the differences between the parameters of the small and large models are relatively small. Therefore, a small model is good enough to present the characteristics of the whole platform.

| Transmitter winding structure | 12 turns × 4 layers | Ferrite material | F material (Magnetics Inc.) |
| Receiver winding structure | 2 turns × 8 layers | Ferrite core size | $H_T9 \text{ mm}; R_{TC}2\text{ mm}$ |
| Litz wire structure | 10 strands × 36 AWG | Top & bottom ferrite plates size | $30\text{ mm} \times 30\text{ mm} \times 2\text{ mm}$ |
| $H_B$ | 1.5 mm | $T_T$ | 0.56 mm × 4 layers |
| $T_B$ | 1.5 mm | $T_R$ | 0.56 mm × 8 layers |
| $R_R$ | 6.91 mm | $D$ | 0 mm |

**TABLE II**

| Small- and Large-Model Simulation Result Comparison (at 150 kHz) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Best Position | Small Model | Large Model | Small Model | Large Model |
| $L_T$ (μH) | 70.65 | 71.38 | 71.05 | 71.49 |
| $L_R$ (μH) | 14.16 | 15.62 | 14.92 | 15.77 |
| $k$ | 0.289 | 0.308 | 0.253 | 0.264 |
| $R_T$ (Ω) | 0.859 | 0.862 | 0.886 | 0.891 |
| $R_R$ (Ω) | 0.201 | 0.212 | 0.198 | 0.209 |
| $R_m$ (Ω) | 0.039 | 0.043 | 0.035 | 0.023 |

**TABLE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f$(kHz)</th>
<th>Input Current (A RMS)</th>
<th>Output Current (A RMS)</th>
<th>Output Power/W (R_LOAD 5Ω)</th>
<th>Core Loss (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best Position</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst Position</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Core Loss Estimation Based on FEA**

Using the data of the F material in the Maxwell FEA software as an example, transient analysis has been carried out to determine the core loss of the charging system for both the best position and the worst position based on the small models. The results are listed in Table III. It is obvious that the core loss is small enough compared with the load, and it can be neglected to allow a simpler circuit model of the proposed charging system.

**C. Equivalent Circuit Analysis With Core Loss Neglected**

The whole charging system can be represented by the equivalent circuit in Fig. 13 [27]. Here, $M$ is the mutual inductance of the two windings, and $C_T$ and $C_R$ are the resonant capacitors for the transmitter and the receiver, respectively. The efficiency $\eta$ of the system can be determined through the following equations:

\[
(j\omega M + R_m)\dot{I}_T = \left(j\omega L_R - j\frac{1}{\omega C_R} + R_R + R_{LOAD}\right)\dot{I}_R
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{\dot{I}_T}{\dot{I}_R} = \frac{j\left(\omega L_R - \frac{1}{\omega C_R}\right) + R_R + R_{LOAD}}{j\omega M + R_m}
\]
where $\mathbf{i}_T$ and $\mathbf{i}_R$ are the complex currents of the transmitter and receiver equivalent circuit in Fig. 13, and

$$\eta = \frac{P_{\text{LOAD}}}{P_{\text{TOTAL}}} = \frac{I_R^2 R_{\text{LOAD}}}{I_T^2 R_T + I_R^2 (R_T + R_{\text{LOAD}}) - 2(I_T \cdot I_R) R_m}$$

(11)

where $\text{Re}(a)$ is the real part of $a$.

Apply Kirchhoff’s voltage law in the primary side

$$\mathbf{v}_D = \mathbf{i}_T \left( j \left( \omega L_T - \frac{1}{\omega C_T} \right) + R_T \right) - \mathbf{i}_R (j \omega M + R_m).$$

(12)

The voltage gain $G_v$ and current gain $G_i$ can be expressed by

$$G_v = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\text{LOAD}}}{\mathbf{v}_d} = \frac{R_{\text{LOAD}}}{a \cdot \left( j \left( \omega L_T - \frac{1}{\omega C_T} \right) + R_T \right) - (j \omega M + R_m)}$$

(13)

$$G_i = \frac{|I_R|}{|I_T|} = \frac{1}{|a|}.$$  

(14)

If the receiver resonant capacitor is not added, (10) can be expressed as

$$|a| = \sqrt{(R_R + R_{\text{LOAD}})^2 + \omega^2 L_R^2}$$

$$\text{Re}(a) = \frac{(R_R + R_{\text{LOAD}}) R_m + \omega^2 L_R M}{\omega^2 M^2 + R_m^2}.$$  

(15)

(16)

If the system is operating at the receiver’s resonant frequency, then

$$|a| = \frac{R_R + R_{\text{LOAD}}}{\omega^2 M^2 + R_m^2}$$

$$\text{Re}(a) = \frac{(R_R + R_{\text{LOAD}}) R_m}{\omega^2 M^2 + R_m^2}.$$  

(17)

(18)

The difference between the denominators of (11) when without receiver resonance and with receiver resonance can be worked out as

$$\Delta = \frac{\omega^2 L_R (L_R R_T - M R_m)}{\omega^2 M^2 + R_m^2}. $$

(19)

This term is positive because $L_R$ is larger than $M$ and $R_T$ is larger than $R_m$ according to the analysis in Section III-B. Therefore, with a chosen operating frequency, the receiver resonance can raise the power transfer efficiency of the system. By doing a similar comparison, the following conclusions can be made.

1) Receiver resonance can increase the current gain.

2) Both transmitter resonance and receiver resonance can increase the voltage gain.

IV. EXPERIMENT VERIFICATION

Because the ferrite material used in the FEA was not available during the tests, some small ferrite rods used for making small inductors were used to construct the single-layer winding array structure. It is therefore important to note that the measurements and simulations may not agree exactly because the ferrite materials used in the simulation and the practical prototype are not the same. Nevertheless, their results should not affect the evaluation of the proposed single-layer winding array structure and its potential as a new version of wireless charging system.

Figs. 14–16 show the experimental prototypes for both the best position and worst position models. It should be noted that the ferrite material used in the prototype is not the F material used in the simulation. However, the airgap $T_A$ is 1.5 mm in the prototype. The reluctance in the airgap is therefore the dominant reluctance in the magnetic path because the reluctance in the magnetic core is relatively small. As long as the magnetic
TABLE IV
INDUCTANCE AND COUPLING COEFFICIENT COMPARISON BETWEEN SIMULATION AND MEASURED RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Measured (150kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best</td>
<td>$L_T$ (μH) 70.65</td>
<td>$L_R$ (μH) 14.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst</td>
<td>$L_T$ (μH) 71.05</td>
<td>$L_R$ (μH) 14.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cores are not saturated, the practical results are expected to be close to that of the simulations because of the airgap between the transmitter and the receiver. This point can be confirmed from the comparison of results in Table IV.

The simulation and measured results are shown in Table IV and Figs. 17 and 18 in which all the parameters in the circuit model derived in Section III are included. It is clear that the differences between the calculated and measured winding parameters (i.e., inductances and coupling coefficients) are very small, implying that the efficiency of the practical charging platform should be very close to that of the simulation results (provided that the practical and simulated core losses are close to each other). Fig. 19 shows the simulated and measured results of the energy efficiency. It can be observed that the frequency range of 125–150 kHz is the optimal operating frequency with efficiencies of over 85% in the best and worst positions. This is the typical operating frequency acceptable in the version 1.0 of the Qi wireless power transfer standard. The slight difference in the measured and simulated energy efficiencies is expected because the F-core material used in the simulation and the actual ferrite rods used in practice are not identical, and therefore, their core losses are not identical. The reduction in energy efficiency, with increasing operating frequency in the practical measurements, is likely due to the increasing core losses that are not accounted for precisely in the simulation by the F-core material. However, the chosen frequency range is 125–150 kHz in this case, and the energy efficiency remains high in this frequency range. The small variation of energy efficiency between the best and worst positions is practically confirmed.

V. DISCUSSION

The packing theory presented in Section II provides a guideline to the design of the wireless charging system. In practice, the size of the receiver coil is restricted by the surface area of the electronic device to be charged. Thus, the first factor to be determined is the dimension of the receiver coil. For devices like mobile phones, the Qi standard document specifies such dimensions for the receiver coil. Once the dimension of the receiver coil is known, the diameters of the magnetic cores and the space required for the practical transmitter windings can be determined by the packing theory.

VI. CONCLUSION

An investigation into a single-layer winding array structure with a patented geometric relationship between the transmitter and receiver coils is presented. This winding array structure is particularly suitable for wireless charging with the user-friendly free-positioning and localized charging features. The mathematical packing theory is used to explain the hexagonal packing structure as the optimal geometric winding array structure for this application. The novel winding arrangement is that the receiver coil always encloses fully at least one transmitter coil regardless of where it is placed within the charging area. Detailed circuit analysis covering the best and
worst magnetically coupled positions means that the proposed single-layer winding array structure offers free-positioning charging without significantly sacrificing the energy efficiency. The proposal offers a new version of wireless charging system for the Qi wireless power transfer standard. So far, the version 1.0 of the Qi standard is set for wireless power charging of up to 5 W. However, active wireless power transfer projects [31], [32] have recently been reported for medium-power applications. It is envisaged that the international engineering communities should work together to set up an international standard for high-power applications in wireless power transfer.
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