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Localization and mobility gap in the topological Anderson insulator
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It has been proposed that disorder may lead to a new type of topological insulator, called the topological Anderson insulator (TAI). Here we examine the physical origin of this phenomenon. We calculate the topological invariants and density of states of the disordered model in a supercell of a two-dimensional HgTe/CdTe quantum well. The topologically nontrivial phase is triggered by a band touching as the disorder strength increases. The TAI is protected by a mobility gap, in contrast to the band gap in conventional quantum spin Hall systems. The mobility gap in the TAI consists of a cluster of nontrivial subgaps separated by almost flat and localized bands.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in topological insulators (TI) have greatly enhanced our understanding of topological properties in condensed matter.1–3 Band insulators with time reversal symmetry can be classified by a $Z_2$ topological invariant $v$ associated with the occupied bands, $v = 0$ for the topologically trivial phase and $v = 1$ for the nontrivial phase.4–7 In two dimensions (2D), the TI ($v = 1$) exhibits quantum spin Hall effect, whose edge currents are robust against weak nonmagnetic disorder.4,8,9 This dissipationless transport can only be destroyed by extremely strong disorder, which drives the system into a traditional Anderson insulator.10,11 The 2D TI has been experimentally realized in HgTe/CdTe quantum wells, where the thickness of the quantum well can be varied to tune the system between TI and normal insulator.12,13

Recent numerical simulation reveals an interesting new phase, called topological Anderson insulator (TAI).10 The TAI is a reentrant TI due to disorder: The disorder drives a 2D topologically trivial insulator into a TI phase, then back to trivial insulator at strong disorder. This is contrary to the general intuition that disorder always tends to localize electronic states. This TI phase has since attracted extensive research interests.14–20 In the original work, this phase was identified from the transport properties showing a two-terminal conductance plateau $2e^2/h$ with extremely small fluctuations.10 Further numerical studies confirmed that the plateau conductance in the TAI is contributed from the dissipationless edge states,14 which further suggests the topological origin of this phenomena. Theoretical study based on the first Born approximation of the disordered Dirac fermions proposed that the TAI originates from a band touching and subsequent reopening of a topologically nontrivial gap driven by disorder.15 The band touching has been confirmed in the perturbative and numerical calculations, but it is not sufficient to explain the whole region in the TAI. Very recently, there has been a phase diagram for the disordered HgTe/CdTe quantum spin Hall well, where the quantum spin Hall phase and the TAI are connected.21

In this paper, we study the topological evolution of the TAI, and examine the origin of the TAI from a topological point of view. We calculate the band structure and the corresponding $Z_2$ invariants as the disorder strength increases. Starting with a topologically trivial insulating phase, the bulk gap closes due to the disorder, which changes the topological invariants of the occupied bands, therefore triggering an insulator-TI transition (band inversion). As the disorder strength further increases, a bulk gap is reopened. However, the gap value is too small (due to large sample size) and too fluctuating (due to the randomness of disorder) to account for a stable TAI phase as observed in transport calculations.10 We shall show clear evidences that the TAI phase corresponds to a continuous cluster of nontrivial subbands, rather than a single gap. These subbands are separated by some extremely narrow subbands, and survive through size scaling and random statistics. In other words, in the TAI region, a Fermi level falls into a nontrivial subgap with a probability close to one, regardless of sample size and disorder fluctuations. On the other hand, those extremely narrow subbands are strongly localized, therefore they do not contribute to electronic transport in the thermodynamic limit. This phase offers a new realization of quantum spin Hall states in solids.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the model we use. In Sec. III, the general definition and calculation methods of topological invariants are reviewed. In Sec. IV, the ansatz of defining topological invariants for disordered systems is introduced. The main results are described in Secs. V and VI.

II. THE MODEL

We first briefly revisit the Bloch’s description of electronic properties. In real space, the electronic Hamiltonian in a crystal lattice has the general form

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \sum_{\alpha \beta} H_{\alpha \beta}(i) c_{i \alpha}^\dagger c_{i \beta} + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sum_{\alpha \beta} H_{\alpha \beta}(i,j) c_{i \alpha}^\dagger c_{j \beta},$$

(1)

where $i, j$ are the indices of primary unit cells of the lattice, and $\alpha, \beta$ are the indices of freedom degree within the unit cell, e.g., sublattices, orbitals, and spins, etc. After Fourier transformation $c_{i \alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k c_{\alpha \beta} e^{i k \cdot x_i}$, the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_k \sum_{\alpha \beta} H_{\alpha \beta}(k) c_{\alpha \beta}^\dagger c_{\alpha \beta},$$

(2)

where $k$ is defined in the first Brillouin zone. In the eigenproblem

$$\sum_{\beta} H_{\alpha \beta}(k) u_{\alpha, \beta}(k) = E_{\alpha}(k) u_{\alpha, \alpha}(k),$$

(3)
\(E_n(k)\) determines the band structure, and \(|u_\nu\rangle\) is the unit cell periodic part of the Bloch function \(|\psi_{\nu k}\rangle = e^{ik\cdotr}|u_\nu(k)\rangle\).

The Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang (BHZ) model,\(^9\) a typical tight-binding model with spin-orbit coupling that exhibits quantum spin Hall phase, is defined on a square lattice with one \(s\) orbital and one \(p\) orbital on each site. In the above-mentioned representation, the Bloch Hamiltonian \(H\) is a \(4 \times 4\) matrix written as

\[
H_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} h(k) & g(k) \\ g^*(k) & h^*(-k) \end{pmatrix}
\]

(4)

\[
h(k) = d_0 I_{2\times2} + d_1 \sigma_x + d_2 \sigma_y + d_3 \sigma_z
\]

(5)

\[
g(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Delta \\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(6)

\[
d_0(k) = -2D(2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y)
\]

\[
d_1(k) = A \sin k_x, \quad d_2(k) = -A \sin k_y
\]

\[
d_3(k) = M - 2B(2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y).
\]

Here \(\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \equiv \{|s\uparrow\rangle, |p\uparrow\rangle, |s\downarrow\rangle, |p\downarrow\rangle\}\), \(\sigma_i\) are Pauli matrices acting on the spinor space spanned by \(s\) and \(p\) orbitals. The real-space Hamiltonian \(H\) of this model can be obtained from \(H_{\alpha\beta}\) by a straightforward inverse Fourier transformation \(c_{i\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu\alpha} e^{-ik \cdot r_i}\). The effect of nonmagnetic impurities is included in real space by adding a term

\[
V_I = \sum_i \sum_{\nu} U(i) c_{i\nu}^\dagger c_{i\nu}
\]

(7)

to \(H\), where \(U(i)\) are random numbers uniformly distributed in \((-W/2, W/2)\).  

### III. \(Z_2\) INVARIANT

For a time reversal invariant system including both spin components, Kramers Theorem states that all the electronic bands \(E_n(k)\) come in pairs connected at time reversal invariant points (TRIPs), which are called Kramers pairs.\(^{5,23}\) If there are no other degeneracies (e.g., disordered “supercells,” which will be discussed in the following), then each Kramers pair (KP) is separated from others, and a topological invariant can thus be defined for each KP.\(^{23}\) In Fig. 1(a), we illustrate the typical band structures of a time reversal invariant system in the topological aspect. There are eight bands, forming four KPs, two of which (pairs 2 and 3 in red) are topologically nontrivial, which will be defined below. Pairs 3 and 4 are separated but overlapping in the energy axis. We will simply call the gap between them not fully gapped. Most of the information in the energy axis are represented by the width of the bars in this direction.

In 2D, the topological invariant \(\nu\) associated with a KP is a \(Z_2\) integer defined from the periodic part of the Bloch function \(u(k)\) as

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\tau_{1/2}} dh \cdot A - \int_{\tau_{1/2}} dk^2 F \mod 2,
\]

(8)

\[
A(k) = \sum_{\nu=1,11} i \langle u'(k)|\nabla_k|u'(k)\rangle,
\]

(9)

Here \(\tau_{1/2}\) is the effective Brillouin zone (EBZ) from which the remaining half can be obtained from its time reversal; \(\partial \tau_{1/2}\) is the boundary of \(\tau_{1/2}\), as illustrated in Fig. 2. The roman numbers \(I\) and \(II\) in Eq. (9) label the two branches of a KP [see Fig. 1(a)]. Note the time reversal constraint

\[
|u'(\nu k)| = \Theta |u'^{\dagger}(k)|
\]

(10)

on \(\partial \tau_{1/2}\) must be employed for Eq. (8) to make sense, where \(\Theta = -i\sigma_y \otimes I_{2\times2} K\) is the time reversal operator (\(\sigma_y\) is the Pauli matrix of physical spin and \(K\) here is the complex conjugation). A KP is trivial (nontrivial) if \(\nu = 0\) (\(\nu = 1\)). The topological invariant of a cluster of occupied KPs is just the sum of \(\nu\) of all these KPs in the sense of mod 2. Therefore, a gap between two pairs is called trivial (nontrivial) if there is an even (odd) number of nontrivial pairs below it. If a gap is nontrivial, dissipationless edge states will appear within the gap, when the system is truncated with open boundaries.\(^{5,22,24}\)

Among several equivalent definitions of the \(Z_2\) invariant \(\nu\),\(^{5,22,25}\) this definition has the advantage of being expressed by well-known topological quantities, i.e., Berry connection \(A\) and Berry curvature \(F\),\(^{26}\) and being appropriate for numerical evaluation.\(^{23,27,28}\) which is briefly introduced here. After discretizing the EBZ into a mesh [dashed lines in Fig. 2(c)], the field quantities \(A\) and \(F\) can be defined from the eigenstates of the lattice sites,\(^{23,27,28}\) based on well-developed lattice gauge theories. Note in the numerical calculations, for any mesh site \(k\) in the EBZ, the phases of the eigenstates (therefore the values of the field quantities) are arbitrarily and independently determined by numerical routines \([U(1)\) freedom of local gauge choice\]. Care must be taken to cancel all these phase uncertainties when summing up the discretized field quantities \(A\) and \(F\) by Eq. (8), so that the resultant \(\nu\) is gauge independent.
primary unit cell of the original clean system as a “unit cell,” with the $N \times N$ sample as a “supercell” in this context.

In the clean limit, the band structure of the superlattice can be derived directly from that of the original lattice by using the standard method of “zone folding,” which is briefly reviewed here. Now the Bloch Hamiltonian $H(k)$ becomes a $4N^2 \times 4N^2$ matrix

$$H^S_{ij, jm}(k), \ 1 \leq i, j \leq N^2,$$

(13)

where $\alpha, \beta$ again represent the spin-orbital indices within the original unit cell, and $i, j$ are the indices of unit cells within the supercell. The eigenvalues of $H^S(k)$ are related to those of the original lattice $E_n(k)$ as

$$E^S_{n, lm}(k) = E_n\left(k + \frac{l}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2\right), \ 0 \leq l, m \leq N - 1$$

(14)

and associated eigenstates are

$$u^S_{n, lm}(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
    e^{i\frac{l}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2} u_n(k + \frac{k}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2) \\
    e^{i\frac{l}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2} u_n(k + \frac{k}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2) \\
    \vdots \\
    e^{i\frac{l}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2} u_n(k + \frac{k}{N} b_1 + \frac{m}{N} b_2)
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(15)

Equations (14) and (15) can be verified by a straightforward application of Bloch’s theorem, with the new definitions of supercell and associated Brillouin zone in mind.

V. A SMALL SUPERCCELL

We will only consider the BHZ model in the case of $|D| < |B|$, so that the system is always fully gapped between the lower and upper halves of the bands, when $M \neq 0$. To obtain some insights from analytical treatments, we start from a simple stage, a small supercell with $2 \times 2$ unit cells without spin-flip parts, i.e., $\Delta = 0$. Now the system is decoupled into two subsystems with a single spin component, and the topological property of $H^\delta_{2x2}(k)$ in Eq. (4) can be reduced to that of $h^\delta_{2x2}(k)$, represented by the spin-resolved Chern number. To further simplify the analytical treatments, we can only consider the spin-up subsystem, because its spin-down counterpart can be obtained from a straightforward time reversal operation. For this spin-up subsystem with a $2 \times 2$ site supercell, the Hamiltonian is a $8 \times 8$ matrix

$$h^\delta_{2x2}(k) = h^\delta_{2x2}(k) + V_T \delta,$$

(16)

where $h^\delta_{2x2}(k)$ is constructed from the above zone-folding technique from the original $h_{2x2}(k)$ in Eq. (5), and the impurity term reads

$$V_T = W \cdot \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_4),$$

(17)

where $\epsilon_i$ are random numbers within the interval $(1/2, 1/2)$ and $W$ is a single parameter to control the disorder strength. This $V_T$ represents a random onsite potential distributed on four primary unit cells within the $2 \times 2$ supercell. We will show that this minimal model in Eq. (16) that accommodates both disorder and topology can produce some nontrivial results.

FIG. 2. (Color online) First Brillouin zone of a time reverse symmetric solid in square lattice. Black dots are time reversal invariant points. Green region is the effective Brillouin zone $\mathcal{T}_{1/2}$, and arrows indicate its boundary $\partial \mathcal{T}_{1/2}$. Dashed lines: mesh used in our calculations (8).

Of course, the mesh should be dense enough to obtain converged values for each KP.

For the clean systems, the topological properties of this model are well understood when the lower half bands are converged values for each KP. Of course, the mesh should be dense enough to obtain converged values for each KP.

IV. ZONE FOLDING

The topological invariants are defined in $k$ space, as introduced above. Impurities break the translation invariance of the original lattice and make $k$ badly defined. However, for a disordered 2D sample with $N \times N$ unit cells, the above topological arguments can be restored if twisted boundary conditions

$$\psi(r + N \cdot a_1) = e^{ik \cdot Na_1} \psi(r),$$

$$\psi(r + N \cdot a_2) = e^{ik \cdot Na_2} \psi(r)$$

(12)

are introduced to the opposite boundaries of this finite sample, where $a_i$ are primitive vectors of the clean lattice. Physically speaking, this is completely equivalent to taking this $N \times N$ sample as a large unit cell of a 2D superlattice, so that $k$ can be defined in a smaller Brillouin zone with reciprocal vectors $b_i/N$, where $b_i$ is the reciprocal vector of the original lattice. Disorder within this supercell tends to destroy all the band degeneracies except those protected by time reversal symmetry, i.e., Kramers degeneracies. It is reasonable to imagine that for sufficiently large $N$, the topological properties of this superlattice can reflect those of the “real” disordered system. In the following, we will call the
Without impurities, as stated above, the eigenenergies and eigenstates of \( h^5 \) can be constructed from those of \( h \) by zone-folding Eqs. (14) and (15). The eigenenergies of \( h^5 \) are ordered by their values at the \( \Gamma \) point \( k = 0 \) as

\[
E_{1,2,\ldots,8}^0(\Gamma) = -8D - |M - 8B|, -4D - |M - 4B|,
-4D + |M - 4B|, -4D + |M - 8B|,
\]

with a gap \( 2M \) between conductance band \( E_4 \) and valance band \( E_5 \). The presence of \( V_I \) will change band structures. Although the band structures including impurities can be deduced from diagonalizing Eq. (16) directly, we will treat the impurities as a perturbation, therefore the eigenenergies can be expressed as matrix elements between unperturbed eigenstates \( |u_i^5\rangle \). Straightforward calculations show that at \( \Gamma = (0,0) \), the first order correction to these two states is

\[
E_4^{(1)}(\Gamma) = \langle u_4^5(\Gamma) | V_I | u_4^5(\Gamma) \rangle = \frac{W}{4} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4)
\]

\[
E_5^{(1)}(\Gamma) = \langle u_5^5(\Gamma) | V_I | u_5^5(\Gamma) \rangle = \frac{W}{4} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4),
\]

which is just a uniform shift as a simple mean field of impurity potentials. The second order correction is

\[
E_4^{(2)}(\Gamma) = \sum_{i\neq 4} \left| \frac{\langle u_4^5(\Gamma) | V_I | u_i^5(\Gamma) \rangle^2}{E_4(\Gamma) - E_i(\Gamma)} \right| = \frac{-W^2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)}{128B(B-D)}
\]

\[
E_5^{(2)}(\Gamma) = \sum_{i\neq 5} \left| \frac{\langle u_5^5(\Gamma) | V_I | u_i^5(\Gamma) \rangle^2}{E_5(\Gamma) - E_i(\Gamma)} \right| = \frac{-W^2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)}{128(B+B)},
\]

where

\[
F(\epsilon_i) = 5(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_4^2) - 2(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_4 + \epsilon_3\epsilon_4)
- 6(\epsilon_1\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_2\epsilon_4); \quad \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 = 0,
\]

\[
\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 > 0, \quad \text{otherwise}
\]

is a semi-positive-definite quadrad. Now the gap is

\[
E_g = \left| (E_0^0 + E_1^1 + E_2^2) - (E_0^0 + E_4^1 + E_4^2) \right|
= \left| 2M \left( 1 + \frac{B}{M} \cdot \frac{W^2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)}{64(B^2 - D^2)} \right) \right|. \tag{18}
\]

Equation (18) is the first important result in this paper. It suggests that in the weak disorder regime, the impurities effectively renormalize \( M \)\(^{15} \) and this renormalization comes from a second order effect of disorder. Note the sign of this renormalization term \( \frac{B}{M} \cdot \frac{W^2 F(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4)}{64(B^2 - D^2)} \) does not depend on the concrete configuration of random impurities. If the clean system is topologically nontrivial \((M/B > 0)\), the gap grows as \( M + \text{const} \cdot W^2 \). This means that weak disorder tends to make the two bands expel each other to avoid a band touching which will trigger a transition to a trivial insulator.\(^1 \) This is a vivid manifestation of “robustness against weak disorder” for TI. If the clean system is topologically trivial \((M/B < 0)\), on the other hand, the gap decays as \( M - \text{const} \cdot W^2 \). If \( M \) is small when we tune disorder on, the gap will soon close at some small \( W_c \), before strong disorder makes the above perturbation treatment unreliable. This gap close leads to an I-TI transition with the sign change of \( M \). From the topological point of view, the Chern number of \( E_2 \) changes by 1 after band touching.\(^{11,33,34} \) Remember we only considered the spin-up block so far, but the physical argument of topologically trivial-nontrivial transition also applies when the time-reversal invariant \( H \) involving both spin blocks is considered, by a simple correspondence between Chern number and \( Z_2 \) invariant.\(^6 \) as long as these two blocks are decoupled.

To test the above physical pictures, we calculate the \( Z_2 \) topological invariants \( v \) for a \( 2 \times 2 \) supercell with both spin components included. In Fig. 3, the evolution of KPs for a definite configuration of \( \{\epsilon_i\} \) with increasing disorder strength \( W \) is plotted. We can see that the gap closing predicted by second order perturbation really happens at \( W = 0.024 \), and it does lead to a topological transition from \( v = 0 \) to \( v = 1 \) associated with the lower half bands. After this transition, a topologically nontrivial gap (the green bar) emerges. This gap will develop with further increasing \( W \), until strong disorder eventually closes it again.\(^1 \) This disorder-induced nontrivial gap shifts toward positive energy with increasing \( W \), as the TAI region observed in Ref. 10 does. This simple model itself also paves a route to producing a TI phase from a trival insulator with spin-orbit coupling by constructing a superlattice.\(^35 \)
VI. LARGE SUPERCELLS

So far, the origin of TAI seems clear: The disorder triggers a band touching, or a band inversion, after which a nontrivial gap opens for the TAI phase to live. Unfortunately, this simple argument from a small supercell cannot directly be applied to large samples, which will be shown in the following. In Fig. 4(a), we plot the statistics of the two-terminal conductance of a $100 \times 100$ sample. The two-terminal conductance is calculated by the standard nonequilibrium Green’s function method, and the Fermi energy in the leads are fixed at $E_F^{\text{lead}} = 0.12$ to offer enough channels. The TAI phase is identified as the conductance plateau $2e^2/h$ with extremely small fluctuations, as in Ref. 10. If this region corresponds to a bulk gap, the density of states (DOS) must vanish, at least in the case of the periodic boundary condition. The single particle local density of states (LDOS) is calculated as

$$\rho(i, E) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_n |\langle n | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E - E_n).$$

(19)

The arithmetic mean of the LDOS

$$\rho_{\text{ave}}(E) \equiv \langle \rho(i, E) \rangle$$

(20)

is just the bulk DOS except for a constant factor, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ is the arithmetic average over the sites of the sample. Meanwhile, the geometric mean of the LDOS

$$\rho_{\text{typ}}(E) \equiv \exp[\langle \ln \rho(i, E) \rangle]$$

(21)

gives the localization property of the states. In the thermodynamic limit ($N \to \infty$), if $\rho_{\text{ave}}(E) / \rho_{\text{typ}}(E) \to 0$, then the states around $E$ are localized. We thus plot $\rho_{\text{ave}}$ and $\rho_{\text{typ}}$ in Fig. 4(b) in the case of periodic boundary conditions. Two remarkable features can be read from the comparison between Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). First, the DOS $\rho_{\text{ave}}$ does not vanish in the TAI region. As a matter of fact, there are regions with smaller DOS outside the TAI region. In other words, the TAI phase does not live in a bulk gap at all. Second, the TAI region corresponds to a vanishing of $\rho_{\text{typ}}$. This means that these states are extremely localized.

These surprising results throw doubt on whether TAI can be understood within the above mentioned topological regime. In order to answer this, we repeat the numerical calculation of $\nu$ for larger supercells. In Fig. 5, we plot the evolution of KPs for an $8 \times 8$ supercell associated with a definite configuration of $\{\epsilon_i\}$, with increasing disorder strength $W$. There is also a band touching at $W = 0.05$, which triggers a nontrivial subgap represented by a green bar, as in the case of a small supercell. On the other hand, with stronger disorder, for example, around $W = 0.2$, it develops into a wider region of nontrivial subgaps separated by narrow KPs, instead of one single nontrivial gap. In Fig. 6, we show the average width of KPs within the TAI region; it is clear that in the thermodynamic limit, the KPs...
will be extremely narrow. These narrow KPs are topologically trivial and do not affect the topology (trivial or nontrivial) of subgaps between them. In other words, the disorder induced nontrivial nature soon hides in the lower KPs deeply below the TAI region. We will argue that those narrow and topologically trivial KPs are responsible for nonzero DOS in this region, while these nontrivial subgaps are responsible for the TAI region observed from transport calculations in Ref. 10.

The nonzero DOS is easy to understand. Although the KPs are microscopically separated, due to the broadening $\eta$ associated with any measure or calculation of DOS they will give rise to a continuous region of finite DOS. Moreover, these flat and well separated KPs tend to be strongly localized. This is what we have observed in Fig. 4(b). One may also imagine that a fluctuating transition disorder strength $W_c$ from sample to sample might contribute to the statistically nonzero DOS in the TAI region. However, as stated in Sec. V, since this gap closing is a perturbation effect at weak disorder, the fluctuation of $W_c$ is also very small. Indeed, the numerical results confirm that (not shown here), compared to the width of the TAI region, the statistical error of $W_c$ is extremely small.

On the other hand, the origin of the TAI phase exhibited from transport calculations is more profound. It is well known that a nontrivial subgap always gives rise to dissipationless edge states. However, in our case, these disorder induced nontrivial subgaps are densely and randomly distributed on the energy axis. To confirm that they are indeed responsible for the TAI phase, one must verify that these nontrivial subgaps can survive through random statistics and size scaling. To characterize this quantitatively, we define a function

$$Q(E) = \begin{cases} 1, & E \in \text{a nontrivial and full subgap} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for a definite supercell size and a definite disorder configuration. The average $\langle Q(E) \rangle$ over the disorder ensemble is the probability for the Fermi energy $E$ to fall into a nontrivial subgap. If the above physical picture makes sense, $\langle Q(E) \rangle$ must be a value very close to 1 in the TAI region, under random averaging and size scaling. In Fig. 7, we show the numerical results of $\langle Q(E) \rangle$ over averaging and scaling. The conductance curve is also plotted as a comparison. The $\langle Q(E) \rangle$ curves converge to a broad peak approaching 1, and the energy region of the broad peak does correspond to the conductance plateau of TAI. Note this broad peak of $\langle Q(E) \rangle$ calculated with supercell size $\geq 10 \times 10$ is sufficient to reproduce the TAI region identified from the conductance plateau for $100 \times 100$ samples. In the process of scaling $(N \to \infty)$, the numbers of subpairs and subgaps increase, while the widths of individual subpairs and subgaps decrease but with a different decreasing rate. As a result, as disclosed in Fig. 7, for large enough $N$ the total measure of subgaps will dominate over that of flat subpairs, $\langle Q \rangle \sim 1 \gg 1 - \langle Q \rangle$. Figure 7 is the most important result of this paper. It reveals that the TAI phase corresponds to a cluster of nontrivial subgaps instead of a single topologically nontrivial gap. A Fermi energy falls into a nontrivial subgap with a probability close to 1. The KPs, although contributing to nonzero DOS in this region, are so narrow that their measures on the energy axis are extremely small, and they are localized, therefore they do not contribute to the electronic transport. Because of the topological origin, it is now clear why the TAI is a QSHE phase seen from the real space, and why the dissipationless currents are still carried by edge states.

VII. SUMMARY

In summary, the topological evolution of TAI is studied in a supercell regime. Starting from a trivial insulator phase with a small gap, weak disorder inevitably leads to gap closing between the valence and conduction bands, which is a second order perturbation effect. This causes an exchange of topological invariants between them, and results in a transition to a topologically nontrivial phase. In the limit of a large supercell, there will be very large numbers of subbands and subgaps densely distributed on the energy axis. However, there exists a continuous region where the Fermi energy falls into a nontrivial subgap with an extremely high probability, even after a statistical average over the disorder.
ensemble. This special region can thus support a stable and observable TAI phase. This physical picture also helps find disorder-induced topological insulators in other materials and higher dimensions.\textsuperscript{20}
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